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Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a cause of major morbidity and mortality in industrialized countries, where it occurs in approximately 5% of the population, resulting in a near 50% mortality. It is thought that most CRCs develop in pre-existing benign adenomas and that the sequence from adenoma to invasive malignancy takes approximately 10 years. A large scale, prospective study of patients whose adenomas had been removed demonstrated a decreased mortality from colorectal cancer (1). Screening for colonic adenomas and presymptomatic invasive cancer is, therefore, widely promoted as a preventive health activity (2,3). There is undoubtedly a benefit in high risk populations with he-
Beaudin

TABLE 1
Characteristics of 118 individuals screened

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All screened n (%)</th>
<th>No neoplasm n (%)</th>
<th>Neoplasm n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>102 (86)</td>
<td>16 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>79 (67)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>39 (33)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age at colonoscopy, years (range)</td>
<td>54 (34–77)</td>
<td>53 (34–76)</td>
<td>61 (42–77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with one affected relative</td>
<td>94 (80)</td>
<td>80 (78)</td>
<td>14 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with two affected relatives</td>
<td>18 (15)</td>
<td>17 (17)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with three affected relatives</td>
<td>6 (5)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with one affected parent</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with both parents affected</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with one affected sibling</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with other combinations</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number with relative age 50 years or younger at diagnosis</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Twenty-three cases initially flagged were subsequently excluded on the basis of these criteria. The final cohort was, therefore, highly selected, comprising only those whose sole indication for colonoscopy was their family history.

All colonoscopies were performed using conscious sedation by the author in the gastrointestinal laboratory of the Saint John Regional Hospital, Saint John, New Brunswick. Olympus fibrecolonoscopes, and in the past two years, videocolonoscopes, were used for the examinations. Preparation was with magnesium sulphate solution in the early years and with sodium sulphate solution in the last five years of the study. Each examination was graded for adequacy of preparation and completeness. An excellent preparation was defined as one in which only easily aspirated clear or translucent fluid remained in the colon. A satisfactory preparation was defined by the presence of nonsolid stool that could be aspirated. Identification of the ileocecal valve and/or appendiceal orifice was used to indicate complete colonoscopy. All lesions 3 mm or greater were removed with cold or hot biopsy forceps or with electrosurgical snare. All specimens were processed by routine methodology in the hospital histopathology laboratory and reported according to current criteria. Polyps reported as purely hyperplastic were considered non-neoplastic.

RESULTS

One hundred and eighteen individuals fulfilling the above criteria were examined during the 10 years of the study – 25 patients since 1997. Ninety-six per cent (113 of 118) of the colonoscopies were complete. Preparation was deemed excellent or satisfactory 92% of the time. For further analysis, 118 patients were placed into one of two groups – those with neoplasms and those without neoplasm (Table 1). The group with neoplasms tended to be older than those without. The groups were not different in terms of numbers of relatives with CRC. Contrary to expectation, a higher proportion of those negative for neoplasm had young relatives affected by CRC than did those found to have neoplasms at colonoscopy.

One 59-year-old man was found to have a hepatic flexure carcinoma. He died two years later of metastatic disease despite prompt resection followed by chemotherapy. Fifteen other patients were found to have 22 neoplastic polyps (Table 2), seven of which were pedunculated. Two polyps, in different patients, were 1 cm or larger, and both had tubulovillous histology. Two patients had three adenomas each, the largest of which was 0.8 cm. All others had one each.

Five patients with adenomas have had nine follow-up colonoscopies. Three patients were found to have developed...
additional adenomas. One patient had adenomas of 1.5 and 1.2 cm with tubulovillous histology at two subsequent examinations. The five adenomas removed from four other patients were all smaller than 1.0 cm.

The ages at diagnosis of 134 affected first-degree relatives were known. These data are included in Table 3 for various categories of individuals screened. Twenty-eight per cent of first-degree relatives with CRC were aged 50 years or younger at diagnosis.

**DISCUSSION**

Although colonoscopic screening of the general population is strongly promoted by various interest groups on the basis of extrapolation from population studies and computer modeling, there is scant evidence of clinical efficacy, let alone cost efficacy. First-degree relatives of patients with CRC have long been considered at increased risk, and there is considerable evidence supporting this belief. The reported prevalence of adenomas and cancers has varied widely in first-degree relatives screened by colonoscopy, even in studies from populations who are expected to have similar prevalence. These divergent data may be explained by the small case numbers in some studies, inclusion of symptomatic individuals among those ‘screened’ and the classification of hyperplastic polyps as neoplastic. Local population and geographic variations in the incidence of CRC seem to be unlikely factors.

This report derived from a clinical practice in a non-academic setting shows a 14% prevalence of neoplasm. Twenty-eight per cent of the study population had first-degree relative(s) who were aged 50 years or younger at diagnosis. This risk factor has been repeatedly reported to be associated with an increased yield of advanced lesions (8) but was not identified as a risk factor in this study. A similar study was reported in 1990 by Brzezinski et al (9) from Alberta. They found a more than 25% prevalence of polyps (56% if two or more first-degree relatives had CRC) but included hyperplastic as well as adenomatous polyps in part of their study. The present study found one patient with carcinoma, no tubular adenomas larger than 1 cm and six tubulovillous adenomas – that is, six patients (5%) would be considered to have high grade lesions by current criteria. These findings are similar to those reported by Luchtefeld et al (10) from Michigan in 1991 in a controlled study of 160 asymptomatic first-degree relatives.

The yield of screening colonoscopy in those with a family history of CRC has been reported to vary from 11% to more than 60% (11). A number of factors may account for the discrepancies among these reports, which for the most part deal with small populations. Referral bias favours health conscious patients, who tend to present at a young age with minimal criteria, ie, one elderly first-degree relative with CRC. This does not seem to have been a factor in the present report. Ensuring that symptomatic individuals have been excluded is very difficult in retrospective studies. This may well account for the high prevalence of neoplastic lesions in some reports. Studies based on large populations (12) clearly show that family history is an important risk factor for CRC. A recent case control study (13) from France confirms the increased prevalence of high risk adenomas in individuals with a positive family history. Nonetheless, a number of reports including this one show a limited yield of adenomas in those whose only indication for examination is the positive family history. In some series, men are more often found to harbour advanced lesions than women (5). The present report contains a high proportion of women. Finally, in the present report, greater numbers of first-degree relatives with CRC did not distinguish the group with neoplasms from the group without neoplasms.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In recent years, patients have commonly been referred for colonoscopic screening because of a family history of CRC. Because colonoscopy is unpleasant and carries some morbidity and/or mortality, it is appropriate to discuss the risks, benefits and potential yield of this examination with such patients and allow the patient to make an informed decision about whether to accept screening and by what modality. Nonetheless, this study should in no way alter the practice of recommending early and aggressive investigation of colonic symptoms in patients of an appropriate age with a positive family history of CRC.
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