An unusual cause of recurrent pancreatitis: Duodenal duplication cyst
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Pancreatitis is a relatively uncommon condition in pediatric patients. The diagnosis may be difficult because of nonspecific symptomatology. Once the diagnosis is confirmed, a thorough evaluation is needed to determine the etiology in this age group. Recurrent pancreatitis often remains undiagnosed for many years, and congenital anomalies are one possible cause. We present a case of a child with recurrent pancreatitis secondary to a duodenal duplication cyst in the second part of the duodenum.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A previously healthy 11-year-old girl presented with a 10-day history of crampy epigastric pain, nausea and vomiting. She was taking no medications and had no exposure to toxins. Her father had had hypertriglyceridemia-induced pancreatitis at age 28 years. At the time of presentation, examination revealed epigastric tenderness but no perito-neal signs. Amylase level was 612 U/L, aspartate amino transferase (AST) 335 U/L, alanine aminotransferase 199 U/L, total bilirubin 15 µmol/L and direct bilirubin 12 µmol/L. Ultrasound revealed a diffusely swollen pancreas consistent with pancreatitis, but no abnormalities of the hepatobiliary system were evident. Computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen revealed multiple cystic areas throughout the neck and body of the pancreas consistent with pancreatitis. The patient improved with conservative management and was discharged. Subsequent calcium and triglyceride levels were normal.

One year later, she again presented with severe epigastric pain associated with nausea and vomiting. On examination she was afebrile and hemodynamically stable, and had mild epigastric tenderness with no palpable masses. Hemoglobin, leukocyte count, electrolytes, glucose and calcium were normal. Amylase level was 2238 U/L, AST 23 U/L, alkaline
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phosphatase 162 U/L, gamma-glutamyl transferase 36 U/L and total bilirubin 14 µmol/L. She was admitted with acute pancreatitis, and placed on intravenous fluids and bowel rest. Ultrasound of the abdomen revealed an edematous pancreas with a cystic lesion near the head. The patient subsequently developed hypocalcemia and hyperglycemia, which were treated. CT of the abdomen showed extensive swelling and lack of enhancement of the body of the pancreas, and a 3×3×4 cm cystic lesion near the uncinate process (Figure 1). She was placed on total parenteral nutrition, with gradual improvement in symptoms and laboratory parameters.

One month after recovery, she underwent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP), which revealed a pedunculated polypoid lesion arising in the vicinity of the major papilla. Upper gastrointestinal series confirmed a large polypoid lesion arising in the second part of the duodenum (Figure 2). The polypoid lesion appeared to have prolapsed into the third and fourth part of the duodenum, causing traction on the second part of the duodenum. She went on to have an intraoperative esophagoduodenoscopy with a view to attempt to snare the polypoid structure. Due to the broad-based nature of the stalk, this was not attempted and she required a laparotomy with duodenotomy. At surgery, the large cystic duplication was found arising 5 mm distal to the papilla of Vater and was successfully resected. Macroscopically, the structure measured 3.7×2.8×0.8 cm, was cystic and was lined on both sides with mucosa that looked identical to the surrounding duodenal mucosa (Figure 3). On histological examination, the lesion consisted of small bowel mucosa with Brunner’s glands and some pancreatic acini and ducts, consistent with a duodenal duplication cyst.

**DISCUSSION**

Acute pancreatitis is an uncommon cause of abdominal pain in children. The most frequent etiologies are trauma, drugs and viral infections (1). Recurrent pancreatitis is infrequent in the pediatric population, and the etiology is often unclear. It is, however, important to rule out congenital anomalies of the pancreatobiliary tree such as choledochal cyst, choledochocoele, anomalous insertion of the common bile duct, stenosis of the ampulla of Vater, pancreatic duct aplasia, annular pancreas and pancreas divisum. In older children and adolescents, gallstones may cause pancreatitis. Even rarer are intraluminal duodenal diverticuli and gastroduodenal duplication cysts (2,3).

Enteric duplications are spherical or tubular structures containing mucosa and a smooth muscle layer. They occur anywhere in the alimentary tract, with the ileum being the most common site (4). Duodenal duplication cysts are rare,
TABLE 1
Review of duodenal duplications causing pancreatitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, year (reference)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis (years)</th>
<th>Diagnostic tests</th>
<th>Operative procedures</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perrin, 1969 (36)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>UGIS, ivC</td>
<td>Laparotomy, excision</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>CBD, PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams and Hendren, 1971 (19)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>ivC, intraoperative pancreatic pancretogram</td>
<td>Laparotomy, pancreatoduodenectomy</td>
<td>Intrapancreatic</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jones et al, 1982 (14)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>ivC, UGIS, ERCP</td>
<td>Duodenotomy, excision</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scully et al, 1982 (30)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>US, UGIS, CT</td>
<td>Whipple procedure</td>
<td>Intrapancreatic</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimada et al, 1983 (31)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>UGIS</td>
<td>Laparotomy, hemigastrectomy, partial excision of pancreatic head</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>APD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abrams and Conn, 1984 (15)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>US, UGIS, ERCP</td>
<td>Duodenotomy, excision</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stelling et al, 1987 (32)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>US, ERCP</td>
<td>Duodenotomy</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavine et al, 1989 (25)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>EGD, CT, intraoperative cholangiopancreatography</td>
<td>Laparotomy, excision</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulajic et al, 1991 (26)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>EGD, US, ERCP</td>
<td>Duodenotomy, excision</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>4×8</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traif and Khan, 1992 (34)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>US, CT, UGIS</td>
<td>Endoscopic drainage</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okuyama et al, 1992 (33)</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>Laparotomy, intraoperative cholangiopancreatography</td>
<td>Intrapancreatic</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lang et al, 1994 (35)</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>UGIS, CT, ERCP</td>
<td>Endoscopic drainage</td>
<td>Intraluminal</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APD Accessory pancreatic duct; CBD Common bile duct; CT Computed tomography; ECD Esophagogastroduodenoscopy; ERCP Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; F Female; ivC Intravenous cholangiogram; M Male; UGIS Upper gastrointestinal series; US Ultrasound; PD Pancreatic duct

There are over 80 reported cases of duodenal duplication in the English literature. In one review, over 75% of patients were diagnosed before the age of 16 years (12); however, a number of cases have been reported in adults (12-16). Duplications may be clinically silent for years before presentation. Johanson et al (16) reported a 62-year-old man who presented with epigastric pain secondary to duplication. The duplications are usually cystic structures anywhere from 2 to 12 cm in diameter arising intraluminally or extraluminally, and may share one wall with the duodenum (15,17,18). Rarely, they may be intrapancreatic or intrahepatic (19,20). Although single duplications are the most common by far, multiple duplications such as combined duodenal and gastric or ileal can occur (21,22). The cysts are usually filled with clear fluid but may contain gallstones (23), bile (24) or pancreatic fluid if they communicate with the biliary or pancreatic system. Both the pancreatic and common bile duct may open into the cyst (14,16,25) or may drain solely via the ampulla of Vater, as in the present case. Communication may occur with the lumen of the duodenum via a separate orifice within the cyst (26). The blood supply is intimately associated with that of the duodenum so that surgical dissection of the duplication may be difficult. Microscopically, duplications consist of a smooth muscle layer covered by duodenal mucosa on both sides. Nests of ectopic pancreatic tissue may be present (22), and islands of gastric mucosa are seen in up to 13% of duplication cysts, as was seen in this case (25,27).
Presentation is variable and includes symptoms of obstruction, bleeding or pancreatitis. Duodenal obstruction occurs secondary to the cyst obstructing the lumen and presents similar to gastric outlet obstruction with abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting. Bleeding results from peptic ulceration of mucosa within the cyst or in adjacent bowel, from pressure necrosis of the expanding cyst on the small bowel lumen or secondary to intussusception with the cyst acting as a lead point (28). Because some cysts contain gastric mucosa, peptic ulceration and rarely perforation have been reported (17,29).

There are 12 reported cases in the English literature of duodenal duplication cysts presenting as pancreatitis (14,15,19,26). The age of diagnosis may range from infancy to adulthood (Table 1). Three cases were secondary to intrapancreatic duodenal duplications (19,30,33), and only nine were secondary to intraluminal duodenal diverticuli. Our case is another example of pancreatitis secondary to intraluminal duodenal duplication. The mechanism of pancreatitis in these cases is related to the mobile cyst obstructing the pancreatic duct. Communication between the cyst and pancreaticobiliary ductal system is common. In our case, the duodenal duplication cyst caused intermittent obstruction of the pancreatic duct because of its proximity to it. In addition, traction on the cyst would cause intermittent obstruction of the ampulla. It is interesting to note that CT scan of the abdomen one year earlier showed no evidence of a single intraluminal cyst but multiple pancreatic cysts consistent with pancreatitis. This highlights the high degree of suspicion needed to make the diagnosis and the diagnostic importance of ancillary tests such as upper gastrointestinal series and upper gastrointestinal endoscopy. In addition, despite the size of the cyst, the patient never had symptoms suggestive of proximal small bowel obstruction.

Clearly, duodenal duplication cysts are a rare cause of pancreatitis; however, the diagnosis should be considered in patients with recurrent pancreatitis with no attributable cause, especially in children. Upper gastrointestinal series, CT scan of the abdomen and ERCP are all useful investigations in the diagnosis of this condition. ERCP with cannulation of the common bile and pancreatic ducts should be attempted before surgical therapy because of the possible communication of these structures with the duplication cyst.

In review of the literature, surgery has been the primary treatment in the past. A number of operations have been performed including local excision and cystojejunosotomy. For cysts with a common wall with the duodenum aspiration, marsupialization, formation of a cystoduodenal window, resection and gastrojejunostomy have been performed (21).

Complete excision is preferred if ectopic gastric mucosa is present but may be difficult if the cyst communicates with the pancreaticobiliary tree. In our case the cyst was too large to snare and required surgical removal. Recently there have been three reports of endoscopy used to incise and drain these cysts (16,34,35). Traif and Khan (34), and Lang et al (35) used a needle knife sphincterotomy via duodenoscopes to incise and drain intraluminal duodenal duplication cysts causing recurrent pancreatitis. After two years of follow-up, the patients had no further attacks of pancreatitis. A similar procedure was done in a 62-year-old man by Johnson (16) with good results at 11 months’ follow-up. In our patient, endoscopic incision and drainage would have been difficult because of the size of the lesion; however, surgical therapy has been definitive in this case.
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