Atypical manometric abnormality of the lower esophageal sphincter: A procedure-related, stress-induced phenomenon?
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INCREASING CLINICAL EXPERIENCE and experimental evidence suggest that psychological stressors can produce esophageal motility abnormalities (1). Psychologically stressful interviews may produce simultaneous and repetitive contractions (2), and loud noises or difficult mental tasks performed during manometry have been shown to increase the contraction amplitude and produce simultaneous contractions in the distal esophagus of normal subjects (3-5). Furthermore, a recent study demonstrated abnormal lower esophageal sphincter (LES) relaxation in healthy volunteers during experimental stress (6). Patients undergoing the stress of alcohol withdrawal have been observed to have hypertensive distal esophageal contractions and elevated LES pressures, which returned to normal after one month of abstinence (7). In one study, stress-induced abnormal motility was observed in healthy control subjects but the response was exaggerated in those with motility disorders, particularly nutcracker esophagus (8).

In the current report the authors describe two patients with symptoms suggestive of esophageal dysmotility in whom initial manometric studies showed hypertensive LES pressures and impaired LES relaxation. Both patients...
were markedly agitated during the initial study. When manometry was subsequently repeated with the patients in a calmer state, LES pressure and function had returned to normal.

**CASE 1 PRESENTATION**

A 22-year-old Inuit male was referred for investigation of nonstructural dysphagia of two years’ duration. The patient spoke no English and therefore a detailed history was difficult to obtain. Barium swallow was suggestive of some incoordination of deglutition but it was unclear from the history whether the dysphagia was esophageal or oropharyngeal in origin. Endoscopic examination was normal with no evidence of a hiatus hernia. On the day of the study the patient was remarkably anxious despite repeated reassurances by the physician and the technician. The patient became more agitated and restless after the intubation. The pull-through LES pressures were normal but, with the sleeve straddling the LES, resting pressures were significantly elevated over 50 mmHg above intragastric pressure. With approximately 40% of the wet swallows LES relaxation was only 50% of maximal. All swallows resulted in a normally propagated peristaltic wave, but in one-third of swallows distal contraction amplitude was elevated at more than 200 mmHg. Following this, the acid perfusion study was performed which reproduced the patient’s chest pain. This was associated with slight disorganization of motility with multipeaked waves. At this point the patient became increasingly agitated and demanded removal of catheter.

He was tried on numerous medications by the referring gastroenterologist, including omeprazole, nifedipine and isosorbide dinitrate, with only temporary symptom relief. He continued to have recurrent episodes of disabling chest pain for many months and the referring gastroenterologist considered performing a pneumatic dilation of the LES. Before proceeding with this, however, a repeat motility study was requested and on this occasion the patient was quite relaxed and calm. The baseline study showed normal resting LES pressure and complete swallow-induced LES relaxation. Esophageal peristalsis was again normal and only one of the 14 wet swallows resulted in a hypertensive contraction in balloon distension and 40 ng/kg of intravenous CCK-OP, indicating normal inhibitory innervation to the sphincter.

**CASE 2 PRESENTATION**

A 33-year-old Caucasian male was referred for esophageal motility studies for investigation of noncardiac chest pain with concurrent dysphagia. Between pain attacks he was free from dysphagia. Barium x-ray studies and endoscopy were negative. There was no evidence of a hiatus hernia. On the day of the study the patient was remarkably anxious despite repeated reassurances by the physician and the technician. The patient became more agitated and restless after the intubation. The pull-through LES pressures were normal but, with the sleeve straddling the LES, resting pressures were significantly elevated over 50 mmHg above intragastric pressure. The authors’ technique for performing esophageal manometry and provocative testing as well as the manometric criteria for the diagnosis of esophageal motility disorders have been reported in detail previously (9,10). The Dentsleeve manometry catheter (Adelaide, Australia) was passed through the nose and positioned so that all leads initially recorded intragastric pressure. A station pull-through of the LES was then performed and the catheter was positioned so that the sleeve straddled the LES. During the intubation and subsequent recording period the patient appeared to be extremely anxious and agitated. Mean resting LES pressure was elevated at about 40 mmHg above intragastric pressure. Swallow-induced LES relaxation was markedly abnormal, ranging between 30 and 40% of maximal (Figure 1). Peristalsis in the esophageal body was normal. Neither acid perfusion nor bethanechol provocation produced symptoms or changed the motility patterns.

To evaluate the LES dysfunction further, a repeat manometry was recommended to include balloon distension and cholecystokinin-octapeptide (CCK-OP) provocation (11). This was performed a week later; the patient appeared much calmer and tolerated the intubation well. LES resting pressures were now normal and the swallow-induced LES relaxation was complete (Figure 1). Furthermore, the LES relaxed normally to both midesophageal balloon distension and 40 ng/kg of intravenous CCK-OP, indicating normal inhibitory innervation to the sphincter.
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the distal esophagus. In view of this finding, pneumatic dilation was not performed. The patient's chest pain resolved following treatment with amitriptyline.

DISCUSSION

The development of low compli-
ance perfusion manometry systems of high fidelity has brought esophageal motility studies into the modern age. This new technology allowed criteria to be established for normal values based on studies in a large number of healthy adult volunteers (12,13). However, with the improved technology came the appearance of 'new' esophageal disorders. It has been questioned whether these newer abnormal motility patterns represent important disturbances of esophageal function or simply 'curious' manometric findings. Cohen (14) suggested strict criteria to define a manometric finding as an important esophageal disease. Even then there is a significant overlap between normal individuals and subjects with genuine primary esophageal motility disorders. Thus, the observation that experimental stress can induce manometry abnormalities in healthy control subjects should make one cautious in interpreting certain abnormal motility patterns.

Stress-induced esophageal contraction abnormalities have been well documented in the literature as early as 1949 (1-5,7,8), but relatively little has been published concerning stress-induced LES dysfunction. A recent study of healthy volunteers (6) found that artificial stressors resulted in impaired LES relaxation. The authors found coexisting augmentation of diaphragmatic crural activity and suggested that this may be the underlying cause of the impaired relaxation.

The two patients reported above showed marked LES dysfunction during the initial manometry which normalized when the study was repeated. Both patients' resting LES pressure was elevated with evidence of significantly impaired swallow-induced LES relaxation. Although this was consistent with achalasia, the peristalsis in the esophageal body was normal and the barium x-ray was also within normal limits. Both patients displayed marked anxiety and agitation during the first but not the second manometric study, and this is the most likely explanation of the abnormal findings. Whether the abnormal LES relaxation was related to augmented crural activity of the diaphragm or was secondary to true temporary impairment of the inhibitory innervation to the LES is uncertain. Neither patient had evidence of a hiatus hernia; therefore it is conceivable that abnormal contraction of the crural diaphragm was contributing to the manometric abnormality.

CONCLUSIONS

The above cases suggest that one must consider procedure-related stress as a cause of manometric abnormalities of the LES, particularly when the abnormality does not fit with the overall clinical, radiologic and manometric features. The authors suggest that a repeat manometric study be performed in patients who show significant motility abnormalities but have objective evidence of stress and anxiety during the manometry studies. Alternatively, it may be best to terminate esophageal manometry testing in markedly agitated patients and reattempt the study at a later date. Failure to do so could lead to significant errors in diagnosis and inappropriate therapy.
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