Dysplasia-associated polyoid mucosal lesion in a pelvic pouch after restorative proctocolectomy for ulcerative colitis

Hugh Freeman MD

Prior studies have firmly established that ulcerative colitis predisposes a person to the development of colon cancer, especially in patients with long-standing pancolitis (1-3). Others have suggested that the onset of malignancy may be preceded by atypical epithelial changes or dysplasia, and it has been postulated that detection of these may enable treatment to be instigated before the development of frank carcinoma (4). It was initially believed that proctocolectomy and rectal mucosectomy would eliminate the risk of later colon cancer. However, rare cases of carcinoma developing in the ileostomy stoma mucosa after total proctocolectomy and conventional ileostomy have been reported (5-7); in these cases, it was postulated that colonic metaplasia of the ileal epithelium occurred (8), possibly related to ileal stasis. Later, it was recognized that even with restorative proctocolectomy after rectal mucosectomy and creation of a sewn anastomosis, up to 20% of patients may have residual islets of colonic epithelial cells (9,10). In recent years, a stapled, rather than sewn, anastomosis has also been performed. As a result, rectal cuff mucosa has been left in situ, with, presumably, a persistent risk of neoplastic transformation. Indeed, in 1990, a case of cancer in an ileoanal pouch was reported (11). It is therefore important to continue to monitor patients carefully after restorative proctocolectomy, and to consider more rigorous surveillance for dysplasia in these patients.

H Freeman. Dysplasia-associated polyoid mucosal lesion in a pelvic pouch after restorative proctocolectomy for ulcerative colitis. Can J Gastroenterol 2001;15(7):485-488. A 32-year-old man with ulcerative colitis had a colectomy for toxic colitis. Later, a rectal mucosectomy was performed along with the creation of a pelvic pouch. In 1998, approximately 10 years after this staged restorative proctocolectomy was completed, endoscopic examination of the pelvic pouch detected a small mucosal polyoid mass lesion. Although the lesion had the macroscopic appearance of an inflammatory polyp, microscopic sections of the resected lesion revealed dysplastic changes. Endoscopic polypectomy was performed to remove the lesion, and further histological surveillance examinations of the pelvic pouch have not detected additional dysplastic mucosal changes.
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Lésions muqueuses polyoides dysplasiques affectant une poche pelvienne après proctocolectomie de reconstruction pour colite ulcéreuse

RÉSUMÉ : Un homme de 32 ans, souffrant de colite ulcéreuse, a subi une colectomie pour colite toxique. Plus tard, une mucosectomie rectale avec construction de poche pelvienne a été effectuée. En 1998, soit environ 10 ans après cette proctocolectomie de reconstruction par étapes, l'examen endoscopique de la poche pelvienne a permis de déceler une petite lésion sous forme de masse muqueuse polytope. Bien que la lésion ait eu l'aspect macroscopique d'un polype inflammatoire, les sections microscopiques de la lésion réséquée ont révélé la présence d'anomalies dysplasiques. Une polypectomie endoscopique a été effectuée pour exciser la lésion et la poursuite des examens histologiques de la poche pelvienne n'a pas permis de déceler d'autres anomalies muqueuses de type dysplasique.
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The reservoir was described, which is thought to have originated from the rectal cuff, even though a distal rectal mucosal proctectomy had been performed (11). Other similar cases, believed to have arisen from rectal mucosa, have also been described (12,13). Interestingly, a large cell lymphoma in the pelvic pouch after restorative proctocolectomy has been described (14), as well as an invasive adenocarcinoma in a long-standing Kock continent ileostomy (15). Most recently, neoplastic changes in pelvic pouch epithelium have been detected in endoscopic biopsies of the pelvic pouch, along with DNA aneuploidy using flow cytometric methods (16).

The present report describes a patient with ulcerative colitis and a neoplastic polyp originating from the pelvic pouch epithelium rather than the region of the pouch-to-anal anastomosis. This was detected over the course of a decade, after a total colectomy for toxic colitis was performed, followed by rectal mucosectomy and pelvic pouch reconstruction using a hand-sewn, rather than stapled, anastomosis.

CASE PRESENTATION
A 32-year-old man had a colectomy in August 1987 for toxic colitis. Pathological evaluation of the resected colon showed changes of ulcerative colitis with pseudopolypoid changes. No dysplastic or other neoplastic lesions were detected in the resected colon. There was no family history of colorectal neoplasia. In March 1988, a rectal mucosectomy was performed, along with the creation of a pelvic S-pouch and loop ileostomy. A sewn (rather than stapled) pouch-to-anal anastomosis was performed. In September 1988, the ileostomy was closed. After this staged procedure, the patient did well, although he continued to have four to six bowel motions daily with no nocturnal diarrhea or soilage. In June 1991, the anastomosis between the anus and the pouch became strictured and was dilated. Endoscopic examination of the pelvic pouch revealed erythematous and friable mucosa with a few scattered erosions, consistent with pouchitis. Treatment with oral metronidazole for one month led to complete resolution of the endoscopic changes. In June 1992, he was reviewed and was doing well; he was having four daily bowel movements, and endoscopic evaluation of the pelvic pouch was normal. Random biopsies of the pelvic pouch mucosa were also normal. In 1998, about 10 years after his colectomy and pelvic pouch reconstruction, endoscopic examination of the pelvic pouch revealed mucosal erythema but no erosions. However, a distinct, slightly irregular, 1 cm polypoid lesion was seen in the pelvic pouch, approximately 5 cm from the anal anastomosis (Figure 1). The surface of the polyp was covered with apparent exudate, suggesting an inflammatory lesion. Histological examination of the completely excised polyp (by snare electrosurgery), however, was reported to show dysplastic change (Figure 2). In 1999, he was reviewed again on two occasions. He was doing well, and
endoscopic evaluations of the pelvic pouch revealed only some mucosal hyperemia, and multiple mucosal biopsies from different sites in the pelvic pouch mucosa showed no further dysplastic changes (Figure 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In theory, proctocolectomy and rectal mucosectomy for ulcerative colitis eliminates the risk of developing carcinoma. However, it has been demonstrated that residual rectal mucosa may still be present, even after apparently complete mucosal stripping and creation of a hand-sewn, rather than stapled, anastomosis. In 1990, rectal carcinoma in an ileoanal reservoir was reported (11). The authors believed that this carcinoma developed from the rectal mucosal cuff rather than from the mucosa of the pelvic pouch per se. Similar conclusions have been recorded in other cases (12,13). In addition, even after conventional proctocolectomy and ileostomy, carcinoma in the ileal mucosa has been observed (5-7). And, more recently, invasive adenocarcinoma in a continent ileostomy associated with a Kock pouch was described (15). These findings have provided indirect evidence that the ileal pouch mucosa per se may also be subsequently at risk for neoplastic change.

In 1991, Lofberg et al (16) from Sweden described dysplastic mucosal changes and DNA aneuploidy in a pelvic pouch. It was later suggested that pelvic pouch mucosa with severe villous atrophy accompanied by longstanding pouchitis (‘type C’ mucosal adaptation) is at special risk for neoplastic change (17). In addition, in a report of pelvic pouch patients who underwent surveillance endoscopic studies, this same Swedish group described five patients with dysplastic changes in flat, atrophic pelvic pouch mucosa; in one of these patients, high grade dysplasia was observed in flat, atrophic pelvic pouch mucosa; in another case, high grade dysplasia was observed at multiple mucosal sites within the pelvic pouch (18). Although contrasting results describing failure to detect dysplastic change in ileal mucosa have been reported in some surveillance programs for pouch mucosa, even with detailed histological analysis and DNA cytometric analysis (19,20), the first carcinoma has recently been described in pelvic pouch mucosa (18). In the present report, a macroscopically visible polypoid lesion was detected, which contained dysplastic epithelium. This polypoid lesion was removed endoscopically and subsequent pelvic pouch examinations have not revealed recurrent dysplastic changes or invasive carcinoma.

The present report raises a number of important clinical issues relevant to the long term follow-up of patients with a pelvic pouch and the potential need for ongoing histomorphological surveillance. First, is endoscopic surveillance necessary or appropriate in all of these patients or are there subgroups who are at higher risk who could benefit from repeated evaluation, especially if their postoperative clinical course is satisfactory? In 21 patients followed in the

Swedish study mentioned previously, prior history of colon cancer or dysplasia in the resected colon was not predictive of subsequent pelvic pouch dysplasia (18). However, the development of persistent, severe, ‘type C’ mucosal atrophy in the pelvic pouch mucosa was thought to be significant and could be used to reselect a group of patients who are at high risk for neoplastic transformation of the pouch mucosa (18). Other selection factors – for example, concomitant hepatobiliary tract disease, ie, sclerosing cholangitis, which is thought to increase the risk of colorectal cancer in ulcerative colitis – also need to be explored. Second, if dysplastic changes are detected, then what should be done? In the present patient, a polyp, which had the appearances of an inflammatory polyp, was endoscopically resected; histological evaluation, however, revealed focal dysplastic change. Follow-up over the next two years did not demonstrate any persistent or recurrent dysplastic changes. In a patient who had a satisfactory result after completing a multistage procedure, removing the pelvic pouch would be difficult. Third, if histomorphological surveillance is to be performed, information is even needed on some technical issues, eg, the numbers of biopsies to be done and the sites of biopsy within the pouch. In spite of the positive experience from some, but not all, centres that perform multiple-site biopsy surveillance in patients with longstanding ulcerative colitis, a universally accepted approach is still not available or followed. For pelvic pouch patients, data from large centres will be needed before evidence-based recommendations can be provided. In the interim, however, current enthusiasm for pelvic pouch reconstructive surgery in patients with ulcerative colitis may have to be tempered with the knowledge that the risk of neoplasia and the possible need for future surveillance are not necessarily being eliminated.
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