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Research on literacy development is increasingly making clear the centrality of oral language to long-term literacy development, with longitudinal studies revealing the continuity between language ability in the preschool years and later reading. The language competencies that literacy builds upon begin to emerge as soon as children begin acquiring language; thus, the period between birth and age three also is important to later literacy. Book reading consistently has been found to have the power to create interactional contexts that nourish language development. Researchers, pediatricians, and librarians have taken notice of the potential for interventions designed to encourage parents to read with their children. This article reviews research on the connections between language and later reading, environmental factors associated with language learning, and interventions developed in varied countries for encouraging book use by parents of young children.

“The more that you read, the more things you will know. The more you learn, the more places you’ll go.”
Dr. Seuss, “I Can Read With My Eyes Shut!”

1. Introduction

For roughly forty years, researchers interested in early reading and language development have studied the effects of early home and preschool experiences. Language has received particular attention because of its centrality to overall human development and its particular importance to reading development [1–3]. Dr. Seuss got it right in the quotation above: learning to read—and being read to—takes you to new places, both literally in terms of children’s development and metaphorically as reading transports us to new vistas. As researchers examined differences between the growth trajectories of children from different backgrounds, it became apparent that environmental factors play a major role in determining the speed and ultimate success with which children learn to read [4]. Some children, notably those from homes where parents are poor and have limited educations, face particular challenges in learning to read [5, 6].

Developmental and cognitive psychologists probe the mysteries of language development and unravel the complexities of the reading process. Their findings have made increasingly apparent that particular kinds of experiences can play a special role in advancing language growth. The humble act of reading a book to a young child has repeatedly been found to have remarkable power [7]. Programs implemented in different countries that put books in the hands of parents and young children and that equip parents with effective strategies for using books consistently have been found to be effective methods of fostering language acquisition and improving children’s early reading success.

In this paper, we first discuss research that demonstrates the profound and enduring connections between language...
development and later reading, then review research on language acquisition, arguing that later language learning builds on prior acquisition; thus, earlier acquisition propels later learning. We then discuss research on the effects of reading books with children between birth and age three and review research on the effectiveness of programs that supply books and dispense advice regarding their use to parents.

2. Language and Reading

2.1. Language and the Reading Process. Reading comprehension is critical for long-term academic success and is dependent on language abilities that emerge early in life. When all goes well, these early language experiences fuel effective reading comprehension among school-aged children and young adults. An illustration of the importance of language for reading would be reading a paragraph where many of the words were unknown to the reader although the reader could sound them out. Comprehension would be seriously impaired. The dependency of reading on oral language is at the core of the simple view of reading [2], a long-standing theory of reading development, and the more recent Convergent Skills Model of Reading (CSMR) [8], that builds on and slightly extends the simple view. Both theories seek to explain reading comprehension and draw on many of the same prior studies for support.

The CSMR hypothesizes that initially there is a primary dependence on code-based abilities such as linking sounds to letters and analyzing the sounds of spoken language into small units [6, 9, 10]. Increased attention to sounds along with knowledge of the names of letters facilitates the mapping of sound units onto graphemes [11]. Later, when initial decoding ability has been established, the semantic, syntactic, and pragmatic abilities that support language comprehension become of primary importance to successful reading comprehension [12]. The hypotheses of the CSMR were tested by assessing a large array of language- and reading-related skills among relatively early readers (grades 2 and 3, n = 297) and a group of older readers (grades 6 and 7, n = 171) who were from middle-income homes. As expected, code-related abilities played an important role at both ages, but contrary to expectations, semantic knowledge was an equal and powerful predictor at both ages. This finding and the results of work by other researchers [13, 14] make clear that semantic knowledge makes an important contribution to reading comprehension and that later reading failures often can be attributed to weakness in language ability [15].

New evidence from studies of twins points to environmental factors as primary determinants of emerging competencies related to language, reading, and schooling success. One study of 7,179 twins, roughly half of whom were identical and half fraternal, revealed that language development and reading ability are largely determined by environmental factors [16]. Another study of early vocabulary and expressive language found that environmental factors accounted for between 54% and 78% of the variation in language development [17]. Another study of a representative sample of twins born between April 1995 and December 1998 in the Greater Montreal area collected measures of parental reading when children were 19 months old and assessed school readiness at age 63 months [18]. This study and another analysis of the same data set [19] revealed that school readiness was primarily determined by environmental factors and that language plays an important role in predicting school readiness.

Important as vocabulary is, a singular focus on it risks reifying one element of a complex system and overlooks the contributions of the full language system [1]. Authors of a meta-analysis that reviewed work completed up until 2003 provided empirical support for this claim when they found that measures of “complex language,” that is, language units beyond the single word, were better at predicting later reading than simple measures of vocabulary alone [10]. Another study found that age four language predicted grade two reading comprehension and that grammatical knowledge accounted for more variance than vocabulary [20].

Another strand of evidence highlights the subtle and pervasive effects of language on reading. For some time, it has been widely acknowledged that the ability to attend to the sounds of language is strongly associated with early reading success [21–23]. This ability is broadly described as “phonological awareness” with the most refined manifestation being “phonemic awareness,” the ability to attend to discrete phonemes. The sources from which language awareness emerge are not fully understood. Intervention studies show that efforts to draw children’s attention to the sounds of language can result in substantial growth in phonological awareness (e.g., [10, 24]). But, there also is evidence for the effect of vocabulary learning on language awareness. When children learn many words with similar sounds, their ability to attend to the sounds of language is heightened. The process by which this occurs is referred to as lexical reorganization [25–27]. The effects of language learning on phonological awareness may well begin to be apparent in the years before children begin formal schooling as indicated by a study of 56 children who were followed from infancy into first grade [28]. Researchers found evidence of direct effects of early language ability on phonological awareness when children were beginning to learn to read and evidence of indirect effects of language, mediated by phonological awareness, on grade one decoding.

3. The Organizing Role of Language between Birth and Age Five

Parents know that between birth and school entry the growth of children’s language abilities is astonishingly rapid. Less apparent are the profound effects that children’s emerging language competencies have on their conceptual, interpersonal, and self-regulatory abilities. Dickinson et al. [29] have argued that literacy development, similar to other aspects of development, should be viewed from a systemic perspective [30, 31] and that language lies at the heart of this complex emerging constellation of competencies. A core tenet of systems theory is that the developmental point when processes are first being fashioned into a stable,
interconnected network is when changes have the most enduring effects on the resulting system.

3.1. Language Organizes and Interfaces with Multiple Domains. The blossoming of language occurs at the same time that other conceptual and behavioral competencies are taking shape, providing the opportunity for language to influence and be influenced by multiple developmental domains. The far-reaching role of language in development has been stated by Tomasello [32]. Yet, Tomasello is also mindful that cognition and the ability to communicate are affected by social development and the child’s ability to understand the perspectives of others. Nelson [31] makes this point even more forcefully. She reviewed extensive bodies of research on conceptual development, theory of mind, memory, and narrative and linked developmental shifts to the language abilities that become available during this era. She concluded that between the ages of two and six “…language and the surrounding culture take over the human mind. It is during these years that biology ‘hands over’ development to the social world” (page 325). Once children learn language, they also acquire a powerful tool to unite seemingly disparate instances of objects and events in the world (e.g., [33]; [34]). That is, the provision of a common label for a group of non identical objects or actions enables children to form a category of these instances, despite their variability.

Researchers who have studied social and emotional development, and who have an interest in the role of cognition in shaping social development [35], note the importance of language to children’s emotion-related capabilities. Language, for example, seems to make it easier for children to regulate their own thoughts, feelings, and actions or abilities that are essential to social development and school success [36]. Preschool children with strong regulatory skills are better able to form positive relations with peers and teachers [37], display greater social competence in kindergarten [38], and have better achievement in kindergarten and beyond [39] relative to their peers with poorer regulatory skills. A precursor to self-regulation is the capacity for “effortful control” [40], an ability that begins to display consistently by age two [41]. Hints that this capacity may be linked to emerging language skill come from a study of twins [42] that found heritability effects on toddler’s aggressive behaviors, but not on expressive vocabulary. Indeed, the acquisition of expressive vocabulary was related to less aggression. Similarly, in a study of preschool children, Kaiser found a relationship between behavior problems and low language [43]. Similarly, Hooper et al. [44] noted that expressive and receptive language deficits in kindergarten predicted later conduct problems. While these results are only correlational, they suggest that the ability to communicate to peers lessens the need to respond aggressively in a taxing situation. Thus, as Vygotsky long ago suggested [45], language is one tool that helps children learn to regulate their own emotions and behaviors and build relationships with others. Language ability also has far-reaching consequences for later social and academic functioning.

Next, we take this argument one step farther when we discuss the power of early parent-child book reading as a context for nourishing multiple aspects of development. Book reading provides an ideal setting for fostering language while at the same time building strong affective bonds between parents and children. Book reading also provides recurrent occasions for parents to help their infants and toddlers learn to regulate their attention and responses to stimuli. This is not because parents control these interactions “with an iron hand” but rather because children learn to naturally regulate their attention when they are focusing on a task they find interesting in a context that is nurturing, warm, and responsive. Children benefit when they and their parent establish a positive pattern of relating while reading, as revealed by a study in which 18- to 22-month-old children were observed while engaged in book reading [46]. Further, children with longer periods of joint attention at 18 months were found to have stronger productive vocabularies at 24 months. The relationship between language and vocabulary at two years of age and later language at school entry and beyond has also been documented by Marchman and Fernald [47].

3.2. Early Language Learning Sets the Stage for Later Learning. As language competencies emerge, they exert profound effects on conceptual, social, and affective functioning and build linguistic competencies that make subsequent language learning easier [48]. Language is a self-sustaining system that gathers momentum during the preschool years. There is evidence that language is an evolving self-reinforcing system even in the prelinguistic period. The language comprehension ability and the inclination of 14-month-old toddlers to use gestures to communicate predict their subsequent expressive and receptive vocabulary [49], suggesting that early encouragement to communicate may have beneficial effects. The use of child gestures at 14 months predicts their vocabulary at 54 months beyond the effects of socioeconomic status and even the amount of language children hear [50]. These data show that parents who honor their children’s inchoate communicative attempts and use gesture themselves when communicating with their children have offspring who request more information and linguistic input through their own gestures. The insight that language builds on its own success has been formulated into a theory of the development of children’s word learning abilities called the emergent coalitionist perspective [51–53]. Drawing on studies of word learning from infancy through the preschool years, this theory posits that children use multiple cues to learn words and that the cues employed to learn words change over developmental time. These changes occur because children become able to use language cues such as morphology and grammatical context and rely less on pointing and guesses about the intention of the other speaker. For example, if children hear “John snorked Mary,” they can infer that “snorked” is likely a verb as it appears between two nouns and with a morphological ending (/ed/) that is often found on verbs. This use of syntactic cues to help detect something of the meanings of words varies among children; those with weaker language skills have more difficulty employing syntactic cues to learn new words [54]. Further, as we noted previously, very young children’s capacity to quickly process language
is related to early vocabulary and language acquisition [55] and is predictive of vocabulary when children are eight years of age [47]. The impact of a preschooler’s language ability on word learning also has been seen in studies in which children are taught new words by reading stories. Children with stronger language skills are more apt to learn more words than those with weaker skills unless special efforts are made to provide redundant and explicit information about word meanings [56, 57].

In the years between birth and age five early, language competencies facilitate the development of conceptual, affective, and attentional capacities. Language growth feeds upon itself and gains momentum during the preschool years. We now turn to consideration of how environmental factors influence the rate and course of language learning.

4. Environmental Support for Language Learning

There are various estimates of the size of children’s vocabularies when they enter school, but a relatively conservative estimate is 5,000 base words [58, 59]. If one assumes that children are not learning many words before the age of one and that school entry occurs at age five, then we can estimate that children learn roughly 3.5 words every day from age one to age five. Furthermore, they are acquiring mastery of the intricacies of their language’s grammatical structure and learning to use language in socially appropriate ways.

Children, as opposed to the family’s dog which also is surrounded by language, demonstrate such dramatic growth partly because there are biological adaptations that equip humans to understand and use language. However, this achievement does not occur in a vacuum; children must hear much language from adults willing to explain and expand, including a broad range of vocabulary and sentence structures, to show this growth. In other words, children need to engage in many language-based interactions with supportive adults. There are six principles that describe environmental factors that spur language learning, all of which can be activated as children hear books read aloud [60].

4.1. Principle 1: Children Need to Hear Many Words Often. Exposure to language plays an important role in children’s emerging ability to interpret the meanings of words. Early language processing abilities are associated with the amount of language children hear [61–63], and by the second year of life, children’s ability to rapidly understand words predicts their ability to comprehend language and learn new vocabulary [55]. Consider how this might work. If a child is slow at understanding language relative to her peers, she might be processing one part of a complex sentence while the speaker continues to talk. Eventually, a backlog might develop, and the child might lose some of what is being said. As Marchman and Fernald [47] concluded, the findings of their research “...suggest that processing speed and early language skills are fundamental to intellectual functioning” even predicting out to the child’s 8th year of life (page 1).

Language input also varies dramatically as revealed by Hart and Risley’s [64] study of children’s home language environments between ages one and three. Less well-educated parents exposed children to far less language and a much smaller range of vocabulary than better-educated parents. Other correlational studies also have found variation in the amount of language exposure different children experience and association between exposure and rates of language acquisition [65–67]. Exposure to vocabulary is particularly likely to have beneficial effects when the input includes a relatively high density of novel words relative to total words [67–71]. Finally, recent research by Hackman and Farah [72] suggests that the language parts of the brain are affected by poverty more than other areas, resulting in differences related to brain structure at age five.

4.2. Principle 2: Children Learn Words When They Are Interested. Bloom [73] summarized research showing that language learning occurs best when talk is about objects or actions of immediate interest to children. One study demonstrated that children at 10 months of age systematically assume that a word label interesting, not boring objects [74]. It may well the case that many “mismappings” of this nature occur early on but are not revealed as most children do not yet talk. For children younger than about 18 months, studies of joint attention—that is, of times when adults and children attend to the same object or event—have found that adults who are more skilled in creating occasions of joint attention have children who have more advanced vocabularies [75–77]. In fact, parents who try to redirect children’s attention and label objects not of interest have children who learn fewer words [53, 76].

4.3. Principle 3: Children Learn Best When Adults Are Responsive to Them. Young children benefit from interacting with adults who offer prompt, contingent, and appropriate reactions to their utterances [78, 79]; for example, parents who take turns, share periods of joint focus, and express positive affect [77, 80]. One study found that when children were 9 and 13 months old maternal responsiveness was associated with how soon children reached different developmental milestones (e.g., put words together, talk about the past) [81]. Another study examined children at 6, 12, 24, and 40 months and found faster rates of cognitive development when mothers were sensitive to children’s focus of attention and interests. Research by Hirsh-Pasek and Burchinal [82] affirms the relationship between sensitive and responsive adults and language and cognitive outcomes using the large longitudinal data set from the NICHD Study of Early Child Care. Both parents and caregivers who demonstrated stable responsive behavior across time from 6 to 54 months of age had children who were more cognitively competent. No doubt it is not only responsive language that controls these outcomes, but also the affective quality of mother-child interactions such that affective responsivity in early childhood projects out to cognitive competencies like mental ability scores at age 4, school readiness skills at age 5 and 6, IQ scores at age 6, and vocabulary and mathematics performance at age 12 [83].
Responsiveness of parents in terms of diversity of language also relates to later proficiency [84]. Further, the degree of responsiveness is especially important for children at medical risk due to low birth weight [85].

One question raised by this research concerns what exactly is meant by responsiveness. Children under the age of 3 do not seem to learn words when watching a televised show where there is little contingent responsive interaction [86, 87]. They do learn the same words, however, in Skype conversations where the person communicates in a way that is directly responsive to the child [88]. Note principles 2 and 3 tease apart conversation in ways that focus on the interest and action of the child (Principle 2) and the role of the adult (Principle 3) in maintaining the conversation.

4.4. Principle 4: Words Are Learned When Meanings Are Made Clear. To increase their vocabulary, children need help understanding what they mean and how they are used. When young children converse with adults, they may be helped to grasp the meaning of words by, for example, having the adult directly tell them the word's meaning, pointing to an example of the word, or using intonation or gestures to signal the meaning. The children of parents who use such strategies have enhanced understanding of word meanings [84]. Direct teaching of word meanings has been found to speed acquisition in classrooms as well as in the home [56, 58, 89].

Word meanings also can be made apparent when a new word is used in the midst of on-going activity and when words are used to describe an object or concept that is connected to other concepts that are being discussed. For example, the word “ankle” is more likely to be learned as naming a part of the leg in the context of talk about toes, legs, or fingers than when used out of context (e.g., “My ankle hurts”).

4.5. Principle 5: Vocabulary and Grammar Are Learned Together. While language includes distinct components (e.g., the lexicon, grammar, and phonological system) that can be studied and measured separately, children experience and learn language as an interconnected package. Therefore, it is not surprising that the amount and diversity of verbal stimulation children receive relates to growth of vocabulary and grammar [64, 70, 90]. Vocabulary and grammar are not divorced; rather, they feed one another. In a large sample of children aging 16–30 months (n = 1461), Dixon and Marchman [91] found that words and grammar developed in parallel. This relationship between grammar and vocabulary learning is also celebrated in research with bilingual children. Conboy and Thal [92] find, for example, that toddlers’ English vocabulary predicted their English grammar and their Spanish vocabulary predicted their Spanish grammar.

Children learn vocabulary through grammar and grammar through vocabulary [93] in two ways. First, when children note the linguistic context in which words appear, they gain information about a word’s part of speech [93]. Hearing, for example, “Where’s my glorppp?” tips children off to the fact that “glorpp” must refer to a concrete object and likely be a noun. Indeed, as early as two and three years of age, respectively, children can use the sentence context in which novel nouns and verbs appear to identify the likely referents for these new terms in pictures [94, 95]. Second, once a word is known, by observing the diverse linguistic contexts in which words are used, children detect nuances in word meaning [96]. Thus, “rigid” can refer to the properties of objects as well as characteristics of some people.

4.6. Principle 6: Keep It Positive. One of Hart and Risley’s [64] startling finding was that lower-income children are far more likely to hear prohibitions (e.g., “Do not touch that!”) than to hear what they called “affirmations” (e.g., “That’s an interesting toy”). Prohibitions are not only more negative in tone, but they serve as conversation closers. In a lovely illustration, Chase-Lansdale and Takanishi [97] opened a recent report entitled, How do families matter?, with a vignette they called “three mothers and an eggplant.”

The first mother wheels her shopping cart down the produce aisle, where her kindergartner spots an eggplant and asks what it is. The mother shushes her child, ignoring the question. A second mother, faced with the same question, responds curtly, “Oh, that’s an eggplant, but we do not eat it.” The third mother coos, “Oh, that’s an eggplant. It’s one of the few purple vegetables.” She picks it up, hands it to her son, and encourages him to put it on the scale. “Oh, look, it’s about two pounds!” she says. “And it’s $1.99 a pound, so that would cost just about $4. That’s a bit pricey, but you like veal parmesan, and eggplant parmesan is delicious too. You’ll love it. Let’s buy one, take it home, cut it open. We’ll make a dish together.”

Rather than closing off the conversation, the third mother affirms the child’s interest, speaks in full sentences, and continues the conversation in a way that builds vocabulary and grammar. When we expand on our children’s language and ask questions rather than simply giving directives, we talk more and we create a climate that spurs language growth. Continuing the conversation increases the amount of talk, uses language in a social context, builds on children’s interest, makes language meaningful, and generates more complex language samples.

Taken collectively, the six research-derived principles of language development offer a way to alter the trajectory of a child’s language development. Teachers and parents can confidently give children a rich language base by applying the principles in areas that are of interest to them and their children. The trick is to start the conversation and keep it going as captured in the phrase Dickinson coined, “Strive for five,” meaning five back and forth turns with the child. When conversations are only one-side prohibitions or one-word answers, children are not hearing the language they need to fuel their language-learning engine nor are they being sufficiently exposed to the concepts language encodes. In fact, a recent analysis by Chi [98] suggests that conversation requires children to engage in just the kind of interactive and constructive processing that fuels learning.
5. The Power of Book Reading in Instantiating the Six Principles of Language Learning

Reading storybooks to children maximizes the kinds of experiences that predict language learning and may even exceed the power of oral conversations at times. There are at least three ways in which book reading influences language learning.

First, it offers children the opportunity to hear new vocabulary items embedded in varied grammatical sentences. Books written for children use well-formed, relatively short sentences that are rich in varied vocabulary. Furthermore, books often use the same words in diverse grammatical constructions, offering implicit lessons in how words are used. The texts of books tend to have more low-frequency words than does spoken language [99] and books encourage use of a wider range of words than would occur in everyday conversations. Indeed, Sénéchal and her colleagues (see, [100], for a review) consistently find that “parent reports of shared reading were a robust predictor of children’s receptive and expressive vocabulary” (page 179).

The second way in which book reading enriches children’s lives and language is that it promotes joint attention and interest. Consider all the ways in which storybooks conspire to help children maintain their attention. Children’s books often use bold colors and strong contrasts and typically depict objects and animals that appeal to young children. The page of the book provides a clear focus for attention, and, unlike movable toys such as balls and trucks, books are held and remain relatively stationary. An attentive adult can easily notice what a child is attending to and build on it with commentary. In turn, children are able to draw an adult’s attention to interesting pictures using a broad range of cues including gestures, sounds, and words. Thus, attention can be managed by the child as well as the adult.

Finally, book reading helps children learn language because it requires the participants to be active and engage in responsive interactions about word meanings. It is an opportunity for a parent or other caring adult to focus on the child and make efforts to be responsive to his or her interests. When parents and young children communicate around book reading and move away from the text as occurs during “dialogic reading” [101], they are engaging in a language-based activity that yields even more varied vocabulary and diverse sentences structures. Dialogic reading occurs when adults follow the child’s interest and engage in conversation about material on the printed page or about experiences the child has had that relate to the story. Book reading becomes an “up close and personal” experience when done in this way and yields the most in the way of language learning [10, 101–103]).

6. Supporting Language Development between Birth and Age Three

The evidence we have presented builds a strong case for the importance of making language a primary focus for early interventions. Language is affected by home patterns of communication, and it consistently lags among children from homes where parents are poor and have limited education. Between birth and age five, biological factors increase the beneficial and far-reaching effects of language input. Finally, there are well-researched approaches to supporting early language that can be delivered by parents at relatively low cost.

There is strong empirical support for using book reading as the core of an intervention. Since the 1990’s, there have been several meta-analytic syntheses of experimental studies of reading interventions that have included work on children from the earliest years up through the beginning years of school. The criteria used to select studies differed in these reviews. Yet despite differences in the ages of the children included, and in the nature of the study (e.g., observational, experimental), all have concluded that book reading has moderate sized beneficial effects and that the impact of book reading is most evident in language ability [10, 104–107]. Estimates of the size of the impact of book reading vary depending on the ages of the children, the type of intervention, and the outcome measures used, but what is constant is the finding that efforts to foster language that include books have positive and valuable effects. Based on results of two recent reviews [10, 107], it is safe to assume that a modest sized effect of 0.5 can be achieved for many such interventions, but effects may be greater for children with stronger vocabulary prior to the intervention [57] and children from higher SES families [107]. Analyses of studies that consider only children from birth to age three find evidence that these positive effects are magnified.

There have been relatively few studies of book reading among very young children (less than two years of age). The work that has been done indicates that interventions employing book reading can foster early language development especially in combination with tutorial support for parents in how to maximize the benefits of reading experiences. We now review programmatic efforts to use books to support development and then discuss specific features of book reading that are associated with enhanced development.

7. Book Distribution Programs, Effectiveness, and Recommendations

Recognition of the potential power of book reading to foster language and literacy has resulted in rapid spread of programs that distribute books to parents. Such programs have been implemented and evaluated in several countries. These interventions include some that have distributed books through local libraries and others that have used medical clinics. There is variability in the type of material distributed (books, literacy information, CDs, toys, etc.), in whether or not training was provided, and, if provided, in the content of the training (e.g., one-time literacy training, continuous training for physicians), in the country (United Kingdom, United States, Finland), in the population to whom the intervention was provided, and in the nature and amount of data collected. The research conducted on these programs has some methodological limitations that we discuss below, but the overall pattern of results is encouraging in that it points toward delivery mechanisms that have been found to work across countries.
7.1. European Programs. The most impressive research on European book programs examined Bookstart, a program that has been widely implemented in the United Kingdom. Based in public libraries, it began in Birmingham with 300 inner city families. A major study examining its effects began when contact was made with mothers at 36 weeks of pregnancy. Families subsequently received four book packs over two years. A comparison group of infants who did not receive Bookstart packs was also followed through the course of the longitudinal study [108]. Data included initial questionnaires which were distributed six months after the first Bookstart pack was received, home activities surveys, observational studies conducted approximately two years after the first Bookstart pack was received, a baseline assessment upon start of school, and another assessment (SAT) at about age 7.5. Questionnaires revealed that most (71%) parents bought more books for their children and 28% reported spending an increased amount of time sharing books with their children after receiving a Bookstart pack. The home activities surveys revealed that Bookstart children were significantly more likely than comparison group children to list looking at books as their favorite activity after receiving the Bookstart pack, and parents were significantly more likely to give their children books as presents after receiving the Bookstart pack. Observational studies in family homes found that Bookstart parents were significantly more likely than the comparison group to read the entire text of books, talk about the story, and encourage children to make predictions and connections—all precursors to later reading competence. Upon school entry, the baseline assessment that is administered to all children in the city by local authorities revealed that Bookstart children were ahead of a randomly selected control group (n = 41), especially in the categories of number and reading. Finally, the SAT assessment administered at age 7.5 (a national achievement test administered to all students in England at three points throughout their schooling) showed that Bookstart children were significantly ahead of the comparison group in all areas.

This study is noteworthy for the fact that it followed children from infancy into school, included observational data from homes as well as assessments, and had two comparison groups, one selected using random selection methods. Despite its strengths, one cannot draw strong causal conclusions because the initial sample was not selected using random methods, there is no information about how the books were used, and direct assessment of children did not occur until school entry, long after the intervention was over. It yields intriguing but far from conclusive evidence that the program had beneficial effects.

Two other studies have examined related book programs. In each case, they employ adult report measures to collect evidence of satisfaction with the program, but lack direct observational data or systematically identified control groups. The Cradle Club program was developed in conjunction with Bookstart and delivered as a morning session held for parents and their babies during which the librarians modeled play and reading for parents [108]. Librarians reported that the program was popular and encouraged them to share books with their children. Health professionals believed that it enabled them to engage in more conversations about literacy and that some families were encouraged to buy books as gifts instead of sweets. Nursery school teachers, who had a mixture of Bookstart children and non-Bookstart children, observed that the program had positive effects on the entire family and, in some cases, tried to implement similar programs for non-Bookstart children to make up for opportunities that may have been missed.

Also based in the United Kingdom, the Boots Books for Babies program distributed books and information through local health centers [109], and effects were studied both through questionnaires and an examination of library circulation records. A three-year program based on Bookstart, it was implemented from 1998–2000 and delivered book packs to caregivers at nine-month hearing checkups at local health centers. Health providers were given a short training at the beginning of the program through a partnership with a local college. The packets contained two children's books, an advertisement for the local library, copies of common nursery rhymes, a poster about community resources, and the link for a coordinating website. The links were also available in other languages when necessary. After two years, the impact of the Boots Books for Babies project was evaluated through parent questionnaires. Some parents said they used the library sooner than they might have before the program and the number of babies registered at local libraries rose by 54%, an interesting outcome that could be the result of the project but lacking a control group design it is not possible to rule out other factors that could have been at work in the community at the time.

Babies Need Books, also in the UK, distributed books and information at health centers, baby clinics, parenting groups, and toddler groups [110]. Concurrently, the local libraries stocked a collection of books designed to appeal to these babies and their caregivers. This study also used library circulation as an outcome measure and found that 26% of babies in the Babies Need Books project were library users. Telephone interviews with parents revealed that shared book reading was an important part of most families’ daily routines and parents mentioned the calming routine of book reading, the opportunity to spend special time together, and the opportunity to promote achievement through book reading. Parents also mentioned that grandparents started giving children books instead of sweets or toys for gifts after the intervention. Such changes in interactions between adults and children in homes is a potentially powerful byproduct of such programs that merits the use of direct observation or more careful tracking of home activities (e.g., regular phone calls to check on home activity) to guard against biases that occur when people report about their experiences.

Another library-based distribution program is Bookbabies, based in Finland [111]. The objective of this program is to encourage “having fun with books,” a slogan chosen so as not to intimidate parents and one that is consistent with the self-reported effects of the Babies Need Books program. The target group for the study of this two-year intervention was 82 Flemish couples with young babies, with a special emphasis on hard-to-reach families. The project
was based at local libraries, which also served as the hub for workshops and informational sessions for parents. In follow-up interviews, parents especially noted appreciation for the focus on enjoyment over performance when reading. They also reported that, after the Bookbabies program, they would be likely to introduce books to their children at a younger age than they would have before participating in the program.

7.2. Programs Based in the United States. In the United States, book distribution programs also have been developed and studied, but, in many cases, they also provide advice related to book use. Most of the evaluated programs for babies have used pediatricians to deliver the program, with Reach Out and Read being the premier program now implemented at over 4,000 sites in the United States and abroad [112]. The appeal of this approach is that all children have access to health clinics, and they serve low-income children [112, 113]. During the first five years of life, most children are seen 13–15 times, allowing for guidance and support from a trusted health care provider. The cost of such book distribution programs is much lower than intervention programs such as home visits or Head Start [112]. In Reach Out and Read, the waiting room of the doctor’s office is utilized to train parents in reading techniques as volunteers read books to children who are waiting, and pediatricians incorporate questions about reading to children into their health visit [113]. Additionally, a free, developmentally and culturally appropriate book is distributed to families at each visit. The focus is on teaching children to love to read and not on learning to read early.

Evaluations of the Reach Out and Read program have found positive effects, with parent report data being used but several studies using comparison group designs and testing data (reviewed in [113]). Key findings include mothers listing book reading as their favorite activity after the intervention, parental reports of more frequent reading, and, importantly, evidence that Reach Out and Read children score higher in receptive and expressive language than non-Reach Out and Read children [113, 114]. Also, there is evidence that families that receive more intervention show more effects, a fact that lends further weight to the argument that the program has a causal impact on children and parents [114].

Two large-scale efforts in the United States based in public libraries have sought to train parents to engage children in conversations around books rather than reading straight through books. This work has sought to encourage the use of a method known as dialogic reading [101]. In the first such endeavor, children’s librarians were taught to introduce dialogic reading to parents of 28-month-old children in one-hour sessions in the library or by viewing a training video [115]. This large randomized trial project included 588 children and had clear positive effects. Analysis of videotapes of reading sessions revealed a four-fold increase in the use of dialogic methods by the experimental group. Importantly, children’s observed mean length of utterance and amount of talk during the reading event increased significantly. The authors noted that parents who had not received the training did not naturally use the recommended methods, suggesting that there may be value in providing guidance to all parents. At a three-month followup, researchers found continued use of the methods, and parents reported a reduction in parenting stress. The inperson training was found to be more effective than video-based training. But parents who only received the video training outperformed the control group, indicating that even if a program cannot deliver training in person, training that is delivered using technology (e.g., videotape, internet) may still have beneficial effects.

A subsequent study examined the comparative value of video based as opposed to live training using the same basic research design [116]. Some parents received live training, some were sent videotapes with a follow-up phone conversations about the method, and others used the videotapes on their own. Beneficial effects were observed on children’s expressive language for all approaches, with the impact being similar across intervention methods except for parents with limited educational background. Those with only high school level education needed the live training in order to benefit from the method.

8. Dimensions of Book Reading Associated with Enhanced Development

While simply creating opportunities for parents and caregivers to read to children has predictable beneficial effects, research has identified a number of dimensions of book reading that are associated with greater effects. Knowledge of these can be of value to those planning and supporting book-reading interventions.

8.1. Frequency and Age of Onset of Book Reading. The most fundamental issue relating to the impact of reading on children is reading frequency. One of the first meta-analyses of book reading [104] drove this point home by noting that frequency of reading was more important than social economic class in predicting children’s growth.

The importance of reading frequency for children from birth to age three was clearly revealed by findings of a large study of low-income mothers, 39% of whom were teenagers when their child was born [117]. Mothers’ reports of daily book reading at age 14 months related to vocabulary and language comprehension at 14 and 24 months. When discussing results of path analyses, the authors stated, “...the period from 14 to 24 months appears to be one during which child language and maternal book reading may together begin a “snowball” effect for subsequent book reading experiences and development” (page 944). An additional demonstration of the long-term impact of early book reading comes from a study of twins that sought to parcel out environmental from genetic effects on school readiness. Researchers [18] tracked children from 6 to 63 months and found that SES was strongly related to school readiness, but that its effects were mediated in two ways: SES affected the frequency of book reading, and book reading was directly related to school readiness. SES-related effects on expressive language were through its impact on the frequency of book reading.

There is also evidence that the age at which parents begin to read to children is important. One observational
The implementation of this intervention in a regular Mexican day care center is relevant to the potential application of early intervention programs in underdeveloped countries. These countries lack the economic resources to conduct thorough, intensive intervention programs such as Head Start. Small-scale intervention programs such as the present study demonstrated that within the poor conditions in operation at this day care center, the dialogic-reading program had an impact on children’s linguistic development. We expect that continuous exposure to picture book activities would produce larger and more lasting effects...

(page 113).
grade education (see, [126]). Research continues to explore
the impact of VIP, with evidence that it results in improved
sensitivity in parenting, lessens disruptive behaviors, and
enhances cognitive and language development [127].

8.4. Summary. Studies of book reading have found evidence
that children begin to benefit when regular reading begins as
early as 8 months and that children benefit most from regular
reading routines that include sensitive and responsive,
language-rich interactional routines. Evidence from multiple
countries suggests that the simple act of providing books to
families can increase the frequency of reading, of library use
and may have beneficial effects on interactions around books.
By and large studies of distribution programs have been
relatively small in scale and lacking in resources to conduct
rigorous research. Data sometimes is not collected prior to
or at the beginning of the intervention, random assignment
to condition is very rare, and parent reports often are the
primary form of data. This type of research may well provide
feedback to programs that is of value, but additional rigor is
needed if strong conclusions are to be drawn.

9. Implications for Nonwestern and
Developing Nations

Research on book reading has been concentrated in the
United States, Europe, and Israel so it is difficult to know
the extent to which findings can generalize to developing
countries. One study conducted in Chile examined reading
practices among 188 families from different SES back-
grounds [128]. They found low rates of early literacy knowl-
edge and found that 42% of the families had fewer than 10
books in the home. Books that were owned tended to come
from farmer’s markets, super markets, and street vendors
rather than bookstores. This pattern of acquisition suggests
that these books are often of poor quality and that many
may be simply coloring books or books of stickers. Families
in Chile may have limited access to places that sell high
quality children’s literature, and similar issues of availability
likely exist in many other developing countries. Indeed,
issues of access may become increasingly common even in
communities in economically advantaged areas as the num-
ber of bookstores dwindles under pressures from electronic
distribution systems. Internet-based purchases of books are
on the rise. In the future, differential access to the internet
and associated access to credit could translate into differential
access to books, further disadvantaging the poorest families.

Across studies conducted with different populations,
there is the consistent finding that the educational level of
parents affects reading, with more well-educated parents
typically adopting more supportive methods. As is the case
in the United States, there are also likely differences in
approaches to book reading among ethnic groups. Support
for this point comes from the Netherlands where interactions
of Surinamese-Dutch mothers were compared with those
of Turkish-Dutch and Dutch mothers. Differences in the
amount of talk were associated with literacy level, but ethnic
differences that may have been associated with beliefs about
child rearing also affected how mothers read [129].

Caution is advised, however, before presuming that SES
differences are always the key variable in book reading
interactions. Chilean middle and lower SES mothers of 12-
to 24-month-old infants were observed interacting with their
children [130]. Mothers of both groups adjusted their styles
to match the abilities of their children, indicating that all
parents were sensitive to their children’s language level and
able to tune their own behavior accordingly. In addition, the
Huebner and Meltzoff [116] study conducted in the United
States with parents from different backgrounds found that
dialogic reading methods were not spontaneously used by
parents [116]. Thus, any intervention should assume that all
parents may find some of the methods being recommended
to be novel.

Although group-level differences are prevalent, there
are also family-specific differences in what is called the
“scholarly orientation” of families. A recent analysis of a huge
database of interview data from over 70,000 cases drawn
from 27 countries representing the full spectrum of political
philosophy (e.g., communist, Western style democratic) has
examined the impact of book ownership on the social
mobility of families [131]. This unique study started from
the premise that families vary in their orientation toward the
importance of education and operationalized this construct
using people’s recollections of the number of books they
had in their home when growing up. After controlling for
income, education, time in history, and country of origin,
the authors found that the number of books owned led to
substantial increases in the years children attended school.
The impact of book ownership is greatest among families
with the least education and the fewest books. Across all
countries, they found that, among families with no formal
education, the impact of owning 25 books instead of none,
was two additional years of schooling. If they owned 500
books this translated into two more years of schooling. In
parents with primary grade schooling (8.8 years), owning 25
instead of no books also added about two years of schooling.
These are correlations; therefore, one cannot presume that
putting 25 more books in homes will result in such changes
in schooling. What the data do indicate is that families whose
value structures are such that they have acquired books, kept
them, and passed them down from one generation to the
next value schooling and learning. Although simply giving
books may not create a scholarly orientation in families,
interventions can help parents and babies experience the
pleasures of reading and instill a love of reading and books
that might nourish attitudes that lead to placing a higher
value on education.

10. Future Research

Much of the research that has been done has examined the
effects of a small set of approaches, many of which may not
be feasible to employ in developing countries or in poorly
resourced communities in Western countries. Research is
needed to understand alternative ways of delivering books
and guidance in their use and into examination of how
these services are and are not taken up by families. Data
on the impact of interventions on attitudes and reported
practices is useful and inexpensive to collect but is subject to bias on the part of respondents. Much remains to be learned about specific ways that family practices are affected by interventions and the extent to which such changes have enduring effects.

Book programs often spring from community sources, and research tends to be done with a limited budget and, as a result, lacks elements found in rigorous scientific research. In many developing countries, research funding is quite limited, but effort should be made to conduct at least small-scale studies before scarce resources are spent on programs that may be well meaning but of limited value. Critical issues worthy of such investigation include the following.

(1) The functioning of the program needs to be studied from the perspective of those being served. Can and do they access the service? Can they receive the material regularly enough to benefit? Are they motivated to participate? Can they understand the training? Are the things to be read in a language they can read and understand?

(2) The delivery of the program needs to be examined. How are the services and material delivered? Is the required level of expertise for delivering the service available? What are motivations for the entity delivering the service to provide it and does it maintain the quality required for success. In other words, the fidelity with which the program is delivered should be studied.

(3) What are anticipated effects of the intervention and how will they be described? A pilot study should be used to ensure that the approaches being considered will be feasible given the resources and the context.

Research that is done should be conducted in as rigorous a manner as possible given the context. Projects should consider the following strategies that can help address methodological flaws that are present in some of the following prior studies.

(1) Track some who do not receive the service. If random assignment is not possible try to identify those who are as similar as possible to those receiving services. Collect the same data from these subjects as is collected from the sample receiving the services.

(2) Collect data prior to or very early in the project so as to have stronger evidence of possible changes in practices.

(3) Collect information about the processes that are critical to the study, including the quality of delivery of the service or training (e.g., whether books were received, if appropriate advice was given), and the extent to which training guidance was followed. Limit asking for recollection of long-past events. Use phone calls, surveys, or other data collection that asks about recent activities (e.g., that day, the past week or month). Such data might be collected on selected cases if it is not possible to do so for the full sample.

(4) Strive to get objective data about children and, if relevant, adult-child interactions. Observations of book reading and direct assessment of children yield the strongest data.

(5) Seek to use indirect measures of impact using data sources such as library circulation records or testing data carried out by school systems to provide a way to compare your data to larger samples or to get other forms of objective data about your sample.

11. Conclusion

Research on child development has established beyond doubt the fact that the years between birth and age three are critical for children's long-term language, cognitive, emotional, and interpersonal development. To an extent, the power of these years springs from the fact that the brain is maturing rapidly and is sensitive to environmental stimulation or lack thereof. Also, this is the time when linguistic, cognitive, affective, and regulatory systems are developing and becoming interdependent. At this critical juncture, book reading has special power to have enduring impact on parents’ patterns of interpersonal interaction with their children in a way that has lasting consequences for them. As parents read with children, they have the opportunity for frequent, sensitively tuned, language-rich interactions that draw children into conversations about books, the world, language and concepts. However, most parents do not spontaneously make the most of the opportunities that books present and many lack access to high quality books. Multiple programs from several countries have demonstrated that these twin challenges can be met. Large-scale distribution of high quality books and useful information is possible when coordinated through existing respected community agencies, especially if parents are responsive to and benefit from advice regarding how to best engage their child. Further, when the distribution of books is accompanied by guidance in how to read those books, there is enormous potential to enhance reading and self-regulatory competencies. There is evidence that simply providing books has value, especially in settings where very few books are otherwise available, but evidence is much stronger that the combination of books and guidance for reading has great potential to result in and lead to more frequent and more effective reading and improvements in children's language and self-regulatory competencies.
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