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Sphincter of Oddi function and dysfunction
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The sphincter of Oddi (SO) is situated at the junction of the bile and pancreatic ducts where they enter the duodenum, and it serves to regulate the flow of bile and pancreatic juices as well as to prevent the reflux of duodenal contents into the pancreaticobiliary system. SO dysfunction relates to either the biliary or pancreatic portions of the sphincter. Distinct clinical syndromes relating to either sphincter segment are recognized. The mechanism of dysfunction remains uncertain, but disruption of neural pathways involved in sphincter function seems likely. SO dysfunction is best diagnosed by manometry, which is able to correctly stratify patient groups and determine therapy. Biliary scintigraphy, which is noninvasive, has shown promise as a screening tool for patients with suspected SO dysfunction. Division of the sphincter is an effective treatment for patients with manometrically proven SO stenosis for either the biliary or pancreatic form of the disorder. Other forms of SO dysfunction may benefit from pharmacotherapy.
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MINI-REVIEW

Fonction et dysfonction du sphincter d'Oddi

RÉSUMÉ : Le sphincter d’Oddi (SO) est situé à la jonction des canaux biliaire et pancréatique à l’endroit où ils pénètrent dans le duodénum, et son rôle est de réguler le débit de la bile et des sucs pancréatiques ainsi que d’empêcher le reflux du contenu duodénal dans le système pancréatobiliaire. Une dysfonction du SO est attribuable soit à la partie pancréatique soit à la partie biliaire du sphincter. Des syndromes cliniques distincts se rapportant à l’un ou l’autre du segment touché sont reconnus. Le mécanisme de la dysfonction reste incertain mais serait probablement dû à une perturbation des voies nerveuses impliquées dans la fonction du sphincter. Une dysfonction du SO se diagnostique de préférence par manométrie qui peut stratifier correctement les groupes de patients et permettre d’établir un traitement. La scintigraphie bilaire, une technique non sanglante, est prometteuse comme outil de dépistage pour les patients chez qui on suspecte une dysfonction du SO. La division du sphincter est un traitement efficace chez les patients accusant une sténose du SO prouvée par manométrie, que le trouble soit d’origine pancréatique ou biliaire. D’autres formes de dysfonction du SO pourraient bénéficier d’une pharmacothérapie.
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The muscular connections with the duodenum are thought to act as anchoring points and not to be functionally important. The SO shows similarities to duodenal activity in that its phase 3 activity of the migrating myoelectrical complex always precedes the duodenal phase 3 activity, but for the rest of the time the SO functions independently from the duodenum with regular phasic activity and no quiescent phase.

The function of the SO in humans has been characterized by manometric techniques that allow direct measurement of pressure changes using a small catheter directed into either the common bile duct or pancreatic duct (Figure 1). Intraluminal perfusion manometry has been able to characterize the human SO as having a modest basal tone with superimposed high pressure phasic waves (Figure 2). The predominant function of the human SO appears to be to act as a resistor to the flow of bile and pancreatic secretions because most flow occurs between phasic waves. In humans, SO phasic waves may serve to keep the sphincter segment empty. There is considerable species variation. The SO in the American opossum has been shown to act as a pump using cineradiography. This finding has drawn an analogy with the systolic and diastolic cycles of the heart, with flow occurring during systolic contraction and sphincter filling occurring during diastole. Tachycardia of the heart can lead to pump failure, and tachyppnea (high frequency SO phasic activity) can lead to a decrease in flow across the sphincter. The common factor across species with respect to SO function is that postprandially, flow across the sphincter is enhanced, regardless of whether the SO acts as a resistor or pump.

In humans, both postprandially and following duodenal infusion of fats, SO tonic activity has been shown to be reduced. These SO changes that lead to the delivery of bile and pancreatic secretions into the duodenum are under neurohormonal control. Neural connections of the SO to the duodenum and gallbladder have been identified using immunohistochemical techniques. Functional studies have demonstrated adrenergic, cholinergic and nonadrenergic noncholinergic (NANC) innervation. The adrenergic innervations are inhibitory and the cholinergic innervations are excitatory. NANC innervation appears to be inhibitory and mediated by nitric oxide. Local reflexes involving the SO have been demonstrated between the duodenum and gallbladder and bile ducts. The predominant hormonal agent affecting SO motility is cholecystokinin (CCK). CCK is released by the duodenal mucosa into the circulation in response to duodenal luminal acid and nutrients, especially fats and amino acids. In humans, CCK has been shown to decrease the basal pressure and the amplitude of phasic waves of the SO. CCK release is inhibited by pancreatic enzymes and bile salts in the duodenum.

**SO DYSFUNCTION**

**Clinical features:** SO dysfunction has been known by many names in the past, including biliary dyskinesia, biliary spasm, biliary dysynergia, papillary stenosis, papillitis, odditis and postcholecystectomy syndrome. There are two main clinical conditions that relate to what portion of the sphincter malfunctions. The more common problem is biliary SO dysfunction. Patients with dysfunction of the pancreatic portion of the sphincter usually include patients with idiopathic recurrent pancreatitis. Pancreatic pain without pancreatitis has also been suggested, but the definition of discrete pancreatic pain without clear pancreatitis is unclear.

Patients with biliary SO dysfunction are typically females (females to males seven to one) in their mid-40s and usually present five to seven years after having undergone cholecystectomy for cholelithiasis. Acute attacks can be associated with severe pain, as in patients with true biliary colic. However, apart from localized tenderness, signs of peritonism or fever are not present. The pain is situated in the epigastrium or right upper quadrant, often radiates into the back, and may be associated with nausea and vomiting.
pain generally occurs in episodes lasting up to several hours or until relieved by analgesics. Initial treatment of patients presenting with the above clinical symptoms is directed at relieving the pain, usually achieved by the administration of a systemic analgesic or buscopan. Pethidine (meperidine) is thought to be the most appropriate analgesic in patients with suspected SO dysfunction. These pain episodes may occur at intervals of weeks or months. Some patients also describe discomfort in the upper abdomen that is more frequent and may occur every day. The attacks of pain can occur after fatty meals and are often nocturnal. Patients may complain of sensitivity to codeine and other opiates, but this is nonspecific. Indeed, the first episode of pain may have been experienced following opiate medication, usually for an unrelated procedure. SO dysfunction is commonly associated with work absenteeism and healthcare use (24). One study (25) found that patients over-report non-gastroenterological somatic complaints (ie, somatization disorder) and childhood sexual abuse, suggesting a role for broad psychiatric assessment and treatment of some patients with SO dysfunction.

The true extent of biliary SO dysfunction is difficult to know. In a study conducted over 30 years ago (26), 23.5% of close to 2000 patients complained of mild biliary type pain two to nine years following cholecystectomy. In a more recent study (27), 6.4% (29 of 454) of postcholecystectomy patients complained of biliary pain for which no other cause could be found. Fifteen of the 29 patients agreed to undergo SO manometry; two of the 15 patients (14%) had abnormal manometry. Overall, the study suggested that after cholecystectomy, approximately 1% of patients will have SO dysfunction.

The pancreatic form of the disorder is seen in patients who have often been diagnosed with idiopathic recurrent pancreatitis in which no cause for the pancreatitis is apparent. These patients frequently have manometric abnormalities (28).

Whether patients with intact gallbladders have SO dysfunction is a matter of some debate. In a study of patients with intact gallbladders and idiopathic recurrent biliary type pain, SO manometry and gallbladder emptying studies were performed (29). Seventy per cent of these patients had an abnormal gallbladder ejection fraction and/or SO manometry, but these abnormalities were independent of one another. In essence, this means that SO dysfunction can exist in the presence of an intact gallbladder, and gallbladder dyskinesia can occur with normal SO function. However, when assessing a patient with biliary type pain, the majority of clinicians act on an abnormal gallbladder ejection fraction by performing cholecystectomy and await the results of the surgery before considering investigating the SO.

**Classification of SO dysfunction:** Two classification systems for SO dysfunction have been developed for patients with biliary type pain. One system involves a ‘clinical’ classification based on endoscopic retrograde pancreatography and liver function test abnormalities (30) and the other, SO manometry (31).

The clinical classification system stratifies patients into three groups (types 1, 2 and 3), depending on the likelihood that SO dysfunction is present. Type 1 patients have all three abnormalities: a dilated common bile duct (12 mm) on ERCP; delayed drainage of contrast for the common bile duct (45 mins); and, on two occasions in association with pain episodes, abnormal alkaline phosphatase (AP) or abnormal ratio of alanine aminotransferase to aspartate aminotransferase (twice the upper limit of normal) on liver function testing. Type 2 patients have one or two abnormalities, and type 3 patients experience pain with none of the above abnormalities. It is thought that SO dysfunction is present in all type 1 patients, approximately 50% to 60% of type 2 patients and fewer than 10% of type 3 patients. Type 3 patients are believed to be the patients most likely to have irritable bowel syndrome.

Manometry is able to describe patients as ‘normal’ or ‘abnormal’. Normal manometric values have been determined from studies of healthy volunteers (Table 1), and abnormal values calculated as three standard deviations from the mean of normal values (Table 2). Patients with abnormal manometric values can be further classified into two subgroups of SO dysfunction. The first classification of abnormal manometry, SO stenosis, is represented by an elevated basal pressure (40 mmHg). SO stenosis defines a manometric abnormality and not necessarily a fixed structural lesion. A good example of this is seen in patients with superimposed phasic activity on an elevated basal pressure diagnostic of SO stenosis. The other manometric abnormality is known as SO dyskinesia and broadly suggests an incoordinate sphincter. The manometric abnormalities include excessive retrograde propagation of phasic waves (50%); an elevated basal pressure (40 mmHg) that relaxes with CCK or other smooth muscle relaxants (eg, buscopan), which is often termed SO malignancy.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manometric Criteria</th>
<th>Normal</th>
<th>Median range</th>
<th>Abnormal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basal pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3–35</td>
<td>&gt;40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplitude (mmHg)</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>95–195</td>
<td>&gt;300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (s/min)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>&gt;7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manometric Abnormality</th>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stenosis</td>
<td>Basal pressure &gt;40 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyskinesia</td>
<td>Frequency &gt;7/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermittent rise in basal pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retrograde contractions &gt;50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paradoxical cholecystokinin-octapeptide response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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spasm; gigantic phasic wave amplitudes (300 mmHg above the baseline); high frequency of phasic waves (seven/min), which is often termed tachyoddia; and finally, a paradoxical response to CCK. A paradoxical response to CCK is defined as no change or an increase in phasic activity. SO spasm has also been used to describe patients with an intermittently raised basal pressure (32).

Both classification systems have strengths and weaknesses. Bile duct dilation following cholecystectomy has been suggested to be a common finding, but in a well conducted prospective study (33), bile duct dilation was usually found to be less than 1 mm five years after cholecystectomy. Delayed biliary drainage observed in one study (34) was found to be a common finding in asymptomatic subjects following cholecystectomy and, thus, not a specific abnormality in patients with suspected SO dysfunction. This study (34) has been methodologically criticized for assessing biliary drainage in the prone position and for using a type of contrast that differed from that used in other studies (35). Assessment of contrast drainage at ERCP for up to 45 mins is very labour intensive and rarely performed for the full 45 mins. The same criticism can be made about manometry; it probably uses even more resources and requires significant technical expertise to be undertaken. As well, manometry is invasive and carries the risk of pancreatitis (36).

With the clinical classification, type 2 patients are poorly delineated as normal or abnormal. The effects of endoscopic sphincterotomy have been studied in type 2 patients, and manometry performed before sphincterotomy (37). The only reliable predictor of response to the therapy, and presumably indicative of the correct diagnosis, was manometrically proven SO stenosis (ie, an elevated basal pressure). A further criticism of this system is that type 3 patients are not all normal. In one study (22), 28% of type 3 patients were found to have manometrically proven SO stenosis. Another smaller study (38) found that 55% of type 3 patients had an elevated SO basal pressure. Response to sphincterotomy for type 3 patients, based on the manometric finding of an elevated basal pressure, has found differences in improvement compared with type 2 patients, and also the duration of improvement, suggesting that the clinical classification may provide additional information over manometry alone (39). One study (40) showed that type 1 patients appear to respond to sphincterotomy, even in the absence of manometric abnormalities, leading to the suggestion that manometry in type 1 patients may be misleading.

Overall, manometry is a more reliable system for correctly diagnosing and classifying patients. Manometry can also more often reliably predict response to therapy, accepting that the ERCP findings of a dilated duct and delayed drainage of contrast, as well as transient liver enzyme abnormalities, may provide additional information when deciding on an appropriate therapy.

A similar clinical classification system for pancreatic SO dysfunction has been proposed (22), but includes patients without pancreatitis and only ‘pancreatic’ pain. This condition of ‘pancreatic’ pain without pancreatitis is poorly defined. Manometry is clearly the superior way of classifying patients with pancreatic SO dysfunction.

**Mechanism of SO dysfunction:** The cause of SO dysfunction and the pain mechanism involved are uncertain. One potential mechanism is a neural defect leading to disturbed SO motility that may be due to a defect of the neural connections that coordinate the interaction between the duodenum, biliary tract and SO. In animals (11) and humans (41), the response to CCK is altered following cholecystectomy, suggesting that the surgery may in some way affect these neural connections. The neural pathways from the gallbladder and common bile duct to the SO are thought to have an inhibitory effect on the SO (9,12). Interruption of these inhibitory neural pathways may lead to an increased tonicity of the SO with resistance to outflow in a biliary system that has lost its pressure reservoir, the gallbladder. In a small human study of patients with abdominal pain suspected to be SO dysfunction that was performed during endoscopic SO manometry, some patients after cholecystectomy did not show SO relaxation in response to artificial elevations of the common bile duct pressure by infusing saline (42). Normally, the SO should relax in response to elevations in the common bile duct pressure. It has also been shown that in the absence of the gallbladder, bile duct pressure is increased and the bile duct pressure increases in response to SO spasm induced by morphine, which was not seen with an intact gallbladder (43). There are reports of SO dysfunction following liver transplantation (44-46). After liver transplantation, the SO is essentially denervated. However, surgical interruption of neural pathways cannot be the only mechanism for SO dysfunction because patients with intact gallbladders have been shown to have manometrically proven SO dysfunction (29).

There is evidence that SO dysfunction may be part of a generalized motor disorder of the gastrointestinal tract. Small intestinal dysmotility has been seen in association with SO dysfunction (47-49). In one study (50), patients with irritable bowel syndrome and SO dysfunction demonstrated paradoxical responses to CCK more often than patients with SO dysfunction alone. A German publication (51) found abnormal esophageal and anorectal manometry in association with SO dysfunction.

A recent study (52) found duodenal hypersensitivity in patients with type 3 SO dysfunction, suggesting that in these patients, abdominal pain may not originate exclusively in the biliary tree. Interestingly, there was no evidence of rectal hyperalgesia, which implied a site-specific process rather than a generalized visceral hyperalgesia.

Autonomic dysregulation has also been proposed as the cause of the motility disorder. Sympathetic overactivity has been found under basal conditions and during pain episodes in patients with postcholecystectomy pain who develop pain in association with morphine administration (53,54). Furthermore, the severity of the pain in this patient group can be attenuated by clonidine (35), a sympathetic antagonist.

Secondary damage to the SO may result from the passage of small stones, or following inflammation of either the biliary tract or pancreas. This may result in repair by fibrosis. Fi-
brosis of the SO has been seen in tissue acquired during surgery from patients with the diagnosis of papillitis (56). This may lead to a fixed structural stenosis, in keeping with the elevated basal pressure seen manometrically in patients with SO stenosis. However, some patients with an elevated basal pressure also show phasic activity, suggesting that, at least in these patients, a fixed structural lesion is unlikely.

**Investigation of SO dysfunction:** Blood screens during an acute attack of pain reveal a normal white cell count. About 10% to 20% of patients, however, show increases in serum concentrations of liver transaminases, particularly in blood specimens that are taken 3 to 4 h after the onset of pain. This is occasionally accompanied by increases in serum bilirubin and AP. In a subgroup of patients, serum amylase may be elevated either alone or in conjunction with changes in liver enzymes, and these patients are then considered to have the pancreatic form of the disorder.

Typical pain episodes of SO dysfunction are quite characteristic, but often other functional bowel disturbances can coexist, making diagnosis on history alone difficult. A trial of therapy for suspected irritable bowel syndrome can be considered where the history is vague, in the hope that this may improve symptoms and exclude SO dysfunction as a diagnostic possibility. Common bile duct stones need to be excluded in all patients with suspected SO dysfunction. The role of magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) in evaluating the biliary tree in patients with a low likelihood of having common bile duct stones is unclear. At present, MRCP has not been shown to be superior than an ultrasound in detecting common bile duct stones (57). ERCP has the obvious advantage of being able to remove common bile duct stones at the time of the procedure and can also give an indication as to the possibility of SO dysfunction being present by an objective measurement of common bile duct diameter and whether contrast drains adequately. While these factors have not been shown to predict SO dysfunctions reliably, they can help in deciding whether to proceed to other investigations once common bile duct stones have been excluded.

Pain on injection of contrast during ERCP has not been found to correlate with SO dysfunction (58). Biopsies from the papilla in a large series of patients with SO dysfunction found a 4.3% incidence of adenoma (59). If there is any suspicion that the papilla appears abnormal, biopsies should be considered.

The morphine-neostigmine test or Nardi test has been used in the past as a way to try and predict the response to sphincter division, either surgically or endoscopically. It is a very sensitive test (60), but lacks specificity (61) and has little role in the investigation of patients with suspected SO dysfunction.

Symptomatic improvement with common bile duct stenting has been shown to predict response to sphincterotomy (62). However, one study (63) showed a high rate of pancreatitis, and more studies are required before this approach can be recommended.

Biliary scintigraphy, which uses an imino acid that is taken up by hepatocytes and secreted unchanged into the biliary system while coupled to radioactive technitium, allows an assessment of bile flow. By being able to scan a region of interest over the biliary tree, time activity curves for bile flow can be generated. CCK is often administered to stimulate bile flow. Obstruction of the biliary tree has been shown to reduce bile flow, as assessed by scintigraphy (64). Many variables are used to assess obstruction, including the time taken to the maximal count over the biliary tree (T max); the time taken for 50% of tracer to be seen over the biliary tree (half-life); the time taken for the tracer to enter the duodenum; prolonged excretion of tracer from the biliary tree; and the transit time of tracer from the hepatic hilum to the duodenum.

Although initial experience was generally favourable (65-71), there was variability in the parameters used for scintigraphy and diagnostic criteria for SO dysfunction. Sphincterotomy has been shown to improve bile flow, often to normal values (68-70). One study (72) assessed many scintigraphic variables and found that the hepatic hilum to duodenal transit time is the best predictor of delayed bile flow into the duodenum. This variable was then studied prospectively against SO manometry in patients with suspected SO dysfunction and found to have an 83% sensitivity and a 100% specificity (73). However, in patients with a dilated common bile duct, sphincterotomy was not found to normalize bile flow. A scoring system using six scintigraphic variables has been developed in an attempt to improve the accuracy of scintigraphy in patients with suspected SO dysfunction (74). In the 26 patients studied, 100% sensitivity and specificity of scintigraphy were found when compared with manometric criteria. These studies have not been repeated; thus, the results need to be confirmed before scintigraphy replaces manometry. A likely role for scintigraphy will be as a screening test, with manometry being used for equivocal results. Scintigraphy has not been found to be useful in diagnosing patients with suspected SO dysfunction and an intact gallbladder (75).

**SO MANOMETRY**

The development of techniques to measure pressure across the SO has enhanced our understanding of the normal physiology of the human SO and has also defined, with accuracy and reproducibility, the presence of manometric disorders of the sphincter (76). The miniaturized manometry catheters that are used for pressure measurement have three lumens and are made of either polyethylene or teflon. They have an outer diameter of 1.7 mm. Three side holes are made at the recording tip of the catheter at 2 mm intervals, starting at 10 mm from its distal tip. Thus, the three lumens record across a length of 5 mm from within the SO. The catheter is connected to a pneumohydraulic capillary perfusion system with pressure force transducers in series. The catheter is perfused with deionized, bubble-free water at a flow rate of 0.13 mL/min to 0.25 mL/min, and the whole system is capable of accurately recording pressure changes of up to 300 mmHg/s. Mild sedation is usually achieved with intravenous benzodiazepine (diazepam or midazolam) or propo-
TABLE 3
Endoscopic sphincterotomy (ES) and sphincter of Oddi (SO) dysfunction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SO basal pressure</th>
<th>SO basal pressure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;40 mmHg</td>
<td>&gt;40 mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sham</td>
<td>ES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No change</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 mmHg</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

follow-up, it was found that patients with SO stenosis treated by sphincterotomy were more likely to show improvement in symptoms than patients with sphincter stenosis who had the sham procedure. If the manometric diagnosis was SO dyskinesia, significant differences were not observed. The results from this study led to the conclusion that patients with significant SO dysfunction as characterized by an elevated basal pressure (SO stenosis) should be treated by division of the SO. Similar results were reported around that time (86), and, subsequently, other prospective nonrandomized trials have confirmed the benefit of sphincterotomy for patients with an elevated basal pressure (38,87,88). As discussed above, sphincterotomy for type 3 patients with an elevated basal pressure may not be associated with long-lasting benefit (39).

In many patients with idiopathic recurrent pancreatitis, manometry reveals sphincter stenosis. Pancreatic duct stenosis may also be found in patients who have had a biliary sphincterotomy for the treatment of recurrent pancreatitis. Thus, endoscopic biliary sphincterotomy is often ineffective for recurrent pancreatitis, and treatment must include division of the pancreatic sphincter. This is achieved via a transduodenal approach at open operation with division of the septum between the bile duct and pancreatic duct, creating a wide opening for both ducts. Endoscopic division of the pancreatic portion of the SO has been reported but is not routinely undertaken because morbidity and long term outcome have not been evaluated. The results of total sphincter division in producing symptomatic relief in patients with recurrent pancreatitis depend on the selection of patients. Approximately 70% of patients with an abnormally elevated basal pressure are improved by sphincteroplasty and pancreatic septoplasty (89). Lack of improvement may relate to the fact that many of these patients have been treated for many years with a variety of analgesics, including opiates, and that some have developed dependence on medication.

TREATMENT OF SO DYSKINESIA

Sphincterotomy for patients with SO dyskinesia has been shown not to improve symptoms and cannot be recommended, especially in view of the increased risk of pancreatitis in patients with SO dysfunction (90,91). The role of pharmacotherapy is somewhat unclear because there are few well conducted studies using manometric criteria for the diagnosis of SO dysfunction. The main drawback is that there are no drugs that appear to be specific for the SO, are long acting and free of side effects. Nifedipine has been shown to reduce significantly SO basal pressure when given sublingually to patients with SO dyskinesia during SO manometry (92). Two studies have evaluated oral nifedipine for up to 12 weeks in patients with manometrically diagnosed ‘SO spasm’ (93) and suspected type 2 SO dyskinesia (ie, no manometry) (94). Both studies found that, compared with placebo, there was a significant decrease in pain episodes and pain scores. The therapy was well tolerated, but concerns still exist regarding the potential for systemic side effects of hypotension, flushing and headaches.
Glycerol trinitrate given sublingually during SO manometry has been shown to decrease SO basal pressure in patients with suspected pancreaticobiliary disease (95). However, no long term study has been undertaken using nitrates in patients with SO dysfunction.

Intraspincteric injection of botulinum toxin has been shown in animals to relax the SO (96,97). It has also been used in an attempt to predict which patients may respond to further treatment, either by repeat injections of botulinum toxin or other therapy (98). The two patients who were treated showed an objective decrease in SO pressure for up to four months, but clinical improvement was not evident. Given the lack of clinical response, the need for repeat injections and concerns regarding the risks that the injection process may induce pancreatitis, intraspincteric injection of botulinum toxin cannot be recommended for clinical use.
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