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Deadaptation and readaptation with lactose, but no cross-adaptation to lactulose: A case of occult colonic bacterial adaptation
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The standard 3 h breath hydrogen (3hBH2) test distinguishes lactose mal digesters from lactose digesters. However, multiple factors impact on BH2 and care is needed to exclude priori variables. When these factors are controlled, a negative BH2 test implies lactase persistent status or lactase nonpersistent status with colonic adaptation. A case of a Sicilian man who tested negative (lactase persistent status confirmed) on an initial 50 g lactose challenge is described. It was observed that he consumed 28.1 g lactose/day before testing. He subsequently underwent five additional challenge tests in the course of the next 10 months. In four tests the dose with lactose was varied upon instruction, and in the fifth test a 30 g lactulose challenge was carried out. It was demonstrated that on radically decreasing lactose intake, a full lactase nonpersistent status was unmasked. Output of 3hBH2 varied inversely with daily lactose intake. Finally, at a time when he was readapted to lactose, there was no discernible adaptation to lactulose challenge. It was concluded that ‘occult’ colonic adapted subjects may contribute to negative BH2 tests. There is a relationship between variation in lactose intake and the results of lactose adaptation. The concept of colonic bacterial adaptation to lactose intolerance has been reported by a number of authors (6,7); however, the formal description and definition were published by Hertzler and Savaiano (8). In their study, lactose-intolerant subjects were fed increasing amounts of lactose, up to 1 g/kg/day for 16 days. The comparison of measured exhaled hydrogen before and after the adaptation period showed that genetic lactose mal digesters were converted to phenotypic lactose digester status. This adaptive process was attributed to an expansion and metabolic alteration of fecal microbial flora specifically involving bifidobacteria and lactobacilli (9-11).

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

Key Words: Colonic bacterial adaptation; Lactose; Lactulose

The concept of colonic bacterial adaptation to lactose intolerance has been reported by a number of authors (6,7); however, the formal description and definition were published by Hertzler and Savaiano (8). In their study, lactose-intolerant subjects were fed increasing amounts of lactose, up to 1 g/kg/day for 16 days. The comparison of measured exhaled hydrogen before and after the adaptation period showed that genetic lactose mal digesters were converted to phenotypic lactose digester status. This adaptive process was attributed to an expansion and metabolic alteration of fecal microbial flora specifically involving bifidobacteria and lactobacilli (9-11).

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

Key Words: Colonic bacterial adaptation; Lactose; Lactulose

The concept of colonic bacterial adaptation to lactose intolerance has been reported by a number of authors (6,7); however, the formal description and definition were published by Hertzler and Savaiano (8). In their study, lactose-intolerant subjects were fed increasing amounts of lactose, up to 1 g/kg/day for 16 days. The comparison of measured exhaled hydrogen before and after the adaptation period showed that genetic lactose mal digesters were converted to phenotypic lactose digester status. This adaptive process was attributed to an expansion and metabolic alteration of fecal microbial flora specifically involving bifidobacteria and lactobacilli (9-11).

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic

The microbial floral alterations in these lactic acid-producing bacteria have been associated with possible health benefits to the host (12,13). A further impetus to considering colonic
TABLE 1
Comparison of average daily lactose consumption (three-day recall questionnaire) with sum of 3 h breath hydrogen ($\Sigmaihan$) in ppm and respective symptom score after lactose and lactulose challenge tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lactose BH$_2$ date (m/d/y)</th>
<th>Daily intake (g/day)</th>
<th>Baseline H$_2$ (ppm)</th>
<th>$\Sigmaihan$ (ppm)</th>
<th>Total symptom score</th>
<th>Diarrhea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/25/03</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/07/03</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/04/03</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/18/03</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/05/04</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactulose BH$_2$ date (m/d/y)</td>
<td>05/12/04</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

adaptation as beneficial derives from a comparison of lactose ingestion with the ingestion of a prebiotic in lactase nonpersistent (LNP) subjects (14). In addition, we have previously demonstrated that lactose maldigestion can be improved by feeding prebiotic lactulose to lactose-intolerant subjects for three weeks (15).

We have maintained in previous publications (16,17) that unrecognized colonic bacterial adaptation to lactose in LNP subjects may play a role in protection against certain intestinal diseases. To date, subjects who were reported to be adapted colonically were prospectively followed from a position of no or limited adaptation and restudied after they were given dairy products. We now report a case of a Sicilian man who represents a case of 'occult' colonic adaptation. We also had the opportunity to study the effects of quantitatively manipulating lactose intake and compare the effect of colonic adaptation on lactulose handling.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 32-year-old man was referred in May 2003 for gastrointestinal evaluation to one of the authors (AC) because of intermittent abdominal pain and bloating over a two-year period. There was no history of diarrhea or weight loss but there was mild nausea. The patient had no other known medical conditions. He had quit smoking two years earlier, but smokes a cigarette on rare occasions. He did not take any medications and specifically denied ingestion of narcotics or antibiotics. There was no significant family history of celiac or Crohn's disease or other serious illnesses. Physical examinations revealed a healthy man without any localized findings.

An earlier upper gastrointestinal barium meal was normal, as was a later gastroscopy with a negative urease test for Helicobacter pylori. His blood chemistries and complete blood count were all within normal limits. Serum thyroid stimulating hormone was normal. He was asked to undergo a lactose BH$_2$ test.

STUDY OUTLINE AND METHODS

At the Sir Mortimer B Davis Jewish General Hospital (Montreal, Quebec) carbohydrate maldigestion and absorption is measured weekly by using a sugar challenge and recording exhaled BH$_2$ over a 3 h period. Subjects are asked to fast (except water) overnight after consuming a low carbohydrate diet for supper. As

well, participants are asked not to smoke during the test. After a baseline measurement, subjects are asked to consume a test carbohydrate (in this case lactose) mixed in approximately 250 mL to 350 mL of added water. Subsequently, exhaled BH$_2$ is measured every 15 min for a total of 90 min, and then every 30 min until another 90 min has elapsed (180 min in total). Symptoms at each time interval are recorded and graded from 0 to 3 (nil, mild, moderate and severe) in the case of bloating, gas and cramps, and 0 or 1 for diarrhea. The BH$_2$ is measured using a handheld chemical sensor for H$_2$ (EC60 gastrolyzer, Bedford Scientific Ltd, United Kingdom) with a range of 0 ppm to 2000 ppm and previously validated against gas chromatography (18).

The present study, to assess the impact of average daily target sugar on the results of BH$_2$, has been carried out since October 2002. The study consists of application of an ethics board-approved demographic, 24 h and three-day diet recall questionnaire (19). Subjects who undertake a lactose BH$_2$ test fill out a specific questionnaire targeting food items containing lactose. (Other carbohydrates such as fructose and sorbitol are subjects of other questionnaires.)

The current subject participated in the study from July 25, 2003 to May 12, 2004. He agreed to undertake a total of six 3 h breath tests. During the period of follow-up, he did not report any acute illnesses. After the initial test, he was instructed to either drastically reduce or drastically increase his dairy intake for four additional lactose tests. A questionnaire was filled out with each test. The sixth test was a 30 g lactulose challenge, again with recording of average lactose intake during the 3 h test. In each case, except the time interval between the highest lactose intake (53 g/day) and the lactulose test challenge, the alteration in dietary intake of lactose spanned approximately two to three weeks. In the last instance, one week elapsed but he was asked not to alter his dairy intake.

The sum of BH$_2$ for 3 h ($\Sigmaihan$) was calculated for each of the six tests by adding the results from each 30 min interval after subtracting the respective baselines. Total symptom score as well as individual symptoms were recorded. A SAS statistical package employing the Pearson correlation method was used to compare the results of average daily lactose intake with the results of $\Sigmaihan$ for lactose.

RESULTS

The initial $\Sigmaihan$ was 10 ppm. This result is clearly negative (genotypic or phenotypic lactase persistent subject). However, there was a single rise in BH$_2$ to 10 ppm at 45 min and this was coincidentally associated with flatus as recorded by the subject.

Based on his ethnic background (Sicily), he had an approximately 50% or more chance of being an LNP subject (20,21). It is noted in Table 1 that his average daily intake of lactose was 28.1 g/day (equivalent to more than 500 mL/day of milk). It was decided that the subject should subsequently undergo further tests. He was instructed to decrease lactose intake to one 29.5 mL coffee creamer a day and then to increase to usual levels and finally to increase to maximum tolerated levels. There was a marked rise in $\Sigmaihan$ after drastic reductions of lactose intake. However a negative $\Sigmaihan$ test only occurred with maximum 53.3 g/day lactose intake (Figure 1). Finally, at a time when he was asked to continue with a high lactose intake, results from a lactulose challenge test did not parallel the results seen for lactose. Symptom scores were worse with lactulose than lactose challenges.
Occult colonic adaptation to lactose

Figure 1) The individual breath hydrogen (BH2) results in response to wide variation in daily average lactose intake (three-day recall) is shown (g/day). While the first test result with ingestion of 28.1 g lactose/day before a 50 g lactose challenge is clearly negative, with ingestion of 1.5 g/day the test result is clearly positive. Increasing the intake to 53 g/day in an effort to readapt the subject resulted in a post-challenge curve which would be suspicious for possible adaptation. However, it does not meet some clinical criteria for a positive test because the peak never reaches 20 ppm above baseline within the confines of the 3 h standard test.

Figure 2 demonstrates the correlation between lactose intake and Σ3hBH2 tests. While there was a high correlation (r = -0.739), this failed to reach significance, primarily due to the large variations between the first and fourth tests.

DISCUSSION

The present case report constitutes a modified N1 trial of daily lactose intake and its impact on BH2 prediction (22). There are three observations of interest. First, we described the case of a subject with occult colonic adaptation independent of laboratory conditions. We cannot prove that unknown additional factors influenced the very first lactose challenge test. However, the sudden appearance of a 10 ppm BH2 blip coincidentally associated with symptoms of gas at 45 min would be a most unusual event with all previous and subsequent values registering 0. This coincidence, although not meeting any conventional criteria of positivity, suggested that this subject may be colonically adapted. Because he had an approximately 50% or more chance of being an LNP subject, the ingestion of more than 500 mL equivalent of milk per day supported the notion of adaptation. However, it does not meet some clinical criteria for a positive test because the peak never reaches 20 ppm above baseline within the confines of the 3 h standard test.

The second observation is the suggestive evidence that Σ3hBH2 responds to the manipulation of quantitative intake of lactose before the test. The association of increasing lactose intake with decreasing BH2 result in the present report is weak despite the negative r value. The lack of significance likely relates to a variable response to a 28 g/day lactose intake which failed to achieve complete readaptation. This was only achieved with the maximum tolerated doses of lactose. The explanation for this is not readily apparent. Variability of H2 production, a failure of direct correlation with fecal B-galactosidase, a marker for bacterial expansion (21) and a possible time effect on metabolic function of adapting bacteria all may play a role in outcome. Nevertheless, in absolute terms the deadaptation by withholding lactose and readaptation by increasing lactose intake to maximum, strongly support the negative correlation of prior ingestion on subsequent magnitude of H2 production in tests.

The third observation of interest is the unexpected failure of this lactose-adapted subject to also adapt to the lactulose challenge. Lactulose is derived from lactose (24). Lactose and lactulose have equal effects on the improvement of hepatic encephalopathy in cirrhotic patients (25) and improving lactose intolerance either by adapting with lactose (8,26) or lactulose (15). The above observations were hypothetically linked through similar microbial effects of the two sugars in previous studies. Thus, it was expected that there may be mutual metabolic equality at a time when the LNP subject was maximally lactose adapted. More formal clinical studies could further examine the effects of both lactose and lactulose on lower intestinal flora. However, until proven otherwise, our observation suggests that adaptation of bacteria with lactose leads to the induction of a more restricted metabolic pathway expansion or a different bacterial species which does distinguish between lactose and lactulose.

In summary, we present a patient with genetic lactose maldigestion who may represent an example of occult colonic bacterial adaptation as defined by a standard 3hBH2 test. The observations further suggest an inverse effect of dietary lactose manipulation on bacterial lactose metabolism. Finally we suggest that adaptation with lactose may stimulate a more specific metabolic pathway than adaptation with lactulose.
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