Omeprazole inhibits acetylsalicylic acid-modified histamine stimulation of acid secretion in rabbit gastric glands
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DT BROSS EUK, IGM CLEATOR, AJ RAE, G WANKLING. Omeprazole inhibits acetylsalicylic acid-modified histamine stimulation of acid secretion in rabbit gastric glands. Can J Gastroenterol 1994;8(1):15-20. The effects of misoprostol and omeprazole on basal-, histamine- and acetylsalicylic acid (ASA)-induced gastric acid secretion by isolated rabbit gastric glands were studied. The authors found that ASA at a concentration of 2.4X10⁻³ M significantly inhibited acid secretion in the isolated gastric glands to 65% of basal levels, and that ASA at a concentration of 2.4X10⁻² M significantly inhibited the histamine stimulation of acid secretion to 78% of maximal. Misoprostol inhibited acid secretion to 76% of basal acid secretion, while omeprazole inhibited secretion to 58% of basal values. Misoprostol inhibited the ASA-modified histamine stimulation to 82% of maximal stimulation. In contrast, omeprazole was able to inhibit the ASA-modified histamine stimulation to 48% of maximal. This omeprazole inhibition of secretagogue-induced acid production reduced acid secretion to levels below basal secretion, indicating that neither histamine nor ASA (at the concentrations used), alone or in combination, had any stimulatory effect in the presence of omeprazole. Misoprostol is the recommended drug of choice for prevention and treatment of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID)-induced mucosal injury. In vitro results suggest that omeprazole appears to treat this condition more effectively if gastric acid secretion is a necessary prerequisite for NSAID-induced mucosal injury.
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L'omeprazole inhibite la stimulation de la sécrétion acide par la glande gastrique chez lapin provoquée par l'histamine modifiée par l'acide acétylsalicylique

RÉSUMÉ : Les effets du misoprostol et de l'omeprazole sur les sécrétions d'acide gastrique basales, induites par l'histamine et par l'acide acétylsalicylique (AAS)
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mucosal injury requires an acidic gastric environment, omeprazole should be able to inhibit this injury through its blockade of the proton pump, and we should be able to show inhibition of acid secretion in the presence of ASA in vitro. We chose isolated rabbit gastric glands as our model to study this hypothesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Gastric glands were obtained in a fashion similar to the method established by Berglindh and Obrink (6). The study protocol was approved by the University of British Columbia Animal Care Committee. New Zealand white rabbits were heparinized and anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine. The stomach was perfused via a catheter inserted through the abdominal aorta with clamping of the supradiaphragmatic aorta to isolate perfusion to the upper abdominal organs. The perfusate was a phosphate-buffered saline solution (149.6 mM sodium chloride, 3.0 mM potassium chloride, 0.64 mM sodium bicarbonate, pH 7.4) which caused edema of the gastric wall to enhance separation of the gastric mucosa. The antrum was then excised from the stomach and gastric mucosa was harvested through blunt dissection. After mechanical mincing, mucosa was digested in an oxygenated collagenase solution (130.0 mM sodium chloride, 12.0 mM sodium bicarbonate, 3.0 mM sodium phosphate monohydrate, 3.0 mM sodium phosphate dibasic, 3.0 mM dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, 2.0 mM magnesium sulphate, 1.0 mM calcium chloride, 5.0 mg/L phenol red, 1.0 mg/10 mL collagenase [Sigma type 1, Missouri], 1.5 mg/10 mL albumin, 1.5 mg/10 mL glucose) for 60 mins. During this incubation and subsequent incubations, water bath temperatures remained at 37°C and a pH of 7.4 was maintained. Following collagenase digestion, the collagenease-enzyme solution and gastric glands were filtered through 170 μM pores to remove large debris. Glands were rinsed in respiratory medium (132.4 mM sodium chloride, 5.4 mM potassium chloride, 5.0 mM sodium phosphate dibasic, 1.0 mM sodium phosphate monohydrate, 1.2 mM magnesium sulphate, 1.0 mM calcium chloride, 5 mg/L phenol red, 2.0 mg/10 mL albumin, 2.0 mg/10 mL glucose). At this stage, glands were permitted to settle, supernatant was poured off and glands were resuspended in 30 mL of respiratory medium containing 0.11 mL (0.2 MBq) of C-14 aminopyrine (Amersham) in solution. A second incubation of these glands in the combined aminopyrine/respiratory medium took place over 30 mins. During the two incubations, a 96-well tray was prepared for the final step in the experiment. Each well was filled with 170 μL of respiratory medium and a 15 μL solution of each secretagogue or inhibitor, or combination of these substances, assigned to the particular well. In this series of experiments, 1.0x10^-4 M histamine (Sigma), 1.8x10^-6 M misoprostol (Searle Canada), 1.9x10^-4 M omeprazole (Astra Pharma) and 2.4x10^-4 M ASA were used.

In a secondary experiment, ASA in concentrations of 2.4x10^-3 M and 2.4x10^-2 M were used to analyze the effect of increasing ASA dosing. Upon completion of a second incubation, 100 μL of cell solution was added to each well, according to Adrian's micromethod (7). A third and final incubation involving placing the incubation chamber housing the microtiter plate into a water bath was performed for 60 mins. Flow rate of oxygen through the chamber was 3 L/min. Following incubation, the microtiter plate was placed on a vacuum filtration unit for 3 to 4 mins to remove the supernatant. Any wells that did not adequately filter through due to an excess of cellular debris were discarded and not included in any subsequent analysis. Filters in each well were dried and then punched into scintillation vials. Ten millilitres of Ecolite (+) (ICN Biochemicals) scintillant was added to each vial and beta counting was performed for 5 mins (Beckman LS7500).

In the second set of experiments to analyze the effects of increasing concentrations of ASA, a separate batch of scintillant was used and beta counting was performed on a separate beta counter. Uptake of aminopyrine into the parietal cell estimates acid secretion (6) and, in turn, indicates the secretory effect of secretagogues and acid-inhibiting drugs upon these cells.

STATISTICS

Differences between drug effects were determined by calculating weighted comparisons between the mean response across animals. The average value for each animal was estimated as the mean of all wells from that subject (typically seven to nine wells). These values were weighted to the between well variation for each animal.
Figure 1) Decays per minute (dpm) of C-14 aminopyrine in isolated rabbit gastric glands as a measure of acid secretion. Values are given as an average of all wells in each category (n=5 animals). Histamine was added as 1.0x10^{-4} M, acetylsalicylic acid as 2.4x10^{-4} M, omeprazole as 1.9x10^{-3} M and misoprostol as 1.8x10^{-6} M.

Figure 2) Inhibition of acid secretion in isolated rabbit gastric glands by increasing concentrations of acetylsalicylic acid ('aspirin' in the figure). Aspirin dose 1 is 2.4x10^{-4} M, dose 2 is 2.4x10^{-3} M and dose 3 is 2.4x10^{-2} M. Inhibition at dose 2 is statistically significant without the presence of histamine, and inhibition at dose 3 is statistically significant in the presence of histamine stimulation. dpm Decays per minute.
The weighted estimates were used to compute 95 to 99% confidence intervals about the mean response. Tighter confidence intervals were obtained to correct for multiple comparisons. Significant differences were assumed when confidence intervals did not overlap (P<0.05).

RESULTS

The wells belonging to the control group produced a mean count of 1206.6±32.1 dpm per minute (dpm). These decay values were counted for 5 mins and then averaged. Figure 1 shows that addition of histamine significantly increased the beta count to 1873.4±60.4 dpm, representing a 55% increase in acid secretion above baseline. Addition of ASA alone had an inhibitory effect on the wells that was not significant at a concentration of 2.4x10^{-3} M (Figure 2). It is of note that this second set of experiments used a separate batch of scintillant and a separate beta counter which resulted in across-the-board higher beta counts in the second set of experiments compared with the first (eg, control counts of 2391.8 versus 1206.6). This does not, however, affect the comparisons being made within each set of experiments. When ASA and histamine were added to the cells, there was an inhibition of the histamine stimulation with beta counts of 1465.1±47.5 dpm; this represents a decreased acid secretion of 12% below stimulation of histamine alone (Figure 1) which is not statistically significant, but which, once again, in subsequent experiments was found to be significant inhibition with a concentration of 2.4x10^{-2} M (Figure 2).

Misoprostol added to the cells produced a significant inhibitory effect with beta counts of 913.9±14.1 dpm, a 24% decrease in acid secretion below baseline. Omeprazole significantly inhibited acid secretion by 42% below basal levels, producing beta counts of 696.3±16.9 dpm (Figure 1). The inhibition by omeprazole is a statistically significant better inhibition than that shown by misoprostol. When misoprostol was added to the wells containing both ASA and histamine, it produced beta counts of 1204.2±30.55 dpm, a significant 18% reduction in acid secretion below the maximal levels. Omeprazole was able to inhibit the maximal secretion by 52%, with beta counts of 702.2±20.4 dpm (Figure 1). Once again the increase in inhibition by omeprazole compared with misoprostol attained statistical significance. This inhibition by omeprazole on wells containing both ASA and histamine produced virtually the same result as when omeprazole was added to cells being stimulated by histamine alone, which resulted in beta counts of 731.6±24.5 dpm (Figure 3). Thus, the greatest inhibition of unmodified, and - of particular interest to our study -
ASA-modified acid secretion, was exhibited by omeprazole at the concentrations that we tested. Regardless of additives, omeprazole consistently inhibited acid secretion to about 700 dpm readings.

**DISCUSSION**

Misoprostol has been shown in a double-blind, placebo controlled trial to prevent NSAID-induced gastric ulcer (8). Misoprostol is also noted to be effective in the prevention of NSAID-induced duodenal ulceration (9). Although H2-receptor antagonists have been shown to have some role in the prevention of NSAID-induced gastric and duodenal ulceration (10), no other agent has been proven to be as effective as misoprostol in the prevention of NSAID-induced duodenal ulceration (11); if this is correct, any agent that maximally inhibits the production of hydrogen ions should be a very useful agent for the prevention and treatment of these lesions.

Omeprazole, a substituted benzimidazole, is a potent inhibitor of both histaminic and cholinergic stimulation of acid secretion by gastric parietal cells (3). Romano and colleagues (12) have demonstrated that omeprazole may also have a protective effect on gastric cells independent of inhibition of gastric acid secretion. Konturek (13) showed that this cytotoxic effect of omeprazole extends to gastric lesions induced specifically by ASA. It has not been previously demonstrated that omeprazole can inhibit acid secretion in the presence of ASA, in addition to any direct cytoprotection it affords against the ASA effect.

The literature contains contradictory reports on the effect that ASA has on gastric acid secretion, with different investigators suggesting there is no effect, stimulation and inhibition of acid secretion by ASA.

Levine et al (14) have suggested that ASA does not affect basal acid secretion, but may potentiate the secretagogue stimulation of acid secretion by gastric parietal cells. In our experiment, using a refined model of isolated rabbit gastric glands, we have confirmed the finding that a low concentration of ASA does not alter baseline acid secretion; in addition, we found that ASA at higher concentrations actually inhibits basal acid secretion. These results are in agreement with the findings of Sheahan and co-workers (15) who showed in vivo that ASA inhibits H+ secretion in rhesus monkeys. These investigators speculated that this inhibition in vivo may be due to a combination of the back diffusion of H+ into the cells following ASA-induced mucosal injury and a direct inhibitory action of ASA on the parietal cells.

Levine and colleagues (14) demonstrated ASA potentiation of histamine-stimulated acid secretion in isolated parietal cells. Under the same conditions, they were not able to demonstrate potentiation in isolated gastric glands except after a 'prolonged' incubation of 30 to 45 mins.

In our experiments using isolated gastric glands with incubation times of 60 mins, we did not reproduce the potentiation demonstrated by Levine and, in fact, we showed inhibition with increasing ASA concentration. One possible explanation for this inconsistency in results between Levine's isolated parietal cells and our isolated gastric glands is that perhaps the integrity of the gastric gland as a functional unit with its chief cells, mucous cells and endocrine cells, together with the parietal cells, is necessary to show the inhibitory effect of ASA which we have demonstrated. This, however, does not reconcile the inconsistency between the inhibition that we found with glands incubated for 60 mins compared with the potentiation which Levine found with glands incubated for 30 to 45 mins. Levine's hypothesis that ASA potentiates secretion of H+ through a mechanism of mobilization of intracellular Ca2+ may be correct, but the level of intraluminal H+ depends on both the rate of H+ secretion and on the rate of back diffusion of the ions into the cells from the lumen. The rate at which ASA facilitates back diffusion of the ions into the gastric cells may be sufficient to more than compensate for Levine's hypothesized increased secretion of the ion, resulting in a net decrease in the intraluminal H+ in response to the ASA.

If the theory of back diffusion of H+ into the gastric mucosal cells is correct, it suggests that mucosal damage by ASA is mediated not so much by changes in intraluminal pH, but perhaps more so by changes in the intracellular pH secondary to this ionic back diffusion. The mucosal injury still depends on the presence of some intraluminal acid which must provide the source of H+ for back diffusion.

**CONCLUSIONS**

We have demonstrated that high concentrations of ASA inhibit basal and stimulated levels of acid secretion by isolated gastric glands. We have also demonstrated that omeprazole is able to inhibit completely the secretagogue effect of histamine on the gastric glands, and that the presence of ASA does not interfere with the ability of omeprazole to inhibit the histamine stimulation of acid secretion. Misoprostol was able to inhibit the histamine stimulation of acid secretion both with and without the presence of ASA to a much lesser degree than the omeprazole. In fact, we have shown that omeprazole inhibits both stimulated and unstimulated levels of acid secretion to a constant level of secretion which is not altered significantly by any histamine or ASA effect.

These results in vitro indicate that omeprazole may be a very effective agent for the prevention and treatment of ASA-induced gastrointestinal mucosal injury if an acidic gastric environment is a prerequisite to this injury as the source of the injurious back diffusing H+ ions.
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