The role of iron in the pathophysiology and treatment of chronic hepatitis C
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Increased hepatic iron content may be observed in patients with chronic hepatitis C infection, and may contribute to disease severity. The presence of hemochromatosis gene mutations is associated with increased hepatic iron accumulation and may lead to accelerated disease progression. Hepatic iron depletion has been postulated to decrease the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis due to chronic hepatitis C. It is possible that iron depletion stabilizes or improves liver histology and slows disease progression in these individuals. The present article reviews the prevalence and risk factors for hepatic iron overload in chronic hepatitis C, with emphasis on the available data regarding the efficacy of iron depletion in the treatment of this common liver disease.
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It is estimated that 3.2 million people in the United States and 170 million individuals worldwide have hepatitis C (1,2). A majority of these patients develop chronic disease, and the hepatitis C virus (HCV) is now known to be a major cause of cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The goal of medical therapy is to achieve normalization of serum transaminases and prolonged clearance of HCV RNA – also known as a sustained virological response (SVR). However, current treatment regimens that use pegylated interferon and ribavirin achieve an SVR in only 40% to 50% of patients with a genotype 1 infection – the most common strain of HCV (3). Therefore, several alternative or adjuvant therapies have been explored as a means to improve antiviral response or to delay the progression of liver disease in patients who do not respond to pegylated interferon therapy. Iron depletion has been one such treatment, and has been the focus of several therapeutic trials.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF LIVER IRON DEPOSITION

The role of hepatic iron overload in the pathophysiology of chronic liver disease associated with HCV has been a topic of debate for several years. Excess hepatic iron has been postulated as a source of reactive oxygen species that may lead to liver injury and hepatic fibrosis via several mechanisms. Hydroxyl radicals are known to cause oxidation of lipids, lipoproteins, proteins, DNA and other cellular components (4). Hepatic oxidative DNA damage, signified by elevated levels of 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine (8-OHdG), has been strongly and positively associated with increased hepatic iron stores in patients with chronic hepatitis C (CHC) (5). Reactive oxygen species generated by iron deposition may also activate hepatic stellate cells, which stimulate collagen deposition and fibrosis (6). These data suggest that excess iron deposition may be a key component of hepatic oxidative stress and the development of fibrosis.

A possible direct mechanism for iron overload in CHC has been recently proposed by Nishina et al (7) in male transgenic mice harbouring the HCV polyprotein. These FL-N/35 transgenic mice exhibit decreased hepcidin expression in the liver, accompanied by an increase in ferroportin expression in the duodenum, spleen and liver. Thus, HCV proteins may directly lead to decreased hepcidin transcription and increased ferroportin expression, leading to increased duodenal iron transport, macrophage iron release and hepatic iron accumulation (7). In individuals with CHC, hepcidin messenger RNA levels in the liver have been found to be positively correlated with hepatic iron accumulation (8,9). A positive relationship between hepcidin gene expression and hepatic iron content suggests appropriate regulation of hepcidin in response to hepatic iron stores, but it is unclear whether this response is the same in uninfected individuals. Others have suggested that excess hepatic iron deposition is simply a result of turnover from damaged hepatocytes (10). However, Fujita et al (11) have shown that patients...
with chronic hepatitis B and C exhibit similar degrees of necroinflammation, despite significantly elevated total iron scores in CHC patients compared with chronic hepatitis B patients. Presently, the precise mechanism involved in the process of liver iron accumulation in CHC, and its impact on the course and progression of CHC disease, remains unclear.

Although the underlying general mechanisms for iron deposition in the liver among patients with HCV have yet to be elucidated, it is clear that CHC is associated with liver iron accumulation. Di Bisceglie et al (12) initially reported that 36% of patients with CHC had elevated serum iron values and increased stainable iron in Kupffer cells and hepatocytes. A subsequent larger study of 209 CHC patients (13) demonstrated liver iron accumulation in 42% of patients, with the majority exhibiting only mild liver iron accumulation (35.4%). However, a significant relationship was found between the severity of histological activity based on METAVIR classification and macrophagic and/or hepatocytic iron deposition (13). Liver iron accumulation was also more frequent in patients with cirrhosis than in those without cirrhosis (15 of 19 [78.9%] versus 72 of 190 [37.9%], respectively; P=0.004) (13). Conversely, Olynyk et al (14) found that the mean (± SD) hepatic iron concentration (HIC) in cirrhotic and noncirrhotic patients was similar (722±137 µg/g versus 735±82 µg/g, respectively; P not significant), and iron deposition was present in both Kupffer cells and hepatocytes. Based on these studies, it is apparent that hepatitis C is associated with liver iron accumulation and that the pattern is a mixed picture of deposition in hepatocytes and reticuloendothelial cells.

**CHC, HEMOCHROMATOSIS GENE MUTATIONS AND LIVER IRON ACCUMULATION**

There is a complex relationship among hepatic iron deposition, hemochromatosis gene (HFE) mutations and disease severity in patients with CHC. A recent large study from the Hepatitis C Long-Term Treatment against Cirrhosis (HALT-C) cohort found a positive association between HFE mutations and increased hepatic iron in 363 patients (15). Several other studies (16-20) have found that heterozygous C282Y or H63D mutations are associated with hepatic iron loading in CHC patients. The significance of increased iron loading in these patients and its role in the development of advanced fibrosis remains controversial, with a positive association in some studies (16,17,21-23) and a negative association in others (13,24-28). Several studies have found that both C282Y and H63D mutations are associated with higher grades of inflammation (23), more severe fibrosis (16,21,23) or progression to cirrhosis (16,21,23); however, others (17,22) have suggested that heterozygous H63D mutations – in contrast to C282Y mutations – play little or no role in hepatic iron deposition. Gehrke et al (22) found no association between hemochromatosis or heterozygosity for the H63D mutation and liver fibrosis. In contrast, we previously reported an OR of 22 for the presence of bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis in heterozygous H63D-positive individuals with HCV infection of at least 15 years duration (16). Erhardt et al (21) found an OR of 3.6 for cirrhosis and 3.1 for fibrosis in heterozygous H63D-positive individuals. Finally, Geier et al (23) found a significant association between heterozygous H63D mutation and hepatic fibrosis, with an OR of 1.97. By contrast, Bonkovsky et al (15) found no significant effect of any HFE mutation (H63D and C282Y) on liver fibrosis among subjects in the HALT-C study, although it should be noted that all patients in this cohort had advanced fibrosis.

The evidence for an association between increased disease severity in C282Y homozygote and heterozygote individuals is stronger. However, similar to H63D mutations, some studies (16,17,21-23) have found a positive association, while others have not (13,24-28). Smith et al (17) found that C282Y heterozygotes had a higher mean fibrosis stage score than wild type patients (3.6 versus 1.5; P=0.01). Cirrhosis was also present more often in individuals with the HFE mutation (40%) than in those without the mutation (8.7%) (P=0.01). Similarly, we found that any HFE mutation (C282Y and H63D) was strongly associated with bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis in individuals with compensated liver disease (OR 18; 95% CI 1.7 to 193) (16). The OR for the presence of advanced fibrosis in association with the C282Y mutation was 30 (95% CI 1.8 to 484) compared with 22 (95% CI 1.8 to 267) for the H63D mutation (16). However, there was no significant difference in the prevalence of HFE mutations between patients with compensated liver disease and those with end-stage liver disease (16). Gehrke et al (22) did not find an association between H63D mutations and fibrosis, although there was an association between C282Y mutations and advanced fibrosis or cirrhosis, with an OR of 2.5 (95% CI 1.0 to 6.3; P<0.05). Another study (23) found a strong association between the C282Y mutation and hepatic fibrosis (OR 4.58; 95% CI 1.13 to 18.52; P=0.026). Finally, Erhardt et al (21) found a significant association between heterozygous C282Y mutation and cirrhosis, with an OR of 5.9 (95% CI 1.6 to 22.6; P<0.009). Thus, the bulk of the evidence suggests that heterozygous C282Y individuals with chronic HCV infection are more likely to have more severe hepatocellular injury and fibrosis. By contrast, Thorburn et al (24) found no association between HFE mutations and more advanced liver disease, and Lal et al (25) concluded that the HFE mutation plays a minor role in hepatic iron accumulation in individuals with hepatitis C cirrhosis. Finally, Kohler et al (28) found no evidence for increased fibrosis in C282Y heterozygotes compared with sex- and age-matched controls.

Several studies have also examined the relationship between HFE mutations and HCC in patients with CHC, with some describing a positive association (29,30) and others finding no association (31,32). Cauza et al (29) found that C282Y homozygotes with HCV had a 19-fold increased risk of HCC, but heterozygotes did not. Hellerman et al (30) found an increased frequency of heterozygous C282Y mutations in patients with HCC but no history of hemochromatosis (12.4%) compared with cirrhotic (3.7%) and healthy controls (4.8%) (P<0.05). However, Boige et al (32) found that the prevalence of C282Y heterozygote mutations was similar in patients with and without HCC (5% versus 4%; P=0.65). Therefore, the data must be considered inconclusive regarding the association between HFE mutations and the development of HCC in CHC (33).

**ADJUVANT PHLEBOTOMY**

Effect of adjuvant phlebotomy with interferon therapy in treatment-naive individuals

Several early reports showed an inverse relationship between hepatic iron content and the response to interferon monotherapy (14,34,35). This observation prompted several studies.
TABLE 1
Summary of studies examining iron depletion therapy in interferon (IFN) treatment-naive individuals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (reference)</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Iron depletion</th>
<th>IFN Treatment</th>
<th>End treatment response, n (%)</th>
<th>Sustained virological response, n (%)</th>
<th>End biochemical response; sustained biochemical response, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fontana et al (36)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3×10^6 U IFN-alpha 2b 3 times weekly for 6 months</td>
<td>7 (17) 15 (38)</td>
<td>3 (7) 7 (18)</td>
<td>20 (48); 25 (63); 6 (14); 11 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fargion et al (37)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6×10^6 U IFN-alpha 2b 3 times weekly for 4 months, then 3×10^6 U 3 times weekly for 8 months</td>
<td>12 (21) 18 (32)</td>
<td>9 (16) 16 (28)</td>
<td>18 (32); 24 (42); 15 (29); 19 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperno et al (18)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3×10^6 U IFN-alpha 2b twice weekly for 12 months</td>
<td>21 (34) 1 (5)</td>
<td>NR 0 (0)</td>
<td>21 (34); 13 (21); 1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlo et al (39)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6×10^6 U IFN-alpha 2b or alpha-2a every other day for 6 months; then 3×10^6 U every other day for 6 months</td>
<td>12 (30) 20 (47)</td>
<td>6 (15) 12 (28)</td>
<td>18 (45); 24 (56); 8 (20); 16 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fong et al (38)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3×10^6 U IFN-alpha 2b 3 times weekly for 6 months</td>
<td>6 (29) 7 (41)</td>
<td>1 (5) 5 (29)</td>
<td>6 (29); 9 (53); 1 (5) 6 (35)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of adjuvant phlebotomy with interferon therapy in nonresponders

Given the favourable treatment outcomes with phlebotomy before interferon therapy in treatment-naive individuals, iron depletion as an adjuvant to interferon therapy has been investigated in CHC patients who did not previously respond to interferon therapy (Table 2). Di Bisceglie et al (42) conducted a study that included 96 subjects – the largest randomized trial to date. There was no improvement in the SVR rate of patients treated with iron depletion by phlebotomy and retreatment with interferon compared with patients treated with iron depletion by phlebotomy alone. However, treatment with phlebotomy and interferon decreased the extent of liver injury, with some improvement in necroinflammatory parameters on liver biopsy (mean index 8.59 versus 7.37; P<0.05) (42). A lack of an SVR was also observed in a pilot study (43) involving patients who previously failed to respond to a three-month course of interferon. However, both studies observed a complete biochemical response or normalization of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels in 9% and 18% of patients, respectively. This was also observed in a study at our centre in 18 previous nonresponders treated with iron depletion by phlebotomy followed by interferon retreatment. Twenty-two per cent of patients had a biochemical response, but no significant change in HCV RNA was observed (44). In contrast, a study by Tsui et al (45) found a small SVR of 15% with interferon monotherapy in previous nonresponders treated with phlebotomy. Van Thiel et al (46) found an SVR of 60% (nine of 15; P not reported) in previous nonresponders retreated with phlebotomy, and an increased dose and frequency of interferon. The role of iron depletion therapy in individuals with previous interferon treatment remains unclear.

SVR after interferon and ribavirin combination therapy in individuals with HFE mutations and CHC

Despite the evidence of increased iron loading in individuals...
with concurrent CHC and HFE mutations, the role of phlebotomy with combination interferon/ribavirin therapy has not been investigated in this group of individuals. Bonkovsky et al (15) enrolled 363 advanced CHC patients with HFE mutations who did not previously respond to interferon, with or without ribavirin, into a study using pegylated interferon and ribavirin. They found that 33% of individuals with HFE mutations demonstrated an end-of-treatment response, and 16% of those treated with pegylated interferon alpha-2a and ribavirin demonstrated an SVR. Furthermore, individuals with any HFE mutation, especially H63D, were more likely to achieve an end-of-treatment response (40% with mutation versus 29% without mutation; $P=0.0078$) and an SVR (20% with mutation versus 14% without mutation; $P=0.009$). These individuals exhibited significantly higher hepatic global scores than those lacking HFE mutations. Furthermore, the presence of HFE mutations was associated with an increased SVR compared with those without HFE mutations (15). In contrast, increased stainable iron in the portal triads and endothelial cells ($P<0.0006$ and $P=0.0083$, respectively) and triad iron score ($P=0.0018$) exhibited a significant inverse association with an SVR (15). The authors suggested that the location of iron deposition may be more important than the concentration (15). By contrast, a smaller study with 34 patients (47) found that individuals with HFE mutations treated with interferon/ribavirin were less likely to achieve an SVR (0 of 14 [0%] with mutation versus six of 20 [30%] without mutation; $P=0.03$).

While the role of interferon/ribavirin combination therapy in CHC and HFE mutation carriers appears to be promising, with an increased SVR observed in the HALT-C study, the use of phlebotomy has yet to be further investigated.

**Phlebotomy and biochemical response in treatment-naive patients and nonresponders**

Iron depletion with phlebotomy appears to be consistently associated with an improvement in serum ALT levels, suggesting a reduction in hepatic necroinflammation. In a short-term, controlled trial of 33 patients, Yano et al (48) showed that phlebotomy for three months led to a reduction in mean ALT levels from 118±79 U/L to 73±39 U/L ($P<0.01$). A longer, five-year prospective study (49) conducted by the same authors demonstrated a mean ALT reduction from 116.8±69 U/L to 74.9±40.5 U/L in 25 patients who were initially treated with phlebotomy to reduce ferritin levels to less than 10 ng/mL. Intermittent phlebotomy was performed to a goal ferritin level of less than 10 ng/mL. The complete biochemical response was noted by Fargion et al (37) in 19 of 57 (33%) patients after six months of follow-up. The complete biochemical response was noted by Fontana et al (36), with ALT normalization in 11 of 40 patients (28%) after six months of treatment with phlebotomy both before and during interferon-alpha-2b therapy (36). A similar biochemical response rate was noted by Fargion et al (37) in 19 of 57 (33%) patients after six months of follow-up, by Carlo et al (39) in 16 of 43 (37%) patients one year after follow-up and by Feng et al (38) in six of 17 patients (35%) after a six-month follow-up. In contrast, Piperno et al (40) found a weak complete biochemical response in treatment-naive patients with a response in one of 20 patients (5%), with a one-year follow-up. The complete biochemical response for nonresponders appears controversial and is limited to a few studies. One positive study by Tsai et al (45) with 20 patients who did not previously respond to interferon, reported a sustained biochemical response in 10 of 20 patients (50%) after six months of follow-up. However, DiBiaseglie et al (42) observed a partial biochemical response in 32 previous nonresponders, with a mean ALT reduction from 3.2 to 2.1 times the upper limit of normal after a one-year follow-up. An absence of complete biochemical response was observed in a small, 11 patient pilot study conducted by Guyader et al (43). Given the evidence that phlebotomy improves serum liver enzymes in both

**TABLE 2**

Summary of studies examining iron depletion therapy for previous interferon (IFN) nonresponders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (reference)</th>
<th>Patients, n</th>
<th>Iron depletion + IFN</th>
<th>IFN treatment</th>
<th>End treatment response, n (%)</th>
<th>Sustained virological response, n (%)</th>
<th>End biochemical response; sustained biochemical response, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Van Thiel et al (46)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5×10⁶ U daily for 6 months</td>
<td>6 (40)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
<td>2 (13); NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Di Bisceglie et al (42)</td>
<td>32; Iron depletion*</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Not known</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>ALT (&gt;ULN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guyader et al (43)</td>
<td>11; pilot study</td>
<td>Minimum 3×10⁶ U for 3 months</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>ALT (&gt;ULN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexander et al (44)</td>
<td>18; Iron depletion</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4 (22); NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsai et al (45)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3×10⁶ U IFN-alpha for 6 months</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4 (20)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Iron depletion only (not interferon alone). †24 weeks after treatment. ALT Alanine aminotransferase, N/A Not applicable; NR Not reported; ULN Upper limit of normal.
Phlebotomy and HCC

It has been proposed that patients with HCV-related cirrhosis may be predisposed to the development of HCC as a result of hepatic iron loading. Transgenic mice expressing the HCV polyprotein, and nontransgenic littermates fed either an excess iron diet or control diet, were studied by Takakazu et al (54) to determine whether iron overload contributes to the development of HCC. Transgenic mice fed the excess iron diet showed marked hepatic steatosis and ultrastructural alterations of the mitochondria at six months and greater hepatic content of 8-OHdG at 12 months following initiation of the iron-rich diet. Hepatic tumours developed in five of 11 (45%) transgenic mice fed the excess iron diet for 12 months. However, only three of 11 (27%) transgenic mice specifically had HCC (54).

In CHC patients with cirrhosis, Chapoutot et al (55) observed that liver iron deposition was more frequent in patients with CHC and HCC than in CHC controls without HCC (OR 4.94; 95% CI 1.59 to 15.32; P=0.0056), and that the liver iron overload was sinusoidal (OR 5.2; 95% CI 1.82 to 15.11; P=0.0022). Sinusoidal iron was found exclusively in the periphery of nodules in 27.1% of HCC patients compared with 16% of controls, centronodular in 8.3% versus 21.5% of controls and diffuse in 37.5% versus 12.5% of controls (P=0.002) (55). Furthermore, histological iron scores including parenchymal hepatocytic, mesenchymal sinusoidal and portal scores were higher in HCC patients than in controls (55). Ko et al (56) investigated the prevalence of hepatic iron overload and HCC in 5224 patients with end-stage liver disease of diverse etiology undergoing liver transplantation. They found that HCC was strongly associated with stainable hepatic iron in CHC patients with end-stage liver disease (P<0.001). Given the finding that increased hepatic iron may predispose individuals to HCC, one study by Kato et al (57) examined the effect of iron depletion on HCC risk in patients with biopsy-proven moderate to severe liver fibrosis who could not tolerate or failed to respond to interferon therapy. Thirty-five patients underwent weekly phlebotomy and consumed a low-iron diet until a mild, iron-deficient state was achieved, while the control group consisting of 40 patients declined iron depletion therapy. All patients in the treatment group demonstrated a reduction in serum ALT compared with the control group (to less than 60 U/L for all patients; ALT normalization in 24 of 35 patients [69%]). Hepatic carcinogenesis rates in the 10th year of the study were 8.6% for the phlebotomy group versus 39% for the control group, and multivariate analysis revealed that iron depletion therapy was independently associated with a reduction in the risk of HCC, with an OR of 0.57 (P=0.0337) (57).

Finally, it has been proposed that iron overload in the liver may lead to oxidative DNA damage and mutagenesis, and HCC. In theory, iron depletion may decrease the accumulation of 8-OHdG, a mutagenic byproduct of oxidative DNA damage. One study by Kato et al (58) demonstrated a mean decrease in 8-OHdG levels in nonresponders treated with phlebotomy, with a change in the number of 8-OHdG molecules/10^3 Dg in DNA from 8.3±4.6/10^3 to 2.2±0.9/10^3. Furthermore, a study by Tanaka et al (59) demonstrated an association between increased 8-OHdG and HCC. One hundred eighteen individuals with CHC and no history of antiviral therapy were found to have higher hepatic 8-OHdG expression levels in patients identified to have HCC on liver biopsy than those without HCC (65.2±20.2 versus 40±23.5 positive cells/10^3 µm^2; P<0.0001). These studies are intriguing and warrant additional trials of iron depletion to reduce HCC risk in CHC patients with cirrhosis, at least in those with increased hepatic iron stores.

**ALTERNATIVE TREATMENT MODALITIES WITH A LOW-IRON DIET**

Given the possible beneficial effects of adjuvant iron depletion therapy via phlebotomy, some authors have examined iron restriction with low-iron diet regimens. Tandon et al (60) studied 19 hepatitis B and C patients with either baseline normal or elevated iron levels, and treated them with a 50% reduced-iron, rice- and casein-based diet. All patients were compliant and demonstrated significant reduction in serum iron (P<0.001), transferrin saturation (P<0.001) and ALT levels (P<0.05) for both groups. A larger randomized trial of 40 patients by Sumida et al (61) compared phlebotomy with a low-iron diet. There was a significant reduction in serum ALT in both groups, but the per cent change in serum ALT was greater for the phlebotomy group than the diet group (median ~47.1 versus ~24.2; P<0.001) (61). ALT reduction occurred in 80% of low-iron diet.
patients and 100% of phlebotomy patients, with both groups demonstrating significant reduction in serum ferritin levels (61). A low-iron diet may be an alternative approach to reducing body iron stores in CHC patients.

**SUMMARY**

Iron depletion therapy via phlebotomy is associated with improvement of serum ALT levels in both treatment-naive patients and previous nonresponders. Several studies suggest that a combination of phlebotomy and interferon leads to improved SVR in treatment-naive but not treatment-resistant individuals; however, the benefit of iron depletion appears to be restricted to patients receiving interferon monotherapy. There is considerable evidence suggesting that CHC patients with heterozygous H63D and, particularly, heterozygous C282Y mutations are at higher risk of developing fibrosis and cirrhosis of the liver, presumably because of increased hepatic iron deposition. This risk is considerably increased among individuals who are C282Y homozygotes. Phlebotomy therapy is clearly appropriate for patients who express a hemochromatosis phenotype based on hepatic iron measurement or hepatic iron staining. Iron depletion by phlebotomy does not appear to improve the SVR with interferon and ribavirin combination therapy. Furthermore, increased hepatic iron stores do not reduce the likelihood of an SVR with combination therapy. There are limited but intriguing data suggesting that maintenance of an iron-depleted state may stabilize hepatic fibrosis and reduce the incidence of HCC. However, long-term prospective trials are needed to establish the efficacy of phlebotomy therapy for this purpose. In the meantime, we believe that reducing excess body iron stores via phlebotomy or low-iron diet is a reasonable approach in CHC patients with increased hepatic iron stores and advanced hepatic fibrosis, especially if they are not candidates for treatment with interferon and ribavirin. Such an approach has low risk and may theoretically decrease the rate of disease progression and HCC.
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