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6-Mercaptopurine and inflammatory bowel disease: Hidden ground for the cytomegalovirus
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6-Mercaptopurine (6-MP) and azathioprine are important drugs for the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) but their actions suppress host defense against infection. A challenging case of a 19-year-old female patient with quiescent Crohn’s disease maintained with 6-MP presenting with dyspnea and a normal chest exam and x-ray is presented. She became ventilator-dependent and only after numerous investigations was diagnosed with cytomegalovirus (CMV) pneumonitis. A systematic literature review of CMV infections in IBD patients was performed. The present case is the first report of a patient with quiescent IBD maintained on 6-MP who developed CMV pneumonitis. Other reports have identified patients with active disease on multiple immunosuppressants who developed CMV pneumonitis and also highlight the risk of CMV colitis in refractory IBD. The authors review the approach to the diagnosis of CMV infections in IBD patients with atypical pneumonia and colitis and highlight the importance of considering CMV infection in these settings.
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A zathioprine and 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) have proven efficacy for the treatment of active inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and the maintenance of remission (1). These immunosuppressants are cytotoxic agents whose metabolites, 6-thioguanine nucleotides, are purine antagonists to the synthesis of protein, RNA and DNA (2). Although their mechanism of action in the treatment of IBD remains incompletely understood, the beneficial effects are thought to result from targeting of proliferating lymphocytes following antigenic stimulation (3).

Although the efficacy of azathioprine and 6-MP has led to widespread use of these agents, enthusiasm has been tempered by their known toxicities. The most important toxicities are acute pancreatitis, bone marrow suppression and infection (4). While the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis and bone marrow toxicities rarely present diagnostic dilemmas, life-threatening infections can pose serious difficulties. In particular, opportunistic infections caused by cytomegalovirus (CMV) are particularly worrisome, both in the patient with refractory disease and those in remission.

The authors describe a challenging case of a patient presenting with life-threatening CMV pneumonitis whose Crohn’s disease had been maintained in remission by a single agent, 6-MP. The case highlights the difficulties diagnosing CMV infection in this unusual setting and the discussion reviews risk factors and presentations of CMV infection in IBD and the approach to diagnosis.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 19-year-old white university student presented with a one-month history of fever, chills, poor appetite and a progressively worse nonproductive cough.

Crohn’s disease was diagnosed nine years before admission and the patient had undergone three previous laparotomies and bowel resections for stenosing Crohn’s of the terminal and neoterminal ileum. Remission was induced and maintained with 6-MP (50 mg/day, 1 mg/kg/day) for the past 18 months. It had been started due to the severity of the patient’s disease and as a steroid-sparing agent. Her leukocyte counts had been monitored on a monthly basis by her physician, and had
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remained normal. The most recent leukocyte count was performed six weeks before admission, and was completely normal.

On admission her temperature was 39.4°C, pulse 110 beats/min and respiratory rate 16 breaths/min. Physical examination was otherwise normal. Her blood work was:

- Hemoglobin 112 g/L
- Platelets 194 x 10^9/L
- Neutrophils 1.92 x 10^9/L
- Lymphocytes 0.32 x 10^9/L

Chest and abdominal x-rays were normal. A computed tomographic scan with gastrointestinal contrast was performed to rule out an occult intra-abdominal abscess. None was seen nor was there suggestion of inflammation in the neoterminal ileum. Blood, urine and sputum cultures were obtained.

On the second night of admission, the patient's respiratory status acutely deteriorated and fine crackles were heard throughout both lung fields. Her room air oxygen saturation was 73% and chest x-ray showed a bilateral, diffuse, mixed airspace and interstitial disease pattern (Figure 1). A presumptive diagnosis of atypical pneumonia was made and she was started on a second-generation cephalosporin and a macrolide. The following day a bronchoscopy was performed to assess for opportunistic pathogens. The right bronchial system was explored and mild edema was seen. There was no purulent or hemorrhagic material. Bronchial washings were obtained and sent for bacterial culture and sensitivity, Gram stain, Pneumocystis carinii direct fluorescent antibody, potassium hydroxide stain and fungal culture, viral culture and cytology.

On day four of admission, the patient's respiratory status deteriorated further. Her respiratory rate was markedly elevated at 50 breaths/min and her pulse was 120 beats/min. One hundred per cent oxygen was required to maintain oxygen saturations above 90%. She was transferred to the intensive care unit for nasal bilevel positive airway pressure therapy and closer observation. Bronchoscopy was repeated on day 6 and a transbronchial biopsy was obtained. On day 9 the transbronchial biopsy was reported to reveal cytopathic changes in the nuclei of the pneumocytes consistent with CMV (Figure 2A) and was confirmed with immunohistochemical staining (Figures 2B). The same day, cultures from the initial bronchoscopy washings were also reported to be growing CMV. Anti-CMV immunoglobulin (Ig) M or IgG were not detected in the patient's serum. No other pathogens were cultured from the specimens. Human immunodeficiency virus serology was negative.

Intravenous ganciclovir (500 mg every 12 h) and immunoglobulins (25 g/day) therapy were initiated. Initially, her condition worsened, and by day 11 she required intubation for respiratory failure. Her neutrophil count also decreased, with a nadir of 0.68 x 10^9/L, likely secondary to the ganciclovir therapy. Granulocyte colony stimulating factor was initiated and bronchoscopy repeated on day 16 to assist in differentiating between ventilator-acquired pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome. Bronchial washings failed to reveal evidence of bacterial infection and the patient was started on intravenous corticosteroids for fibroproliferative acute respiratory distress syndrome. Her respiratory status dramatically improved in the next two days, permitting extubation. Although therapy was planned to continue for 21 days, the intravenous ganciclovir was discontinued after 19 days because of an elevation of the patient's transaminases, which subsequently returned to normal. The intravenous corticosteroids were switched to oral and tapered over the next three weeks to discontinuation.

The patient was discharged from hospital 33 days after admission. 6-MP has not been restarted. Regarding a possible recurrence of infection, she was educated regarding signs of infection and advised to seek medical attention earlier. Six months after discharge, the patient was doing well, off all medications and preparing to return to university.

DISCUSSION

CMV infection in IBD patients has been reported in two general clinical settings: patients presenting with extraintestinal symptoms whose IBD is in clinical remission and those presenting with a flare-up of their IBD symptoms or having refractory disease (5-9). Our patient is the first reported case with CMV pneumonitis, which developed while being maintained in remission on a single agent, 6-MP. The findings of this case have implications concerning the clinical setting in which CMV must be considered and the contribution of drugs in predisposing patients with IBD to CMV infection.

Isolated CMV infections in patients with quiescent IBD seem to be rare. Several cases of CMV pneumonitis have been reported previously, but only in the setting of multiple immunosuppressants and/or refractory disease. For example, Papadakis et al (5) reported a patient receiving multiple immunosuppressants (cyclosporine, 6-MP and steroids) and coinfected with P carinii and Nocardia. One other reported case occurred in the setting of refractory disease, with coinfection of the gastrointestinal tract (6). In contrast to the transplant literature, we did not find any reports of other isolated extraintestinal manifestations of CMV infection in IBD patients, such as meningoencephalitis, myocarditis, or thrombocytopenia and hemolytic anemia.

Recent reports suggest that CMV intestinal infection is much more common than previously thought in IBD patients with refractory disease (5-9). Cottone et al (6) reported finding CMV colitis in 19 out of 62 (30%) Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis patients with severe refractory colitis. Five patients went into remission after antiviral therapy. Papadakis...
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...contaminated with oropharyngeal organisms. However, identification given its ease and lack of invasiveness, but frequently it is rarely, open lung biopsy. Sputum for Gram stain is a logical first step and a systematic approach for obtaining specimens should be taken (14). Specific organisms can then be identified using either sputum Gram stain and culture, bronchoscopy with bronchial alveolar lavage and/or transbronchial biopsy or, rarely, open lung biopsy. Sputum for Gram stain is a logical first test given its ease and lack of invasiveness, but frequently it is contaminated with oropharyngeal organisms. However, identification of certain fungi (Histoplasma, Coccidioides imminitis), bacteria (Mycobacteria, Legionella) and viruses (respiratory syncytial virus, influenza) are indicative of infection. Direct fluorescent antibody testing for P. carinii pneumonia may also be performed on sputum specimens. Bronchoscopy is recommended as the next step and bronchoalveolar lavage is a safe technique for specimen collection in immunocompromised patients (15). Sensitivity may be as high as 80% to 90% in patients with diffuse pulmonary disease. Transbronchial biopsy mildly increases diagnostic yield (up to 90%) but also carries a modestly increased risk of complications (8% to 9% of cases) (14). Open lung biopsy is reserved for difficult cases when other methods have failed. In this setting, sensitivity ranges from 60% to 80% but is associated with a higher morbidity and mortality than previously described tests (16).

A number of laboratory techniques are available to diagnose CMV infection in tissue specimens, but many have inherent limitations. A traditional approach, when the index of suspicion is high, is to culture the organism from body fluids using human fibroblasts as the culture medium (17). It may take many days, however, for cultures to be positive, as occurred in our case, and can even take three to four weeks (17). Shell vial culture, monoclonal antibodies and polymerase chain reaction are detection techniques that are much more rapid but are not routinely performed and are not available at many centres. With the shell vial technique, the specimen is centrifuged with fibroblasts, which assist the virus to become intracellular (17). Monoclonal antibodies to early CMV antigens are then applied to the shell vial culture. Results from this technique may be positive as early as 16 h after inoculation and sensitivity has been reported as high as 100% (18,19). Monoclonal antibodies to detect early CMV antigens in infected blood, urine and cerebrospinal fluid (18) have also been employed, but this technique is not widely available. Tanabe et al (20) reports 89% sensitivity for this test. Polymerase chain reaction has also been employed to detect genes encoding early antigens and can be very sensitive for detecting CMV DNA in many body fluids (21). Often, CMV infection has been suggested based on characteristic cytopathic changes found in biopsy specimens. Infected cells...
are large, round, and have ground glass-appearing cytoplasmic inclusions. Although serology has been proposed to distinguish between acute infection and chronic carriage of the virus, this technique is usually not helpful in immunocompromised patients (19,22); indeed, our patient's serology was negative for both IgG and IgM.

In summary, our case discussion illustrates that CMV infection should be considered in IBD patients on immunosuppressants, even with quiescent disease. Diagnosis requires a systematic approach to obtaining tissue specimens and the appropriate use of available laboratory techniques.
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