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IN\TRODUCTION: Compliance with colorectal cancer (CRC) screening in Canada is low. The aim of the present survey was to determine whether Canadian physicians older than 50 years were pursuing colon cancer screening. Specifically, physicians were asked to identify their modality of choice and identify their barriers to screening.

METHODS: Surveys were mailed to members, older than 50 years, of the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology, the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada, the Canadian Society of Internal Medicine, the Canadian Psychiatric Association and the Canadian Association of Radiologists.

RESULTS: Of 2807 surveys, 46% were returned. Screening for CRC was reported by 53% of respondents. The Canadian Association of Radiologists members (61%) and the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology members (61%) were more likely to be screened than other specialties (P<0.01 and P<0.05, respectively). Members of the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (44%) were least likely to be screened (P<0.001). Men (P<0.001) and Ontario physicians (P<0.01) were more likely to be screened than women and Canadian physicians from other provinces, respectively. Colonoscopy (56%) was the most common screening modality used, followed by fecal occult blood testing (27%). Respondents who had not been screened cited a lack of personal time (47%) and insufficient data to warrant screening (14%).

DISCUSSION: More than one-half of all respondents were screened for CRC. Colonoscopy is the most common screening modality used. Lack of time is the most common reason cited for not participating in CRC screening.
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Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common form of malignancy and is the second leading cause of cancer-related deaths among Canadian men and women (1). CRC screening by fecal occult blood testing (FOBT), with follow-up colonoscopy when indicated, has led to a reduction in mortality (2-4) and colonoscopy with polypectomy has led to a reduction in the incidence of CRC (5).

In 2001, the Canadian Task Force for Preventive Health recommended the inclusion of FOBT or flexible sigmoidoscopy (FS) in the periodic health examination (6). In the recommendations for the periodic health examination, the Task Force concluded that there was insufficient evidence to include or exclude a colonoscopy.

The Canadian Association of Gastroenterology (CAG) published their most recent guidelines regarding CRC screening in February 2004 (7). In addition to biennial FOBT and FS every five years, they recommended colonoscopy every 10 years as an appropriate option for screening patients at average risk.

Therefore, screening average risk patients may include annual or biennial FOBT, FS every five years, double contrast barium enema (DCBE) every five to 10 years or colonoscopy every 10 years.

There are no national estimates of CRC screening rates in Canada. It has been reported that 20% of eligible 50 to 59 year olds in Ontario, without CRC or inflammatory bowel disease, have undergone any one of the tests available for CRC.
screening (8,9). In the United States, recent national screening rates for any endoscopic modality have been reported at 48.1%, (range 30% to 64%) (10).

Our study aimed to determine how Canadian physicians are interpreting recommendations regarding CRC screening. We explored this by undertaking a survey examining personal preferences for CRC screening among target age Canadian specialists. Interspecialty, age, sex and geographical variables were examined. We also explored respondents' reasons for not participating in CRC screening.

### METHODS

Membership mailing lists were requested from five professional societies, representing Canadian specialists, to facilitate a survey. The specialist groups were selected to reflect a broad range of physicians. The Canadian Society of Internal Medicine, the CAG, the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada, the Canadian Psychiatric Association and the Canadian Association of Radiologists agreed to participate in the study.

A database of registered Canadian physicians older than 50 years was obtained from each organization (CAG excluded) and a 10 question survey was mailed along with a cover letter, consent information and a postage paid return envelope. The surveys were anonymous and colour coded to represent each specialty. The CAG database does not sort by age and, hence, the survey was mailed to all members. CAG members younger than 50 years were excluded from analysis of anything other than response rates.

The survey asked the physician whether they had undergone CRC screening and, if so, by which method. Respondents who had not been screened were asked to indicate their reason(s) from a list:

- don’t think there are sufficient data to warrant screening;
- concerned about physical discomfort during endoscopy;
- don’t want to incur potential risks of endoscopy;
- time constraints, but I am meaning to do it;
- concerned about psychological distress during endoscopy; and
- other.

All participants were asked about compliance with preventive health measures, specifically mammography, Papanicolaou smear, blood pressure monitoring, cholesterol monitoring and hepatitis vaccination. Those who indicated that they had pursued two or more of these measures were defined as compliers with preventive health care.

### RESULTS

Of the 2807 surveys mailed out, 1291 were returned (46%) (Table 1). Of 1291 respondents, 1075 (83%) were men. Thirty per cent of respondents were older than 65 years (Table 2). Of 1291 respondents, 162 were younger than 50 years, and eight of 1291 did not return a complete survey. The remaining 1121 respondents were older than 50 years and constitute the survey sample.

#### Screening rates

Fifty-three per cent of respondents had been screened for CRC, and screening rates varied by specialty. Canadian Association of Radiologists members (220 of 358 [61%]) and CAG members (86 of 140 [61%]) were more likely to be screened than the other specialties (P<0.01 and P=0.041, respectively). Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada members (123 of 282 [44%]) and Canadian Society of Internal Medicine members (61 of 136 [45%]) were least likely to be screened (P<0.001 and P=0.008, respectively). Men were more likely to be screened than women (524 of 943 [56%] versus 72 of 177 [41%], P<0.001) and the likelihood of screening increased with age (P<0.001). Screening rates also varied by province. Physicians in Ontario were more likely to have undergone CRC screening than those in the rest of Canada (239 of 406 [59%] versus 357 of 714 [50%], P=0.005), while physicians in the Maritimes were less likely to have undergone screening (38 of 93 [41%] versus 558 of 1027 [54%], P=0.017).

#### Screening modality

Colonoscopy was the most common screening modality used (56%) and FOBT was the second most common modality (27%). Fewer than 15% of respondents used FS, DCBE or a combination of FS and FOBT as their initial modality. The questionnaire accounted for those who had a colonoscopy in response to a positive FOBT; hence, the colonoscopy rates represent only those who chose colonoscopy as their primary screening modality.
TABLE 3
Choice of initial screening modality by specialty, sex and age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province or region (n)</th>
<th>Colonscopy, n (%)</th>
<th>FOBT, n (%)</th>
<th>FS, n (%)</th>
<th>FOBT and FS, n (%)</th>
<th>DCBE, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall*, n=594</td>
<td>335 (56)</td>
<td>160 (27)</td>
<td>35 (6)</td>
<td>15 (3)</td>
<td>34 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR*</td>
<td>96 (44)</td>
<td>61 (28)</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>29 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOGC, n=123</td>
<td>75 (61)</td>
<td>33 (27)</td>
<td>9 (7)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAG, n=86</td>
<td>78 (91)</td>
<td>4 (5)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA, n=108</td>
<td>45 (49)</td>
<td>44 (41)</td>
<td>8 (7)</td>
<td>5 (5)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men*, n=523</td>
<td>299 (57)</td>
<td>134 (26)</td>
<td>35 (7)</td>
<td>15 (3)</td>
<td>26 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women*, n=72</td>
<td>36 (51)</td>
<td>26 (37)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 50-64 years*</td>
<td>n=379</td>
<td>234 (62)</td>
<td>95 (25)</td>
<td>20 (5)</td>
<td>5 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age ≥65 years*</td>
<td>n=215</td>
<td>101 (47)</td>
<td>65 (30)</td>
<td>15 (7)</td>
<td>10 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*14 male and 1 female Canadian Association of Radiologists (CAR) members indicated both flexible sigmoidoscopy (FS) and barium enema (BE) as the modality they chose for screening and were excluded, overall = 15, CAR = 202, men = 529, women = 1, age 50-74 = 4, age greater than 65 = 1; † Compared with respondents 65 years of age or older. CAG Canadian Association of Gastroenterology; CPA Canadian Psychiatric Association; CSIM Canadian Society of Internal Medicine; DCBE Double contrast BE; FOBT Fecal occult blood test; SOGC Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada

TABLE 4
Choice of initial screening modality by province or region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province or region (n)</th>
<th>Colonscopy, n (%)</th>
<th>FOBT, n (%)</th>
<th>FS, n (%)</th>
<th>FOBT and FS, n (%)</th>
<th>DCBE, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall* (594)</td>
<td>335 (56)</td>
<td>160 (27)</td>
<td>35 (6)</td>
<td>15 (3)</td>
<td>34 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia</td>
<td>22 (38)</td>
<td>20 (34)</td>
<td>7 (12)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alberta (53)</td>
<td>28 (53)</td>
<td>19 (36)</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saskatchewan (12)</td>
<td>8 (67)</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manitoba (74)</td>
<td>40 (54)</td>
<td>26 (35)</td>
<td>3 (4)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario (238)</td>
<td>140 (59)</td>
<td>65 (27)</td>
<td>13 (5)</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>9 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quebec (114)</td>
<td>73 (64)</td>
<td>14 (12)</td>
<td>5 (4)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>18 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritimes (38)</td>
<td>24 (63)</td>
<td>7 (18)</td>
<td>4 (11)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*15 Canadian Association of Radiologists members from various provinces indicated both flexible sigmoidoscopy (FS) and barium enema (BE) as the modality they chose for screening and were excluded, overall = 15, British Columbia = 1, Alberta = 1, Manitoba = 2, Ontario = 8, Quebec = 1, Maritimes = 2; † Compared with overall rate for respective modality. DCBE Double contrast BE; FOBT Fecal occult blood test

Physician choices for their own CRC screening

Specialty
Choice of screening test varied with specialty, sex and age (Table 3). CAG members were more likely to be screened with colonoscopy (78 of 86 [91%] versus 257 of 495 [52%], P<0.001) and less likely to be screened with FOBT (four of 86 [5%] versus 154 of 508 [30%], P<0.001) than the overall group. Canadian Psychiatric Association members were more likely to have a FOBT as their initial screening test (44 of 108 [41%] versus 116 of 486 [24%], P<0.009) and Canadian Association of Radiologists members were more likely to have a DCBE than other specialties (29 of 217 [13%] versus five of 377 [1%], P<0.001).

Age and sex
Physicians who were between 50 and 64 years of age were more likely to be screened with colonoscopy than those older than 65 years (234 of 379 [62%] versus 101 of 215 [47%], P<0.001). There was a trend toward male physicians screening with colonoscopy compared with female physicians; however, this did not reach statistical significance (299 of 524 [57%] versus 36 of 71 [51%], not significant). However, for each variable examined, colonoscopy remained the most common screening modality.

Region
The choice of initial screening modality also varied by province (Table 4). In Quebec, physicians were more likely to be screened with DCBE (18 of 114 [16%] versus 16 of 480 [3%], P<0.001) and less likely to be screened with FOBT (14 of 114 [12%] versus 146 of 480 [30%], P<0.001) than specialists in the rest of Canada. Physicians in British Columbia had less colonoscopy screening (22 of 58 [38%] versus 313 of 536 [58%], P=0.0028) and more FS screening (seven of 58 [12%] versus 28 of 536 [5%], P<0.035) than other Canadian physicians. However, colonoscopy was the most common screening modality used in all provinces.

Screening interval
Of 335 respondents who had a screening colonoscopy, 305 (91%) would prefer to continue screening with this modality. Of those, 85% would choose to be rescreened in five years or sooner, and the remaining 15% would like to be screened at 10-year intervals.

Nonscreened respondents
Of the physicians who had not been screened for colon cancer and provided us with a reason as to why not (n=472), the most commonly cited reason (49%) was a lack of time with an endoscopy. Other reasons for not being screened included physical discomfort (5%), concerns over complications at endoscopy (7%), that it had not been mentioned or recommended by their primary care physician (3%) or they had not given consideration to the issue (2%).

Compliers
Applying our definition of compliance, those who were compliant with other preventive health measures were more likely to have been screened for CRC as opposed to those who were not (524 of 904 [58%] versus 72 of 217 [33%], P<0.001).

DISCUSSION
Our study shows that over one-half of Canadian specialists older than 50 years are undergoing CRC screening. Colonoscopy is the most common screening modality employed regardless of physician age, sex, specialty and region. Almost all physicians who underwent colonoscopic screening would prefer to continue using this modality for future screening and most would like to be rescreened at five year intervals or less.
Despite the recommendations of the Canadian Task Force for Preventive Health for FS as a first-line test for screening (6), fewer than 10% of screened Canadian specialists chose this modality, either alone or in combination with FOBT. Of those in the target age range who have not yet undergone screening, one-half cited a lack of time as their limitation and 77% of those would chose colonoscopy as their screening modality. A lack of evidence supporting screening was an uncommon reason for not participating.

Our study suggests that the screening rate among Canadian specialists in the target age range is higher than the rate reported in the literature for the general population (8,9). If respondents who cited "time constraints but I am meaning to do it" are considered, then 73% of Canadian specialists comply or intend to comply with CRC screening. This attitude toward screening is not limited to those specialists who arguably may have a heightened awareness of colon cancer, i.e., gastroenterologists or radiologists, but crosses a wide spectrum of physicians.

In a recent survey of Alberta physicians, including family physicians, gastroenterologists and general surgeons, 58% stated that they recommend CRC screening to their average-risk patients older than 50 years (11). Although the overall rate mirrors our study's findings, only 26% recommended colonoscopy as the initial screening modality, whereas 79% recommended FOBT. It is important to emphasize that this study examined the primary care physician's recommendation to patients rather than the personal choice of specialists. These study variables likely explain the different results.

A weakness of our study is the lack of respondent stratification with respect to personal CRC risk. It is possible that respondents to this survey were a select group of individuals at higher than average risk for CRC. Thus, their choice of a colonoscopy as a first-line modality and selecting a screening interval of five years may reflect compliance with recommendations for high-risk patients. We speculate the effect of this potential factor to be small because physicians are not at increased risk of cancer.

It may be that the relatively high screening rates found in our study are a result of the greater likelihood that physicians who are compliant with screening recommendations would respond to surveys. Our survey tracked compliance with other health preventive measures (hepatitis and other vaccinations, breast and cervical cancer screening, blood pressure and lipid level determinations) and, indeed, a greater number of those undergoing CRC screening were compliers with preventive health care measures. Despite this, specialist uptake of CRC screening is very uncommon reason for not participating.

Of greater interest is that specialists seem to favour and pursue the most aggressive approach to CRC screening. Do they believe other screening strategies that are promoted to Canadians by the Canadian Task Force for Preventive Health to be inadequate? Why are they accessing resources that are scarce (7), and nonreimbursable in some jurisdictions, for average risk screening?

The Quebec Association of Gastroenterologists has recently responded to these challenging questions (14). The task force stated, with respect to the role of colonoscopy in CRC screening, that higher quality data are not forthcoming. Thus, a screening program with colonoscopy is called for with designated tariffs for reimbursement. The general public and primary caregiver in Quebec have been given clear directives on screening strategy. If provincial and national health authorities adopt the recommendations of the Quebec Association of Gastroenterologists, then policy will reflect what appears to be Canadian specialist practice for personal health care.
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