Early prediction of nonresponders to treatment with interferon alpha-2b and ribavirin in patients with chronic hepatitis C

Louis WC Liu MD1, George Tomlinson PhD2, Tony Mazzulli MD3, Alison Murray MD4, Jenny Heathcote MD5

BACKGROUND: Treatment of chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection with interferon alpha-2b and ribavirin is costly in terms of side effects, medical resources and drug costs. Furthermore, less than 50% of patients overall have a sustained virological response (SVR).

OBJECTIVE: To determine if the log fall in HCV RNA between baseline and week 1 (b-wk1) and between baseline and week 4 (b-wk4) after starting treatment could identify the nonresponders.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: Sixty-three patients who had completed a full course of therapy were identified. Quantitative measurements of HCV RNA were analyzed from stored sera, collected prospectively.

RESULTS: SVR was achieved in 47.1% and 47.3% of patients in the b-wk1 and b-wk4 groups, respectively. No patients had an SVR with a fall in HCV RNA of less than 0.35 log10 and 1.05 log10 at week 1 and week 4, respectively. This accounted for 44.4% and 51.7% of the nonresponders in the b-wk1 and b-wk4 groups, respectively. Once the decline in viral load was known, genotype, age, sex and baseline viral load did not provide additional power in predicting treatment responses.

CONCLUSION: A fall of 1.05 log10 in HCV RNA at week 4 predicts those patients who will not respond, identifying one-half of all nonresponders; this allows therapy to be stopped early, without depriving any patient who would have an SVR from treatment.
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cost effective to treat all patients with chronic HCV infection when compared with standard IFN and ribavirin.

Although it is less costly than pegylated IFN combination, combination therapy using standard IFN-α2b and ribavirin is still costly in terms of drug costs and medical resources, as well as side effects. Up to 21% of patients receiving this combination discontinue treatment before 48 weeks because of adverse side effects (4). The high rate of side effects (9,10), particularly those related to hematological parameters, demand frequent monitoring that incurs considerable time on the part of both the patients and the medical personnel. Hence, the earlier that it can be predicted which patients will ultimately fail to respond to therapy, the shorter amount of time patients would be exposed to undesirable side effects and the smaller the unnecessary financial burden on the health care system.

The prediction of treatment response to combination therapy with standard IFN and ribavirin has been reported using qualitative and quantitative analyses of HCV RNA. A positive qualitative HCV RNA test at 24 weeks identified 99% of the nonresponders to treatment, and the authors suggested treatment should only be stopped at 24 weeks if this test remained positive (11). Another study indicated that a positive qualitative HCV RNA test at week 4 identified all 35 nonresponders to IFN plus ribavirin who had previously failed to respond to IFN monotherapy; a negative qualitative test for HCV RNA in the same study identified four of five subsequent sustained virological responders (12). Using quantitative analyses of HCV RNA, a study of 25 patients published as an abstract indicated that if the fall in viral load is less than 1 log10 within the first week of initiating treatment, patients would ultimately not respond (13). A study using peginterferon alpha-2a plus ribavirin demonstrated that using a 2 log10 cutoff for fall in viral load by week 12 identified 95% of nonresponders and 65% of patients with SVR (8). A recent study combined the data from patients recruited to two large therapeutic trials that used pegylated INF and ribavirin; it was found that the lack of a 2 log10 fall in HCV RNA at week 12 identified 98% of nonresponders to therapy (14). These studies suggest that a quantitative analysis of the rate of decline of HCV RNA may be more sensitive and accurate in predicting treatment response than a qualitative analysis. These studies quantified the HCV RNA in copies/mL. It has been shown that HCV RNA quantified using the newly accepted standard, expressed in IU/mL, defined by the World Health Organization is more accurate and reproducible (15).

The objective of the present study was to determine if the nonresponders to treatment with IFN-α2b and ribavirin can be predicted early after starting therapy by determining the log fall in HCV RNA between baseline, week 1 and week 4. In addition, this study employed the first accepted international standard for the measurement of HCV RNA in IU/mL.

**METHODS**

**Settings and interventions**

All patients who had received treatment with the combination of IFN-α2b and ribavirin at the University Health Network in Toronto, Ontario, between 1998 and 2000 were reviewed. All patients were treated with IFN-α2b, 3,000,000 IU by subcutaneous injection three times per week, and ribavirin either 1000 mg or 1200 mg orally per day based on their weight (1000 mg for weight less than or equal to 75 kg and 1200 mg if more than 75 kg). The treatment duration ranged from 24 to 48 weeks. Patients were assessed at baseline and then followed at various intervals, in part, depending on their tolerance of the treatment. All patients were followed until 24 weeks after treatment cessation and the final off-treatment outcomes were known. Informed consent was obtained from each patient. Approval by the local human institutional review committee was received for this study.

Treatment outcomes were defined as nonresponders—patients who had detectable serum HCV RNA at the end of therapy by a qualitative HCV RNA analysis (greater than 50 IU/mL; for details see ‘Analysis of serum HCV RNA’ below); SVR—an undetectable serum quantitative HCV RNA at the end of the treatment and at 24 weeks after treatment cessation; and relapers—patients who had a negative qualitative HCV RNA at the end of treatment but a positive result during the 24-week follow-up.

**Patients**

Patients were treated if they had a positive HCV RNA at baseline, compensated liver disease and biochemical abnormalities (persistent elevation of alanine aminotransferase for six months). All patients were required to have hemoglobin 130 g/L or greater for men or 120 g/L or greater for women; white blood cell count 3×109/L or greater; absolute neutrophil count 150×109/L or greater; platelets 100×109/L or greater; prothrombin time prolonged by less than 2 s; direct bilirubin 5.1 μmol/L or less; indirect bilirubin 13.7 μmol/L or less (unless nonhepatitis-related factors such as Gilbert's syndrome were present); albumin 35 g/L or greater; creatinine 123.8 μmol/L or less; fasting blood sugar 6.4 mmol/L or less for patients without diabetes mellitus; and hemoglobin A1C 8.5% or less for patients with diabetes mellitus. All patients were human immunodeficiency virus- and hepatitis B surface antigen-negative, and had a normal serum thyroid stimulating hormone level and an antinuclear antibody titre of 1 to 160 or less. Each patient underwent a liver biopsy indicating that disease severity was more than grade 1 inflammation and grade 1 fibrosis based on the META VIR scoring system (16).

Patients were excluded from treatment if they were over 55 years old; had any history of cardiovascular disease, hemoglobinopathy or continued alcohol and/or illicit drug use; and if there was evidence of other causes of hepatitis based on liver biopsy and patient history (such as, but not limited to, Wilson's disease, hemochromatosis, autoimmune hepatitis, significant alcohol use or obesity); and decompensated liver disease. Patients were also excluded if there was any known pre-existing medical condition that could interfere with the patient's participation. Sexually active women of childbearing potential or men would only be included if practising adequate contraception.

**Analysis of serum HCV RNA**

HCV RNA measurements were performed on sera, collected prospectively, which had been separated within 6 h of collection from whole blood following centrifugation at 1000 × g for 20 min and stored at −70°C. Immediately before testing, all sera were brought to room temperature. Stored sera from baseline and week 1 and/or week 4 were available for testing in 63 treated patients. The pretreatment statuses of these 63 patients were 15 nonresponders, 24 relapers to previous IFN monotherapy and 24 treatment-naïve patients. Quantitative analyses of HCV RNA were measured using the Cobas Amplicor HCV Monitor Test, version 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics,
USA; lower limit of detection of 600 IU/mL with a linear range of quantification between 600 and 500,000 IU/mL. Serum samples whose viral load measurements exceeded the upper limit of detection were diluted in a ratio of 1:100 using HCV-negative human plasma and retested as per the manufacturer’s recommendation. The final result was then multiplied by a factor of 100 to account for the dilution. Qualitative analyses of the HCV RNA were performed using the Cobas Amplicor HCV Test, version 2.0 (Roche Diagnostics, USA). Qualitative analyses were used to determine treatment outcome because of the test’s higher sensitivity (ie, lower limit of detection of 50 IU/mL). The sensitivity and precision of the Cobas Amplicor HCV Monitor Test, version 2.0 and Cobas Amplicor HCV Test, version 2.0 have recently been published (16). The variability of the quantitative assay is represented by the coefficient of variation that ranges from 18% to 33% (16). HCV genotypes were determined by Innolipa HCV II test (Innogenetics NV, Belgium).

Data and statistical analyses

For each follow-up visit (at weeks 1 and 4), the sensitivity and specificity for predicting SVR were calculated using a range of log falls in HCV RNA values as thresholds. Log fall in HCV RNA is defined as the difference between the log10 of HCV RNA at the beginning of treatment and the log10 of HCV RNA at week 1 (or 4) of treatment. The series of values (sensitivity versus 1 – specificity) were plotted to form the receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves. The area under the curve and its standard error were calculated through the equivalence to the Mann-Whitney test statistic. The closer the area under the ROC curve to 1, the higher the predictive power of the variable for the outcome of interest. Differences between the sustained virological responders and virological nonresponders were tested using the χ2 test for dichotomous variables and the Student’s t test for continuous variables. The independent effects of sex, genotype, age and baseline viral load in predicting the treatment response were assessed with multiple logistic regressions.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

Among the 63 patients who received treatment between 1998 and 2000, 51 patients had sera stored at baseline and at week 1, and 55 patients at baseline and at week 4. Three of 63 patients had sera stored at baseline and at week 1, 26 of 55 patients (47.3%) had sera stored at baseline and at week 4; 13 quantitative hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA; 13Thirteen patients in the week 1 group and 11 patients in the week 4 group did not have their genotypes determined. Non-1 includes genotypes 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a and 4. Age, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and HCV RNA are presented as mean ± SE.

### TABLE 1
Baseline characteristics of different patient groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients (n=63)</th>
<th>Week 1 (n=51)</th>
<th>Week 4 (n=55)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>44.7±1.0</td>
<td>44.8±1.2</td>
<td>44.8±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (% male)</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>67.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td>135±11</td>
<td>120±10</td>
<td>133±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype (%)‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>65.8</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-1</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 2
Summary of the predictive values in log fall of hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Log10 fall in HCV RNA</th>
<th>SVR</th>
<th>NR</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤0.35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;0.35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of SVR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2.98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤2.98</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of NR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;1.05</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of SVR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3.90</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤3.90</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR Nonresponder; SVR Sustained virological response

who had a fall less than 1.05 log10 did not respond to therapy (NPV 1, lower limit of the 95% CI 0.80). This cutoff identified 15 of the 29 nonresponders (51.7%). None of the patients with SVR had a log fall less than these specific ranges at week 1 and week 4.

The positive predictive value was equal to 1 (lower limit of the 95% CI was 0.34 and 0.57 for the baseline to week 1 [b-wk1] and to week 4 [b-wk4] groups, respectively) if the decline in HCV RNA level was greater than 2.98 log10 in the b-wk1 group and 3.90 log10 in the b-wk4 group. These cutoffs identified a response in only two of 24 (8.3%) and five of 26 (19.2%) of the sustained virological responders. Thus, the log fall in HCV RNA level is less sensitive when used to predict sustained responders than nonresponders.

The ROC curves are shown in Figure 1. They are plots of true positive (sensitivity) versus false positive (1 – specificity). The area under the ROC curve was 0.84±0.06 for the b-wk1 group and 0.90±0.04 for the b-wk4 group. This result indicates
that the log fall in HCV RNA level between baseline and week 4 predicts treatment outcome with higher confidence and less variability than the b-wk1 data.

Effects of age, sex, genotype and baseline viral load
The independent effects of age, sex, genotype and baseline viral load in predicting the treatment responses were assessed with multilogistic regression. We tested the effect of age (less than or greater than 40 years old), sex, genotype (1 or non-1) and initial viral load (two different classifications were used: less than or greater than 2\times10^6 IU/mL, and less than or greater than 3.5\times10^6 IU/mL). After controlling for the log fall in HCV RNA, neither age, sex, baseline viral load nor genotype was statistically significant in predicting treatment responses, whereas the log fall in HCV RNA level was statistically significant in predicting treatment outcomes. A pilot study recently suggested that a decline in the initial viral load of more than 70% within 24 h after a single dose of 5 million units of IFN-α is the only significant prognostic factor for SVR using IFN-α2b and ribavirin in patients with genotype 1 HCV infection (23). It is conceivable that the rate of fall in the viral load has incorporated these intrinsic viral and host characteristics in predicting treatment responses.

It has been observed that the degree of liver inflammation may improve (represented by a decrease in mean total histological activity index score from 2.2 to 1.7) when IFN monotherapy is continued despite the presence of detectable HCV RNA (24). However, whether this improvement in histological appearance is sustained beyond six months after cessation of IFN therapy is unknown. A recent 20-year follow-up study from Japan (25) showed improved survival following IFN therapy both in those who had an SVR and in those with only a sustained biochemical response, suggesting that in their population, continuation of treatment may provide a long term benefit in the face of sustained viremia. Improvement in quality of life (26,27) and work productivity (27), and reduced risk

DISCUSSION
Our data demonstrate that quantitative analysis of HCV RNA at week 4 after starting a combination of therapy with IFN-α2b and ribavirin reliably identifies one-half of the subsequent nonresponders to this treatment regimen. None of the patients who had a fall of less than 1.05 log_{10} in the HCV RNA level at week 4 had an SVR. The areas under the ROC curves and the lower limit of the 95% CI of the NPV indicate that a prediction could be made with higher confidence using the week 4 rather than the week 1 data. Changes in the HCV RNA levels predicted nonresponders more accurately than sustained responders. Our data showed that 50% of nonresponders, but less than 20% of sustained responders, could be identified at week 4. This is consistent with previous published studies suggesting that early prediction of sustained responders is difficult (11,12,14,17,18).

The coefficient of variation (CV) of the quantitative assay used in the present study ranged from 18% to 33% (19). The CV was lowest at the centre of the linear range and increased toward the upper and lower limits of the range. In our study, the mean baseline viral load was 2.8\times10^6 IU/mL. A fall of 1.05 log_{10} at week 4 corresponds to a viral load of 2.5\times10^3 IU/mL at week 4. It is important to note that this HCV RNA level is in the middle of the linear range at week 4 (thus, the smallest CV).

The time and amount of decline in HCV RNA in predicting treatment responses is inconsistent in the literature. This could be due to the fact that different assays use different formats and have different sensitivities and units of measurement. In addition, even assays using the same unit of measurement have shown different results for the same sample (20). These shortcomings can be overcome by adopting a newly accepted standard of measurement in IU/mL defined by the First WHO International Standard for Nucleic Acid Amplification Technology Assays for HCV RNA (WHO Standard 96/790) (15). This is the first study employing this newly standardized unit in predicting treatment outcome. Hence, the amount of change in viral load reported in the present study can be compared with different studies conducted in the future that use this standardized unit.

It has been suggested that age younger than 40 years, female sex, genotype 2 or 3, absence of or only portal fibrosis, and a baseline viral load less than 2 or 3.5 million copies/mL are favorable factors in predicting a sustained response (5,20-22). Our data indicate that once the rate of decline in viral load is known, age, sex, genotype or baseline viral load did not independently provide additional power in predicting treatment outcomes. A pilot study recently suggested that a decline in the initial viral load of more than 70% within 24 h after a single dose of 5 million units of IFN-α is the only significant prognostic factor for SVR using IFN-α2b and ribavirin in patients with genotype 1 HCV infection (23). It is conceivable that the rate of fall in the viral load has incorporated these intrinsic viral and host characteristics in predicting treatment responses.

Figure 1) Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves. ROC curves are plots of true positive (sensitivity) versus false positive (1 – specificity). The areas under the ROC curves were 0.84±0.06 and 0.90±0.04 for week 1 and week 4, respectively. An area under the ROC curve of 1 means that prediction of the outcome of interest using the evaluating variable can be made with perfect confidence. These plots illustrate that the week 4 data allows one to predict the treatment outcome with higher confidence and less variation. The cutoffs for nonresponders were 0.35 log_{10} and 1.05 log_{10} at week 1 and week 4, respectively. The cutoffs for responders were 2.98 log_{10} and 3.90 log_{10} at week 1 and week 4, respectively.

Figure 1: Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curves. ROC curves are plots of true positive (sensitivity) versus false positive (1 – specificity). The areas under the ROC curves were 0.84±0.06 and 0.90±0.04 for week 1 and week 4, respectively. An area under the ROC curve of 1 means that prediction of the outcome of interest using the evaluating variable can be made with perfect confidence. These plots illustrate that the week 4 data allows one to predict the treatment outcome with higher confidence and less variation. The cutoffs for nonresponders were 0.35 log_{10} and 1.05 log_{10} at week 1 and week 4, respectively. The cutoffs for responders were 2.98 log_{10} and 3.90 log_{10} at week 1 and week 4, respectively.
for hepatocellular carcinoma (28), are seen only in patients with an SVR. Hence, the benefit of continuing patients on treatment who will not achieve SVR is questionable.

The treatment efficacy of pegylated IFN over standard IFN, when combined with ribavirin, in the treatment of chronic HCV infection for all genotypes is controversial (7,8). Not only have the optimal doses of pegylated IFN and ribavirin not been established, but their cost effectiveness in treating all genotypes needs to be addressed. A recent study examining patients with genotype 1 infection showed that peginterferon alpha-2b plus ribavirin produces a similar viral kinetic profile as IFN-alpha2b plus ribavirin therapy (29), suggesting that one may extrapolate our data to pegylated IFN.

Although our study is limited by its small sample size, the data strongly suggest that a prediction of treatment response, particularly of nonresponders, can be made as early as week 4 of treatment. Pegylated IFN and ribavirin will likely replace standard IFN-alpha2b and ribavirin. It remains to be determined whether reliable prediction of treatment outcome is possible by measuring the log10 fall in viral load at four weeks rather than at 12 weeks as currently published.
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