Hydrogen breath test for diagnosis of lactose malabsorption: The importance of timing and the number of breath samples
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BACKGROUND: The hydrogen breath test (H₂BT) is the most widely used procedure in the diagnostic workup of lactose malabsorption and lactose intolerance.

AIM: To establish whether a simplified two- or three-sample test may reduce time, costs and staff resources without reducing the sensitivity of the procedure.

PATIENTS AND METHODS: Data from 1112 patients (292 men, 820 women) with a positive 4 h, nine-sample H₂BT were retrospectively analyzed. Patients were stratified according to the degree of lactose malabsorption, the occurrence and type of symptoms. Loss of sensitivity in the procedure was evaluated taking into account the number of substrate (10 g, 25 g and 50 g) (3), duration of the test (0 min, 120 min and 180 min or 0 min, 120 min and 210 min) and 73 (12.2%) would have false-negative results with 0 min and 120 min respectively. Of 594 patients with abdominal symptoms, 158 (26.6%) and 73 (12.2%) would have false-negative results with 0 min and 120 min respectively.

RESULTS: Using a two-sample test (0 min and 120 min or 0 min and 210 min) the false-negative rate was 33.4% and 22.7%, respectively. With a three-sample test (0 min, 120 min and 180 min or 0 min, 120 min or 210 min), lactose malabsorption was diagnosed in 91.2% (1014/1112) patients and in 96.1% (1008/1112) patients respectively. Of 594 patients with abdominal symptoms, 158 (26.6%) and 73 (12.2%) would have false-negative results with 0 min and 120 min or 0 min and 210 min two-sample tests, respectively. The three-sample tests, 0 min, 120 min and 180 min or 0 min, 120 min and 210 min, have a false-negative rate of 5.9% and 2.1%, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS: A three-sample H₂BT is time- and cost-sparing without significant loss of sensitivity for the diagnosis both of lactose malabsorption and lactose intolerance.
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The genetically programmed reduction of intestinal lactase activity is responsible for lactose malabsorption (LM) in a large proportion of adults throughout the world (1), with the majority of susceptible individuals having developed lactase insufficiency by adolescence. However, LM is responsible for clinical symptoms (diarrhea, flatulence, bloating and abdominal pain) only in some patients and, therefore, the term lactose intolerance (LI) may be used only in these cases.

The prevalence of LM is high throughout the world, ranging from 50% to 70% in the normal Italian population with a corresponding frequency in LI. Thus, ruling out LM and LI is important in patients with nonspecific abdominal pain.

The most widely used technique for the diagnosis of LM is the hydrogen breath test (H₂BT), which is a simple, low-cost and noninvasive technique (2,3). The increase in breath hydrogen excretion following an oral load of lactose implies malabsorption of the administered carbohydrate (LM). Various technical modifications of the procedure have been adopted to optimize the H₂BT diagnosis of LM, namely by differing quantity of substrate (10 g, 25 g and 50 g) (3), duration of the test and the number of breath samples.
test (from 1 h to 8 h) (4-6) and number of air samples (from three to nine samples with 30 min intervals) (7). Most recently, the use of new cut-off values (less than 6 parts per million [ppm]) 6 h after the carbohydrate load, or a sum greater than 15 ppm for the hydrogen values obtained 5 h, 6 h and 7 h after the carbohydrate load) improved the sensitivity of the test but implied a significant increase in the test duration (6). Nonetheless, in most laboratories, the duration of the H₂BT is 4 h, with end-expiratory breath samples collected every 30 min after the ingestion of 20 g to 25 g of lactose. Although the direct costs of H₂BT are low, indirect costs are high due to the duration of the test, the prolonged involvement of qualified staff and use of infrastructure, as well as difficulties encountered in performing the test in more than six to eight patients at the same time. Due to these limits, the test is not suitable for LM or LI screening on a large scale. Therefore, some authors consider one single increase in hydrogen excretion to be positive, and have suggested reducing the overall test length and the number of samples to two (at 0 min and 120 min), maintaining that the sensitivity of H₂BT remains high (7,8). The data, however, have not been confirmed by others (9,10) because the two-sample 2 h procedure increases the false-negative rate and reduces sensitivity from 74% to 54%, at least in adults (11,12). On the other hand, the two-sample 2 h test is considered to be acceptable in pediatric patients, because infants and young children have a shorter mouth-to-cecum transit time compared with adults.

The present study, based on the retrospective analysis of data from 1112 patients with a positive lactose H₂BT, was aimed at evaluating whether a simplified two- or three-sample test and a duration of less than 4 h are suitable for reducing costs, time and staff involvement for the diagnosis of LM or LI in adults without a significant reduction in the sensitivity of the procedure.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

Between 1994 and 2004, 2256 consecutive adult patients referred to the Cattedra di Gastroenterologia I (Università di Roma, La Sapienza, Italy) undergoing the diagnostic workup for irritable bowel syndrome or with symptoms suggesting LM underwent a lactose H₂BT following an oral load of sugar at a dose of 0.5 g/kg body weight up to a maximum of 25 g (after a 24 h low-carbohydrate diet and a 12 h fasting period). End alveolar air samples were collected into syringes using a modified Haldane-Priestley tube, before the administration of lactose and every 30 min thereafter for 4 h. Hydrogen concentration was measured in ppm by means of a Quintron Model DP Microlyzer gas chromatograph (Quintron Instruments, USA), with a detected accuracy of ±2 ppm and a linear response range of between 2 ppm and 150 ppm. For the evaluation of the present series, the test was defined as ‘positive’ if a hydrogen peak exceeding baseline values by 20 ppm was detected in two or more samples. The excretion of hydrogen was quantified as, the first peak of increased hydrogen excretion; the maximum peak of hydrogen concentration (\( C_{\text{max}} \text{H}_2 \)); and the area under the curve of hydrogen concentration from 60 min to 240 min calculated with the triangular rule and expressed in arbitrary units of ppm/h.

Of 2256 patients, 1112 had a diagnosis of LM based on a traditional 4 h, nine-sample H₂BT and were considered to be eligible for the present retrospective study. The patient group was comprised of 292 men and 820 women, with a mean age of 37.7±14.9 years. In the population, the loss of sensitivity of the test for diagnosing LM or LI was evaluated by taking into account only two samples (0 min and 120 min or 0 min and 210 min) or three samples (0 min, 120 min and 180 min or 0 min, 120 min and 210 min).

Because symptoms occur more often in patients with high hydrogen excretion, the clinical impact of the loss of sensitivity was evaluated, stratifying patients according to the degree of LM and type of symptoms occurring in LI patients during the test and in the 4 h thereafter. For this purpose, patients with an excretion of hydrogen between 20 ppm and 60 ppm over baseline were arbitrarily classified as low-grade malabsorbers, while those in which the increase in hydrogen excretion exceeded 60 ppm were classified as high-grade malabsorbers.

The inference between proportions was used for statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Occurrence of first peak of increased hydrogen excretion**

The first peak of increased hydrogen excretion was observed at 60 min, 90 min and 120 min after the ingestion of lactose in 26.6%, 24.6% and 18.9% of patients, respectively. A progressively lower frequency of ‗first excretion peaks‘ occurred in later phases of the test (Figure 1).

**Severity of LM**

High-grade malabsorption was detected in 622 patients (55.9%). The mean excretion of hydrogen (\( C_{\text{max}} \text{H}_2 \)) was 101.52±40.62 ppm, while the mean value of \( C_{\text{max}} \text{H}_2 \) was 100.76±30.99 ppm. Low-grade malabsorption was revealed in 490 patients (44.1%). The mean \( C_{\text{max}} \text{H}_2 \) was 44.99±17.09 ppm, while the mean \( C_{\text{max}} \text{H}_2 \) was 46.88±11.27 ppm.

**LI**

Symptoms during the test occurred in 594 of 1112 patients (53.4%): diarrhea in 103 patients (9.2%), abdominal pain in 318 patients (28.6%) and gaseousness in 504 patients (45.3%).

**Simplified tests and diagnosis of LM**

Using a two-sample test (0 min and 120 min), only 741 patients (66.6%) emerged as malabsorbers. Somewhat better results
could be obtained by sampling alveolar gas at 0 min and 210 min, because the diagnosis of LM was possible in 971 patients (87.3%).

A three-sample test (0 min, 120 min and 180 min) further reduced the false-negative rate, leading to diagnosis of LM in 1014 of 1112 patients (91.2%). The same held true for data collected with a slightly different three-sample test (0 min, 120 min and 210 min), leading to correct LM diagnosis in 1068 of 1112 patients (96.1%) of the original population.

Simplified test and diagnosis of LI
Of 594 patients with LI, 158 (26.6%) and 73 (12.2%) had false-negative results with a two-sample test – 0 min and 120 min or 0 min and 210 min, respectively. As expected, false-negative results were found mainly in those patients with a low production or excretion of gas (Table 1). Unfortunately, the false-negative results involved a large proportion of patients with clinically relevant symptoms such as diarrhea (23 patients with the 0 min and 120 min test and 13 patients with the 0 min and 210 min test). The three-sample tests 0 min, 120 min and 180 min or 0 min, 120 min and 210 min, showed false-negative results in 35 (5.9%) and 13 (2.1%) patients, respectively. Only a minimum number of patients with diarrhea had a false-negative test (six patients and one patient, respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

Although genetic testing may represent the ultimate tool for diagnosing lactase deficiency (13), the lactose H2BT is still the most widely used method for diagnosing LM and LI, despite an intrinsic loss of sensitivity related to the 5% to 7% of patients whose colonic flora does not produce detectable amounts of hydrogen. The main drawback to the widespread use of H2BT in clinical practice concerns the duration of the test, usually from 4 h to 8 h (4,5,14). Moreover, the number of patients that can be submitted to the test at any one time is low, unless the air sampling is performed directly by the patient and the samples are collected in air-tight plastic bags for subsequent analysis. All these factors, however, influence the direct and indirect costs of the procedure.

Results of the study show that a lactose H2BT can be effectively performed with only three breath samples, instead of the nine samples required in the traditional technique, and without a relevant loss of sensitivity. In the present series, a three-sample test (0 min, 120 min and 180 min) would lead to diagnosis in 91.2% of patients with LM and 94.1% with LI. Even better results (LM 96.1% and LI 97.9%) emerged with the collection of a fourth sample at 210 min. It remains to be established whether the slight increase in sensitivity justifies the longer duration of the test.

On the other hand, when the duration of the test is reduced to less than 3 h or the number of samples is limited to two, as suggested by some authors (7,8), the sensitivity of the test drops to an unacceptably low level (about 70%). Moreover, the main advantage of a three-sample test does not simply reside in a 30 min to 60 min reduction in the overall duration of the test. Because air collection and immediate analysis requires 3 min to 5 min per sample, the three-sample H2BT allows the processing of three separate groups of six to eight patients at the same time, with the second patient group beginning the test 30 min after the first patient group and the third patient group beginning 30 min later. We agree that a similar number of patients could be submitted to H2BT using air-tight bags filled directly by the patient. This procedure, however, is burdened by higher direct costs related to the use of disposable equipment and is hampered by a higher rate of sampling errors. Moreover, the loss of close interaction between patient and nurse or physician during the test may have a negative impact on the evaluation of the clinical relevance of symptoms. An alternative strategy is represented by the measurement of hydrogen concentration 6 h (or 5 h, 6 h and 7 h) after the ingestion of the lactose load, as suggested by Corazza and coworkers (6,14). The authors’ statement that this procedure may further improve the sensitivity of the test is debatable, as the occurrence of symptoms during the test has been considered suggestive for LM in the absence of a real gold standard. Because the patient population included a large proportion of patients with irritable bowel syndrome and functional bowel disorders, equating abdominal symptoms and LM may be misleading. Moreover, the near doubled duration of the test may indeed represent a problem for a wide diffusion of this technique in the clinical setting.

**CONCLUSION**

The present data suggest that a three-sample test (0 min, 120 min and 180 min) may lead to a threefold increase in the number of patients undergoing a H2BT without a relevant loss in sensitivity in the diagnosis both of LM and LI compared with the standard 4 h, eight-sample test. This is true using 50 g (5) or 25 g of lactose, which corresponds to a more usual intake of milk. The false-negative rate of lactose intolerant patients is low, but could be further reduced by 3.8% by collecting a fourth air sample at 210 min, only in those patients with negative samples at 120 min and 180 min, but complaining of abdominal symptoms during the test.
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**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>Positive tests (ppm±ttSD)</th>
<th>False-negative tests (ppm±ttSD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0, 120</td>
<td>90.56±42.59</td>
<td>43.19±18.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, 210</td>
<td>80.78±45.66</td>
<td>42.93±15.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, 120, 180</td>
<td>80.06±42.68</td>
<td>29.13±9.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0, 120, 210</td>
<td>77.52±43.08</td>
<td>28.9±10.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low excretion of hydrogen is associated with false-negative results. ppm Parts per million.


