Practitioners have seen a number of advancements in the assessment of hepatic disease. Significant improvements in serological testing and genetic screening, and numerous advances in diagnostic imaging, including magnetic resonance imaging and cholangiopancreatography, have improved diagnostic capabilities. These improvements notwithstanding, histological assessment of the liver via biopsy remains important in the diagnosis and follow-up of acute and chronic hepatic disease.

The procedure of liver biopsy has not changed greatly in the past 50 years. The physician can choose from assessment with fine needle aspiration techniques with fewer attendant complications but with the limitation of cytology assessment only. Histological assessment with a variety of manually inserted or spring-loaded, gun-driven needles is more often required. Liver biopsies may be obtained blind, i.e., by clinical estimation of organ location; by using radiological guidance with computerized tomography or ultrasound; via the transvenous (transjugular/transfemoral) route in patients with contraindications to percutaneous biopsy; or via direct vision, surgically or laparoscopically in certain situations.

INDICATIONS

Because needle core biopsy of the liver is associated with a small but definite risk, biopsy should only be performed when the findings assist in the management of the patient. With this stated, the following are generally accepted indications for percutaneous liver biopsy (1).

- Elevated liver enzyme levels with no explanation (i.e., rising enzyme profile or initial marked enzyme elevation)
- Elevated aminotransferase levels for six months or longer
- Hepatomegaly of unclear etiology
- Assessment of response to therapy of chronic liver disease
- Unexplained jaundice without evidence of extrahepatic obstruction
- Monitoring of hepatotoxicity of drug therapy
- Fever of unknown origin
- Liver transplant evaluation

The prebiopsy work-up of a patient requiring liver biopsy should include informed consent, including an explanation of the risks of the procedure and the following laboratory tests obtained within one week before biopsy.

- Prothrombin time-international normalized ratio and partial thromboplastin time
- Platelet count
- Hemoglobin
- Blood urea nitrogen and creatinine
- Group and screen
- Bleeding time if acetylsalicylic acid (ASA)/nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) status is uncertain

Patients should be advised to avoid ASA and NSAIDs for one week before biopsy. Anticoagulants should be stopped for any liver biopsy.

The route of biopsy should be governed by the clinical situation. Percutaneous liver biopsy by experienced personnel is most often done. Use of ultrasound or computerized tomography to guide biopsies, although frequently used, has
not been conclusively proven to increase diagnostic yield or to reduce complications significantly. Transvenous biopsy by skilled physicians is available for patients in whom coagulopathy or ascites preclude a traditional percutaneous approach. Laparoscopically or surgically guided biopsies may be obtained if laparoscopy and surgery are being done for other reasons or if percutaneous biopsy is inconclusive.

Postbiopsy management should include a recording by the physician performing the biopsy of how many ‘passes’ were made, any medications administered and any apparent complications. Suggested postbiopsy orders are that the patient have complete bed rest for a minimum of 2 h, and that vital signs, including blood pressure, pulse and respiration, be obtained every 15 mins for 1 h and then at regular intervals until discharge.

Same day discharge is the norm in many centres, although it should be recognized there is a small admission rate to hospital for complications. Patients should be discharged with follow-up instructions and should be instructed to avoid NSAIDs, ASA, warfarin, ticlid and any other anticoagulants for one week.

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Contraindications to percutaneous liver biopsy include (1) an uncooperative patient; (2) impaired coagulation; (3) severe uncorrected anemia; (4) significant ascites; (5) infection in the path of the needle; (6) suspected extrahepatic biliary obstruction of high grade; (7) cholangitis; (8) echinococcal cysts; (9) no safe unobstructed access route to biopsy; (10) leukemia and myelofibrosis; and (11) uremia.

COMPLICATIONS

Complications may be divided into minor complications and major complications. Minor complications include pain and transient hypotension (3.5% to 33% if pain included). Major complications include significant hypotension, pneumothorax, hemothorax, hemoperitoneum, hemobilia, significant intrahepatic hematoma, cardiac arrhythmia, gallbladder perforation and biopsy of other organs such as kidney or pancreas (2).

The most significant complication of liver biopsy is death, with reports ranging from 0% to 0.33% in the literature.

CONCLUSION

Liver biopsy remains an essential investigation for the diagnosis of liver disease. With strict attention to indications and contraindications, liver biopsy can be regarded as a safe and useful procedure.
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