A series of credentialing guidelines for gastrointestinal endoscopic procedures has been developed by the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology (CAG) Endoscopy Committee. The guidelines were reviewed and approved by the Clinical Affairs Committee, and endorsed by the Executive Board. In the present article, the CAG suggests specific guidelines for credentialing flexible sigmoidoscopy. It is intended to be read in conjunction with the introductory article that outlines the principles of credentialing (1).

The CAG does not credential individuals for endoscopic procedures; that is the responsibility of the endoscopist’s local institution or facility. The purpose of these guidelines is to provide a framework that will allow organizations to assess the training and competence of applicants to perform colonoscopy, as part of the credentialing process for the granting of privileges.

Flexible sigmoidoscopy allows direct visualization of the colonic mucosa, from the rectum to the proximal sigmoid and descending colon. Although colonoscopy has become a preferred method for colon cancer screening, it may not always be available, and flexible sigmoidoscopy every five years has been endorsed as an acceptable screening option (2-5).

COGNITIVE ASPECTS

The technical skills required to perform flexible sigmoidoscopy safely and effectively must be accompanied by a full understanding of the cognitive aspects of the procedure (Table 1). These cognitive aspects should be fully addressed in the training of anyone who will be performing flexible sigmoidoscopy (6).

Appropriate indications

Flexible sigmoidoscopy can be performed for many reasons; the most common are listed in Table 2 (7). The advantages of flexible sigmoidoscopy are that it can be performed as an outpatient procedure with minimal preparation, without sedation and without significant patient discomfort, in a few minutes.

A clear understanding of indications and alternative investigations is critical to the performance of any invasive procedure. Although complications are very rare, a review of any ‘sentinel event’ (an unexpected occurrence involving death or serious physical or psychological injury, or the risk thereof) (8) will, by necessity, evaluate the indication for the procedure in assessing the patient’s overall management.

Documentation

Training in adequate documentation is necessary, because the findings at flexible sigmoidoscopy may lead to referral for colonoscopy; the ability to accurately record the size, appearance, number and location of any lesions is, therefore, an essential component of flexible sigmoidoscopy.

TECHNICAL ASPECTS

Technical skills

Minimum number of procedures:

The number of procedures completed during training is currently the only objective measure available to assess competence, and subsequently, to evaluate maintenance of competence to practise sigmoidoscopy. While other measures, such as depth of insertion, detection of polyps and cancer, and complication rates, are important, there are no objective mechanisms to assess these parameters. The American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ASGE) recommends the completion of at least 30 flexible sigmoidoscopies as the threshold number of procedures that must be performed before competency can be assessed (9). This requirement is slightly more stringent than those of the Society of Gastrointestinal Nurses and Associates in the United States, and the Society of American Gastrointestinal Endoscopic Surgeons, both of which recommend 25 supervised procedures (10,11).

The flexible sigmoidoscopy training program, developed by the ASGE for the core curriculum, proposes initial supervised training using a model, followed by five supervised withdrawals of the sigmoidoscope on patients, and then, 20 procedures...
under direct supervision of credentialed physician endoscopists, including three biopsies under direct supervision and documentation of consistent sigmoidoscope insertion to a depth of more than 50 cm. Thereafter, the trainee should perform an additional 25 complete procedures, with a physician preceptor readily available (12).

There are few objective data to support the requirement that trainees should perform 25 or 30 unsupervised procedures before they can be deemed competent at flexible sigmoidoscopy. A study by Hawes et al (13) assessed 494 flexible sigmoidoscopic examinations after recording insertion distance, withdrawal time, quadrants viewed on withdrawal, number of correctly diagnosed lesions and management scores. More than 24 to 30 procedures were deemed necessary to train the resident adequately; at that stage, the residents detected 93% to 100% of polyps and cancers noted by experienced endoscopists. However, with further experience, unassisted insertion distance and luminal visualization increased, while insertion time and assisted time decreased.

The ASGE core curriculum also proposes an ongoing evaluation program to document performance of a minimum of 50 procedures per year, consistent insertion of the sigmoidoscope to a depth of more than 50 cm and performance of five biopsies per year (12).

Depth of insertion: Specific technical requirements for flexible sigmoidoscopy include identification of the splenic flexure (although the splenic flexure is not reached in many sigmoidoscopies) and retroflexion within the rectum for all cases (14).

Although the extent of examination of the distal colon may be affected by the use, in some instances, of an upper endoscope or a colonoscope, the expected depth of insertion, which is discussed below, refers to the use of a standard flexible sigmoidoscope. The definition of an adequately inserted screening flexible sigmoidoscope is subjective and not currently defined. However, in principle, it should be possible to insert the entire length of the flexible sigmoidoscope, provided that it does not cause undue patient discomfort. In practice, one should examine as much of the distal colon as possible, recognizing that it can be difficult to precisely define the furthest extent of the colon that has been examined (6). It is expected that the rectum and sigmoid colon should be inspected completely and the descending and more proximal colon can often also be visualized. For colon cancer screening, the goal is to examine as much of the distal colon as possible, based on the length of the endoscope (usually 60 cm to 70 cm) and patient tolerance.

The adequacy of the visualization may vary depending on the preparation used. The preparation should be such that the endoscopist is confident that lesions other than small polyps (5 mm or smaller) were not obscured (6).

In general, flexible sigmoidoscopy is associated with high levels of patient satisfaction (15,16). Patients should not experience undue discomfort and, because flexible sigmoidoscopy is usually performed without sedation, patient comfort should not be compromised by attempting to examine as much of the distal colon as possible. Variations in patient tolerance and anatomical factors, such as angulation and tortuosity of the sigmoid colon and prior surgery may, on occasion, be associated with discomfort, requiring the use of mild sedation; they may also limit insertion depth.

Success rates
Adenoma detection and referral for colonoscopy: The proportion of sigmoidoscopies resulting in referral for colonoscopy should be similar for all endoscopists; this referral rate is partly dependent on the endoscopist’s polyp detection rate and partly on an appropriate referral strategy (16). Patients who have only hyperplastic polyps in the distal colon do not require colonoscopy. Colonoscopy is indicated if one or more adenomata are found, regardless of their size. If a large polyp (larger than 1 cm) is found at flexible sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy is indicated to completely remove the lesion and exclude proximal neoplasia (6).

Therapeutic interventions: The most common therapeutic interventions at flexible sigmoidoscopy are biopsy and polypectomy. All endoscopists performing flexible sigmoidoscopy should be able to acquire accurately targeted biopsies. The removal of smaller polyps (smaller than 1 cm) at flexible sigmoidoscopy requires that the sigmoidoscopist be trained in the techniques of cold biopsy or snaring. Polypectomy, with removal of polyps larger than 1 cm in diameter, is not usually performed without sedation, patient comfort should not be compromised by attempting to examine as much of the sigmoid colon and prior surgery may, on occasion, be associated with discomfort, requiring the use of mild sedation; they may also limit insertion depth.

Success rates
Adenoma detection and referral for colonoscopy: The proportion of sigmoidoscopies resulting in referral for colonoscopy should be similar for all endoscopists; this referral rate is partly dependent on the endoscopist’s polyp detection rate and partly on an appropriate referral strategy (16). Patients who have only hyperplastic polyps in the distal colon do not require colonoscopy. Colonoscopy is indicated if one or more adenomata are found, regardless of their size. If a large polyp (larger than 1 cm) is found at flexible sigmoidoscopy, colonoscopy is indicated to completely remove the lesion and exclude proximal neoplasia (6).

Therapeutic interventions: The most common therapeutic interventions at flexible sigmoidoscopy are biopsy and polypectomy. All endoscopists performing flexible sigmoidoscopy should be able to acquire accurately targeted biopsies. The removal of smaller polyps (smaller than 1 cm) at flexible sigmoidoscopy requires that the sigmoidoscopist be trained in the techniques of cold biopsy or snaring. Polypectomy, with removal of polyps larger than 1 cm in diameter, is not usually performed without sedation, patient comfort should not be compromised by attempting to examine as much of the sigmoid colon and prior surgery may, on occasion, be associated with discomfort, requiring the use of mild sedation; they may also limit insertion depth.
injury from flammable gases that may be present in an inadequately prepared colon.

Complication rates
Complications, such as bleeding and perforation, are very rare, being reported at a rate of one in 25,000 to 50,000 flexible sigmoidoscopies (16-18). The risk of bleeding after polypectomy is also low, with an expected rate of major postpolypectomy bleeding of less than 1% (19-23); however, significant bleeding is very uncommon (16). Other complications (syncope, hypotension and arrhythmia) can also occur, but are very uncommon.

The small, but important risk of explosion and thermal injury associated with the use of electrocautery, hot biopsy forceps or monopolar electrocautery snare in air-insufflated patients can be avoided if carbon dioxide is used to insufflate the colon or if these techniques are eschewed in patients who have not taken an appropriate preparation (24-29).

TRAINING
Prospective studies are limited as to the most appropriate method to train clinicians to perform screening flexible sigmoidoscopy (30). The cognitive aspect of training should impart a knowledge of basic anatomy, typical pathological findings in the distal colon and rectum, common disease conditions, and the indications and contraindications for flexible sigmoidoscopy, sufficient for the practitioner to perform the procedure safely and accurately. The technical aspect of training should impart the visuomotor skills needed to achieve a full, unassisted examination of the left colon, including a retroflexed view in the rectum (14) and the ability to take targeted, ‘cold’ biopsies (31) in a safe and efficient manner. It has been shown that technical aspects, including insertion distance, insertion time and luminal visualization, as well as cognitive aspects, including correct diagnosis and management plan formulation, improve with the performance of 25 to 30 procedures (13). However, the study does not indicate the degree of improvement that can be achieved with greater experience or the number of procedures that need to be performed to achieve a predefined or standardized level of competence.

Short courses or simulators
Short instruction courses in flexible sigmoidoscopy may serve as a starting point in the training process but they do not substitute for supervised training by a competent endoscopy instructor (32). A virtual reality (VR) sigmoidoscopy training system was shown to differentiate among different levels of competence for novice, intermediate and expert endoscopists (33); novice endoscopists were slower and had a shorter insertion length. However, the use of the simulator for training was not assessed in the study. In another study (34), VR simulators were less effective than traditional hands-on training. Residents who received VR training had more difficulty with initial endoscope insertion. The splenic flexure was reached independently in only 29% of examinations after VR training, compared with 72% of examinations after hands-on training. Retroflexion was successfully performed by 56% and 84% of VR and hands-on trainees, respectively. However, although simulators may not be an adequate substitute for normal training techniques, they may still have a role as an adjunctive training tool, perhaps best used early on in training.

Nonphysicians, Nonendoscopist Physicians and Flexible Sigmoidoscopy
Flexible sigmoidoscopies have been performed by many different types of health care professionals, including nurses, physician assistants, nonendoscopist physicians, surgeons and gastroenterologists (6,35,36); the term ‘nonendoscopist physician’ refers to physicians (such as primary care physicians and internists) who have not undergone formal endoscopy training in the context of a specialty gastroenterology or surgery residency program. This is, in part, because sigmoidoscopy is less technically demanding than other endoscopic procedures with a reduced need for sedation and, in part, because of its potential for widespread use as a screening tool.

The adoption of flexible sigmoidoscopy as an acceptable screening option for colon cancer (2-4) would lead to a massive increase in demand for the procedure, even if there were age restrictions for eligible patients or limitations to the frequency of investigation (24). If flexible sigmoidoscopy for colorectal cancer screening were adopted widely, there would be too few gastroenterologists or general surgeon endoscopists to meet the demand. However, it appears that nonphysicians, paramedical staff and nonendoscopist physicians can readily acquire the necessary skills without the need to become fully trained endoscopists (16). In several studies (17,37-42), quality indicators such as average depth of insertion, polyp yield, complications, procedure time and patient satisfaction were all similar for nonphysicians, nongastroenterologist physicians and gastroenterologists. One study (41), involving five gastrointestinal nurses and five residents, reported that four nurses and all residents were competent (as assessed by preset criteria) after 20 procedures, although one nurse did not achieve proficiency after 35 cases, demonstrating variability in learning curves.

SUMMARY
Technical competence for flexible sigmoidoscopy should be assessed after the completion of at least 25 observed procedures and 30 unassisted procedures; however, completion of this number of procedures does not imply competence (12).

A competent sigmoidoscopist should be able to identify the splenic flexure (even though the splenic flexure is not reached in many sigmoidoscopies) and obtain retroflexion views within the rectum (14). The depth of insertion will vary depending on the patient’s anatomy, prior surgery (43), the presence or absence of disease and patient tolerance, but generally, the rectum and sigmoid should be completely evaluated and often, the descending and more proximal colon can also be visualized. A competent sigmoidoscopist should be proficient in performing endoscopic biopsies.

For the practising, credentialed sigmoidoscopist, rates of disease or lesion detection, and rates of referral for colonoscopy should be comparable with those of other competent endoscopists in the institution. Because therapeutic interventions are often undertaken at a subsequent colonoscopy, the findings at flexible sigmoidoscopy must be well documented. Polypectomy rates, for small polyps (smaller than 1 cm), should be comparable with those reported by other sigmoidoscopists.

Because complications are very rare, any complication merits serious investigation, and the occurrence of two or more complications in one person’s sigmoidoscopy practice may prompt a review of competence, with the possibility that remedial training may be required.
Current endoscopic practices

Training should impart technical skills as well as sufficient knowledge to satisfy the intellectual requirements for flexible sigmoidoscopy, including basic anatomy, typical pathological findings in the distal colon and rectum, and the indications and contraindications for the procedure. Short courses and virtual reality simulators are not substitutes for supervised training by a competent endoscopist.

Institutions that grant privileges for flexible sigmoidoscopy should be encouraged to develop endoscopic reporting mechanisms and databases that will allow clinicians to monitor the quality of their practice and effect improvements if they identify deficiencies, thus maintaining procedural competence and optimizing clinical care over the long term.
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