Constipation: A physiological approach

W Grant Thompson MD

Constipation is a very common complaint in primary care and gastroenterology practice. It is said to be present in 1% to 8% of the population (1-5), but these figures are very much subject to how one defines constipation. Indeed, Probert et al (2) found that constipation was present in about 60 (8%) of 731 randomly selected women, defined by patient complaint, expert opinion (Rome criteria, Table 1) (6,7) or stool form as a surrogate measure of transit time (vide infra). However, these definitions each selected a different 60 people. Only 17 women were constipated according to all three definitions. These data show the importance of determining in detail what the patient means by ‘constipation’ and the need to interpret his or her symptoms in physiological terms. In this paper, stool and the colorectal transit time are discussed in physiological terms.
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The first step in managing a patient with constipation is to understand the precise nature of the complaint. Is the onset recent? What are the frequency and form of the stools, and how much effort is required to defecate? Is constipation steady or alternating as in irritable bowel syndrome? Are there structural, metabolic or pharmacological confounders? Is the patient depressed? Has dietary fibre been tried at a sufficient dose? What are the patient’s understanding and beliefs about the symptoms? Has there been sufficient and appropriate investigation? Armed with the answers to these questions, physicians can help most patients through lifestyle, dietary and pharmacological adjustments, along with supplementary fibre. Some patients may require regular doses of an osmotic laxative. Those few that fail these measures should have their transit time estimated while on a high fibre diet; if it is normal, further testing is unlikely to help. The above efforts should be re-emphasized, and reassurance should be offered. Some patients may require a psychological assessment. If transit time is prolonged and the patient may benefit from surgery for colonic inertia or biofeedback for anismus, then colon and anorectal function should be assessed. The decision to perform further tests should be made carefully, and unrealistic expectations should be discouraged. Before surgery is offered, the patient should have the benefit of receiving an expert opinion. Biofeedback helps some patients with isolated anorectal dysfunction.
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Constipation : approche physiologique

RÉSUMÉ : La première étape à franchir dans le traitement de la constipation est de circonscrire la nature des symptômes. Sont-ils d’apparition récente? Quelles sont la fréquence et la forme des selles, et quelle intensité d’effort la défécation exige-t-elle? La constipation est-elle permanente ou épisodique comme dans le cas du syndrome du côlon irritable? Y a-t-il des facteurs confusionnels liés aux structures, au métabolisme ou à la prise de médicaments? Le patient est-il déprimé? A-t-il essayé un régime alimentaire suffisamment riche en fibres alimentaires? Comment le patient interprète-t-il ses symptômes? Les symptômes ont-ils fait l’objet d’une évaluation appropriée? Munis des réponses à ces questions, les médecins sont en mesure d’aider la plupart des patients par des interventions touchant le mode de vie, le régime alimentaire et la prise de médicaments; à cela s’ajoute un complément de fibres. Certains patients peuvent avoir besoin régulièrement de laxatifs osmotiques. Dans les rares cas d’échec du traitement, le temps de transit devrait être évalué pendant que les patients suivent un régime riche en fibres; si le temps est normal, il est peu probable que d’autres examens puissent apporter un soulagement quelconque. Il faudrait insister de nouveau sur les interventions précédentes et rassurer les patients. Certains d’entre eux devront être soumis à une évaluation psychologique. Si le temps de transit est prolongé et si une intervention chirurgicale pour inertie du côlon ou la rétroaction biologique pour anismus peuvent s’avérer bénéfiques, il faudrait procéder à une évaluation du fonctionnement du côlon, du rectum et de l’anus. La décision de poursuivre les examens devrait être prise en connaissance de cause, et il faudrait tempérer les attentes irréalistes. Le patient devrait avoir droit à l’avis d’un deuxième médecin avant qu’on lui propose l’intervention chirurgicale. La rétroaction biologique peut aider parfois les patients souffrant de dysfonctionnement ano-rectal occasionnel.
physiology responsible for delivering it are discussed. Armed with this information, a practical algorithm can be constructed for primary care physicians and specialists who care for patients with constipation.

THE STOOL

 Constipation cannot be understood without knowledge of the nature of stool. While the frequency of defecation is an important characteristic to consider, stool form (consistency) and the effort needed to expel the stool are also important. In physiological terms, constipation is best measured by colon transit time, but it, in turn, is dependent on stool size and the motor prowess of the colon and anorectum.

Determinants of stool size: Stool is the residue left over from the absorption of ingesta in the small intestine, and consists mainly of bacteria, water and gas. Unabsorbed material is largely carbohydrate that is resistant to small intestinal enzymes and subject to degradation by colon bacteria (8-10). It seems that colon bacteria is acquired in infancy. The bacteria remain for a lifetime and have a composition as unique to the individual as fingerprints. These bacteria determine the extent and nature of the degradation of small bowel products and, therefore, must play an important role in determining stool size and composition, colon gas production and defecation. Little is known about this process. The colon remains a dark continent of clinical research, but the following facts are notable.

While all human colons produce varying amounts of hydrogen and carbon dioxide (11), only one-third of colons produce methane – a result of the presence of Methanobacter species (12) and dietary sulphate (13). These gases are important determinants of stool volume and are a more likely cause of floating stools than fat content. Dietary bulk that escapes bacterial degradation has water-holding properties that help to determine stool size (14-16) and transit time (17,18). Particle size seems important: coarse bran, by resisting bacterial degradation, has a greater effect on stool weight and transit than fine bran (14).

Gastrointestinal transit time is related to the characteristics of the stool. A large stool traverses the colon faster, and those on a high fibre diet have faster gut transits and less constipation (17). Slow transit increases the time available for colonic water absorption, bacterial degradation and gas formation, thus fundamentally altering the stool form.

Even psychological and personality factors seem important. Extroverted, outgoing people have larger stools than those who are introverted (16). In contrast, stool size may be an important factor in the constipation that is seen so often in the depressed. These variables are important for the understanding of constipation and may offer clues for effective management.

Stool form: When considering a complaint of constipation (or diarrhea), stool form or consistency is more important than frequency. Many misperceptions about constipation can be resolved if a detailed description of the stools is obtained. Patients may claim that the frequent production of pelletty stools is diarrhea, even though such stools are associated with prolonged colonic transit (19-21). This has been termed ‘pseudodiarrhea’ (20). Indeed, stool form can serve as a surrogate marker of gut transit (Table 2) (18-20) and, therefore, is a reliable indicator of constipation.

The importance of large stools: Stool size is important in the recognition, investigation and treatment of constipation. The classic 1972 study of stool weight and transit by Burkitt et al (17) found that East Africans had stools five times as heavy that moved twice as quickly through the gut as subjects in the Western world. He reasoned that the differences were attributable to the very high fibre diet of native Africans compared with the refined, packaged diet of Europeans. This inverse relationship of stool weight and transit time has been confirmed experimentally (8), as has the ability of increased dietary fibre to increase stool weight and the speed of gut transit (8,22,23). This ability of fibre to increase stool bulk is incompletely understood. Fibre has some water-holding properties, but the products of digestion, gas and quickly absorbed short-chain fatty acids may also be important (15,24).

Using plastic stools, Bannister et al (25) showed that larger stools are easier for the anorectum to expel than small stools. This is the scientific basis for the dietary treatment of constipation. Clinical data are available and are presented in further sections (22,23).

PHYSIOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Clinically, constipation is defined as a group of functional disorders that present as persistent, difficult, infrequent or seemingly incomplete defecation (26,27). However, constipation is likely best defined physiologically as delayed transit
through the gut. Thus, transit time is an important consideration, and its measurement is an important first step if the sophisticated investigation of recalcitrant constipation is considered. Such testing is directed at the detection of a defect in colon transit or anorectal function. These defects are uncommon, are difficult to identify positively and are often very complicated to treat. Their investigation is justified only in severely affected patients with prolonged transit time who are likely to benefit from surgery or biofeedback. First, normal defecation is considered.

**Optimal conditions for defecation:** Physiological information is available to allow physicians to advise patients about how to improve their likelihood of achieving normal defecation. The ‘gastrocolonic response’ is the normal urge to defecate after a meal (28). It is hormonally and cholinergically (28,29) mediated; it warns a person to be positioned at the toilet when it occurs. Usually, this response is best experienced after breakfast. Fat (28) and caffeine seem to heighten the colonic response. Thus, a routine that includes a visit to the bathroom after a hearty breakfast of bacon and eggs with coffee may optimize natural responses.

In Western communities, little thought is given to the position of defecation; we have become adapted to Crapper’s water closet (30). Our ancestors and most of the world today assume the squat position to defecate (31,32). Physiologists point out that this anatomical position optimizes the attitude of the anorectum and provides the best trajectory for stool. It seems unlikely that patients will reassume the squat, and modern bathroom designers do not have such an eventuality in mind. Nevertheless, this position may be mimicked by placing a footstool in front of the toilet, thus elevating the subject’s thighs and repositioning the pelvis.

Perhaps the greatest physiological requirement for recalcitrant defecation is time. Precivilized man simply defecated when the urge overtook him. Through centuries of civilization, social restrictions on the time and place of defecation have been devised. Modern society does not build defecation into its daytimers, and the act is often denied or rushed. The constipated individual needs to unlearn this unhealthy byproduct of ‘evolution’.

**Transit time:** While colonic transit seems a simple concept, it is not. Unlike time trials in the Grand Prix, one cannot simply swallow a marker and record its arrival at the anus with a stopwatch. A marker must reflect the properties of stool. Liquids, large volumes and heavy materials travel faster through the gut. Also, transit is dependent on the consistency (14) and specific gravity (9,10) of the intestinal contents. Does one measure passage by the beginning point, the end point or the halfway point of a stool? Radiopaque markers have been used with the presumption that they will evenly distribute themselves within the stool and pass along with the stool (Figure 1). But when different shaped markers are given for three consecutive days, some of the shapes given
Colon motility: Colon inertia may be diagnosed when, despite a high fibre diet, transit is prolonged. Even in specialized centres, these patients may represent only one-third of constipated patients and may include some of those who also have anorectal dysfunction (34). The increased contact time with the mucosa increases water absorption, resulting in lumpy and infrequent stools, straining, and a feeling of incomplete evacuation. Slow transit constipation refers to very slow transit that is poorly responsive to all therapeutic measures (35,36).

Megacolon is recognized by barium enema and should prompt anorectal motility testing to exclude the rare case of Hirschsprung’s disease (37,38). The colonic distribution of transit time markers, intracolonic pressure measurements (at least of the recto-sigmoid) (39) and radioscintigraphy (40) have all been used to measure colon function but are imprecise. Certainly, subtotal colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis should not be contemplated until a generalized motility disturbance has been excluded by esophageal, gastric and small bowel (41) motility tests, and anorectal function is deemed to be normal (vide infra). Certainly the wise physician will avail himself or herself to the best surgical and medical opinion available. Some patients have both constipation and incontinence because of neurological damage and may benefit from an ileostomy.

Anorectal function: Anorectal dysfunction can be suspected in patients with a full rectum that does not empty despite excessive straining. Some patients digitally assist evacuation through the rectum or vagina, or press on their abdomen. When asked to strain, the perineum fails to descend. Unfortunately, the relationship of these symptoms to physiological tests is inexact (42). Some believe that anismus is characterized by the collection of transit time markers at the anorectum. The most definitive abnormality is that seen in Hirschsprung’s disease (37,43,44); here, the denervated internal sphincter fails to relax (Figure 2). While this is a rare abnormality in adults, it is important to detect. Surgical remedies are available if the short denervated segment can be demonstrated by biopsy. In anismus, the puborectalis sling fails to relax sufficiently to permit the anorectum to straighten for unimpeded stool passage (Figure 3) (45-48). Defecation is thereby obstructed.

MANAGEMENT OF CONSTIPATION

Initial workup: An initial history and physical examination should determine the nature and severity of the constipation, the adequacy of treatments so far, and the presence of morbid conditions that may contribute to the symptoms. Thus, the physician should inquire about stool frequency, stool form and the effort required to defecate. Any depression, neuropathy, hypothyroidism, diabetes, drugs (opiates, psychotropics, anticonvulsants, anticholinergics, dopaminergics, calcium channel blockers or bile acid binders) or other possible cause of constipation should be noted. Structural disease should be excluded if suspected. Most patients should have at least a peri-

**Figure 2** Anorectal manometry measures the internal and external anal sphincter response to balloon distension of the rectum. Arrows indicate the time of balloon distension. **A** In normal individuals, rectal distension reflexly relaxes the internal sphincter, while the conscious individual, deeming the time for defecation inappropriate, voluntarily tightens the external sphincter. **B** In Hirschsprung’s disease, the denervated internal sphincter fails to relax, thus obstructing defecation.

**Figure 3** Anorectal anatomy. The striated puborectalis muscle pulls the rectum forward, creating a sharp anorectal angle. This angle should be much less acute during defecation for stool to pass easily. The internal sphincter consists of smooth muscle which contracts involuntarily. Rectal distension causes the internal sphincter to relax and the external sphincter to contract, preventing precipitous defecation (see Figure 2A).
anal inspection, digital rectal examination and sigmoidoscopy; any patients older than 45 years or at risk of cancer should also have a barium enema. Complete testing of blood counts and erythrocyte sedimentation rates should be normal procedure. From there, one can embark on the algorithm proposed in Figure 4. However, there is an exception to the algorithm: if there is reason to suspect Hirschsprung’s disease, especially in children, one must jump directly to anorectal motility testing.

If no other disease is present, the next step is to ensure that dietary fibre has been tried sufficiently. In primary care and even among patients seeing specialists, most constipation will improve if adequate dietary fibre is given (49,50). The incremental effect of dietary fibre on stool weight is dose-dependent (8,15,22,23,51) and requires a week to reach a steady state (15); this dose effect is weaker in patients with slow-transit constipation (52). Fibre is especially likely to be successful if the stools are fragmented, hard or pelletty in nature. Adequate stool bulk should soften and defragment the stools. Further treatments or tests should not be contemplated until the physician is satisfied that the constipation will not improve with some type of dietary fibre.

A true high fibre diet is difficult to achieve. For that reason it is best to introduce fibre supplements. The cheapest and best supplement is coarse wheat bran taken by the tablespoon in increments until softer stools are more easily passed. Some patients require more than six tablespoons per day; these can be taken in yogurt, cereal, soups or applesauce. Once successful, the patient may integrate the bran into the diet. Fruit and vegetables are encouraged, but few can achieve sufficient dietary fibre intake with these alone. For those who cannot tolerate bran or have celiac disease, rice bran, psyllium (53,54) or methyl cellulose (55) can be tried. Adequate doses must be taken as the response is dose-related. Some psyllium packages recommend a dose of a teaspoon, which is often insufficient.

Many patients who are constipated have irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) (26,27,56). A careful examination of an IBS patient’s history will reveal an intermittency of constipation, abdominal pain and episodes of nonlaxative-induced diarrhea, and are excluded. Each step in this scheme should be carefully considered. Transit time measurement is only indicated after the lifestyle and dietary changes outlined in this article have truly failed. The decision to do physiological tests in patients with prolonged transit depends on the likelihood of benefit. If surgery or biofeedback is out of the question, then such studies are of little use.

**PHYSIOLOGICAL STUDIES**

**Measurement of transit time:** Before proceeding to further testing or treatment, a transit time study should be performed. A whole-gut transit time test is available for any physician; it is an important determinant of what further action is justified in the severely affected patient who fails to respond to dietary fibre or osmotic laxatives. The markers used for transit time measurement may be purchased in capsules (Sitzmark, USA). Each capsule contains 25 radiopaque markers. It is good practice to complete a scout film to ensure the arrival of the markers in the stomach and to complete further films on day 3 and day 5. Various formulas have been proposed to establish normal transit through x-ray examination of the abdomen or through examination of each stool (59-61). The accepted norm is passage of at least 80% of the 25 radiopaque markers by day 5 (Figure 1). There is debate as to whether one should suspect anorectal dysfunction if the unpassed markers concentrate in the left colon or rectum (obstructed defecation) (62).

If the transit time is long and the fibre intake is satisfactory, then severe constipation is confirmed (Figure 4). One can then decide whether to go on to more aggressive treatment with polyethylene glycol (PEG), or to test for colon inertia or anorectal dysfunction. If, on the other hand, the transit time is normal, it is difficult to confirm a diagnosis of severe constipation, and none of the above investigations are justified. In such a case, there must be a perception problem requiring patient education or a psychological problem (such as ‘denied defecation’ [56,63]) that requires a psychological assessment.

Only a few centres are equipped to carry out sophisticated physiological studies and fewer still have the capability to interpret them correctly; hence, a minority of patients benefit from the results.

**Tests for colon inertia:** The theoretical importance of discovering colon inertia is that such a patient may benefit from a colon resection. The practical reality is that, in the absence of megacolon, isolated colon inertia is rare. Colon resection will have disastrous results if the patient also has anismus or a neuromuscular disturbance that involves other segments of the gut (64,65). Nevertheless, in certain patients colon...
motility can be measured usefully, and there are surgical successes (66,67).

Tests for anorectal dysfunction: Anorectal motility may be measured in a variety of ways, but the most readily available technique is by using a device that measures the internal and external anal sphincter pressure response to intrarectal balloon distension. The most graphic demonstration is through defecography (Figure 5) (45-48). Other techniques include testing the expulsion of plastic stools placed in the rectum (68) and electromyography of the pelvic floor and anal sphincters. Unfortunately, the border between normal and abnormal is indistinct, and surgical management of anorectal dysfunction has been largely unsuccessful. Nevertheless, these tests can: first, detect rectal prolapse or a hitherto unrecognized rectocele; second, if colon resection is contemplated, assure anorectal normalcy; and third, set up the physiological parameters for biofeedback if such treatment is available in the patient’s community (69-71). The technical aspects of anorectal assessment and treatments are described elsewhere (71,72).

However, there is still much to learn. Symptoms of pelvic floor dysfunction do not correlate well with physiological tests (73), and no single test can identify whether a patient’s constipation is due to IBS, delayed transit or pelvic floor dysfunction (42). While biofeedback is harmless, surgical treatments are not. Other than for Hirschsprung’s disease, rectal prolapse or certain rectoceles, anorectal corrective surgery is experimental and should not be attempted without advice from centres with sophisticated equipment and expertise.

TREATMENT FOR SEVERE CONSTIPATION

Laxative measures: Some patients who have troublesome constipation and prolonged transit will have regular defecations when given large doses of an osmotic laxative. PEG is sold as a powder to be mixed in a 4 L solution as preparation for bowel procedures such as colonoscopy (74). It is available in 1 L aliquots and can be given in 300 to 400 mL daily doses (75,76). While PEG does not restore defecation to normal, it does generate regular evacuations, which relieves many patients who have not had regular movements for years. PEG has a low sodium content and is isosmotic with blood; thus, electrolyte abnormalities are unusual if renal and cardiac function are normal. Nonetheless, the monitoring of creatinine and electrolyte levels is wise. In patients in whom no other intervention is likely, this treatment seems to be the best option.

There are reports favouring the use of the gastrokinetic agent cisapride (now withdrawn from the Canadian market) (77,78) and the prostaglandin analogue misoprostyl (79) as treatment for severe constipation, but it is unclear whether those treated in these studies were controlled for fibre intake. While these products cause diarrhea in those who are not constipated, anecdotal and other evidence suggest that they are ineffective in those who are truly constipated (80). Neither drug is without risk, and their chronic use by pregnant (81) or elderly individuals in whom drug interactions are likely is unwise (82).

Many patients select their own laxatives; these may include bisacodyl, anthrocene drugs (senna or cascara) or regular enemas. Sometimes these laxatives are satisfactory and the patient is reluctant to abandon the treatment. However, many gastroenterologists are concerned that these stimulants may, over time, damage the colon (80) and cause electrolyte disturbances (56,63). Soap enemas are potentially dangerous. Surgical measures: Surgical procedures on the colon and rectum are the province of the few experts and centres that are equipped to handle the selection of suitable patients, as well as the psychological and physiological issues that they present. Surgery should not be performed without expert medical and surgical opinion. Inappropriate colectomy, ileostomy or especially anorectal surgery may leave the patient even more severely disabled.

Other measures: For anismus, some experts recommend biofeedback (69,71). With this technique, technology is employed to train patients with anismus to relax their puborectalis muscle as they try to defecate. Few centres claim success with this technique, which is not easy to apply correctly.

Many patients seek the help of practitioners of alternative medicine or colon laundries. If patients are satisfied with these measures and medical measures have been unsatisfactory, then the physician’s duty is confined to ensuring the safety of the treatments being administered. After all, Louis XIV availed himself to daily enemas, and he ruled France for 72 years (56).
APPENDIX 1
Rome II criteria for constipation

In the preceding 12 months, at least 12 weeks (that do not need to be consecutive) having two or more of:
- Straining at defecation more than one-quarter of the time
- Lumpiness or hard stools at defecation more than one-quarter of the time
- Sensation of incomplete evacuations more than one-quarter of the time
- Sensation of anorectal obstruction or blockage at defecation more than one-quarter of the time
- Manual manoeuvres to facilitate defecation more than one-quarter of the time (eg, digital evacuation, support of the pelvic floor)
- Less than three defecations per week

Loose stools should not be present, and there must be insufficient criteria for irritable bowel syndrome. Adapted from references 26 and 27.
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