IBD: Progress in pathogenesis
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Limited progress has occurred in the search for new etiological agents. Trials with antituberculous drugs appear to benefit some patients with Crohn's disease, but isolation of Mycobacterium avium from tissues continues to be sporadic. Genetic heterogeneity, suggested by clusters of patients with the same human leukocyte antigen or autoimmune markers, may predispose to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). Identification of dominant regions of the T cell receptor is being actively pursued with the hope of identifying an immune response to a restricted set of antigens. The potential relevance of autoantigens has been reinforced by their detection in the intestine (40 kDa protein) and presence of circulating autoantibodies. Levels of cytokines in the circulation tend to reflect clinical activity, whereas their presence in the inflamed gut may identify factors responsible for local damage. In addition to epithelial cells, the role of intestinal fibroblasts, muscle cells and endothelial cells in inflammation is being explored. Interest in animal models of IBD is gaining increasing support, mostly to test inhibitors of inflammation. This expanding knowledge in the pathogenesis of intestinal inflammation is generating new therapies. Lipoxygenase inhibitors, leukotriene receptor antagonists, cytokine antagonists, new immunosuppressors, and antibodies to CD4+ T cells and adhesion molecules are all under active investigation.
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WITH THE CONTINUOUS ADVANCES in the field of mucosal immunity and the characterization of various pathways involved in inflammatory responses, considerable progress has been made in the understanding of intestinal inflammation. Consequently, this has greatly improved knowledge of the biological, cellular and molecular mechanisms of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) - Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis. Once the most important mechanisms of gut inflammation are understood, it will be feasible to block them in a very specific and efficient manner, leading the way to truly effective forms of therapy.

The present challenge is to determine which of the several potential etiopathogenic factors (genetic, dietary, environmental, microbiological) is the most critical in activating the mucosal immune system, and which of the many cell types (plasma cells, T cells, macrophages, neutrophils, etc) or secreted substances (antibodies, eicosanoids, cytokines, oxygen radicals, etc) are directly responsible for tissue injury and should be targeted for specific blockade (1). Thus, the current status of progress in the pathogenesis of IBD can be defined as a phase of transition 'from mechanisms to therapy'. Recent developments in this transition phase are reviewed.
réponse immunitaire à un groupe restreint d'antigènes. L'importance potentielle des auto-antigènes a été confirmée par leur présence dans l'intestin (proéine 40 KDa) et par la présence d'auto-anticorps circulants. Les taux de cytokines dans la circulation tendent à refléter l'activité clinique, alors que leur présence dans l'intestin inflammar peut identifier les facteurs responsables de lésions locales. En plus des cellules épithéliales, le rôle des fibroblastes intestinaux, des cellules musculaires et endothéliales est étudié dans le processus inflammatoire. L'intérêt croît pour la maladie intestinale inflammatoire dans des modèles animaux, surtout en ce qui a trait aux inhibiteurs de l'inflammation. Cet approfondissement des connaissances sur la pathogénèse de l'inflammation intestinale, entraîne la mise au point de nouvelles thérapeutiques. Les inhibiteurs de la lipoxygénase, les antagonistes des récepteurs des leucotriènes et des cytokines, de nouveaux immunosuppresseurs et les anticorps anti-cellules CD4 + T et les molécules d'adhésion, font tous l'objet de recherches.

MICROBIOLOGY

The wave of excitement about a possible mycobacterial etiology of Crohn's disease is declining. This is due mostly to the inconsistent rate of recovery of Mycobacterium paratuberculosis organisms or DNA from involved tissues, and the few but consistent positive findings in control normal bowel (2,3). Nevertheless, anti-tuberculous drugs appear to benefit at least some patients with Crohn's disease (4), although it is unclear whether this is a direct effect on the Mycobacterium paratuberculosis or is caused by changes in the composition of the intestinal bacterial flora. Sophisticated techniques, such as the use of a polymerase chain reaction that allows detection of minute amounts of microorganism-specific DNA, may provide new clues to the precise identification of previously obscure agents, as recently demonstrated in Whipple's disease (5).

ENVIRONMENTAL, GENETIC AND OTHER PREDISPOSING FACTORS

No major developments have occurred in the detection of new environmental factors, and the possible role of socioeconomic status, climate, diet, smoking, pollutants, etc, is still unclear. Some progress, however, is being made in consolidating the concept of genetic heterogeneity in IBD (6). Among multiple potential candidate genes, an association with HLA-DR2 has been confirmed in American patients with ulcerative colitis, while in a comparable population Crohn's disease is significantly associated with the HLA-DR1/DQw5 phenotype (7). In addition, the finding of antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies in healthy family members of ulcerative colitis patients has raised the possibility that these antibodies may represent a marker of genetic susceptibility in this condition, independent of HLA class II antigen expression (8). The relevance of mucin abnormalities and increased intestinal permeability, previously heralded as potential predisposing factors, has been recently challenged in face of reports showing that mucin class IV deficiency may exist in the absence of ulcerative colitis (9), and that patients with Crohn's disease and their unaffected relatives may have normal absorption of marker molecules (10).

ANIMAL MODELS

Until recently animal models of intestinal inflammation have been considered to be of limited value because of their acute, self-limited nature and only distant relatedness to human IBD (11). Nevertheless, even acute experimental mucosal inflammation can be very useful for testing new anti-inflammatory agents, and their use is now increasing in parallel with the expanding arsenal of new therapeutic options (12). The search for animals with spontaneous intestinal inflammation has produced a new promising model, the C3H/HeJ inbred strain of mice, which exhibits an apparently heritable form of colitis (13). An even more sophisticated approach to creating novel colitis models can be undertaken by adopting molecular engineering, a system in which selected genetic information is transmitted by inoculation of specific DNA into a host animal, which will then express phenotypic manifestations related to the characteristics of the injected genes. The practical feasibility of this approach has been proven by the induction of small and large bowel inflammation in rats transgenic for the human HLA-B27 genes (14). An expanded utilization and screening of animals transfected with a variety of genes may lead to the development of new models more closely resembling human disease, and perhaps the identification of genes controlling inflammatory responses in the gut.

MUCOSAL IMMUNITY

During the last decade mucosal immunity has occupied a position of dominance in the study of IBD pathogenesis, and this is likely to continue for the foreseeable future (15). Although intestinal immune cells are at the centre of attention, the approach to their evaluation is progressively changing. In addition to defining the immunoregulatory role of mucosal T cells, and searching for related dysfunctions associated with IBD, attention is being focused on the way that these cells recognize antigens through their T cell receptors. To accomplish this, different regions (variable regions, V) of the α and β chains of the T cell receptor are used depending on the unique molecular structure of the antigen presented in association with HLA surface molecules (forming the so-called trimolecular complex). There are several examples of over or under-utilization of Vβ regions in the T cell receptor in autoimmune diseases, as reported in rheumatoid arthritis and autoimmune thyroiditis (16,17). This implies that a restricted or predominant antigen(s) may be involved in triggering specific T cells, and could provide clues to the nature of such antigen(s). Preliminary evidence for abnormal utilization of the T cell receptor in IBD has been recently presented, showing decreased expression of Vβ2
TABLE 1
Possible effects of mucosal immune system on intestinal cells in inflammatory bowel disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Type</th>
<th>Effect</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epithelium</td>
<td>Proliferation, secretion, mucus release, induction of secretory component and HLA-DR antigens, antigen presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle cells</td>
<td>Proliferation, hypertrophy, contraction, collagen deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endothelium</td>
<td>Activation, HLA-DR expression, antigen presentation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroblasts</td>
<td>Proliferation, hypertrophy, collagen deposition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous fibres</td>
<td>Modulation of neuropeptide secretion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

genes in lamina propria T cells of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis tissues, and expression of Vβ3 genes among intraepithelial lymphocytes from ulcerative colitis specimens (18,19).

While the detailed study of mucosal immune cells is essential to the understanding of the mechanisms of tissue damage in IBD, the investigation of the soluble mediators of inflammation derived from those cells is also generating information with important diagnostic and therapeutic implications. The intestinal mucosal cytokine network is extremely complex, and even more so in Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis (20). However, the cell source, distribution, concentration and measurement of selected cytokines in various compartments of the body is potentially of great value in confirming diagnoses, assessing clinical activity and devising new anti-inflammatory strategies. For instance, serum levels of soluble interleukin (IL) -2 receptor can be positively correlated with the Crohn’s disease activity index (21), whereas circulating levels of IL-6 are elevated in Crohn’s disease but not ulcerative colitis patients (22,23). Tumour necrosis factor α (TNFα) is elevated in both the peripheral blood and stools of children with active IBD (24,25). Quite recently, an imbalance of IL-1, one of the most potent pro-inflammatory cytokines, and its receptor antagonist (IL-Ira), a natural anti-inflammatory protein, has been shown to be present in IBD mucosa (26). If confirmed, this would suggest that exogenous supplementation of additional IL-Ira to patients with active disease may re-establish a normal III-IL-Ira equilibrium, thus blocking the deleterious effects of excessive amounts of IL-1 in the gut, and resulting in anti-inflammatory activity.

A very novel aspect of mucosal immunity is the recognition of an increasingly important role of the so-called ‘nonimmune cells’ in modulation of local events in physiological and pathological conditions. In addition to the intraepithelial lymphocytes and the mononuclear cells of the lamina propria, the intestinal mucosa contains epithelial, muscle and endothelial cells, as well as fibroblasts. All these cell types have the demonstrated or potential capacity for carrying on immune functions, such as antigen presentation, immunoregulation and secretion of soluble mediators. The ability of gut epithelium to display class II (HLA-DR) antigens is well established (27). The functional counterpart of this phenomenon is the epithelial cells’ capacity to present antigen (28). This function can be abnormal in IBD and perhaps contributes to the pathogenesis of this condition (29). Therefore, the gut epithelium may be contributing to the pathogenesis of IBD more actively than previously thought. This concept is further supported by the recent demonstration that epithelial cells produce the potent pro-inflammatory lipid mediator platelet-activating factor, and that its production is significantly elevated in ulcerative colitis (30). Intestinal fibroblasts generate large amounts of collagen, even more so when they are derived from strictured areas of Crohn’s disease (31). This again demonstrates how mucosal mesenchymal cells may be critical in the development of some of the most characteristic manifestations of IBD.

Current investigations in the authors’ laboratory show that intestinal muscularis mucosal cells and mucosal fibroblasts also respond to immune cell-derived cytokines: both cell types proliferate when exposed to recombinant IL-1, IL-6 and TNFα, a combination of them, or mixtures of natural cytokines contained in lamina propria-generated culture supernatants (32). Furthermore, the effect of IL-1 on these cells results in the induction of IL-6 and procollagen mRNA (Strong et al., unpublished data). All these observations indicate that the mucosal inflammatory response in IBD is much more complex than previously considered, with the involvement of ectodermal, endodermal and mesenchymal cells. As a consequence, the local cytokine network cannot be restricted to immune cells and cytokines, but must be expanded to include nonimmune cells and factors, all of them mutually acting upon and modulating each other. The possible immune-nonimmune cell interactions in the mucosa and their effects in IBD are summarized in Table 1.

FROM MECHANISMS TO THERAPY

Until now the treatment of both Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis has been based on a poorly defined rationale and an empiric approach, mostly centred on administration of non-specific anti-inflammatory agents. Aminosalicylates and corticosteroids have been used by clinicians and gastroenterologists for a long time with good to satisfactory results for some but not all patients, and their relative effectiveness is probably due to a broad although non-specific antiinflammatory activity (33). Immunosuppressive drugs (azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate) were later introduced in an attempt to help the most difficult patients (34), but even the most recent and potent substances (cyclosporine A, FK506) have important sideeffects, substantial toxicity and limited indications. This situation is due to lack of basic knowledge of the cause and pathogenic mechanisms of IBD. Although the precise cause(s) remain unknown, considerable advances have been made in the pathogenesis, allow-
ing a much more precise and promising therapy to be envisaged in the near future.

At present, the logical choice for main targets of treatment in IBD should be the soluble mediators which, after being released by a multitude of activated mucosal cells, are likely to directly or indirectly cause inflammatory damage. These mediators are represented by a rather large and heterogeneous group of substances, including leukotrienes, thromboxanes, platelet-activating factor, cytokines (IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, TNFα, etc) and oxygen free radicals (35). Specific inhibitors, blockers or antagonists are being developed, with very promising preliminary results in animal models (12,36,37).

In addition to anti-soluble mediator substances, investigators are also looking into alternate and sophisticated manipulations of the immune system to bring mucosal inflammation under control. The use of anti-CD4 monoclonal antibodies has shown very exciting preliminary results (38,39). Blocking vascular adhesion molecules with monoclonal antibodies may also yield another way of preventing inflammatory cells from entering the interstitium and causing inflammation (40). A future approach may include the induction of oral tolerance once specific antigens are identified. It is unlikely that all these new forms of treatment will be equally effective, but some will emerge as truly new weapons against IBD, while others will retain a secondary or complementary role. Finally, it should be remembered that patients with Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis, although sharing some common pathogenic mechanisms, probably have some unique pathways of inflammation of their own, and perhaps even for different manifestations in various clinical subgroups. Therefore, one should consider that combinations of two or more inflammatory blockers, or combinations of new and old drugs, may be the way of achieving the most efficacious results.
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