Electrogastrography: A noninvasive technique to evaluate gastric electrical activity
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Electrogastrography (EGG) is the recording of gastric electrical activity (GEA) from the body surface. The cutaneous signal is low in amplitude and consequently must be amplified considerably. The resultant signal is heavily contaminated with noise, and visual analysis alone of an EGG signal is inadequate. Consequently, EGG recordings require special methodology for acquisition, processing and analysis. Essential components of this methodology involve an adequate system of digital filtering, amplification and analysis, along with minimization of the sources of external noise (random motions of the patient, electrode-skin interface impedance, electrode bending, obesity, etc.) and a quantitative interpretation of the recordings. There is a close relationship between GEA and gastric motility. Although it has been demonstrated that EGG satisfactorily reflects internal GEA frequency, there is not acceptable correlation with gastric contractions or gastric emptying. Many attempts have been made to relate EGG ‘abnormalities’ with clinical syndromes and diseases; however, the diagnostic and clinical value of EGG is still very much in question.
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Alvarez (1) first recorded human electrical gastric activity from the body surface in 1921; however, until recently this technique – electrogastrography (EGG) – was largely ignored because of poor signal definition. Computer acquisition and analysis have dramatically improved signal quality and interest in EGG. A growing literature has emerged in the past decade about EGG, its accuracy and its clinical applications (2-4).

GASTRIC MOTOR FUNCTION AND GASTRIC ELECTRICAL ACTIVITY

Gastric motor function is a complex process whose purposes are to store ingested food, mix it with secretions and grind it into particles small enough to be emptied through the pylorus at a rate that permits efficient digestion and absorption. This complex process requires a fine modulation and coordination of motility in different parts of the stomach, pylorus and duodenum. Since the first studies of Cannon (5), the stomach has been divided into two different motor regions, each with different physiological functions (6-8). The proximal stomach (anatomical fundus and proximal one-third of the corpus) functions as a reservoir, controlling intragastric pressure and, consequently, the emptying of liquids. The distal stomach’s major role is in the mixing, grinding and emptying of solid food.

The contractile pattern of each of these regions differs significantly. In the proximal stomach there are infrequent, long duration and low amplitude phasic contractions superimposed on a steady state contraction; in the distal stomach, peristaltic contractions predominate. During their distal propagation towards the pylorus, antral contractions increase in amplitude and velocity (6,9). There is increasing evidence that both areas of the stomach play an important role in the emptying of both liquids and solids (10-13). At least a part of liquid emptying is pulsatile, indicating a probable role of the antrum (13). Similarly, decreased fundic tone has been related to delayed gastric emptying of both liquids and solids in patients with postsurgical gastroparesis syndrome (12).

Similar to their action in the heart, contractions of the stomach are preceded by electrical events. Very little work has been done on the electrical generation of contractions in the proximal stomach. Electrical events in the antrum, termed gastric electrical activity (GEA), on the other hand, have been extensively studied in animals and humans (6,9,14-17). These electrical events are the major factor determining frequency, velocity and direction of propagation of antral contractions. GEA is directly associated with phasic depolarization of gastric smooth muscle cells (6,9,14-18). Isolated antral muscle cells undergo cyclic depolarizations known as electrical control activity (ECA) or ‘slow waves’. ECA frequency is not uniform throughout the distal stomach. Cells from the distal stomach depolarize at a much slower frequency (1 cycle/min) than cells from the more proximal stomach. In the intact antrum, however, the frequency of the slower cells is increased to that of an adjacent higher frequency cell. The higher frequency cells are located along the greater curvature in the proximal corpus, and this area is often called a pacemaker (6,7). ECA originates at this site and spreads instantaneously in a 2 to 2.5 mm ring around the circumference of the stomach (9,15,19,20). This ring spreads distally at an increasing velocity to the pylorus. The ECA is omnipresent whether or not there are contractions. In humans it has a frequency of about 3 cycles/min.

The second component of GEA is electrical response activity, which can occur only superimposed on ECA and is associated with contractions (6,9,17). It has two components: the plateau and spikes. The presence of spikes indicates a powerful contraction (15) (Figure 1).

These electrical events are due to ionic shifts across the smooth muscle cell wall. The ionic basis for membrane and action potential of the gastrointestinal smooth muscle cell and the basis for gastric motility have been described (9,15,17,21). An inward sodium ion current is responsible for the initial depolarization of gastric smooth muscle cells, and both sodium ions and calcium ions (penetrating the cellular membrane through relatively slow channels) are involved in the generation of the plateau potential. The repolarization is attributable to an outward current caused by
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**Figure 1** Intracellular electrical activity (A) and the corresponding contractile force (B). Electrical response activity (ERA) and particularly its second component, spikes, are associated with gastric contractions. ECA Electrical control activity
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**Figure 2** Ionic basis of gastric electrical activity. The presence of electrical control activity is associated with sodium-potassium unbalance (A). Dynamics of sodium, potassium and calcium ions are responsible for the plateau of electrical response activity (B), while an inflow of calcium ions through fast channels is predominantly related to spikes (C). The amplitude of spikes is modified by an outward flow of potassium ions.
the movement of potassium ions. The spikes could be regarded as the result of two currents: an inward current related to the influx of calcium ions into the cell through fast transmembrane channels; and an outward potassium current that directly modifies the amplitude of the spikes diminishing it (Figure 2).

**RECORDING GEA**

Electrical activity of the stomach can be recorded in different ways; intracellular or extracellular recordings can be made from isolated muscle strips in vitro, and in vivo recordings can be made from the intact stomach with electrodes implanted through the mucosa or serosa, or by placing electrodes on the anterior abdominal wall. The appearance of the GEA waveform is greatly determined by the type of recording used during its acquisition (Figure 3). For example, by using short distance implanted bipolar recordings (needle electrodes separated 2.5 mm in a parallel direction to the gastric axis), ECA and spikes are clearly seen; however, the plateau is frequently not clearly evident. In contrast, long distance bipolar electrode recordings (needles implanted about 2 cm apart in a parallel direction to the gastric axis) clearly show the plateau, but the spikes can be easily missed (Figure 3).

Major changes in GEA wave form are observed when electrodes are placed at some distance from the gastric surface (eg, on the abdominal wall). The wave shape becomes smoother because of the filtering and integrating properties of the body. Other changes result from the superposition of GEA generated simultaneously from many sites on the stomach and recorded by the electrodes on the abdominal wall (22). As a result, the EGG wave shape is closer to a sine wave with a relatively narrow frequency spectrum. The spikes, with their high frequency, erratic appearance and minimal electrical power, are filtered and averaged so much that they cannot be recognized in EGG recordings (22,23) (Figure 3).

Another distinct feature of GEA is the configuration of the electrical dipoles that form the gastric electrical field. In 1985, Mirrizzi et al (24) proposed a conical dipole model to describe the gastric electrical field. They pointed out that ECA is generated by the distal movement of an annular band polarized by electrical dipoles oriented perpendicularly to the stomach axis. This model was later improved by using a truncated conoid to represent the shape of the stomach and a spherical system of coordinates (25) (Figure 4). Computer modelling opens an exciting path towards a better understanding of the electrophysiological phenomena surrounding GEA and EGG.

**INTERDIGESTIVE AND DIGESTIVE PATTERNS OF GEA**

Many authors have described characteristic changes in the pattern of gastric contractions during the interdigestive period (26-30). The interdigestive migrating motor complex (IMMC) has been divided into four main phases: phase I or quiescent phase, in which spike activity is not present; phase II, in which there is clear evidence of spike activity in some ECA periods; phase III, in which every cycle of ECA is combined with spikes and therefore strong contractions occur with every slow wave; and phase IV, which is a brief transitional period similar to phase II. In humans the approximate duration of the interdigestive cycle is 2 to 2.5 h, and the mean duration of phase III is only about 12 to 15 mins (26). After food ingestion, the IMMC pattern is interrupted and replaced by a distinctive digestive pattern (26,29,30). The approximate duration of the digestive period after a standard
meal (500 kcal) is 4 to 5 h (26). During this period there are regular contractions in the distal stomach related to spike bursts (26,27). Unfortunately, Geldof et al (28) found that EGG could not identify different phases of the IMMC.

TECHNIQUES FOR EGG RECORDING AND EXTERNAL FACTORS THAT CAN INFLUENCE EGG VALIDITY

There are several techniques for recording EGG, but in general bipolar recordings are more reliable than monopolar recordings (31). Mintchev and Bowes (32) introduced an eight-channel bipolar configuration for EGG acquisition that allows recording from multiple electrode combinations using short and long interelectrode distances, thereby enhancing the probability of obtaining a stable EGG recording.

Recording EGG is a technological challenge because the signal is usually contaminated with a large number of recording artefacts. These artefacts can be divided into three groups: random motion noise; periodic electrical or mechanical noise caused by other organs and respiration; and electrical noise due to the amplification process. In order to diminish the random motion noise, the subject should avoid any voluntary movement. To avoid noise caused by other organs and respiration, an adequate system of signal filtering and conditioning should be employed. These higher frequency artefacts combined with the essential anti-aliasing requirements for digitizing require low pass filters (33). After the initial filtering (usually in the range 0.03 to 0.3 Hz) the signal is digitized so that more sophisticated and flexible digital filtering procedures can be implemented (34-37).

The third type of electrical noise is related to the amplification process and is a complex issue, even from the perspective of contemporary electronics. The selection of adequate electrodes, their position on the abdominal wall, satisfactory

Figure 5) Electrogastrography (EGG) signals and their pseudo-three-dimensional plots. Top Normal gastric electrical activity. The pseudo-three-dimensional plots show the power of the main gastric frequency in two EGG channels during the time of recording. Bottom Abnormal gastric electrical activity in a patient with gastric carcinoma and extensive infiltration of the gastric muscle layer. The plots show additional abnormal frequency components.
The cart may, in fact, have been put in front of the horse. Ideally, before EGG can be clinically accepted as a valuable clinical adjunct, two fundamental questions must be answered: Are gastric motor abnormalities or disease states associated with abnormalities in GEA? Can EGG detect these abnormalities?

Although ECA is of prime importance in determining frequency and direction of propagation of contraction in the antrum, ECA itself does not provoke contractions. Conditions affecting gastric innervation would have a profound effect on gastric motor function without necessarily affecting ECA. Unfortunately, good recordings of GEA can be obtained only with electrodes implanted into the gastric serosa. Consequently, very few patients have been studied in this manner. Using subserosal implanted electrode recordings, Sarna et al (71) found abnormalities in frequency, coupling and regularity during postoperative ileus. Subsequent reports have confirmed these findings in patients after gastric and nongastric operations (72). Some studies have used transmucosal recordings obtained with a tube passed by mouth. Unfortunately, such recordings are full of artefacts due to variable contact to the mucosa and, in general, are not reliable. It is likely that EGG will have to prove itself without the important and ideal crutch of simultaneous recordings with implanted electrodes.

It has been suggested that EGG can recognize three parameters of GEA: frequency abnormalities (whether regular or irregular), uncoupling and gastric contractions.

There is strong evidence that EGG has a high accuracy in recognizing normal gastric electrical frequencies (2,22,40-43).6. If a change in frequency persists for several minutes, it can also be recorded with EGG, but transient changes in frequency (which may not be relevant clinically) would be recognized with great difficulty (73,74). Frequencies outside the normal range (2.5 to 3.75 cycles/min) have been reported in several diseases, and are termed bradygastria (fewer than 2.5 cycles/min) and tachygastria (more than 3.75 cycles/min and less than 9 cycles/min) (3,14,55,71,72). How a slightly slower or faster GEA frequency would affect gastric motor function is not clear. You and Chey (14) claimed that tachygastria could interfere with gastric contractions in studies on dogs. Mintchev et al (73) found that gastric electrical uncoupling can be seen at times as ‘tachygastria’ in EGG. However, the meaning and the reliability of EGG frequency abnormalities and the impact of external factors on the EGG frequency have not been adequately defined.

It has been claimed that electrodes on different parts of the abdomen can record GEA from different parts of the stomach. Although an electrode would be most affected by the area of stomach closest to it, cutaneous electrodes, in fact, pick up total GEA, and it is not possible to recognize uncoupling in this manner. There is some evidence that uncoupling might be revealed by the display after frequency analysis of two or more EGG main frequencies instead of the standard one. However, more studies using the gold standard (recordings obtained from electrodes implanted in gastric serosa) and EGG simultaneously should be done to confirm these claims.

**VALIDATION OF EGG AND CLINICAL APPLICATIONS**

Enthusiasts of EGG report abnormalities in a large variety of clinical states including gastroparesis, dyspepsia, unexplained nausea and vomiting, anorexia nervosa, nausea and vomiting of pregnancy, and motion sickness (46-70). Careful perusal of the studies reporting these abnormalities does not always support their conclusions, and technical questions abound. Often the abnormalities are present in a minority of patients, there is usually no objective or quantitative analysis, and subsequent reports often fail to support the earlier claims.
these claims. The uncertain role of EGG in detecting uncoupling is particularly unfortunate because slight changes in frequency may not be very important in gastric motor function, but uncoupling of different parts of the stomach from each other would have a profound effect on gastric emptying.

It has been suggested that changes in amplitude of EGG are related to gastric contractions (2,41,42,53). Certainly EGG amplitude often increases after the subject eats, and much better quality records are usually obtained. However, gastric distention and displacement play an important role in these changes in amplitude, and changes in EGG amplitude do not correlate with gastric mechanical activity (40, 54). Several studies have found a postprandial increase in EGG amplitude in only some normal volunteers and experimental models (40,76,77).

Ideally, studies of EGG should incorporate the use of multiple cutaneous electrodes and, if possible, computer analysis. If visual analysis alone is used, it should be done blindly by two different observers, and quantification should be attempted. Computer analysis is ideal for objective quantitative analysis and allows interpretation of many records that are clouded with artefacts.

Unfortunately, few studies have examined the ability of EGG to recognize GEA abnormalities (14,40,46,73,74,78). This lack of information along with the other considerations mentioned above seriously question the clinical utility of EGG.

Multiple studies have tried to identify a relationship between EGG and gastric emptying (46-52). Some initial reports claimed that EGG frequency abnormalities were found in patients with gastroparesis (47-49), but these abnormalities were seen in only a few patients. In one of the studies the resolution of EGG dysrhythmias after treatment with a prokinetic drug did not correlate with improvement of gastric emptying rates. Another study did not find significant differences in the incidence of EGG dysrhythmias in gastroparetic patients and in healthy controls (50).

Several studies have failed to detect a good correlation between EGG findings and gastric emptying rates (46,50-52). One of the better studies analyzed both internal GEA and EGG in patients with proven gastroparesis and found no alterations in slow wave frequency recorded by implanted electrodes or EGG (46). The only abnormality in these patients was a diminished spike activity in the internal recordings that was not reflected in EGG recordings.

Contradictory findings have also been shown when relating abnormal EGG to other diseases. For example, it was suggested that EGG abnormalities were frequent in patients with anorexia nervosa (55). However, EGG data were analyzed visually, the episodes of dysrhythmias were transient (usually less than 3 mins) and their total duration was less than 12% of the total recording time. The percentage of dysrhythmias increased after treatment without modification of gastric emptying or antral motility. Finally, a subsequent study revealed normal EGG frequency dynamics in patients with anorexia nervosa (56).

The quest for an explanation for dyspeptic symptoms has led several authors to try to find a relationship between abnormal GEA and this syndrome. An increased frequency of tachygastria has been described in dyspeptic patients (57). This finding was, however, only significant in fasting EGG recordings when the EGG signals tend to be weaker and, consequently, more predisposed to be contaminated by noise. Postprandially, when dyspeptic symptoms usually appear and better EGG signals are generally obtained, the EGG was normal.

Stern and co-workers (59) described tachygastria in healthy volunteers in whom motion sickness was induced. Unfortunately, there was not a clear relationship between the onset of the symptoms and the onset of the tachygastria; in six of the 10 symptomatic subjects the symptoms were exhibited before the tachygastria episode, and in three of the 10, symptoms and EGG alterations appeared simultaneously, leaving only one in whom tachygastria was present before the onset of symptoms. Other studies have related nausea and vomiting to EGG frequency abnormalities (60,61). Unfortunately, the EGG abnormalities were not confirmed with implanted gastric electrode recordings in any of these studies.

In summary, interpretation of most of these studies has been hindered by the lack of validation of the relationship between abnormal GEA and abnormal EGG. Ideally, further studies would encompass comparative studies in humans showing the following: that any abnormal frequency recorded in EGG correlates reliably with internal GEA frequency and this syndrome. An increased frequency of EGG has been shown to be a more or less accurate reflection of ECA frequency when proper recording technique is scrupulously observed. Although numerous studies have tried to elucidate relationships between EGG abnormalities and many gastrointestinal and nongastrointestinal clinical disorders, it is not yet possible to confirm any significant correlation between EGG abnormalities and any specific disease. When performed with the available technology, EGG is still not validated as a reliable diagnostic test for gastric motility disorders. Because better techniques for digital processing and analysis are being developed, this technique may soon provide some supplementary information to the routinely available tests for assessing gastric motility and emptying.

CONCLUSIONS

EGG has been shown to be a more or less accurate reflection of ECA frequency when proper recording technique is scrupulously observed. Although numerous studies have tried to elucidate relationships between EGG abnormalities and many gastrointestinal and nongastrointestinal clinical disorders, it is not yet possible to confirm any significant correlation between EGG abnormalities and any specific disease. When performed with the available technology, EGG is still not validated as a reliable diagnostic test for gastric motility disorders. Because better techniques for digital processing and analysis are being developed, this technique may soon provide some supplementary information to the routinely available tests for assessing gastric motility and emptying.
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