Predicting the success of endoscopic transpapillary gallbladder drainage for patients with acute cholecystitis during pretreatment evaluation

Osamu Ogawa MD, Hirowaki Yoshikumi MD, Naotaka Maruoka PhD, Yusuke Hashimoto MD, Yui Kishimoto MD, Watanabe Tsunamasa PhD, Yuichiro Kuroki MD, Hiroshi Yasuda PhD, Yutaka Endo PhD, Kazuaki Inoue PhD, Makoto Yoshiba PhD

OBJECTIVE: To establish a pretreatment evaluation protocol for patients with acute cholecystitis.

METHODS: Eleven patients with acute cholecystitis who received ETGBD in 2003 or 2004 were enrolled in the present retrospective study. The frequency of success, complications and overall effectiveness of ETGBD for treatment of cholecystitis were measured. Factors that could affect ETGBD success, including clinical and laboratory parameters, and gallbladder ultrasonograms, were also evaluated.

RESULTS: ETGBD was successful in seven of 11 patients (success rate 63.6%). All seven patients who underwent ETGBD successfully were afebrile and asymptomatic within a few days. No clinical or laboratory variables were significantly associated with the success of ETGBD. In contrast, ultrasonographic measures of gallbladder minor-axis length and wall thickness in successful cases were significantly shorter (27.4 mm versus 38.0 mm; \(P=0.041\)) relative to unsuccessful cases.

CONCLUSIONS: Ultrasonographic measures of gallbladder minor-axis length and wall thickness can serve as important predictors of ETGBD. In contrast, ultrasonographic measures of gallbladder minor-axis length and wall thickness in successful cases were significantly shorter (27.4 mm versus 38.0 mm; \(P=0.041\)) relative to unsuccessful cases.
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Although the standard treatment for acute cholecystitis is acute cholecystectomy, patients with increased operative risk or serious local inflammation are susceptible to higher rates of morbidity and mortality due to cholecystectomy technical difficulties (1,2). Such surgically high-risk patients frequently require further medical treatments such as nonoral medications, intravenous fluids, antibiotics and analgesics, as well as close monitoring of blood pressure, pulse and urinary output.
Percutaneous transhepatic gallbladder drainage (PTGBD) is an alternative therapy in cases in which medical treatment is not effective (3); however, PTGBD can also result in bile leakage, hepatic bleeding, tube dislodgement or tube occlusion (4-6), particularly in patients with ascites or coagulopathy (7). Endoscopic transpapillary gallbladder drainage (ETGBD) provides an appropriate treatment option for these high-risk patients.

ETGBD, which is an effective palliative short-term treatment for acute cholecystitis with only limited and mild complications, has the potential to avoid complications associated with PTGBD such as hematoma and biliary peritonitis (8,9). However, ETGBD is not widely used because it is technically more difficult to perform, resulting in a lower success rate than PTGBD. One major factor contributing to the low success rate of ETGBD lies in the performance of the technique without adequate evaluation of associated technical difficulties. Pretreatment evaluation of a patient's condition and gallbladder is essential to avoid technical difficulties associated with ETGBD. Although a favourable pretreatment evaluation would allow ETGBD to be performed efficiently in appropriate patients, specific case parameters that are associated with a good outcome have yet to be identified. The objective of the present study was to establish a standard pretreatment evaluation protocol for patients with acute cholecystitis to more accurately predict the likelihood of ETGBD technical difficulties.

METHODS

Eleven patients with acute cholecystitis who underwent ETGBD in the Showa University Fujigaoka Hospital (Aoba-ku, Yokohama, Japan) between 2003 and 2004 were enrolled in the present retrospective study. All patients met the following criteria: physical findings showing right upper quadrant tenderness; blood tests showing systemic signs of inflammation (fever, and elevated C-reactive protein [CRP] or white blood cell levels); ultrasonographic findings showing the sonographic Murphy sign, thickening of the gallbladder wall or an enlarged gallbladder; and no evidence of any other disease (10).

Initially, the overall frequency of success, and complications and effectiveness of ETGBD were measured. Then, the relationship of these outcomes with other factors that could affect the success of ETGBD was examined. These factors included age, sex, period from admission to ETGBD, history of cholecystitis and biliary colic, levels of CRP and white blood cells on admission, and ultrasonographic findings for the gallbladder including distension, sonolucent layer (Figure 1), dimensions of the major and minor axes, and thickness of the gallbladder wall (Figure 2). Statistically significant associations between these pretreatment parameters and occurrences in ETGBD-associated technical difficulties were then evaluated to identify predictors of ETGBD outcome. Statistical differences were measured using the \( \chi^2 \) test, two-sample \( t \) test or Mann-Whitney \( U \) test, as appropriate. \( P<0.05 \) indicated statistically significant differences.

RESULTS

ETGBD was successful in seven of 11 patients (success rate 63.6%). All seven patients became afebrile and asymptomatic within a few days and were discharged within a mean of 15 days (Table 1). PTGBD was performed on the remaining four patients in whom ETGBD was unsuccessful, and a cystic duct perforation was detected in one patient. The recoveries of the four patients receiving PTGBD were also uneventful.

Among the clinical parameters examined, there was a lower mean grade of inflammation present in patients treated successfully with ETGBD relative to patients in whom ETGBD was unsuccessful (mean CRP value 5.4 mg/dL versus 10.9 mg/dL, respectively; \( P \) not significant). None of the other clinical factors, including age, sex, period from admission to ETGBD, and history of cholecystitis or biliary colic, correlated significantly with the success of ETGBD (Table 1).

Examination of gallbladder ultrasonogram records revealed two patients who were ineligible for ETGBD. One had gallbladder cancer that resulted in irregular thickening of the gallbladder wall, and the other had no ultrasonographic records. Among the remaining nine patients, cases without a distended gallbladder or sonolucent layer (Table 2), and those with a shorter gallbladder major axis (70.6 mm versus 92.3 mm) showed a greater tendency toward ETGBD success (\( P \) not significant) (Figure 3). The frequency of success was significantly higher in patients with a shorter gallbladder minor axis.
These data demonstrate that ultrasonographic findings, particularly gallbladder minor-axis length and wall thickness, can contribute significantly to the prediction of potential technical difficulties associated with ETGBD. Ultrasonographic findings also suggest that ETGBD can be more difficult to perform in patients with a longer gallbladder minor axis or thicker gallbladder wall.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study demonstrate that ultrasonography is the best method for predicting potential ETGBD technical difficulties during pretreatment evaluation of patients with acute cholecystitis. ETGBD success is most likely in patients with mild cholecystitis who present with a short gallbladder minor axis or thin gallbladder wall.

Over the past 15 years, several studies (8,9,11,12) have reported ETGBD to be a viable treatment option for acute cholecystitis. Despite these reports, ETGBD technical difficulties contribute significantly to variable success rates (54% to 89%), including 63.6% in the present study. Because variable rates of success are likely due to differences in the severity of acute cholecystitis among the patients in each study, accurate pretreatment evaluation of patients is an important predictor of ETGBD success.

Although the severity of acute cholecystitis is commonly assessed from laboratory data (13-15), analyses of pretreatment...
evaluations in the present study demonstrate that laboratory data and patient background are not associated significantly with ETGBD success. In contrast, findings from gallbladder ultrasonograms appear to depict gallbladder health more accurately and are significant predictors of ETGBD success. Among ultrasonogram dimensions examined, gallbladder minor-axis length and wall thickness were most predictive of ETGBD success. Ultrasonogram data suggest that cholecystitis associated with a longer minor axis or thicker gallbladder wall is more severe and can result in more difficult cystic duct cannulation due to inflammation in patients with severe cholecystitis.

These data suggest that ETGBD is an appropriate treatment option for patients with mild cholecystitis and that the procedure should be performed at early acute onset to maximize efficiency and success. Patients with postendoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography cholecystitis or biliary colic are appropriate candidates for ETGBD. For patients with postendoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography cholecystitis, ETGBD should be performed at the early acute onset stage, when the condition of the biliary and cystic ducts has been recently evaluated. In patients with biliary colic, PTGBD should be avoided due to liver and gallbladder adhesions, which can increase the difficulty of performing cholecystectomy.

CONCLUSIONS

Ultrasonographic findings of the gallbladder, particularly minor-axis length and wall thickness, are significant predictors of ETGBD-associated technical difficulties and should be evaluated before patients with acute cholecystitis are treated.
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