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Abstract

Improving the independent living ability of people who have suffered spinal cord injuries (SCIs) is essential for their quality of life. Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) provide promising solutions for people with high-level SCIs. This paper proposes a novel and practical P300-based hybrid stimulus-on-device (SoD) BCI architecture for wireless networking applications. Instead of a stimulus-on-panel architecture (SoP), the proposed SoD architecture provides an intuitive control scheme. However, because P300 recognitions rely on the synchronization between stimuli and response potentials, the variation of latency between target stimuli and elicited P300 is a concern when applying a P300-based BCI to wireless applications. In addition, the subject-dependent variation of elicited P300 affects the performance of the BCI. Thus, an adaptive model that determines an appropriate interval for P300 feature extraction was proposed in this paper. Hence, this paper employed the artificial bee colony- (ABC-) based interval type-2 fuzzy logic system (IT2FLS) to deal with the variation of latency between target stimuli and elicited P300 so that the proposed P300-based SoD approach would be feasible. Furthermore, the target and nontarget stimuli were identified in terms of a support vector machine (SVM) classifier. Experimental results showed that, from five subjects, the performance of classification and information transfer rate were improved after calibrations (86.00% and 24.2 bits/min before calibrations; 90.25% and 27.9 bits/min after calibrations).

1. Introduction

According to World Health Organization (WHO) statistics, in 2013, there were between 250,000 and 500,000 people suffering from spinal cord injuries (SCIs) [1]. Due to difficulty with mobility, an estimated 20% to 30% of people with SCIs show clinically significant signs of depression. Negative mental conditions lead to negative impacts on improvements in function and overall health. Negative attitudes and physical barriers obstruct basic mobility and result in the exclusion of people from participation in society. Improvement in the independent living ability of people suffering from SCIs is one method to overcome the disability’s barriers.

Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) are systems that interpret the brain’s electrical activities to command external devices [2–6]. Thus, BCIs provide subjects with a nonmuscular method to connect with the world. Particularly for disabled people who suffer from SCIs and strokes, BCIs improve their independence in daily life. Several studies have proven that there is a great potential to develop BCIs with wide applications, such as assistive spelling systems, robotics, and rehabilitation tools. Reviewing the achievements of the past several decades, BCIs have been widely discussed. Faster, more user-friendly and more robust BCIs had been proposed by several research groups worldwide. With fast-paced technical developments, BCI studies have reached a critical point and continue to seek innovative applications.

P300 [7] is one of the conventional BCIs. P300 is an event-related potential (ERP), and the most significant feature of the potential waveform is the positive peak occurred around
Finally, the proposed P300-based hybrid BCI with latency calibration for wireless SoD applications is elaborated in five sections in this paper, and the structure is stated as follows. In Section 1, this work specifies people with SCI and proposes a wireless networking BCI for wireless home automation applications. In Section 2, the adopted methodologies of the proposed BCI are described. EEG processing techniques and adaptive IT2FLS for BCI calibrations are introduced. In Section 3, the experimental paradigm is specified. In Section 4, several experiments are conducted to assess the proposed hypotheses and approaches. Subjects participated in experiments to evaluate the performance of the proposed hybrid BCI. Section 5 reviews the proposed system according to experimental results. Moreover, improved strategies and future prospections are discussed.

2. Methodology

This paper aims at presenting a new stimulus-on-device (SoD) design with combining the wireless sensor network (WSN) and P300-based BCI applications. Such a WSN and P300 combination forms a novel BCI application rarely seen in literatures. Such a SoD exhibits the variation of latency between target stimuli and elicited P300. Hence, this paper adopts our previous work [37] that discussed the latency problems occurred in the conventional P300 studies to deal with the subjects’ P300 peak latency variation as well as the WAS transmission delay to make the SoD design practically feasible.

2.1. SoD Design Concept and Protocol. Considering visual-based hybrid BCI, P300 and SSVEP are two of the most discussed BCI modalities. Two main paradigms, including sequential [36, 38] and simultaneous systems [39, 40], are usually discussed. As shown in Figure 1, a sequential hybrid BCI separates a BCI system into a number of blocks. The output signals of blocks are the input signals of the next BCI system. On the other hand, a simultaneous hybrid BCI benefits individual users by obtaining a higher responded efficiency through the utilizing of an appropriate BCI modality [41]. A stimulation panel containing a number of visual stimuli is a common layout for visual-based BCIs. Stimuli responding to a defined task are arranged and presented in front of users. Users are able to select a target stimulus by gazing one of visual stimuli on a panel or a monitor. Here, this BCI layout is called SoP architecture. Instead of SoP architecture, the proposed SoD architecture embeds visual stimuli in target devices and a coordinator controller sends trigger signals to those stimulation-embedded devices through wireless communication. SoD architecture benefits the mobility of users when without using a multitasking stimulation panel. Additionally, without the limited dimension of stimulation panel, more tasks are able to be defined by adding visual stimuli in target devices. SoD is a flexible and user-friendly BCI architecture that provides an intuitive control scheme.

Based on the SoD architecture, a scenario that applies a P300-based BCI to a wireless home automation system for appliance controls, such as lighting, electric curtains, and air...
conditioning, is shown in Figure 2. A user is outfitted with an EEG recorder, and a coordinator controller featuring a built-in wireless communication module handles the EEG stream. A visual stimulus panel is placed in front of the user. There are two sets of LED modules that generate 16 Hz flickering visual stimuli with different phase delays. The user is able to start the BCI system by gazing at the stimulus of “Operation” and applying the P300-based BCI to the appliance controls. Then, the coordinator controller sends trigger signals to stimulation-embedded appliances. Here, each stimulation-embedded appliance is regarded as a stimulation node. The controller coordinates the latency of flashing stimuli through wireless communication and extracts epochs that respond to flashing stimuli.
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Figure 3: The latency of sent triggers, flashing stimuli, ideal P300 latency, and actual P300 latency.
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Figure 4: The latency of flickering stimuli and evoked SSVEP.

However, distances, transmission qualities, and hardware processing are uncertain factors that cause latency delays for this kind of SoD applications. Synchronization is influenced by any jitter between the clocks of an EEG recorder and the stimulation nodes and varies over time. The timeline of sent triggers, flashing stimuli, ideal P300 latency, and actual P300 latency are shown in Figure 3. Induced by the uncertainties of wireless communication, there are differences in the expected and actual latencies of the elicited P300 for different subjects and applied situations. Additionally, latency differences might fluctuate due to the changing quality of wireless communication. As a result, the accuracy of P300 recognition is affected while the acceptances of users decrease because of unstable performance. Therefore, a scheme of “Registration” is proposed. “Registration” allows users to access a BCI system by identifying the SSVEP. The phase lag of evoked SSVEP due to communication delays is depicted in Figure 4. This work attempts to assess how the latency of the elicited P300 correlates with the phase lag of the evoked SSVEP. A trained regression model is applied to estimate the possible latency of the elicited P300 according to phase lag analysis of the evoked SSVEP. Thus, the uncertainty of latency caused by wireless communication is resolved. Before starting “Operation”, users are asked to gaze at the stimulus of “Registration” to register their model. Users are asked to register every stimulation node item by item. A trained regression model is applied to estimate the possible latency of elicited P300 for each stimulation node. The proposed wireless P300-based BCI is calibrated when “Registration” is finished.

The proposed wireless networking BCI includes “Registration” and “Operation” schemes. “Registration” allows users to access the BCI system by identifying the SSVEP, and “Operation” allows users to control stimulation-embedded devices via P300. A block diagram of “Registration” and “Operation” is shown in Figure 5.

2.2 EEG Acquisition. This paper used the V-amp (Brain Products, German) EEG instrument to collect the subject EEG signal. The experiments were done based on the 4-20 Hz bandpass filtering frequency range. The EEG signal was acquired with 500 Hz sampling rate. Five electrodes were placed at P3, Pz, P4, O1, and O2 according to the 10/20 system. P3, Pz, P4, O1, and O2 are channels that respond to visual stimuli. The acquired EEGs were used for the phase lag analyses of SSVEP and P300 recognitions. Five electrodes
were distributed in the occipital area. A reference electrode was placed at FCz, and a ground electrode was placed at AFz according to the recommended placement of the EasyCap (Standard Cap for V-amp, German). The system architecture is shown in Figure 6.

2.3. Signal Processing and Target/Nontarget Classification. As mentioned in the Introduction section, this paper adopts our previous work [37] to deal with the subjects’ P300 peak latency variation and the WAS transmission delay. Hence, the signal processing and target/nontarget classification followed the approaches proposed in [37] as well as the experiment protocol elaborated in Section 2.1. The approaches for signal processing and target/nontarget classification are briefly described as follows.

(1) IT2FLS for BCI calibrations: the proposed calibration approach is to predict the latency of the elicited P300
in terms of the phase lag appeared at the 0, π/2, π, 3π/2 of a conventional SSVEP waveform. Figure 7 illustrated the operation of the IT2FLS, where \( x_i \) is crisp inputs, \( X^0(x_i) \) is the interval fuzzy set, \( y_i \) and \( y_r \) are the maximum and minimum values, respectively. \( Y_{out} \) is generated in terms of a center-of-sets type reducer, and \( y_c \) is a crisp output which was obtained after the defuzzifier with respect to \( y_i \) and \( y_r \). As a consequence, the regression model based on an IT2FLS was used. The calibration was done through the analysis of phase delays. Evoked phase lags were the inputs of IT2FLS, and a calibrated latency centroid of P300 was the output for the future application bases of the SoD approach. The details can be found in [37].

(2) ABC algorithm: in this paper, the parameters of IT2FLS were evaluated in terms of the swarm-based ABC algorithm that was inspired by the honey bee colonies’ foraging behaviors. The scheme of the ABC algorithm is shown in Figure 8. The details can be found in [37].

(3) Adaptive IT2FLS for BCI calibration: the block diagram of the proposed ABC-based adaptive IT2FLS is shown in Figure 9. A labeled training dataset is required to train the appropriate parameters of adaptive IT2FLS. A labeled training dataset includes a number of training trials. Each trail is a pair consisting of input values (the phase lag of evoked SSVEP) and a desired output value (the latency centroid of elicited P300). In the ABC algorithm, a fitness function describes the level of fitness of the \( m \)th food source, which is a D-dimensional vector consisting of the parameters of IT2FLS. The fitness function in this work is defined as (1).

\[
\text{fitness} = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} g \left( y_{mk}, y_{l \text{arg}et,k} \right)
\]

m = 1, 2, ..., M \( \quad \) k = 1, 2, ..., K

where \( y_{mk} \) is the output of the \( m \)th IT2FLS when the \( k \)th dataset is imported, \( y_{l \text{arg}et,k} \) is the desired output of the \( k \)th dataset, and \( g \) is the objective function that calculates mean square errors of the difference between \( y_{mk} \) and \( y_{l \text{arg}et,k} \), as shown in (2). Thus, the \( m \)th food source is evaluated by a labeled training dataset that contains \( K \) datasets.

\[
g \left( y_{mk}, y_{l \text{arg}et,k} \right) = \sqrt{ \left( y_{mk} - y_{l \text{arg}et,k} \right)^2 }
\]

\[ m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \]

2.4. CCA-Based Spatial Filter for Event-Related Potentials.
Spatial filters are usually applied to improve the SNR of the EEG. CCA-based spatial filters have previously been used to increase the classification accuracy of evoked potentials, such as SSVEP. Spüler et al. proposed a CCA-based spatial filter for ERP [42]. Here, a CCA-based spatial filter is used to improve P300 classifications. CCA is a multivariate statistical method and can be applied to find linear transformations that maximize the correlation between the two datasets. There are two multidimensional datasets, \( M \) and \( N \), with \( q \) variables. CCA is used to find two transformations, \( W_M \) and \( W_N \), to maximize the canonical correlation \( \rho \), as described in

\[
U = W_M^T M
\]

\[
V = W_N^T N
\]

\[
\rho = \frac{\text{cov}(U, V)}{\sqrt{\text{var}(U) \text{var}(V)}}
\]

where \( W_M \) and \( W_N \) are two transformations that maximize the canonical correlation \( \rho \) between canonical variables \( U \) and \( V \). The canonical correlations of \( M \) and \( N \) are obtained by solving eigenvalue equations, as shown in

\[
C_{MM}^{-1} C_{MN} C_{NN}^{-1} N_M W_M = \rho^2 W_M
\]

\[
C_{NN}^{-1} C_{MN} C_{MM}^{-1} M_N W_N = \rho^2 W_N
\]

where \( C_{MM} \) and \( C_{NN} \) are covariance matrices of \( M \) and \( N \), \( C_{MN} \) and \( C_{NM} \) are covariance matrices between \( M \) and \( N \), \( \rho^2 \) is a squared canonical correlation value, and eigenvectors \( W_M \) and \( W_N \) are two transformation matrices. Here, \( W_M^T \) is used as the spatial filter. The CCA-based spatial filter acts as a whitening filter that decorrelates signals. By maximizing the canonical correlation, the CCA finds a spatial filter that improves the SNR of the elicited potentials.
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Figure 8: The scheme of the ABC algorithm.

Figure 9: The block diagram of the proposed ABC-based adaptive IT2FLS.

2.5. SVM Classifier. Given a labeled dataset \(\{(p_i, q_i)\}_{i=1}^{n}, q_i \in \{1, -1\}\), where \(p_i\) are sampled EEG and \(q_i\) are class labels, SVM algorithm is trained by solving the following optimization problem:

Minimize \[
\frac{1}{2} \|w\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i
\]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Subject to \[
q_i (w^T \phi (p_i) + b) - 1 + \xi_i \geq 0
\]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

\(w\) and \(b\) are the weight vector and the bias of hyperplane. \(\phi\) maps \(p_i\) to a higher dimensional space and \(\xi_i\) are slack variables. In this work, the radial basis function (RBF) is adopted to perform nonlinear classifications for BCI.

3. Experimental Paradigm

Eight healthy subjects, composed of 6 males and 2 females (mean age 22 years, standard deviation three years), participated in the experiments. All subjects were students at the National Taiwan University of Science and Technology and had minimal prior experience operating visual-based BCI. All subjects had normal or corrected to normal vision.
Subjects were asked to view a stimulation panel of a 1 \times 4 matrix. The stimulation panel was composed of four modules of LED arrays with the same dimensions of 3 (cm) \times 3 (cm), which were placed with an interval of 8 cm between them. Each LED array presented visible red light on a black background and used moderate intensity. There was a 50 cm distance between the stimulation panel and the subjects, who were in comfortable positions. The experiments include two major components. First, a flickering stimulus was presented to observe SSVEP. A phase lag analysis was performed to realize the difference in evoked SSVEP when applying different stimulus phases. Second, a flashing stimulus was presented to observe the elicited P300. The averaging method was used to realize the difference in the elicited P300 between target and nontarget epochs. In addition, a certain delay was applied to realize the change of latency of the elicited P300. Finally, this work assessed how the latency of the elicited P300 correlated with the phase lag of SSVEP. The experimental setup is summarized in Figure 10.

3.1. SSVEP Session. To realize the phase lag of SSVEP when providing 0, \pi/2, \pi, and 3\pi/2 phase delays, a 16 Hz flickering stimulus was generated. To meet the scenario of the proposed BCI, the SSVEP sessions were conducted before the P300 sessions. There was a 1-minute break between the SSVEP and P300 sessions. Before starting the experiments, subjects were able to select any LED array module based on their comfort level. Each flickering stimulus continued for 5 seconds, and there was a 2-second interval between different phase stimuli.

3.2. P300 Session. To realize the responded latency of the elicited P300 when adding 0, \pi/2, \pi, and 3\pi/2 delays corresponding to 16 Hz flickering stimuli, an 8 Hz flashing stimulus was generated. Subjects were allowed to select an LED array module that generated the flashing stimulus. The selected LED array module was regarded as the target stimulus, and the remaining LED array modules were regarded as nontarget stimuli. To improve the SNR of the elicited P300, each stimulus flashed 30 times, and extracted epochs were further averaged. Therefore, 120 epochs in total, including 30 target and 90 nontarget epochs, were collected.

3.3. SVM Classifier for P300-Based BCI. An SVM classifier was used to classify extract epoch into target or nontarget stimuli. In order to evaluate the implemented BCI, an online session was simulated. P300 dataset contained an amount of 10 sessions (5 subjects with two sessions each), and there were 100 trials for each session (100 targets and 300 nontargets). The epochs of first session were used as training dataset, and the epochs of second session were used as test dataset.

4. Experimental Results and Discussions

4.1. Correlation between Elicited P300 and Evoked SSVEP. To determine how the evoked SSVEP correlated with the latency of the elicited P300, phase delays, including 0, \pi/2, \pi, and 3\pi/2, for SSVEP and flashing delays, including 0.000 (ms), 15.625 (ms), 31.250 (ms), and 46.875 (ms), for P300 were evaluated. Because a 16 Hz flickering stimulus was adopted, the reference signal peaks at 15.625 ms \(t_{\text{ref}} = 15.625\) and the phase lags were obtained according to (10), where \(t_{\text{res}}\) is the latency of peak of the evoked SSVEP, and \(T\) is the period of flickering stimuli. Additionally, the latency of the elicited P300 was recognized according to the highest response elicited potential.

\[
\theta = \frac{t_{\text{res}} - t_{\text{ref}}}{T} \times 360^\circ
\]
Elicited P300 (ms)

Elicited P300 (ms)

Evoked SSEVP (theta)

Figure 11: The scatter plot of elicited P300 and evoked SSVEP (8 subjects).

Eight subjects were involved in this experiment. Two datasets were collected on different days for each subject. A scatter plot showing the elicited P300 and evoked SSVEP is depicted in Figure 11. It reveals how the elicited P300 correlates with the evoked SSVEP. The correlation coefficient is computed by

\[
R = \frac{\text{cov}(X_{P300}, Y_{SSVEP})}{\sigma_{X_{P300}} \sigma_{Y_{SSVEP}}} (11)
\]

where \(X_{P300}\) is the latency of the elicited P300, \(Y_{SSVEP}\) is the phase of the evoked SSVEP, and \(\sigma_{X_{P300}}\) and \(\sigma_{Y_{SSVEP}}\) are their standard deviations. Here, the correlation coefficient is 0.8171, which indicates high correlation between the evoked SSVEP and elicited P300.

4.2. The Adaptive IT2FLS for BCI Calibration Based on Evoked SSVEP. An adaptive IT2FLS was used to calibrate the latency centroid of the extracted epochs for P300 recognitions. Phase lags were the inputs of IT2FLS, and an estimated latency centroid of P300 was the output. An adaptive IT2FLS based on ABC optimization was trained by a labeled dataset. The labeled dataset consisted of evoked phase lags (features) and P300 latency centroids (target). Collected datasets were further divided into two groups (60% for training instances; 40% for evaluation instances). The training performance is shown in Figure 12. There were three membership functions for each fuzzy set (number of fuzzy sets = 4; number of membership functions = 3), as shown in Figure 13.

4.3. P300 Recognition with and without Calibrations. Here, a trained IT2FLS model was used to estimate the latency centroid of the elicited P300 according to the evoked SSVEP. Different lengths of extracted epochs, including 160 (ms), 140 (ms), 120 (ms), 100 (ms), 80 (ms), and 60 (ms), based on estimated centroid, were evaluated. If an estimated latency centroid was located at \(t_c\), an extracted epoch ranged from \(t_c - 80\) (ms) to \(t_c + 80\) (ms) when the length of the extracted epoch was 160 (ms). On the other hand, without calibrations, fixed intervals, including \(t_c + 300 \) to \(t_c + 460\) (ms), \(t_c + 300 \) to \(t_c + 440\) (ms), \(t_c + 300 \) to \(t_c + 420\) (ms), \(t_c + 300 \) to \(t_c + 400\) (ms), \(t_c + 300 \) to \(t_c + 380\) (ms), and \(t_c + 300 \) to \(t_c + 360\) (ms), were adopted. Here, \(t_c\) was the time of flashing stimulus. It was noted that 5 repetitions were conducted in this experiment, and the extracted epochs were downsampled at 62.5 Hz. The SVM classifier was employed to classify extracted epochs into target and nontarget stimuli. The accuracy rates of the SVM classifier and the ITRs with and without calibrations are shown in Figures 14–16 and Table 1. According to the experimental results, the performance of classification improves after calibration. In addition, the adopted classifier maintains satisfactory accuracy rates when decreasing the length of the extracted epoch.

5. Conclusion and Future Work

This work presented a wireless networking hybrid BCI to address the issue of improving the independence of individuals with SCIs. A scheme based on SoD architecture, which applies a P300-based BCI to wireless applications, was presented. However, because P300 recognitions rely on the synchronization between stimuli and elicited potential, the variation of latency between the target stimuli and elicited potential is a concern. Therefore, this work proposed “Registration” and “Operation” schemes that calibrated the latency centroid of P300 according to the evoked SSVEP and control stimulation-embedded appliances via P300. Therefore, the experiments attempted to assess how the latency of the elicited P300 correlates with the phase lag of the evoked SSVEP. Five subjects participated in the experiments, and a trained IT2FLS-based model was applied to estimate the possible latency centroid of the elicited P300 according to a phase lag analysis of the evoked SSVEP. In addition, an
SVM classifier was employed to judge either target or non-target stimuli. Based on calibrated latency centroids, different lengths of extracted epochs were discussed. Classification performances showed that the accuracy rates improve after calibration.

In the future, the uncertainty of the ERP amplitude due to different distances between users and stimulation nodes is an interesting topic. In addition, the light intensity of the operating environment is another issue in real-world applications. The modulation of appropriate stimulus intensity related to

---

**Figure 13:** Antecedent membership functions for window calibrations.

**Table 1:** ITR (bits/min) comparisons between with and without calibrations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject #</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length of epoch</td>
<td>ITR</td>
<td>ITR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>32.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>25.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>22.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
users’ comfort and system performance would be another future work of this paper.
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