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The absence of an animal model that correlates with the course of either ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease has impeded basic research to define the etiopathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and clinical research involving potential therapeutic agents. Basic progress in animal (primarily mouse) gene typing accompanied by both knock-out and transgenic techniques may, eventually, identify genetic predispositions that apply to human IBD. Meanwhile, continued clinical research in IBD has elucidated many aspects of the immune and inflammatory cascades contributing to the pathogenesis of ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease, which may translate into a better understanding of the clinical manifestations and disease course and may promise eventual therapeutic improvements. Concurrently, new serological markers (eg, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies [ANCA]) and genetic phenotypic markers (eg, human leukocyte antigen [HLA]-B27) may further identify subgroups to assess with permeability probes, leukocyte scans or endoscopy for preclinical disease. Provocation with nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may be useful in selected patients because NSAID mucosal damage may induce or mimic IBD. Alternative natural history or interventional studies in patients with human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-B27 spondylarthropathy may be useful. The disease margin and pouchitis are models within the disease state of ulcerative colitis as are the aphthous ulcer, anastomotic margin and diverted fecal stream for Crohn’s disease. Newly defined colitides, such as microscopic and collagenous colitis and diversion colitis, also provide potential comparative models to evaluate mucosal immune, inflammatory, reparative, secretory and absorptive regulation.

Key Words: Crohn’s disease, Genetic models, Human models, Inflammatory bowel disease, Ulcerative colitis

Modèles humains potentiels de maladie inflammatoire de l’intestin

RÉSUMÉ : Comme c’est le cas pour les modèles animaux de la maladie inflammatoire de l’intestin, il n’y a pas de modèle humain distinct représentatif de la colite ulcéreuse ou de la maladie de Crohn. Un modèle humain idéal pourra être mis au point quand les définitions étiopathogénétiques et autres classifications et descriptions des scénarios cliniques ou pathologiques auront été établies. Les modèles humains actuels peuvent être classés en deux types dépeignant le risque
can be used to study the pathogenesis and natural history of and therapies for IBD.

**RISK FACTORS**

Several risk factors for IBD development may be considered as models in predisposed individuals to distinguish the susceptibility towards environmental exposure, injury or both. The family history of IBD presents the most available means of evaluating potential candidates for developing disease. Linkage studies are underway that may eventually identify patients at risk, as may serological studies (eg, using perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies [pANCA]). Unfortunately, there is no facile means of assessing preclinical disease. Therefore, the natural history of relatives with identified markers such as HLA-DR2 or pANCA should be observed, especially in ‘multiplex’ families. Testing these individuals affords the potential to measure other possible preclinical markers or response parameters. For instance, intestinal permeability has been used with variable results in active and quiescent IBD and as a marker for preclinical relapse (1). The original finding of increased permeability in family members of Crohn’s disease patients has not been verified (2); however, the concept of permeability as a potential marker should not be abandoned. Further, provocative permeability testing using nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) may increase the sensitivity of permeability studies (3). The influence of cigarette smoking on permeability in relatives of IBD patients could also be explored, especially in relatives of ulcerative colitis patients who may have an increased risk of developing clinical disease if they have stopped smoking. It would be of great interest to evaluate family members documented to have increased permeability with additional studies such as leukocyte scans or even ileocolonoscopy to rule out preclinical mucosal/histological disease.

**PRECLINICAL MARKERS**

Preclinical markers of IBD may also be identified in patients with recognized predisposing diseases such as HLA-B27-associated spondylarthropathy. Patients with ankylosing spondylitis have a high incidence of associated ileitis with endoscopic and histological features that are indistinguishable from those of Crohn’s disease (4). Additional studies of mucosal immune function in these individuals should provide insight into both initiating (or predisposing) and amplifying factors (5). Few natural history studies have been performed in this subgroup of patients.

NSAID-induced small bowel and colonic damage have recently been observed to mimic many of the mucosal (and potentially clinical) features of IBD (6). This provides the opportunity to evaluate both a risk factor for IBD in susceptible individuals (eg, relatives) and the normal reparative mechanisms that may be absent or deficient (including eicosanoids, cytokines and growth factors). Alternative acute models of idiopathic IBD include enterocolitis of known etiology such as infectious, ischemic or radiation-induced mucosal damage where there is the potential to measure regulatory immune, inflammatory events and tissue repair in healthy individuals, and in IBD patients and relatives.

**POTENTIAL MODELS**

Potential models of IBD exist even within the disease course. In ulcerative colitis there are two excellent models to assess mucosal events: the margin of disease and pouchitis. The margin between active inflammation and ‘normal’ mucosa has been inadequately studied. Most often patients with distal colitis are included with pancolitics when mediators or histology are examined. The disease margin is a prototype for defining mucosal abnormalities in the same individual with an identical genetic disposition. Local immune, inflammatory and regenerative features need to be studied in patients. Likewise, pouchitis offers another example of an aberrant tissue response to (presumably) similar environmental exposures in patients with ulcerative colitis and familial polyposis. Genetic dispositions can be compared among these groups but, even among ulcerative colitis patients, the natural history of pouchitis (beginning with the diverted or naive pouch followed by bacterial proliferation) and the defined risk for subclinical and clinical disease, as well as the potential for healing with antibiotic therapy, offer the opportunity to study patients in a sequential fashion (7). It appears that risk factors may also predictably be applied to patients before ileal pouch formation, such as the presence of extraintestinal manifestations or pANCA.

Potential models for the study of Crohn’s disease also exist within the disease spectrum and clinical course. The aphthous ulcer occurs in healthy individuals, in patients with NSAID exposure and as isolated findings as a presumed ‘primary’ lesion or event in
Crohn’s disease. Further exploration of the immunoinflammatory and mediator events in and around these Crohn’s, infectious or NSAID-induced lesions offers additional insight into pathogenic differences. Two additional models of Crohn’s disease include the anastomotic site and fecal diversion. The predisposition for Crohn’s disease to recur at the proximal to the anastomotic margin affords the potential to monitor mucosal events sequentially; this has recently been the focus of several interventional studies to prevent prophylaxis or alter both endoscopic and clinical disease. Similarly, diversion of the fecal stream in Crohn’s disease offers an alternative model to study the inflammatory process (8).

A number of newly described colitides also need to be examined as models for IBD as additional epidemiological, clinical, histopathological, immune and associated factors are explored. The recent recognition of collagenous, microscopic diversion and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-associated enterocolitis affords the opportunity to examine potential similarities and differences with the classic IBD syndromes (9).

CONCLUSIONS
In the examination and evaluation of these and other potential human models of IBD it is important not to limit ourselves to current paradigms of etiopathogenesis (10). We must be humbled by the recent example of the discovery of Helicobacter pylori in peptic ulcer disease. When confronted with the current dogma seeking an immunological ‘truth’ as a predisposing cause of IBD, we should remain open to alternative hypotheses including dysfunctional epithelium, alternative microorganisms (including viral vasculitis and epithelial bacteria) and molecular mimicry or autoimmunity, and use these concepts for hypothesis testing rather than decreeing them exceptions to our current conceived formulations on etiopathogenesis.
