Injection therapy for nonvariceal gastrointestinal bleeding
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ABSTRACT: Gastrointestinal hemorrhage is a common and serious problem—its average mortality of 10% has changed little over the past 40 years. In 80% of patients the bleeding stops spontaneously. In patients with continuous or recurrent bleeding, mortality and morbidity are high, and emergency surgery is often required, which has a higher mortality than the same operation performed electively. Successful therapeutic endoscopic intervention in this high risk group is necessary to improve outcome. For injection treatment of nonvariceal bleeding lesions, the author has been using the Soehendra method (1:10,000 adrenaline and polidocanol) with success in 90% of actively bleeding patients. Three controlled trials of endoscopic sclerotherapy in bleeding peptic ulcer disease showed decreased blood transfusions, surgery and hospital stay, but did not find any significant difference in mortality. The ideal solution and the usefulness of additional therapy are questions which must be addressed via prospective controlled trials of a large number of patients. CAN J GASTROENTEROL 1990;4(9):650-652
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Traitement par injection des hémorragies gastrointestinales non variqueuses

RESUME: L'hémorragie gastro-intestinale est un problème courant sérieux qui s'accompagne d'une mortalité moyenne de 10%, chiffre qui a peu changé au cours des quarante dernières années. Chez 80% des patients, l'hémorragie cesse spontanément. Chez les patients qui saignent constamment ou dont les saignements récidivent (20%), la mortalité et la morbidité sont élevées et une intervention d'urgence s'impose souvent, laquelle est assortie d'un taux de mortalité supérieure à celle des mêmes opérations à froid. Dans ce groupe à risque élevé, il est nécessaire de recourir aux interventions endoscopiques thérapeutiques afin d'améliorer les résultats. L'auteur a recours au traitement par injection des lésions non variqueuses qui saignent; il utilise la méthode de Soehendra (1:10 000 adrénaline et polidocanol) avec succès chez 90% des patients qui saignent activement. Trois essais contrôlés utilisant des injections sclérosantes effectuées sous endoscopie dans le traitement des ulcères gastroduodénaux hémorragiques rapportent une diminution des transfusions, des gestes de contention...
bleeding. These include yttrium-aluminum-garnet (YAG) laser, monopolar and bipolar coagulation, heater probe and injection therapy. None of these modalities has been sufficiently well studied to permit definitive recommendations.

The ideal hemostatic technique should be simple, safe, portable, inexpensive and effective. These criteria are best fulfilled by injection therapy. Injection sclerotherapy has been used extensively in the control of bleeding esophageal varices and over the past few years has gained popularity for non-variceal bleeding. The technique has been practised in Japan and West Germany since the mid 1970s (5). A number of different substances have been injected, most of which are vasoconstrictors or sclerosants. Table 1 lists the injectable agents that have been used for treatment of upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

TECHNIQUE

Once an active or potential bleeding site has been accurately located, injection therapy can be attempted. The injection is performed using a variceal injector. A large single or double channel endoscope is preferred to allow for adequate suctioning of blood. Sclerosant or vasoconstrictor is injected at three or four sites surrounding an exposed bleeding vessel or non-bleeding visible vessel. The volume of agent injected depends on the type and should be minimized to avoid extension of ulcer and transmural injury.

MECHANISMS OF ACTION

The hemostatic mechanism of injectable agents is based on vasoconstricting or sclerosing effect.

Adrenaline in animal experiments has been shown to cause a prolonged decrease in local gastric bloodflow (6-8). The decrease in flow is felt to be secondary to the vasoconstrictive effect of adrenaline rather than to a mechanical effect of the injected volume.

Injection of absolute ethanol has been shown to cause degeneration and necrosis of the blood vessel wall with consequent thrombosis (9,10). This is accomplished by the fixative effect of ethanol. Part of the hemostasis may be supported by mechanical compression of vessels.

Hypertonic saline was shown to cause tissue edema, degeneration of vascular wall and consequent thrombosis in the vascular lumen (11).

Rutgeerts (12) examined the tissue effects of adrenaline 1:10,000, absolute alcohol and 1% polidocanol, and compared them with YAG laser and BICAP in dogs. Adrenaline caused focal damage without thrombosis of submucosal vessels and without serositis. Ethanol caused dehydration and fixation of the tissue with extensive submucosal and muscular necrosis and vessel thrombosis. Serositis was frequently observed. There was a linear relationship between the extent of damage and the volume of solution injected. Injection of 1% polidocanol caused lesions characterized by mucosal necrosis, partial necrosis of submucosa and submucosal edema. There was partial thrombosis of the vessels. The extent of damage from 1% polidocanol was related to the volume injected.

CLINICAL EXPERIENCE

Uncontrolled studies have been reported on the use of injection treatment with a number of different hemostatic agents, with successful treatment ranging from 84 to 100%. Asaki (9) injected absolute alcohol and obtained hemostasis in 100% of patients with 10% rebleeding. Sugawa (13) also using alcohol obtained permanent hemostasis in 88% of patients. Soehendra (14) has been injecting adrenaline 1:10,000 combined with 1% polidocanol and obtained hemostasis in 84% of pulsatile bleeders. Leung (15) used adrenaline alone with permanent hemostasis in 92% of patients.

Rutgeerts and colleagues (16) randomized 140 patients with ulcers containing an actively bleeding or nonbleeding visible vessel to sham treatment or to one of three endoscopic methods of hemostasis: adrenaline 1:10,000 alone, adrenaline 1:10,000 plus 1% polidocanol, and adrenaline followed by YAG laser treatment. In patients with a nonbleeding visible vessel, the sham treatment was significantly less effective than adrenaline plus polidocanol or adrenaline plus laser in achieving hemostasis. All three treatments reduced total transfusion requirement significantly compared with sham treatment. Adrenaline plus polidocanol was significantly more effective than adrenaline alone in achieving permanent hemostasis. Adrenaline plus laser was also more effective than adrenaline alone, but this difference was not statistically significant. The authors concluded that injection therapy with adrenaline plus polidocanol should be the treatment of choice based on cost, ease of use and safety.

A recent report from Spain documents the efficacy of this technique in a controlled trial (17). One hundred and thirteen patients with hemorrhage were randomized to endoscopic injection therapy and H2 blockers, or to H2 blockers alone. Each group had an equal number of patients with bleeding and nonbleeding visible vessels. All lesions were injected with 3 to 10 mL of adrenaline 1:10,000 and 6 to 12 mL of 1% polidocanol. Major recurrent bleeding occurred in 5% of injected patients and in 23% of patients randomized to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injectable agents used for treatment of upper gastrointestinal bleeding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline 1:10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline and hypertonic saline solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adrenaline 1:10,000 followed by polidocanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute ethanol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanolamine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

chirurgicaux et des hospitalisations, mais ne montrent aucune différence significative quant à la mortalité. Il faudrait examiner quelles seraient la solution idéale et l'utilité d'un traitement additionnel à l'aide d'essais prospectifs contrôlés portant sur un grand nombre de patients.
treatment with H2 blockers alone (P<0.001). The need for emergency surgery was reduced from 34 to 5% (P<0.001) and there was a significantly reduced requirement for blood transfusions and a reduction in the length of hospital stay.

A second randomized study by Chung et al (18) compared injection of adrenaline into bleeding ulcers with no endoscopic treatment. There was a significant difference in the two groups with respect to requirement for emergency surgery, requirement of blood transfusion and median hospital stay.

The third prospective randomized trial was carried out by Balanzo et al (19). They studied 72 patients with bleeding ulcers. Half were randomized to injection treatment with adrenaline plus polidocanol and half were managed medically. The study again confirmed the benefit of injection therapy comparing the requirement for emergency surgery and blood transfusions. None of these studies was able to show a significant difference in mortality.

COMPLICATIONS

The use of polidocanol has been associated with the appearance of large ulcers when more than 20 mL have been injected. The perforation rate is 1% (20). Injection of absolute alcohol has been associated with a less than 1% perforation rate (13).
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