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This study explored associations between parents’ beliefs about children’s development and children’s reported math activities at home. Seventy-three parents were interviewed about the frequency of their children’s participation in a broad array of math activities, the importance of children doing math activities at home, how children learn math, parents’ role in their children’s math learning, and parents’ own math skills. Although the sample consisted of African Americans, Chinese, Latino, and Caucasian parents in the United States, the majority were Chinese or Caucasian. Several important findings emerged from this study. Parents’ beliefs about math development and their role in fostering it were significantly related to children’s math activities. There was important variability and relatively limited participation of children in math activities at home. There were age-related differences in children’s engagement in math activities. Chinese and Caucasian parents showed somewhat similar beliefs about how children developed math. Although further research is needed to confirm the findings with a larger sample and to include measures of children’s math competencies, these findings are an important step for developing home-based interventions to facilitate children’s math skills.

1. Introduction

Many children in the United States do not exhibit adequate math skills [1]. On average, US children earn significantly lower math scores on international assessments such as PISA and TIMSS than children from other industrialized nations [2, 3]. The importance of children’s early math skills is well documented. Early math skills continue to have long-lasting effects as children progress through school [1, 4–7]. And math skills have been recognized as important for individual upward mobility and advancing U.S. standing in the global market [1]. Given that there is significant variability in the math skills with which children enter school [4] and that early math skills predict later ones [6], it is critical to identify mechanisms to improve young children’s math understanding. One important but relatively understudied mechanism to improve children’s math skills during preschool and the early school years is the home-math environment.

Young children’s home-based math experiences, including playing games and engaging in everyday math activities, positively predict their math skills ([8–12]; see also [13], for further discussion of the relation between home learning environment and children’s academic development). For example, LeFevre and colleagues [10, 11] demonstrated that the frequency with which children in kindergarten through second grade engaged in home-based math activities such as playing board and card games, cooking, and shopping positively predicted their scores on measures of math knowledge and fluency. Ramani and Siegler [14] found that playing board games at home positively predicted children’s scores on a measure of number sense.

Although the frequency of engagement in home-based math activities predicts children’s math skills, a nontrivial percentage of children reportedly do not engage in much, if any, math activities at home [14, 15]. Ramani and Siegler [14], for instance, found that 20% of middle-income
children and 53% of low-income children did not report playing any math games at home. Tudge and Doucet [15] found 60% of the low- and middle-income preschoolers in their study had no involvement with math-related activities at home. In order to understand the variability in children's engagement in math activities, it is necessary to understand factors that predict such engagement.

This study investigates the relations between parental beliefs about how to foster young children's math development and the frequency of children's reported math activities at home. We provide descriptive information of parental beliefs about the importance of children doing math activities at home, beliefs about how children learn, parents' role in their children's math learning, and parents' own math skills as well as the types of math activities children do at home. We also document similarities and differences in parents' beliefs and children's math activities for younger (prekindergarten and kindergarten) and older children (early elementary school), and for Chinese and Caucasian parents. We then examine the association between the frequency of children's participation in math activities and parents' beliefs.

Our conceptual framework reflects ecological and sociocultural theories, which emphasize the importance of considering the individual and overlapping contexts within which children's development occurs (e.g., [16, 17]), to understand the importance of the home-environment for math development.

A key aspect that influences the context of children's lives according to Super and Harkness [18] is parental beliefs. Parents have specific beliefs about child development that predict the experiences they make available to their children [19] which, in turn, predict children's math development (see also [20–22]). Parents also have ideas about their role in their children's development [19, 23, 24]. These ideas or beliefs reflect parents' cultural heritage and experiences and predict their behavior and practices [21, 25, 26]. Many current theories of children's development emphasize the importance of parental beliefs [27, 28]; however, very little research, with the exception of the following two studies, has investigated the beliefs that parents have about their children's math development and how such beliefs relate to children's engagement in math activities at home.

Simpkins et al. [29] found that parents' socialization of their children's math behavior in elementary school (2nd–5th grades) was related to their children's engagement in out of school activities in math, science, and computer usage. Skwarchuk [12] demonstrated that parents' prior experiences with math were positively related to the frequency of their preschoolers' math activities and math knowledge. Prior experiences were assessed with two questions asking parents to rate how good at math they were in school and whether they found math enjoyable.

An important criticism of Skwarchuk's [12] study is the measure of math activity involved an intervention component; it was not a measure of normative (or daily/routine) math activity because parents were given a bag of math materials and were told to play math-related activities for 10–15 minutes each day. We add to findings from these studies by examining the confluence of different indicators of parental beliefs.

In contrast to research on children's math development, research has examined the relation between children's home-environment and their reading development. Parents who emphasize the importance of engaging their young children's interest in reading have children who choose to read more frequently which, in turn, positively predicts their reading development [30].

The Early Childhood Project [23] was a longitudinal investigation of literacy development with children from diverse income and ethnic/racial backgrounds in prekindergarten through third grade. It provides an impetus for how parents' beliefs about children's math development were assessed in this study. Parents in the Early Childhood Project were asked about the best way to foster their young children's literacy development. Parents' responses were coded for three possible orientations towards literacy development: engaging children's interest/making reading entertaining or enjoyable for the child, inculcating skills, and using daily living activities. Parents' orientation towards engaging young children's interest in reading was related to the types of activities in which children engaged in prekindergarten through third grade. An orientation towards engaging children's interest was also positively related to early literacy and reading competencies. In contrast, an orientation focused on skills inculcation was either not related or negatively related to children's literacy development (see also [31]). Although it seems reasonable to assume that findings of the relation between parents' beliefs and children's reading development will extend to children's math development, it is possible that home environments could have a differential impact on the two domains, given that reading and math require different skill sets.

Findings from the Early Literacy Project also showed the changing nature of children's home-based activities as they get older and become better readers [23]. Research also shows that parents' beliefs assessed when children are in preschool continue to predict their development in elementary school [13, 23]. However, to our knowledge, no research on math development has specifically investigated differences in parents' beliefs about their children's learning or their role in such learning for different age groups of children. This study compares similarities and differences in parents' beliefs and children's activities for younger and older age groups of children.

Another important issue when studying the home-learning environment of children is to take into account the interaction between cultural manifestations and responses to the larger social structure. As Super and Harkness [32] argue, the home-learning environment that parents create for their children includes both culturally defined and transmitted messages. Harkness and Super [33] argue that culture impacts family functioning and child development at the proximal and distal levels through 3 mechanisms: physical and social settings (structure and organization of the home plus individuals who interact with child), culturally specific childcare customs (common parenting behaviors that are well integrated into the larger structure), and ethnotheories.
of parenting (specific beliefs about significance and role, expectations about behaviors and development, and nature and needs of children). These three factors, physical and social settings, culturally specific child care customs, and ethnotheories of parenting comprise the developmental niche [18]. Individual differences in the developmental niche reflect differences in ethnic/cultural background and income and are related to differences in children’s development [34].

Particularly relevant for this study are the cultural differences between Asian (Chinese) and Western (Caucasian) parents’ beliefs and socialization practices. Such differences may account, at least in part, for differences in children’s developmental outcomes. It is a well-documented finding that Chinese children display higher math skills from the outset of schooling than Caucasian children (e.g., [35, 36]). Asian parents consider schooling one of the most important responsibilities of parents, strongly believe that without a solid education a person cannot be successful in life [37], and clearly articulate their educational expectations [38]. Such a strong emphasis on education may not be found among Western parents.

Another important belief commonly found among Asian parents is the relation between academic success/learning and children’s ability/effort. Asian and Asian American parents consider hard work and effort as the key for academic success [39]. In contrast, Caucasian parents consider ability as a very important determinant of academic success, which implies a more deterministic view of academic success [40]. These beliefs have important consequences for Asian parents’ socialization practices. This study compares and contrasts Chinese and Caucasian parents’ socialization beliefs and practices.

In short, the present study investigates relations between parents’ beliefs about how to facilitate their children’s math development and the frequency of children’s math activities at home. We considered four sets of parental beliefs: the importance of children doing math activities at home, how children learn math, parents’ role in their children’s math learning, and parents’ own math skills. How children learn math included three possible orientations: engaging child’s interest, inculcating skills, and using daily living activities. Note that we assess parents’ beliefs in a more comprehensive manner than has been done in the limited prior research and with a more ethnically/ racially diverse sample. We also assess relations among parents’ beliefs and children’s involvement in math activities.

2. Method

2.1. Participants. Families were recruited from local schools and preschools, Chinese schools and churches, and community centers in a large city in the Middle Atlantic section of the United States. Data were collected in two waves, during the spring and summer of 2010 (Wave 1) and during the fall of 2011 and spring of 2012 (Wave 2). Data from the first wave included families from four ethnic/racial groups, data from Wave 2 were collected to allow comparisons between Chinese and Caucasian families. On average, participants in both waves of data were comparable in their demographic characteristics except for their average levels of education. As expected, Wave 2 participants were significantly more educated (Mean = 5.50, SD = 0.71; college completion/graduate school) than Wave 1 participants (Mean = 4.30, SD = 1.89; associate degree), F(1, 52) = 11.68, p = .001, partial eta squared = .183. Accordingly, data from both waves of participants were combined in all analyses.

Table 1 shows demographic characteristics of the 73 participants in this study. All participants were mothers of children in prekindergarten through fourth grade; the majority of the children were in prekindergarten through second grade. About 68% of the children lived in dual parent households. Participants came from four racial/ethnic groups: African American, Chinese, Latino, and Caucasian. The mean age of the participants was 36.58 years (SD = 8.06).

All of the Chinese parents and 80% of the Latinos in our sample were first generation (foreign-born) immigrants. Chinese and Latino immigrants had lived in the United States for an average of 9.01 years (SD = 5.39). Consistent with U.S. population statistics, Chinese parents were the most educated; about 93% completed at least college in contrast to 44% of African American, 62% of Caucasian, and 20% of Latino parents.

2.2. Task and Procedure. The Parents’ Conceptions of Math Development (PCMD) questionnaire was used to assess parents’ beliefs and reported frequency of children’s engagement in math activities. It included open-ended questions and rating scales about parents’ metacognitions about math, the importance of home-based math engagement for children, parents’ views of how children learn math, parents’ roles in such learning, parents as role models of math engagement, and the frequency of children’s math engagement across a broad base of theoretically-relevant math activities. Versions of the questionnaire were available in English, Mandarin, and Spanish. Mandarin and Spanish questionnaires were first prepared in English, and then translated and back-translated to ensure linguistic validity.

A trained graduate or advanced undergraduate research assistant administered the questionnaire in the parent’s preferred language. Completion of the questionnaire took about 30–40 minutes. The research assistant took notes and audio-taped the interviews.

Tapes were transcribed and then reviewed by the interviewer and another member of the research team. Questionnaires conducted in Mandarin or Spanish were transcribed in that language, translated into English, and then back-translated by a third assistant. The two versions were compared and any inconsistencies were discussed among the transcriber and translators.

Coding was based on the written transcript with the oral tapes and field notes consulted as needed.

2.3. Key Variables. The key variables of interest in this study were parents’ beliefs and frequency of children’s math activities.
Table 1: Demographic characteristics of sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Overall (N = 73)</th>
<th>Chinese (N = 28)</th>
<th>Caucasian (N = 26)</th>
<th>African American (N = 9)</th>
<th>Latinos (N = 10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boys (%)</td>
<td>56.2</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>65.4</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>6.12 (1.60)</td>
<td>6.26 (1.84)</td>
<td>5.83 (1.83)</td>
<td>6.10 (1.28)</td>
<td>6.24 (1.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children’s grade (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prekindergarten</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindergarten</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First grade</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second grade</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third grade</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth grade</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-parent homes (%) *</td>
<td>68.1</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of other children in home</td>
<td>1.07 (0.93)</td>
<td>0.75 (0.65)</td>
<td>1.27 (0.96)</td>
<td>1.44 (1.51)</td>
<td>1.10 (0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13.7%</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child fluent in English (%)</td>
<td>56.5</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent education</td>
<td>4.55 (1.72)</td>
<td>5.54 (0.74)</td>
<td>4.54 (1.68)</td>
<td>3.78 (1.92)</td>
<td>2.50 (1.58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean level (SD)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than HS (%)</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postgraduate</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent U.S. born (%)</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. *One Hispanic parent did not provide data for this question.

2.3.1. Parents’ Beliefs. Four types of beliefs were assessed: importance of children doing math activities at home, beliefs about how children learn, parents’ role in their children’s math learning, and parents’ own math skills.

Importance of Children Doing Math Activities at Home. Parents were asked, “How important is it that your child does math activities at home?” Responses could range from 1 (not very) to 5 (very important).

Beliefs about How Children Learn Math. Parents were asked, “What is the best way to help your child learn math?” Responses to the open-ended question were reliably coded as focusing on entertaining or engaging the child (“to play math games with him” or “do an activity that will hold her attention...”), developing skills (“count numbers with him” or “sitting down and showing her the numbers on paper”), or using daily living activities (“taking advantage of everyday activities that incorporate math in them” or “counting cars on the road when we drive to the store”). Each of these beliefs are binary variables (1 = it was mentioned during the conversation, 0 = was not mentioned). These beliefs were not mutually exclusive; parents’ responses could include more than one focus. Reliability of coding was based on two raters independently coding 16 of the interviews. Kappas ranged from .875 to 1.00.

In reviewing the transcripts of the interviews, it became apparent that parents’ discussion of their beliefs about how children learn extended beyond their response to a specific question. Therefore, we complemented response to the previous question with information from the entire transcript. We call responses that emerged from review of the entire transcript “themes” to contrast them with responses to specific questions. We coded a theme of how children learn math with the same coding scheme (described in prior paragraph) as for the specific question. All responses were reviewed by two coders. These coders had established adequate reliability for the themes using a subset of 20 interviews. Kappas for each of the orientation themes were 1.00. Because the themed responses were based on more
information than the response to a specific question, and because themed responses were correlated with responses to a specific question (.33 skills, .53 daily living, .58 engagement), the analyses presented in the paper include only the themed responses.

Parents’ Role in Their Children’s Math Learning. There were three separate roles parents could take: they serve as role models of math engagement, they provide children with artifacts, and they provide instructions. These roles were not mutually exclusive; parents could play more than one role.

1. Serve as role model of math engagement. Parents were asked to rate on a 4-point scale (1 = never/almost never through 4 = everyday/almost every day) “How often does your child see you engage in math activities?”

2. Provide artifacts. Responses from the entire transcript were reviewed to identify whether parents discussed providing artifacts for their children as a part of the way they socialized their children’s math skills. Two examples of remarks consistent with this role include, “We got him a math book,” and “She has a counting book.” A parent received a code of 1 if she mentioned the theme and 0 if she did not. The kappa for this theme was 1.00.

3. Active involvement in children’s math learning. Parents were asked to rate, “How important is it that you help your child with math?” Responses could range from 1 (not very) to 5 (very).

Parents’ Own Math Skills. Parents were asked, “How good at math are you?” and “How much do you enjoy math?”. Ratings on each question ranged from 1 (not good at all) to 5 (very good).

2.3.2. Frequency of Children’s Math Activities. The frequency of children’s math activities was assessed using two indicators, overall math activity and a composite indicator based on specific math activities.

Overall Math Activity. Parents were asked about their children’s overall math activity, “How often does your child engage in math activities?” Ratings were 0 (never, almost never), 1 (less than once a week), 2 (once a week to several times a week), and 3 (everyday/almost every day).

Specific Math Activities. Parents were asked to rate the frequency of children’s participation in a broad-range of 27 specific math-relevant activities (e.g., counting, playing board games, and playing jigsaw puzzles). We used the same rating scale (from 0 to 3) as with the previous indicator of overall math activity. Scores on these specific math items were averaged. The items comprising the specific math activities composite showed good internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha of .80).

2.3.3. Covariates. Mothers’ educational level, a proxy for socioeconomic status, was included as a control variable in the statistical analyses. Educational levels were coded into six categories: 1 = less than high school degree, 2 = high school graduate, 3 = some college, vocational or technical school, 4 = associate degree, 5 = bachelor degree, and 6 = postgraduate degree. Although income and maternal education are separate factors, they are highly related [41]. In fact, several researchers note that much of the differences in children’s educational outcomes or factors related to their education are predicted by maternal education rather than income. For example, Suizzo and Stapleton [42], using a large U.S. nationally representative sample, found that maternal education was the strongest predictor of parental involvement. Income was not a significant predictor when maternal education was included as a predictor (see also [43]), for further analysis of the role of income and education.

The data in this study revealed systematic group-based differences in parents’ education. Chinese parents had significantly higher educational levels than Caucasians who, in turn, had significantly higher educational levels than Latinos, F(1, 71) = 12.48, p = .001. African Americans’ educational levels fell between Caucasians and Latinos but did not differ significantly from either group (p > .10). There were no significant differences in the educational levels between parents of younger and older children, F(1, 71) = 1.56, p = .216. Preliminary correlational analyses found that parents’ educational level was significantly related to several parental beliefs: importance of assisting child with math activities, r(72) = .29, p = .013, and children learn math through a skills-based focus at home, r(72) = −.24, p = .04. There also was a borderline effect for parents’ self-reported math skills, r(72) = .21, p = .069.

3. Results

This section begins with unadjusted descriptive information (no controls for mother’s educational level) of parents’ beliefs about their children’s math development for the entire sample. We then compare beliefs of parents of younger (prekindergarten, kindergarten) and older children (first grade and older). We also present ethnic/racial comparisons between the Chinese and Caucasian parents; comparisons for African Americans and Latinos are not included because of their relatively small sample size. We next present information about children’s reported math activities not included because of their relatively small sample size. We control for mother’s educational level in all analyses (correlations, analysis of covariance, and regressions) except for descriptive information.

3.1. Parents’ Beliefs about Children’s Math Development

3.1.1. Importance of Children Doing Math Activities at Home. On average, parents highly endorsed the importance of children doing math at home (Mean = 4.51, SD = .77). Eighty-six percent gave scores of 4 or 5 suggesting that
parents considered doing math at home important (or very important); only 14% considered doing math at home as somewhat or not important (scores of 2 or 3).

3.1.2. Beliefs about How Children Learn Math. We asked parents about the best ways to help their children learn math. Most parents reported engaging children's interest (73%) and skills inculcation (77%) as the best way to help their children learn math. About half (56%) mentioned involvement in daily living activities.

3.1.3. Parents’ Role in Their Children's Math Learning. As noted below, parents generally reported being actively involved in their children’s math learning.

Role Models. There was variability in how often children reportedly observed their parents do math activities. Although about half the parents (48%) reported that their children observed them engage in math activities every day or almost every day, 29% of the children observed their parents do math activities less than once a week (Mean = 3.10, SD = 1.03). Of those children who did observe their parents engage in math activities, they typically observed them participate in daily living activities, such as cooking, paying bills and bank-related matters, and going food shopping.

Provide Artifacts. Seventy-four percent of the parents said they provided their children with math artifacts. Artifacts included various math books or workbooks, games, and calendars.

Active Involvement. Almost all parents reported that it was important to assist their children with math. Eighty-six percent gave ratings of 4 or 5 to this question; 12% gave ratings of 3 (Mean = 4.53, SD = .77).

3.1.4. Parents’ Own Math Skills. Almost half of the parents (46.5%) considered themselves good or very good at math (scores of 4 or 5); a similar percentage rated themselves as “ok” (score of 3), (Mean = 3.55, SD = 0.99). Similarly, almost half the parents rated themselves as enjoying math (scores of 4 or 5) and about a third (38.4%) reported only enjoying math somewhat (score of 3) (Mean = 3.41, SD = 1.15). Parents’ rating of their enjoyment of math was strongly correlated with their ratings of how good at math they were, \( r(70) = .63, p = .001. \)

3.1.5. Confluence of Parents’ Beliefs. Parents have a set of beliefs about how children develop and their role in such development. Therefore it is important to consider interrelations among the different types of beliefs. All of the correlational analyses controlled for maternal level of education.

Parents who emphasized the importance of children doing math at home endorsed the importance of helping their children with math, \( r(70) = .54, p = .001. \) Parents who emphasized children’s engagement in learning reported providing artifacts, \( r(67) = .44, p = .001. \)

Parents who emphasized the importance of daily living activities for their children’s learning also reported that their children more frequently saw them do math, \( r(70) = .34, p = .003. \) Parents who emphasized helping their children with math, \( r(70) = .24, p = .045. \) Parents who gave higher ratings to engaging in math (borderline significance), \( r(70) = .23, p = .056. \)

Parents who endorsed a skill's orientation also endorsed the importance of their children doing math at home, \( r(67) = .29, p = .017 \) and helping their children with math, \( r(67) = .33, p = .006. \) There was a negative relation between endorsing a skill's orientation and how good at math parents reported they were, \( r(67) = -.26, p = .033. \) and a borderline negative relation between a skill's orientation and how much parents enjoyed math, \( r(67) = -.22, p = .073. \)

3.2. Parents' Beliefs about Math Development, by Children's Age Group. A series of analyses of covariance (ANCOVAs) and logistic regressions were conducted to compare the four categories of parents' beliefs (the importance of children doing math activities at home, how children learn, parents’ role in their children's math learning, and parents’ own math skills) between parents of younger and older children. ANCOVAs were conducted when the dependent measures were rating scales and logistic regressions were used with binary outcomes. All analyses included mothers’ educational level as a control variable.

As Table 2 indicates none of the analyses were statistically significant suggesting that parents of children in prekindergarten through early elementary school have similar beliefs about how children learn math and their role in such learning.

3.3. Chinese and Caucasian Parents' Beliefs about Children's Math Development. Percentages included in this section are unadjusted; ANCOVAs and logistic regression analyses include mothers’ educational level as a control variable. Therefore, all reported means are adjusted for mothers’ educational level. Table 3 reports coefficients and analyses for comparisons between Chinese and Caucasian parents’ beliefs.

3.3.1. Importance of Children Doing Math Activities at Home. Approximately 79% of Chinese parents and 88% of Caucasian parents reported that doing math activities at home was important or very important. Although both Chinese and Caucasian parents emphasized the importance of children doing math activities, Chinese parents (Mean = 4.19, SE = .16) gave significantly lower ratings than Caucasian parents, (Mean = 4.72, SE = .16).

3.3.2. Beliefs about How Children Learn Math. There were no statistically significant differences between Chinese and Caucasian parents in the endorsement of engaging their children's interest, Wald \( \chi^2(N = 73, 1) = 0.47, p = .495. \) involvement in daily living activities Wald \( \chi^2(N = 73, 1) = 0.21, p = .648 \) and skills inculcation as the best way to
help their children learn math \( \chi^2(N = 73, 1) = 2.12, \ p = .146 \). On average, parents regardless of ethnicity/race endorsed these orientations.

3.3.3. Parents’ Role in Their Children’s Math Learning

Role Models. Caucasian parents (Mean = 3.46, SE = .19) were significantly more likely than Chinese parents (Mean = 2.82, SE = .18) to report that their children saw them engage in math activities, \( F(1, 51) = 5.77, \ p = .02 \), partial eta squared = .10.

Provide Artifacts. Differences between Chinese and Caucasian parents in providing artifacts to their children were not statistically significant, \( \chi^2(N = 73, 1) = 2.16, \ p = .142 \).

Active Involvement. There were no statistically significant differences in how strongly Chinese (Mean = 4.47, SE = .17) and Caucasian parents (Mean = 4.35, SE = .17) rated the importance of assisting their children with math at home, \( F(1, 51) = .24, \ p = .625 \), partial eta squared = .005.

3.3.4. Parents’ Own Math Skills. There were no significant differences in how good Chinese (Mean = 3.43, SE = .17) and Caucasian (Mean = 3.61, SE = .16) parents believed they were in math, \( F(1, 51) = 0.49, \ p = .487 \), partial eta squared = .01. There also were no significant differences in how much Chinese (Mean = 3.25, SE = .22) and Caucasian parents (Mean = 3.49, SE = .22) reported enjoying math, \( F(1, 51) = 0.39, \ p = .533 \), partial eta squared = .008.

3.4. Frequency of Children’s Engagement in Math Activities. As Table 4 indicates, on average, parents reported that their children engaged in math activities between several times a week and almost every day (Mean = 2.49; SD = .60) based on an overall measure of math activities. About half
of parents reported that their children participated in math activities every day or almost every day.

In contrast to parents’ reports of the frequency of overall math activity, when we averaged the frequency of involvement across specific math activities, parents reported lower levels of children engagement (between less than once a week and one to several times a week; Mean = 1.48; SD = .39). Very few (about 1.5%) of the children reportedly engaged in math activities every day. As is apparent from the two means, parents’ estimates of the frequency of their children’s overall engagement in math activities was significantly higher than the average of reported engagement in specific activities, $t(72) = 15.46, p = .001$. Results presented in the following sections are based on the average of the specific math activities composite rather than the overall composite question because we think it is a more accurate reflection of children’s frequency of engagement.

Table 4 shows important variability in the level of children’s reported engagement across math activities. The most commonly occurring activities (mean of 2.00 or higher, once to several times a week) are counting objects, asking/answering questions about quantity, using the television remote, and writing numbers. Lowest levels of reported engagement are found for playing board or card games or watching math video games.

### Table 4: Mean frequency of children’s math activities by age group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Math Activity</th>
<th>Overall Indicator</th>
<th>Younger Group ($N = 40$)</th>
<th>Older Group ($N = 33$)</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count objects</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>.222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer/ask questions</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write numbers</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use TV remote</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add/subtract objects</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match/identify shapes</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tell time on a clock</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play with blocks/construction toys</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>.210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use a computer</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make patterns with beads/blocks</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play with money</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>.924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play with puzzles</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do math homework</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Put objects in order</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>.260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use math workbooks</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dial telephone</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play math games/board games</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play video games</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use calendars</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play card games</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure things</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>.344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watch math TV programs</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep score in games</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look at math books</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jump rope/play hop scotch games</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>.762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use math flashcards</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>.632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use maps</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>.524</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Younger group includes children going into prekindergarten and kindergarten; the older group includes children going into first grade and above. Means for younger and older age group are adjusted for mothers’ educational level. Overall means are not adjusted. Significance is based on results of an ANCOVA, controlling for mothers’ education level.

3.5. Younger and Older Children’s Reported Engagement in Math Activities. There were some significant differences between children in the two age groups in the frequency of engagement in specific activities (see Table 4). As expected, younger children were more likely to be involved than older children in basic math activities. The specific activities that younger children more frequently reported did were count objects, $F(1,70) = 13.34, p = .001$, partial eta squared = .168; match or identify shapes, $F(1,70) = 14.13, p = .001$, partial eta squared = .168; play with puzzles, $F(1,70) = 12.01, p = .001$, partial eta squared = .147; and watch math television programs, $F(1,70) = 7.43, p = .008$, partial eta squared = .096. In contrast, older children significantly more frequently engaged in activities such as add/subtract things, $F(1,70) = 14.64, p = .001$, partial eta squared = .173; write numbers, $F(1,70) = 4.09, p = .047$, partial eta squared = .058; do homework (assigned by teachers), $F(1,70) = 24.33, p = .001$, partial eta squared = .266; use math workbooks, $F(1,70) = 12.03, p = .001$, partial eta squared = .149 keep score in games, $F(1,70) = 4.27, p = .042$, partial eta squared = .057; use calendars, $F(1,70) = 13.52, p = .001$, partial eta squared = .162; tell time, $F(1,70) = 5.61, p = .021$, partial eta squared = .074; play video games, $F(1,70) = 19.22, p = .001$, partial eta squared = .215; use a computer, $F(1,670) = 9.72, p = .003$, partial eta squared = .122.

3.6. Chinese and Caucasian Children’s Reported Frequency of Engagement in Math Activities. There were significant differences between Chinese and Caucasian children’s reported frequency of engagement for some math activities (see Table 5). Caucasian children reportedly engaged more frequently in the following math-related activities: counting objects, $F(1,51) = 4.43, p = .040$, partial eta squared = .080; playing with or using money, $F(1,51) = 10.27, p = .002$, partial eta squared = .168; matching or identifying shapes, $F(1,51) = 4.27, p = .044$, partial eta squared = .077; ordering objects, $F(1,51) = 6.01, p = .018$, partial eta squared = .105; measuring things, $F(1,51) = 7.81, p = .007$, partial eta squared = .133; playing math games/board games, $F(1,51) = 4.76, p = .034$, partial eta squared = .085; keeping score in games, $F(1,51) = 9.59, p = .003$, partial eta squared = .158.
Table 5: Mean frequency of children’s math activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composite math activities</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N = 73</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 28</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>.091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific math activities</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Chinese</th>
<th>Caucasian</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count objects</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Answer/ask questions</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>.628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“How many are things there?”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write numbers</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use TV remote</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>.551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add/subtract objects</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Match/identify shapes</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>.044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tell time on a clock</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>.655</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play with blocks/construction toys</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use a computer</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Make patterns with beads/blocks</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>.634</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play with money</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play with puzzles</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do math homework</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Put objects in order</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use math workbooks</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dial telephone</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>.507</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play math games/board games</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play video games</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use calendars</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Play card games</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measure things</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watch math TV programs</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>.937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep score in games</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Look at math books</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jump rope/play hop scotch games</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use math flashcards</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>.373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use maps</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>.481</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Means for Chinese and Caucasian parents are adjusted for mothers’ educational level. Overall means are not adjusted. Overall means represent means for all ethnic/racial groups.

Chinese children reportedly engaged more frequently (borderline effects) in writing numbers, $F(1, 51) = 3.80$, $p = .057$, partial eta squared = .071, and using workbooks, $F(1, 51) = 3.15$, $p = .082$, partial eta squared = .058.

3.7. Associations between Parents’ Beliefs and Frequency of Children’s Math Activities. We first calculated partial correlations between parents’ beliefs and children’s math activities to determine which beliefs to include in a regression model. Given the small sample size, it was important to limit the number of predictors in the regression models. Accordingly, we included only those predictors that were significantly correlated with frequency of children’s math activities. Correlation and regression analyses controlled for mothers’ educational level.

The frequency of children’s math activities (composite measure based on average of specific math activities) was significantly related to three parent beliefs: the importance of children doing math activities at home, $r(70) = .35$, $p = .003$; the importance of involving children in daily living activities, $r(70) = .34$, $p = .003$; the frequency with which they saw their parents do math activities (parents as role models), $r(70) = .38$, $p = .001$. On average, children of parents who consider it important to have their children do math activities at home, see themselves as role models, and involve children in daily living math activities are more frequently involved in math activities at home.

It is noteworthy that neither parents’ enjoyment of math nor how good they are at math was significantly related to the frequency with which children did math at home, $r(70) = .15$, $p = .18$, $r(70) = .21$, $p = .14$, respectively. Skills inculcation for helping their children learn math was not significantly related to the frequency with which children participated in math activities at home, $r(70) = .001$, $p = .993$.

Table 6 shows the regression analysis with parents’ beliefs as predictors and the composite measure of engagement in math activities as the dependent variable. Parents’ ratings of the importance of children doing math at home, and parents’ ratings of the frequency with which their child sees them do math activities were both significantly related to the frequency with which children reportedly did math at home. Parents’ endorsement of involving their children in daily living activities as a means of learning math showed a borderline relation with children’s engagement in math activities. These results show the importance of parents’ beliefs for increasing the frequency of children’s engagement in math activities.

4. Discussion

Far too many children in the United States do not become competent in math [1]. This exploratory study examined the math home-environment of children by analyzing parents’ beliefs and children’s involvement in math activities. Given the strong connection between children’s involvement in
math activities at home and their math learning [8, 10, 11], we wanted to provide some insights into the basis of the variability in children's home-based involvement in math activities. Understanding the reason for the variability in the frequency with which children participate in math activities at home is an important first step towards neutralizing the math disadvantages experienced by a significant number of children.

Five important findings emerged from this study: the association between parents’ beliefs about children's math development and the frequency of children's engagement in math activities at home, the relation among parents’ beliefs about children's math development, the frequency and nature of children's math activities, age-related patterns in children's engagement in math activities, and comparisons between Chinese and Caucasian parents’ beliefs and children's math activities.

One, these results highlight the importance of considering parents’ beliefs in any model of children's math development [19]. The frequency with which children reportedly participated in math activities was related to the frequency with which children observed their parents do math activities [29]. It also was related to parents’ beliefs about using daily living activities to foster math learning, and to parents’ beliefs about the importance of children doing math at home.

There is an interesting difference between parents’ beliefs about math, as documented in this study, and reading development, as documented in Serpell et al. [23]. In contrast to Serpell et al.’s [23] findings, where the importance of using daily living activities to help their children learn to read was not mentioned, more than half of the parents in this study mentioned that involvement in daily living activities is an important means of fostering children's math development. The difference in patterns between reading and math underscores the need to more fully investigate children's home-math environments. We cannot just assume that how parents foster their children's reading competencies will apply to how they foster math competencies.

Two, this study demonstrates the importance of considering the relations among different dimensions of parents’ beliefs. We considered four sets of parental beliefs: the importance of children doing math activities at home, how children learn math (through engaging child's interest, inculcating skills, and using daily living activities), parents’ role in their children’s math learning (serve as role models of engagement, provide artifacts, and active involvement in children's math learning), and parents’ own math skills (self-rated skills and enjoyment). By considering different aspects of parents’ beliefs, we were able to document relations among the beliefs. Such documentation is necessary to obtain a more valid understanding of parents’ beliefs about children's math development. Only with a valid understanding will we be able to design interventions that are effective for a diverse population of children.

Note that parents’ enjoyment of math and their self-rated ability in math were not significant predictors of the frequency with which children engaged in math activities. The lack of association between mothers’ perceptions of their own ability and enjoyment and children's math engagement may reflect the simplicity of math activities that children, on average, are involved in at this age. As children become involved with more complicated math activities, these particular beliefs may become relevant.

Consider the associations among the beliefs held by parents. Parents who emphasized the importance of children doing math at home also emphasized the importance of helping their child with math, and, in particular, that parents teach their children math. Parents who emphasized the importance of daily living activities for their child’s learning also reported that their child more frequently saw them do math. Parents who emphasized the importance of helping their children with math tended to report that they enjoyed math.

Three, this study confirmed the variability and relatively limited participation of children in math activities at home [15]. About 44% reported mean engagement in math activities (based on the average of activities) between once and several times a week. About 53% reported less frequent engagement in math activities. Although we do not know what is the minimum involvement needed to acquire or improve math skills, we suspect that the limited participation reported by the children in this study is not optimal.

Four, these results also add to the literature by showing age-related differences in children’s reported engagement in math activities. Differences between younger and older children in the frequency of reported engagement were found in specific activities. Not surprisingly, younger children were more likely to reportedly engage in activities likely to foster counting and basic math skills; older children reportedly engaged in activities that fostered more advanced skills (addition and subtraction) and/or required more advanced competencies (using calendars and playing video games). However, parents’ beliefs about how to foster math were not sensitive to the differences in the two age groups of children. It is possible that differences would have emerged if we had used a wider age span. Alternatively, the beliefs tapped in this study may be stable and not subject to change as children go from prekindergarten to elementary school.

Five, these findings also add to the body of research on Chinese and Caucasian children's math development. In contrast to previous findings [44]; (Li [45]), Chinese and Caucasian parents in this study showed somewhat similar beliefs about how children's developed math. When there were differences between groups, Caucasian parents reported higher frequency of being role models for their children and placed more emphasis on the importance of their children doing math at home.

We propose five possible explanations for these findings with Chinese and Caucasian parents in this study. The first three explanations focus on changing acculturation patterns. The next two focus on potential limitations of what was explored in this study. First, Chinese immigrants in this study mainly lived in the suburbs and did not benefit from living in strong ethnic enclaves (and sources of educational support) unlike other Chinese immigrants in the United States who are more likely to live in cities [46]. Consistent with the first option, the Chinese parents included in this study may be more culturally assimilated
to the Caucasian mainstream culture than were previous
generations of Chinese immigrants or those who participated
in other studies. Third, given globalization trends, it may
be possible that the beliefs and practices of parents from
different countries are becoming more homogenous over
time. Fourth, Chinese and Caucasian parents may differ
on other pertinent beliefs not explored in this study. For
example, we did not explore parents’ expectations for
children’s academic accomplishments and progress, areas
of documented differences across ethnic/racial groups [39].
Fifth, differences in Chinese and Caucasian children’s math
skills may be due to factors other than parents’ beliefs.
Further empirical analyses should be conducted to study the
relative importance of these explanations.

4.1. Limitations and Future Directions. Because the sample
size in this study, particularly for African Americans and
Latinos, was fairly small, the findings are exploratory
in nature. Future studies should use a larger sample to
capture a more representative portrait of the math home-
environments of children from diverse ethnic/racial back-
gounds. The important variability in ethnic/racial/income
patterns of math development [7, 47, 48] highlights the need
to examine group-specific family environments. The nature
of this study limited any such exploration to only Chinese
and Caucasian families residing in the mid-Atlantic region
of the United States.

The theoretical model guiding this research is that
parents’ beliefs are related to children’s activities which, in
turn, are related to children’s math skills. However, we were
unable to include a measure of children’s math skills in this
study. We expect that children’s math activities are related
to children’s math skills (e.g., [8, 10, 11]). Nevertheless, future
research should investigate the full model. It is also important
to note that our measures of children’s math activities are
based on parents’ reports. Future research should attempt to
confirm these findings with some form of direct measure or
with corroboration, if possible, with reports by children.

This study investigated a small portion of children’s
home environments and parents’ math-related beliefs and
practices. Although such a directed focus appears to us to be
valid, it is important for future research to compare parents’
beliefs and practices across different academic and nonaca-
demic domains to get a fuller picture of home learning
opportunities available to different groups of children.

Regardless of the limitations of this study, our analysis
provides new exploratory evidence of the importance of
understanding children’s math home-environments. These
exploratory findings can inform the development of home-
based interventions to improve math outcomes of chil-
dren from different ethnic/racial groups. For example,
these results show that certain beliefs of parents, but not
others, predicted children’s engagement in math activities.
Educating parents about the importance of having their
children engage in math activities at home, serving as
role models of such engagement, and using appropriate
daily living activities involving math are important avenues
for facilitating children’s increased engagement with math
at home. Recall that only about half the parents (56%)
mentioned involvement in daily living activities as a way to
facilitate children’s math development. Clearly, some parents
are not aware of the positive implications of involving their
children in frequent math-related activities. Parents can also
be encouraged to give increased attention to being role
models of engagement for their children. Only about half
(49%) the parents reported that their children saw them
do math activities every day and 10% noted their children
never saw them engaged in math activities. In fact, taking
advantage of the many daily living activities that involve
math (e.g., cooking and paying bills) may be an excellent way
for parents to serve as role models of math engagement for
their children. In contrast, neither parents’ own enjoyment
of math nor their self-rated skills predicted whether this age
group of children engaged in math at home.

5. Conclusion

This study documented aspects of children’s home math
environments to explore the relation between parents’ beliefs
and children’s participation in math activities. Although
research shows that children’s math activities at home are
related to their math skills, a significant portion of children
have limited math involvement at home [8, 10, 11, 15].
Parents’ beliefs about children’s math development and their
role in fostering such development was significantly related
to children’s math activities. Although further research is
needed to confirm the findings with a larger sample, par-
ticularly for analyses focusing on ethnic/racial comparisons,
and to include measures of children’s math competencies,
these findings are an important first step that will aid in
the development of home-based interventions to facilitate
children’s math skills.
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