

Research Article

Effect of Statins on Major Adverse Cardiovascular Events in Patients with Coronary Artery Spasm: A Meta-Analysis of the Asia Region

Tian-Jun Zhao^[],¹ Duan Luo¹, Xi Jiang¹, Feng Tang^[],² and Hui Jiang^[]

¹Department of Cardiology, The Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Jiaotong University, The Third People's Hospital of Chengdu, Cardiovascular Disease Research Institute of Chengdu, Chengdu 610031, China ²Department of Gastroenterology, The Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Jiaotong University, The Third People's Hospital of Chengdu, Chengdu 610031, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Hui Jiang; jianghuidr@126.com

Received 28 December 2022; Revised 30 March 2023; Accepted 3 April 2023; Published 27 April 2023

Academic Editor: Kenji Sadamatsu

Copyright © 2023 Tian-Jun Zhao et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Whether statins can reduce major cardiovascular adverse events (MACE) in patients with coronary artery spasm (CAS) is controversial. And most of the relevant research to date has been conducted in Asia. *Methods.* We systematically searched electronic databases for studies on the effect of statins on MACE in patients with CAS in Asia and published up to September 2022. We included data on MACE in a statin therapy patient group and a no-statin therapy control group. We then evaluated the effect of statin therapy on MACE in patients with CAS in Asia by meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis (TSA). All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 16.0 software and TSA software. *Results.* A total of 10 studies (n = 9333 patients) were included in the final analysis. Meta-analysis showed that the use of statins had a significant effect on MACE in CAS patients (with RR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.49-0.99), and the sensitivity analysis further confirmed this finding. Subgroup analysis suggested that the correlation between statin therapy and reduced MACE endpoint was stronger in Japanese patients and patients followed up for more than 4 years. But our TSA results indicated that the available samples were insufficient and further research is needed. *Conclusions.* Our meta-analysis suggests that statin therapy can reduce MACE in patients followed up for more than 4 years.

1. Introduction

Coronary artery spasm (CAS) is known as focal or generalized vasospasm of coronary arteries and has a higher prevalence in Asian patients [1]. Although the exact mechanism of CAS has not been fully determined, some factors such as vascular inflammation, autonomic nerve dysfunction, vascular smooth muscle hyperresponsiveness, coronary artery microvascular dysfunction, and endothelial dysfunction can affect vasospasm [1]. It can occur in patients with or without atherosclerosis and influence epicardial coronary arteries or microvascular systems. CAS is an under-understood factor of acute coronary syndrome, stable angina pectoris, malignant arrhythmias, and sudden cardiac death [2].

Although drugs of CAS, including calcium channel blockers (CCBs) and nitrates, have been shown to be highly effective in suppressing coronary spasm, some patients are still at risk of major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) [3]. Statins (3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors) can reduce atherosclerotic vascular events and are widely used in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). There is increasing evidence that statins are related not only to lipid-lowering effects and plaque regression but also to other abilities such as improving endothelial dysfunction and reducing vascular inflammation [4, 5].

Due to the multiple effects of statins, it is possible that statins may suppress coronary spasm and reduce adverse cardiovascular events. However, the effects of statin therapy on reducing adverse cardiovascular events in CAS have been inconsistently demonstrated in previous studies [6–11]. Additionally, most of the research to date has been conducted in Asia. Therefore, in this study, we conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate the effect of statins on MACE in patients with CAS in Asia.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Search Strategy. The meta-analysis was performed in strict accordance with the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines [12]. The study protocol was registered in the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO—registration number: CRD42022355491). According to the PRISMA guide-lines, we systematically searched online databases (PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Library) before September 2022. The search terms were (atorvastatin OR fluvastatin OR lovastatin OR pitavastatin OR pravastatin OR rosuvastatin OR simvastatin OR statins OR "Hydroxymethylglutaryl-CoA Reductase Inhibitors") AND (vasospastic angina OR variant angina OR spastic angina OR prinzmetal OR coronary spasm OR coronary vasospasm OR Coronary artery spasm OR Vasospasm OR "Angina Pectoris, Variant").

2.2. Inclusion Criteria. Identified studies were enrolled based on the following inclusion criteria: (1) the study reported VSA patients in both the statin group and the no-statin group. (2) The clinical outcomes included major adverse cardiovascular events (e.g., cardiac death, acute myocardial infarction, and unstable angina). (3) The study reported a sample size and the number of endpoint events for a statin group and a no-statin group. (4) Both cohort studies and randomized controlled trials (RCTs) were eligible.

2.3. Exclusion Criteria. In the process of literature screening, studies including the following items are excluded: (1) experimental animal studies; (2) case-control studies, case reports, conference abstracts, review papers, editorials, commentaries, and small case series (n < 50); and (3) non-Asia regional studies or non-English literature.

2.4. Assessment of Study Quality. The quality of each study was evaluated by the Newcastle-Ottawa scale, which is a commonly used quality rating standard in cohort studies. The scoring system consisted of three parts (population selection, intergroup comparability, and exposure factors). The results ranged from 0 to 9, and the higher the score, the better the quality of the methodology.

2.5. Data Extraction. Each of the eligible articles was extracted by two researchers solely for the following characteristic: first author's name, year of publication, country, study population size, age, sex, hypertension, diabetes melli-

tus, dyslipidemia, smoking, and medications used for treatment (aspirin, calcium-channel blocker, nitrate, nicorandil, β -blockers, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, and angiotensin receptor blockers). We also extracted data regarding follow-up time of patients and MACE (including cardiac death, myocardial infarction, and unstable angina pectoris).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. For each study, we pooled the relative risk (RR) and their 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The I^2 test and Cochran Q statistics (chi-square test, χ^2) were calculated to assess the heterogeneity. When P < 0.05or $I^2 > 50\%$, it indicated that statistical heterogeneity was significant in the study. If there was a significant difference in heterogeneity, the random-effect model would be adopted to pool the RR value; or else, a fixed-effect model would be applied. We used the symmetry of a funnel plot to evaluate possible small sample effects, and Begg's test, as well as Egger's test, was used to evaluate publication bias in the included studies. Subgroup analysis was also performed based on country, study population size, and follow-up time. At the same time, sensitivity analysis was executed to examine the impact of individual studies on the total merged effects to assess the reliability of the conclusions. To reduce the risk of type I error and estimate the required information size (RIS) needed to achieve a preset power level, we conducted the trial sequential analysis (TSA) with a 5% risk of a type I error and a power of 80%. All statistical tests were two-sided, and P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using Stata 16.0 software and TSA software.

3. Results

3.1. Description of the Studies. After screening 1653 titles and abstracts, 43 studies were reviewed for detailed evaluation in full text, of which 10 adhered to our inclusion criteria and were selected for this meta-analysis [6–11, 13–16]. The flow-chart for the inclusion and exclusion process is shown in further detail in Figure 1. The meta-analysis included 4345 patients in the statin group and 4988 controls in the no-statin group, and the number of patients in each study ranged from 231 to 4099. These 10 studies were published between 2007 and 2022. Three of the studies were examined by the method of propensity matching. One of the studies was conducted in the intensive care unit, and one study was performed in patients with coronary spasm-induced acute myocardial infarction. The main features and clinical outcomes of the studies are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

3.2. Risk of Bias Assessment. Of the 10 studies, except for 1 case-control study, 9 were cohort studies, of which 2 were prospective and 7 retrospective studies. Considering that there is only one case-control study, all studies were scored identically using the Newcastle-Ottawa tool. All studies scored greater than five and were included in the meta-analysis. A summary of the risk of bias assessments is presented in Table 3.



FIGURE 1: Flowchart of selected articles.

3.3. Outcomes Comparing Statin Therapy with No-Statin Therapy

3.3.1. Meta-Analysis. Meta-analysis showed that the use of statins had a significant effect on the incidence of cardiovascular events in CAS patients (with RR, 0.70; 95% CI, 0.49-0.99). Among the enrolled studies, significant heterogeneity was observed ($I^2 = 50.7\%$, P = 0.032). As a result, the randomeffect model was used for the analysis of results in the present study. The forest plot results are shown in Figure 2(a). The cumulative meta-analysis can show the pattern of evidence over time and can identify the point when a treatment becomes clinically significant. As can be seen from Figure 2(b), statins may have a potential benefit in patients with CAS since 2013.

3.3.2. Detection of Publication Bias and Sensitivity Analysis. The publication bias included in the included studies may have affected the results. Therefore, in the included studies, we used funnel chart, Begg's test, and Egger's test to assess potential publication bias. The funnel chart showed asymmetry, suggesting publication bias (Figure 3(a)). Egger's (P = 0.019) and Begg's (P = 0.107) tests were used to analyze the data, and the results showed that there may have obvious publication bias. We performed a sensitivity analysis by sequentially omitting individual studies and observed that our meta-analysis is statistically stable (Figure 3(b)).

3.3.3. Subgroup Analyses. To reduce the influence of confounding factors on the results of this study, we conducted three subgroup analyses. We divided the included studies into the Japan group and the South Korea group according to the difference in country, divided the included studies into a <4-year group and a >4-year group according to the differ-

ence in follow-up period, and divided the included studies into a >1000 group and a <1000 group according to the difference in sample size. The subgroup analysis indicated that there was heterogeneity in the South Korea group (n = 4) (RR: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.56-1.38) ($I^2 = 60.2\%$, P = 0.057, Figure 4(a)), but the Japan group (n = 6) (RR: 0.54; 95% CI: 0.36-0.82) $(I^2 = 0.0\%, P = 0.436, Figure 4(a))$, the <4-year group (n = 5)(RR: 0.88; 95% CI: 0.59-1.30) $(I^2 = 48.8\%, P = 0.099,$ Figure 4(b)), the >4-year group (n = 5) (RR: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.31-0.82) ($I^2 = 10.1\%$, P = 0.349, Figure 4(b)), the >1000 group (n = 3) (RR: 1.04; 95% CI: 0.80-1.34) $(I^2 = 8.7\%, P =$ 0.334, Figure 4(c)), and the <1000 group (n = 7) (RR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.35-0.76) ($I^2 = 7.6\%$, P = 0.370, Figure 4(c)) showed a significant decrease. Moreover, statins were significantly associated with a reduced risk of cardiovascular events in CAS patients in the Japanese group, the >4-year group, and the <1000 group.

3.3.4. Trial Sequential Analysis. In the TSA, the diversityadjusted information size of 13,131 patients was calculated using a two-side $\alpha = 5\%$, $\beta = 20\%$ (power 80%), an anticipated relative risk reduction of 30%, and an event proportion of 7.2% in the control arm. The result showed that the cumulative *Z*-curve crossed the conventional boundary for favoring statin therapy but did not surpass the trial sequential alpha spending monitoring boundary (Figure 4(d)).

4. Discussion

This meta-analysis included 10 studies that contained 4345 patients in the statin group and 4988 controls in the nostatin group. Our analysis showed that statin therapy can reduce adverse cardiovascular events in patients with CAS

		TABLE	1: Main charact	eristics of include	ed studies (statin §	group vs. no-stati	n group, number (9	%)).		
Study	Hypertension	Diabetes mellitus	Dyslipidemia	Smoking	Aspirin	CCB	Nitrate	Nicorandil	eta-Blockers	ACEIs/ARBs
Kensuke Io et al.					IN	č				
Sunao Kodama et al.					IN	č				
Munenori Kosugi et al.					N	č				
Akihiro Nakagomi et al.					IN	č				
Masanobu Ishii et al.	60 (46.9) vs. 53 (41.4)	26 (20.3) vs. 21 (16.7)	121 (94.5) vs. 50 (39.1)	50 (39.1) vs. 51 (39.8)	37 (28.9) vs. 35 (27.3)	122 (95.3) vs. 124 (96.9)	14 (10.9) vs. 19 (14.8)	9 (7.0) vs. 9 (7.0)	8 (6.3) vs. 6 (4.7)	34 (26.6) vs. 29 (22.7)
Min Seok Oh et al.	110 (39.1) vs. 111 (39.5)	71 (25.4) vs. 73 (26.0)	NR	89 (31.7) vs. 82 (29.2)	180 (64.1) vs. 179 (63.7)	274 (97.5) vs. 274 (97.5)	108 (38.4) vs. 105 (37.4)	102 (36.3) vs. 97 (34.5)	NR	50 (17.8) vs. 49 (17.4)
Zhe Hao Piao et al.	122 (41.9) vs. 74 (35.6)	34 (11.7) vs. 21 (10.1)	29 (10.0) vs. 11 (5.3)	156 (53.8) vs. 115 (55.3)	229 (80.4) vs. 121 (72.0)	245 (83.9) vs. 169 (80.9)	191 (65.6) vs. 116 (59.2)	99 (35.0) vs. 66 (33.7)	41 (14.0) vs. 17 (8.1)	139 (47.6) vs. 83 (39.7)
So Jin Park et al.	1265 (54.9) vs. 869 (48.4)	879 (38.2) vs. 617 (34.4)	NR	NR	2177 (94.5) vs. 1528 (85.1)	1973 (85.6) vs. 1476 (82.2)	2072 (89.9) vs. 1547 (86.2)	1108 (48.1) vs. 740 (41.2)	NR	895 (38.8) vs. 474 (26.4)
Won-Woo Seo et al.	306 (41.1) vs. 296 (32.4)	73 (9.8) vs. 70 (7.7)	276 (37.1) vs. 125 (13.7)	NR	394 (53.0) vs. 239 (26.1)	701 (94.2) vs. 791 (86.5)	614 (82.5) vs. 726 (79.4)	NR	46 (6.2) vs. 59 (6.5)	168 (22.6) vs. 98 (10.7)
Hiroyoshi Mori et al.	101 (47.9) vs. 101 (47.9)	38 (18.0) vs. 32 (15.2)	136 (64.5) vs. 137 (64.9)	134 (63.5) vs. 122 (57.8)	112 (53.1) vs. 108 (51.2)	197 (93.4) vs. 203 (96.2)	99 (46.9) vs. 92 (43.6)	NR	7 (3.3) vs. 9 (4.3)	45 (21.3) vs. 57 (27.0)
NR: not reported;	CCB: calcium-chan	nel blocker; ACEIs	s/ARBs: angiotensi	n-converting enzym	e inhibitors/angiote	nsin receptor block	ers.			

	TABLE 2	2: Clinical out	comes and	main chara	acteristics of patients with c	coronary artery spasms tree	ited with statins or without statins.		
Study	Year	Country 7	Total (n) S	statins (n)	Age (y*)	Male (n, %)*	MACE definition	MACE $(n)^*$	Follow-up
Kensuke Io et al.	2007	Japan	1047	129	64 ± 10 (all)	NR	Death from MI, cerebral infarction, or HF and nonfatal MI	3 vs. 31	3.7 y
Sunao Kodama et al.	2009	Japan	292	71	64 ± 10 (all)	NR	Fatal cardiovascular disorder, acute MI, cerebrovascular disorder, HF, aortic aneurysm, renal failure, and obstructive atherosclerosis, undergoing cardiac surgery	3 vs. 13	4.3 y
Munenori Kosugi et al.	2011	Japan	231	87	NR	NR	Sudden cardiac death and readmission for ACS	7 vs. 22	5.9 y
Akihiro Nakagomi et al.	2013	Japan	265	98	59.0±10.4 (all)	NR	Sudden cardiac death and readmission for ACS	5 vs. 26	7.4 y
Masanobu Ishii et al.	2016	Japan	256	128	64.6±9.9 vs. 64.8±9.7	55 (43.0) vs. 57 (44.5)	Cardiac death, hospitalization for acute MI, and unstable angina	0 vs. 9	4.6 y
Min Seok Oh et al.	2016 S	outh Korea	562	281	55.8±9.2 vs. 55.7±9.2	238 (84.7) vs. 241 (85.8)	Cardiac death, MI, any revascularization	9 vs. 12	4.6 y
Zhe Hao Piao et al.	2016 S	outh Korea	501	292	57.4 ± 11.8 vs. 57.9 ± 13.4	201 (69.3) vs. 145 (69.4)	All-cause death, nonfatal MI, and TVR	5 vs. 12	$1.0\mathrm{y}$
So Jin Park et al.	2019 S	outh Korea	4099	2304	54.9 ± 11.6 vs. 53.4 ± 12.5	1706 (74.1) vs. 1244 (69.3)	Cardiac arrest and acute MI after discharge	120 vs. 97	3.9 y
Won-Woo Seo et al.	2020 S	outh Korea	1658	744	55.9 ± 10.9 vs. 53.5 ± 11.5	461 (62.0) vs. 544 (59.5)	Cardiac death, ACS, and new-onset life-threatening arrhythmia	32 vs. 28	1.9 y
Hiroyoshi Mori et al.	2022	Japan	422	211	65.5 ± 9.5 vs. 64.6 ± 10.3	157 (74.4) vs. 157 (74.4)	Cardiac death, nonfatal MI, hospitalization due to unstable angina pectoris, HF, and appropriate implantable cardioverter defibrillator shock	10 vs. 13	2.6 y
* Statin aroun we no statin ar		ie avnraeed in	ulen enerene	ine (CD) MI.	myocardial infarction: HE hea	art failure: ACS: acute coronar	r enrichmen TV/D, target messel generation	rization	

revascularization. TVR: target vessei unary syndrome; g acute lallure; liear Ľ IIIIarcuou; in average values (SU). MI: myocardial statin group; age is expressed 'Statin group vs. no-

Cardiovascular Therapeutics

Study	Representativeness of the exposed cohort	Selection of nonexposed cohort	Ascertainment of exposure	Demonstration that outcome of interest was not present at the start	Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the design or analysis	Assessment of outcome	Suitable length of follow-up	Adequacy of follow-up	Score
Kensuke Io et al.	0	1	1	1	0	1		1	9
Sunao Kodama et al.	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	5
Munenori Kosugi et al.	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	9
Akihiro Nakagomi et al.	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	9
Masanobu Ishii et al.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Min Seok Oh et al.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Zhe Hao Piao et al.	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	9
So Jin Park et al.	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	7
Won-Woo Seo et al.	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	4
Hiroyoshi Mori et al.	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8
Each section can score a ma	tximum of 1 except compar	rability, which can	score a maximum of	2. Total score is out of	.6				

TABLE 3: Analysis of study methodology and bias of 10 studies included in the meta-analysis of patients with CAS with or without statins using the Newcastle-Ottawa scale.

Cardiovascular Therapeutics

	Statin	No-statin	Risk ratio	(%)
Study (year)	n/N	n/N	(95% CI)	Weight
Kensuke lo et al (2007)	3/129	31/918	0.69 (0.21, 2.22)	6.59
Sunao Kodama et al (2009)	3/71	13/221	0.72 (0.21, 2.45)	6.15
Munenori Kosugi et al (2011)	7/87	22/144		10.63
Akihiro Nakagomi et al (2013	3) 5/98	26/167	0.33 (0.13, 0.83)	9.07
Masanobu Ishii et al (2016)	0/128	9/128	0.05 (0.00, 0.89)	1.46
Min Seok Oh et al (2016)	9/281	12/281	♦ 0.75 (0.32, 1.75)	10.05
Zhe Hao Piao et al (2016)	5/292	12/209	0.30 (0.11, 0.83)	7.90
So Jin Park et al (2019)	120/2304	97/1795	• 0.96 (0.74, 1.25)	21.21
Won-Woo Seo et al (2020)	32/744	28/914	- • - 1.40 (0.85, 2.31)	16.23
Hiroyoshi Mori et al (2022)	10/211	13/211	— • — 0.77 (0.34, 1.72)	10.71
Overall, DL	194/4345	263/4988	0.70 (0.49, 0.99)	100.00
$(I^2 = 50.7\%, p = 0.032)$				
		Γ		
		0.0039062	1 256	

Note: Weights are from random-effects model; continuity correction applied to studies with zero cells

Study	Risk ratio with (95% CI) p-v	value Year
Kensuke lo et al		532 2007
Sunao Kodama et al	0.70 (0.30, 1.64) 0.4	414 2009
Munenori Kosugi et al ———————————————————————————————————	- 0.60 (0.34, 1.08) 0.4	091 2011
Akihiro Nakagomi et al ——	0.51 (0.31, 0.83) 0.4	007 2013
Masanobu Ishii et al	0.47 (0.29, 0.77) 0.4	003 2016
Min Seok Oh et al*	0.53 (0.35, 0.81) 0.4	003 2016
Zhe Hao Piao et al*	0.49 (0.33, 0.72) 0.4	000 2016
So Jin Park et al	0.58 (0.37, 0.89) 0.0	013 2019
Won-Woo Seo et al	0.67 (0.45, 1.00) 0.0	051 2020
Hiroyoshi Mori et al ——	0.70 (0.49, 0.99) 0.0	044 2022
0.25 0.5	2	

(a)

(b)

FIGURE 2: MACE in CAS patients between the statin therapy patient group and the no-statin therapy control group: (a) meta-analysis; (b) cumulative meta-analysis.

in Asia, and the sensitivity analysis further confirmed this finding. Additionally, a subgroup analysis suggested that statins were significantly associated with a reduced risk of MACE in CAS patients with an average follow-up of >4 years. Nevertheless, our TSA results indicated that the available samples were insufficient and further research is needed.

Currently, most of the studies on the effectiveness of statins for CAS have been conducted in Asia, particularly in Japan. Epidemiological data show that the prevalence of CAS varies between countries, and the frequency of CAS appears to be higher in the Japanese population than in western populations [17]. Furthermore, the frequency of multiple spasms (≥ 2 spastic coronary arteries) was significantly higher in the Japanese (24.3%) and Taiwanese (19.3%) populations (7.5%) than in the Caucasian population (7.5%) [18–20]. Studies of coronary artery pathophysiology have shown that Japanese patients have diffuse hyperreactive coronary vessels compared to white patients [17]. The mechanism responsible for this phenomenon remains to be elucidated, but both genetic and environmental factors may play a role. The influence of genetic factors has been paid attention to. Nitric oxide (NO) metabolism is closely related to vascular regulation mechanism, and eNOS gene is a main regulatory gene for NO metabolism in the vascular system. Tanus-Santos et al. demonstrated that eNOS alleles are unevenly distributed across ethnic groups [21]. Thus, ethnic diversity may partly explain the comparative results of genetic and clinical studies in predominantly white or Asian populations.

In recent years, research on the relationship between statin therapy and MACE in CAS patients has gradually increased. There were two prior meta-analyses that have



FIGURE 3: (a) Evaluation of publication bias by funnel plot. (b) Sensitivity analysis of the included studies.

studied the association between statin therapy and prognosis in CAS patients [22, 23]. These two studies indicated that no association was observed between statin use and reduced risk of MACE in patients with CAS. However, the metaanalysis by Liu et al. only included five studies, while Sayed et al. enrolled 9 studies including conference abstracts. Neither of these meta-analyses performed TSA; RIS cannot be evaluated. Through detailed search, our meta-analysis included 10 studies excluding conference abstracts, and RIS was calculated in our TSA. By including more studies, it is now possible to further examine subgroup analyses such as follow-up period, sample size, and country where the study was conducted.

Based on the sensitivity analysis results, we observed that none of the studies affected the overall effect, indicating that our meta-analysis is statistically stable. But results analyzed in the paper by Seo et al. are certainly a cause for concern [10]. The study included 1658 patients who were diagnosed with CAS based on coronary provocation test and excluded patients who had a history of percutaneous coronary intervention and stroke/transient ischemic attack and \geq 50% obstructive CAD. The primary outcome was a composite of cardiac death, acute coronary syndrome, and new-onset life-threatening arrhythmia during a 3-year follow-up period. Their results show that statin therapy was not (HR, 1.35; CI, 0.78–2.33, P = 0.281) an independent predictor of primary outcomes in multivariate Cox regression analysis, nor were CCB (HR, 0.70; CI, 0.31-1.55, P = 0.378) and nitrates (HR, 0.69; CI, 0.38–1.27, P = 0.235). Seo et al. believe that the main reason for the lack of benefits of statins in the treatment of CAS may be that most of the selected patients have received CCBs or nitrates before discharge in this study.

Our analysis showed that the beneficial effect of statin therapy in patients with CAS in Asia despite TSA results indicated that the available samples were insufficient. The mechanisms by which statins could decrease MACE in patients with CAS are worthy of further study. It is generally known that statins can reduce cardiovascular events in

patients with coronary heart disease by lowering LDL cholesterol levels and protecting against coronary artery plaque formation. In our meta-analysis, some studies included patients with mild to moderate organic coronary artery stenosis; except for those without coronary artery stenosis, these patients may have reaped greater benefits of antiatherosclerotic progression from statins [6-8, 10, 11]. Additionally, experimental and clinical evidences suggest that statins improve endothelial dysfunction through antioxidation, anti-inflammation, and increased production of endothelial nitric oxide (NO) [24-27]. Previous studies have shown that vascular smooth muscle hyperreactivity plays a key role in the pathogenesis of CAS, and the main reason seems to be the increased activity of Rho kinase [28, 29]. Statins have been shown to block the activation of RhoA, thereby improving vascular endothelial function, enhancing NO activity, and inhibiting inflammation and Ca²⁺ sensitivity of coronary smooth muscle [30, 31]. Finally, substantial overlap exists between patients with coronary microvascular dysfunction (CMVD) and CAS (32.6% in Suda et al. study and 20.5% in the CORMICA trial) [32, 33]. Of the 10 studies included in the present meta-analysis, the degree of overlap is unknown. A study consisted of 925 patients showed that statin treatment was associated with decreased MACE in CMVD patients over a long-term period (more than 10 years) [34].

The results of our subgroup analysis suggest that the correlation between statin therapy and reduced MACE endpoint was stronger in Japanese patients. The ethnic heterogeneity of coronary artery vasomotor reactivity does exist, but studies have mainly focused on differences between Japanese and Caucasian patients [17]. Caucasian variant angina patients not only have a higher incidence of atherosclerotic disease than Japanese but also have more extensive disease [35]. And Caucasian variant angina patients have a worse overall survival than their Japanese counterparts [17]. Genetic factors contributing to racial heterogeneity have been implicated, particularly with a focus on amino acid substitution of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene (resulting in insufficient production

Cardiovascular Therapeutics

	Statin	No-statin		Risk ratio	(%)
Country and study (year)	n/N	n/N		(95% Cl)	Weight
Japan					
Kensuke lo et al (2007)	3/129	31/918		0.69 (0.21, 2.22)	6.59
Sunao Kodama et al (2009)	3/71	13/221		0.72 (0.21, 2.45)	6.15
Munenori Kosugi et al (2011)	7/87	22/144		0.53 (0.23, 1.18)	10.63
Akihiro Nakagomi et al (2013)	5/98	26/167		0.33 (0.13, 0.83)	9.07
Masanobu Ishii et al (2016)	0/128	9/128 —		0.05 (0.00, 0.89)	1.46
Hiroyoshi Mori et al (2022)	10/211	13/211		0.77 (0.34, 1.72)	10.71
Subgroup, DL	28/724	114/1789	\diamond	0.54 (0.36, 0.82)	44.61
$(I^2 = 0.0\%, p = 0.436)$					
South Korea					
Min Seok Oh et al (2016)	9/281	12/281		0.75 (0.32, 1.75)	10.05
Zhe Hao Piao et al (2016)	5/292	12/209		0.30 (0.11, 0.83)	7.90
So Jin Park et al (2019)	120/2304	97/1795		0.96 (0.74, 1.25)	21.21
Won-Woo Seo et al (2020)	32/744	28/914	++-	1.40 (0.85, 2.31)	16.23
Subgroup, DL	166/3621	149/3199		0.88 (0.56, 1.38)	55.39
$(I^2=60.2\%,p=0.057)$					
Heterogeneity between groups:	p = 0.123				
Overall, DL	194/4345	263/4988	$\langle \cdot \rangle$	0.70 (0.49, 0.99)	100.00
$(I^2 = 50.7\%, p = 0.0.32)$			Ť		
		00390)62 1 256		

Note: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model; continuity correction applied to studies with zero cells

(a)

Follow-up period	Statin	No-statin	Risk ratio	(%)
and study (year)	n/N	n/N	(95% Cl)	Weight
<4 years				
Kensuke lo et al (2007)	3/129	31/918	0.69 (0.21, 2.22)	6.59
Zhe Hao Piao et al (2016)	5/292	12/209	0.30 (0.11, 0.83)	7.90
So Jin Park et al (2019)	120/2304	97/1795	0.96 (0.74, 1.25)	21.21
Won-Woo Seo et al (2020)	32/744	28/914	1.40 (0.85, 2.31)	16.23
Hiroyoshi Mori et al (2022)	10/211	13/211	0.77 (0.34, 1.72)	10.71
Subgroup, DL	170/3680	181/4047	0.88 (0.59, 1.30)	62.64
$(I^2 = 48.8\%, p = 0.099)$				
>4 years				
Sunao Kodama et al (2009)	3/71	13/221	0.72 (0.21, 2.45)	6.15
Munenori Kosugi et al (2011)	7/87	22/144	0.53 (0.23, 1.18)	10.63
Akihiro Nakagomi et al (2013)	5/98	26/167	0.33 (0.13, 0.83)	9.07
Masanobu Ishii et al (2016)	0/128	9/128	0.05 (0.00, 0.89)	1.46
Min Seok Oh et al (2016)	9/281	12/281	0.75 (0.32, 1.75)	10.05
Subgroup, DL	24/665	82/941	0.51 (0.31, 0.82)	37.36
$(I^2 = 10.1\%, p = 0.349)$				
Heterogeneity between groups	p = 0.087	7		
Overall, DL	194/4345	263/4988	0.70 (0.49, 0.99)	100.00
$(I^2 = 50.7\%, p = 0.032)$				
		00390		

Note: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model; continuity correction applied to studies with zero cells

FIGURE 4: Continued.

Sample size and study (year)	Statin n/N	No-statin n/N			Risk ratio (95% Cl)	(%) Weight
> 1000						
Kensuke lo et al (2007)	3/129	31/918			0.69 (0.21, 2.22)	6.59
So jin Park et al (2019)	120/2304	97/1795			0.96 (0.74, 1.25)	21.21
Won-Woo Seo et al (2020)	32/744	28/914			1.40 (0.85, 2.31)	16.23
Subgroup, DL	155/3177	156/3627		\diamond	1.04 (0.80, 1.34)	44.03
$(I^2 = 8.7\%, p = 0.334)$						
< 1000						
Sunao Kodama et al (2009)	3/71	13/221		•	0.72 (0.21, 2.45)	6.15
Munenori Kosugi et al (2011)	7/87	22/144			0.53 (0.23, 1.18)	10.63
Akihiro Nakagomi et al (2013)	5/98	26/167			0.33 (0.13, 0.83)	9.07
Masanobu ishii et al (2016)	0/128	9/128	•	i	0.05 (0.00, 0.89)	1.46
Min Seok Oh et al (2016)	9/281	12/281			0.75 (0.32, 1.75)	10.05
Zhe Hao Piao et al (2016)	5/292	12/209			0.30 (0.11, 0.83)	7.90
Hiroyoshi Mori et al (2022)	10/211	13/211			0.77 (0.34, 1.72)	10.71
Subgroup, DL (I ² = 7.6%, <i>p</i> = 0.370)	39/1168	107/1361		\diamond	0.52 (0.35, 0.76)	55.97
Heterogeneity between groups:	<i>p</i> = 0.003					
Overall, DL (I ² = 50.7%, <i>p</i> = 0.032)	194/4345	263/4988		\Leftrightarrow	0.70 (0.49, 0.99)	100.00
		0.003906	52	1	256	

Note: Weights and between-subgroup heterogeneity test are from random-effects model; continuity correction applied to studies with zero cells

(c)



FIGURE 4: (a) Subgroup analysis by country. (b) Subgroup analysis by follow-up period. (c) Subgroup analysis by sample size. (d) Trial sequential analysis.

of nitric oxide). The missense mutations are more frequent in Japanese patients with vasospastic angina than in the control group [36]. However, the low frequency of the gene in CAS suggests that other factors play a role. Future studies of Japanese patients living in Caucasian societies may further help us understand the environmental factors that contribute to this phenomenon. Additionally, statins were significantly associated with a reduced risk of MACE in CAS patients with an average follow-up of >4 years. We can speculate that the longer the statin is used, the greater the benefit for CAS patients. Some CAS patients have mild to moderate organic coronary artery stenosis and therefore benefit from the concept of "the lower the better" for LDL cholesterol. There is no doubt that prolonged statin therapy can significantly lower LDL cholesterol and thus reduce cardiovascular events. Many studies also have confirmed the importance of long-term cholesterol-lowering therapy for almost all patients with or without previous coronary heart disease events and support a longer-term view in determining the net benefits of treatment [37-39]. Due to the limitations of this meta-analysis, it is not possible to determine how long statin use reduces cardiovascular time in patients with CAS. This question is an interesting topic that deserves further study.

In the present analysis, our TSA results indicated that the available samples were insufficient. As visualized in the forest plot of the cumulative meta-analysis (Figure 2(b)) and the plot of TSA, we observed that previous trend has changed since the study by Park et al. [9]. In particular, the relatively large sample size of Park et al. study and Seo et al. study may lead to uncertainty in the link between statin therapy and MACE endpoints in CAS patients. In short, despite our analysis showing that statin therapy can reduce MACE in patients with CAS in Asia, this association appeared to be unstable. Therefore, more trials are required in this field, especially randomized controlled trials.

We should consider some potential limitations of this meta-analysis. First, there is the absence of randomized controlled trials in these studies included in this meta-analysis, and only 3 studies provided propensity score-matched analysis data. Second, the sample size of this study is too small to further confirm our findings. Finally, there are limited studies in some subgroup analysis, and more studies are needed to support these results. Although this study has a few limitations, it still provides some implications for statins in the treatment of CAS patients in Asia, which may inspire future research explorations and aid in the formulation of new hypotheses.

5. Conclusion

In general, our meta-analysis suggests that statin therapy can reduce MACE in patients with CAS in Asia; the correlation between the two was stronger in Japanese patients and patients followed up for more than 4 years. However, due to the insufficiency of available samples in this study, more studies are needed to confirm the future use of statins in CAS patients.

Data Availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' Contributions

Hui Jiang was responsible for the study design; Tian-Jun Zhao, Duan Luo, and Xi Jiang were responsible for data collection and analysis; Tian-Jun Zhao and Feng Tang were responsible for article drafting. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Tian-Jun Zhao and Duan Luo contributed equally to this work and shared the coauthorship.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate all staff of the Department of Cardiology in the Third People's Hospital of Chengdu. This study was successfully done with the help of a grant from the Chengdu High-Level Key Clinical Specialty Construction Project.

References

- R. Rehan, J. Weaver, and A. Yong, "Coronary vasospastic angina: a review of the pathogenesis, diagnosis, and management," *Life*, vol. 12, no. 8, p. 1124, 2022.
- [2] A. Maseri, S. Severi, M. D. Nes et al., ""Variant" angina: one aspect of a continuous spectrum of vasospastic myocardial ischemia: pathogenetic mechanisms, estimated incidence and clinical and coronary arteriographic findings in 138 patients," *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 42, no. 6, pp. 1019– 1035, 1978.
- [3] T. M. Waterbury, G. Tarantini, B. Vogel, R. Mehran, B. J. Gersh, and R. Gulati, "Non-atherosclerotic causes of acute coronary syndromes," *Nature reviews. Cardiology*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 229–241, 2020.
- [4] S. O. Almeida and M. Budoff, "Effect of statins on atherosclerotic plaque," *Trends in Cardiovascular Medicine*, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 451–455, 2019.
- [5] N. Hermida and J. L. Balligand, "Low-density lipoproteincholesterol-induced endothelial dysfunction and oxidative stress: the role of statins," *Antioxidants & Redox Signaling*, vol. 20, no. 8, pp. 1216–1237, 2014.
- [6] M. Ishii, K. Kaikita, K. Sato et al., "Impact of statin therapy on clinical outcome in patients with coronary spasm," *Journal of the American Heart Association*, vol. 5, no. 5, p. 5, 2016.
- [7] M. S. Oh, J. H. Yang, D. H. Lee et al., "Impact of statin therapy on long-term clinical outcomes of vasospastic angina without significant stenosis: a propensity-score matched analysis," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 223, pp. 791–796, 2016.
- [8] Z. H. Piao, M. H. Jeong, Y. Li et al., "Benefit of statin therapy in patients with coronary spasm-induced acute myocardial infarction," *Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 7–12, 2016.
- [9] S. J. Park, H. Park, D. Kang et al., "Association of statin therapy with clinical outcomes in patients with vasospastic angina: data from Korean health insurance review and assessment service," *PLoS One*, vol. 14, article e0210498, 2019.
- [10] W. W. Seo, S. H. Jo, S. E. Kim et al., "Clinical impact of statin therapy on vasospastic angina: data from a Korea nation-wide

cohort study," *Heart and Vessels*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1051–1059, 2020.

- [11] H. Mori, J. Takahashi, K. Sato et al., "Impact of statins in patients with vasospastic angina: a multicenter registry study of the Japanese coronary spasm association," *Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 80, no. 3, pp. 226–231, 2022.
- [12] D. Moher, A. Liberati, J. Tetzlaff, D. G. Altman, and The PRISMA Group, "Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 7, article e1000097, 2009.
- [13] K. Io, S. Minatoguchi, K. Nishigaki et al., "Effects of benidipine and some other calcium channel blockers on the prognosis of patients with vasospastic angina. Cohort study with evaluation of the ergonovine coronary spasm induction test," *Arzneimittel-Forschung*, vol. 57, no. 9, pp. 573–581, 2007.
- [14] S. Kodama, Y. Inoue, H. Mihara et al., "Prognostic factors for the long-term survival in patients with vasospastic anginaanalysis of effects of patients' characteristics and therapeutic drugs," *Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 10–20, 2009.
- [15] M. Kosugi, A. Nakagomi, T. Shibui et al., "Effect of long-term nitrate treatment on cardiac events in patients with vasospastic angina," *Circulation Journal*, vol. 75, no. 9, pp. 2196–2205, 2011.
- [16] A. Nakagomi, Y. Saiki, M. Kosugi et al., "Effect of insulin resistance associated with compensatory hyperinsulinemia on the long-term prognosis in patients with vasospastic angina," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 167, no. 5, pp. 2222– 2227, 2013.
- [17] J. F. Beltrame, S. Sasayama, and A. Maseri, "Racial heterogeneity in coronary artery vasomotor reactivity: differences between Japanese and Caucasian patients," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 33, no. 6, pp. 1442–1452, 1999.
- [18] S. Sueda, H. Kohno, H. Fukuda et al., "Frequency of provoked coronary spasms in patients undergoing coronary arteriography using a spasm provocation test via intracoronary administration of ergonovine," *Angiology*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 403–411, 2004.
- [19] M. Y. Hung, K. H. Hsu, M. J. Hung, C. W. Cheng, and W. J. Cherng, "Interactions among gender, age, hypertension and c-reactive protein in coronary vasospasm," *European Journal* of Clinical Investigation, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 1094–1103, 2010.
- [20] M. E. Bertrand, J. M. LaBlanche, P. Y. Tilmant et al., "Frequency of provoked coronary arterial spasm in 1089 consecutive patients undergoing coronary arteriography," *Circulation*, vol. 65, no. 7, pp. 1299–1306, 1982.
- [21] J. E. Tanus-Santos, M. Desai, and D. A. Flockhart, "Effects of ethnicity on the distribution of clinically relevant endothelial nitric oxide variants," *Pharmacogenetics*, vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 719–725, 2001.
- [22] A. Sayed, M. Munir, K. Awad, L. Kołtowski, and M. Banach, "Are statins beneficial in patients with vasospastic angina? A meta-analysis of observational studies," *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*, vol. 73, pp. 88–90, 2022.
- [23] L. Qilin, Z. Yanbin, D. Dayong et al., "Effect of statins on clinical outcomes in patients with coronary artery spasm: a meta-analysis," *Clinical therapeutics*, vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 971–981, 2022.
- [24] K. K. Ray and C. P. Cannon, "The potential relevance of the multiple lipid-independent (pleiotropic) effects of statins in the management of acute coronary syndromes," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 46, no. 8, pp. 1425– 1433, 2005.

- [25] U. Schönbeck and P. Libby, "Inflammation, immunity, and hmg-coa reductase inhibitors," *Circulation*, vol. 109, no. 21, Supplement 1, pp. Ii18–Ii26, 2004.
- [26] J. K. Liao and U. Laufs, "Pleiotropic effects of statins," Annual Review of Pharmacology and Toxicology, vol. 45, no. 1, pp. 89– 118, 2005.
- [27] P. O. Bonetti, L. O. Lerman, C. Napoli, and A. Lerman, "Statin effects beyond lipid lowering-are they clinically relevant?," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 225–248, 2003.
- [28] A. P. Somlyo and A. V. Somlyo, "Ca2+ sensitivity of smooth muscle and nonmuscle myosin II: modulated by g proteins, kinases, and myosin phosphatase," *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 83, no. 4, pp. 1325–1358, 2003.
- [29] H. Shimokawa, S. Sunamura, and K. Satoh, "Rhoa/rho-kinase in the cardiovascular system," *Circulation Research*, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 352–366, 2016.
- [30] H. Shimokawa and A. Takeshita, "Rho-kinase is an important therapeutic target in cardiovascular medicine," *Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology.*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1767–1775, 2005.
- [31] U. Laufs, V. La Fata, J. Plutzky, and J. K. Liao, "Upregulation of endothelial nitric oxide synthase by HMG CoA reductase inhibitors," *Circulation*, vol. 97, no. 12, pp. 1129–1135, 1998.
- [32] A. Suda, J. Takahashi, K. Hao et al., "Coronary functional abnormalities in patients with angina and nonobstructive coronary artery disease," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 74, no. 19, pp. 2350–2360, 2019.
- [33] T. J. Ford, B. Stanley, R. Good et al., "Stratified medical therapy using invasive coronary function testing in angina: the cormica trial," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 72, no. 23, pp. 2841–2855, 2018.
- [34] W. H. Luo, Y. Guo, J. W. Huang, and P. D. Zhang, "Do statins have a positive impact on patients with coronary microvascular dysfunction on long-term clinical outcome?," *A large retrospective cohort study*, vol. 2019, article 4069097, 8 pages, 2019.
- [35] H. Shimokawa, K. Nagasawa, T. Irie et al., "Clinical characteristics and long-term prognosis of patients with variant angina. A comparative study between western and Japanese populations," *International Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 18, no. 3, pp. 331–349, 1988.
- [36] M. Yoshimura, H. Yasue, M. Nakayama et al., "A missense Glu298Asp variant in the endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene is associated with coronary spasm in the Japanese," *Human Genetics*, vol. 103, no. 1, pp. 65–69, 1998.
- [37] The LIPID Study Group, "Long-term effectiveness and safety of pravastatin in 9014 patients with coronary heart disease and average cholesterol concentrations: the lipid trial followup," *The Lancet*, vol. 359, no. 9315, pp. 1379–1387, 2002.
- [38] P. S. Sever, N. R. Poulter, B. Dahlof et al., "The Anglo-Scandinavian cardiac outcomes trial lipid lowering arm: extended observations 2 years after trial closure," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 499–508, 2008.
- [39] H. Holdaas, "Statin therapy for prevention of coronary artery disease. The earlier the better or the longer the better?," *European heart journal.*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 425-426, 2008.