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Narrow band imaging (NBI), a technology initially produced by Olympus Medical Systems of Tokyo, Japan, is a relatively new and well-recognized advancement in endoscopic imaging. Other manufacturers have variations of NBI that are similar but not as widely used. The present article will use NBI as a reference point; however, it is recognized that other manufacturers have similar systems with different names that will not be addressed further in the present discussion. The main goal of NBI technology is the ability to predict pathology in real time, based on the mucosal and vascular enhancement offered by endoscopes that have this capability. The purpose of the present paper is to discuss the clinical situations in which NBI technology is used for the detection of neoplastic lesions of the colon as well as the current evidence for or against these practices.

Although conventional white-light (CWL) imaging uses the entire spectrum of visible light (400 nm to 700 nm), NBI technology is based on the use of optic filters to isolate two specific bands of light: 415 nm (blue) and 540 nm (green) (1-3). By using the different absorptive and reflective properties of these wavelengths of light on mucosa, an image that enhances the visualization of superficial vascular structures (blue: superficial capillary; green: subepithelial vessels) (1-3) is created. The NBI mode on an endoscope, which can be activated or deactivated by an endoscopist with a control button on the endoscope, typically darkens the appearance of the vessels. Examples of NBI images taken at the St Paul’s Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia, are shown in Figure 1.

NBI is often referred to as ‘digital chromoendoscopy’ (4), because it was developed as an alternative method of enhancing the mucosa and vasculature similar to that seen in chromoendoscopy, a technique in which the mucosa is sprayed with a dye (ie, indigo carmine) during the endoscopy procedure. In chromoendoscopy, the absorptive property of the dye, rather than the properties of the light shining onto the surface of the mucosa, is used to enhance the image. The images produced by chromoendoscopy are very similar to the images produced by NBI, with minor differences (5). Although chromoendoscopy is frequently used in Japan, it has not received the same popularity in North America because it is believed by many to be more time consuming to apply the dye, and may require specialized training to perform properly.

In the upper gastrointestinal tract, NBI has been used for various disorders such as gastroesophageal reflux disease, Barrett’s esophagus and gastric neoplasia (3,6-9). In the lower gastrointestinal tract, NBI has been used to detect and assess colon polyps (particularly those that are flat), and for surveillance colonoscopy in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC) and hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer (HNPC) (10-13). NBI has also been used in a variety of applications outside of gastroenterology such as laryngoscopy (14) and cystoscopy (15).

NBI for detecting colon polyps
Colonoscopy is regarded as the gold standard diagnostic test for the surveillance of neoplastic lesions of the colon. In addition to the ability to obtain tissue for diagnostic biopsies of suspicious lesions, colonoscopy also has a therapeutic role in the removal of polyps. Screening colonoscopies have a significant adenoma miss rate of approximately 20% for small adenomas (16-19). Despite the miss rate, studies (20,21) have consistently shown that approximately 80% of colon cancers are prevented as a result of this screening measure. The current procedure with conventional colonoscopy in North America involves removing all polyps seen during the procedure and submitting them for histopathological diagnosis. NBI is used in the setting of colonoscopy to help the endoscopist visualize and characterize more polyps to determine in vivo tissue type and manage accordingly. With NBI technology, it is hypothesized that a trained endoscopist could sample fewer areas by selectively taking more selected biopsies, resulting in less risk to the patient, saving time during the procedure and decreasing overall cost to the health care system. To help the endoscopist predict the pathology of colon polyps in vivo from NBI, the ‘pit pattern’ classification, initially described by Kudo et al (22-24) for chromoendoscopy, has been widely adopted for use in NBI due to the similarities of the images produced with the two modalities, but has yet to be formally validated for use in use in NBI.

An important issue to consider with the use of NBI endoscopes is the darker visual field compared with conventional endoscopes; as a result, this requires the endoscope to be closer to the mucosal surface. In addition, some centres use NBI with magnification to investigate smaller details with regard to a point of interest.

NBI in UC surveillance
In addition to the use of NBI for the detection and characterization of colon polyps, it has also been used for surveillance of patients with UC or Crohn’s colitis. The current protocol for...
surveillance colonoscopy recommends having four-quadrant random biopsies performed every 10 cm from the cecum to the rectum (25) coupled with sampling of other areas of suspicion. This results in many biopsy tissue samples being taken for pathological examination, increased time and cost for the procedure and low risks for the patient. It has been proposed that targeted rather than random biopsies could be taken with NBI for colitis surveillance. The first case report describing the use of NBI for distinguishing dysplastic mucosa in patients with UC was conducted by East et al (12). Since this initial report, a recent prospective, randomized, crossover study by Dekker et al (10) compared NBI with random biopsies in the surveillance of 42 patients with UC. The study found that the sensitivity of NBI in detecting neoplasia in patients was similar to that seen with conventional colonoscopy; however, twice as many suspicious lesions were detected with NBI, and as a result, more biopsies were taken in the NBI group versus the conventional endoscopy group (148 versus 85, respectively). There were no statistically significant differences in the adenoma detection rates or procedure times between the two procedures. Presently, the use of NBI for UC or other inflammatory bowel diseases remains an active area of research that is likely to expand our understanding of this difficult area.

NBI in HNPCC surveillance

NBI has also been used in the surveillance of patients with HNPCC. Because patients with HNPCC have an approximately 80% to 85% lifetime chance of developing colon cancer, they are closely surveyed by optical colonoscopy every one to two years from the age of 25 years (26-29). In a recent study (11) of 62 HNPCC patients who underwent back-to-back surveillance colonoscopy with conventional colonoscopy and NBI, the total number of adenomas detected increased from 25 to 46 in the NBI group (P <0.001), and the proportion of flat adenomas detected was significantly higher in the NBI pass than with the CWL imaging pass (45% versus 12%; P=0.03). It has been suggested that the increased detection rate of flat adenomas may represent a population of polyps that are difficult to detect with conventional colonoscopy. Although there are few studies that have investigated the issue of HNPCC surveillance with NBI, the results appear promising. Further studies are required to determine the effectiveness of using NBI for HNPCC surveillance are required, particularly as it relates to long-term outcome measures such as the development of colorectal cancer.

Is NBI more effective than standard colonoscopy? A review of the literature

Because NBI is a relatively new diagnostic modality in endoscopy, few studies have been performed to evaluate NBI versus standard colonoscopy and chromoendoscopy. However, the available results appear promising for NBI. The first study that examined the use of NBI for detecting colorectal lesions was from Japan (30). Thirty-four patients were examined with NBI, chromoendoscopy and/or conventional endoscopy and the results of the three modalities were compared. They found no statistically significant difference between NBI and chromoendoscopy in differentiating neoplastic versus non-neoplastic lesions (sensitivity 100%, specificity 75%), both of which were better than conventional colonoscopy (sensitivity 83%, specificity 44%; P<0.05 for specificity).

Since the initial study from Machida et al (30) in 2004, there have been two other prospective studies that examined NBI versus conventional colonoscopy and chromoendoscopy. The results of these studies are summarized in Table 1. All three studies showed that the diagnostic accuracy of NBI was better than conventional colonoscopy, and not statistical different from chromoendoscopy.

Further studies have shown an improvement in the adenoma detection rate with NBI compared with conventional colonoscopy (33-35). However, there have been studies in which the benefits of either technique were not statistically significant or there was no difference in detection rates between the two groups (36-38). One of these studies was a randomized controlled study by Rex et al (38) that compared high-definition wide-angle colonoscopy with NBI for detecting adenomas. In this study, all examinations were performed by
the same endoscopist with a documented high detection rate of adenomas. It was discovered that there was no difference in adenoma detection rates between the CWL and NBI groups. The authors suggested that NBI may improve detection rates of adenomas by examiners who experience low adenoma detection rates using CWL endoscopy.

In regard to the question as to whether NBI causes a prolongation of endoscope withdrawal time, the results in the literature have been mixed. Studies have shown that there is no significant difference between withdrawal times in NBI and conventional colonoscopy (33,37). In contrast, there have been studies that have shown a statistically significant difference in withdrawal times (36,38), which is often attributed to the darker field of view with NBI that requires closer examination of the mucosa in locations where the lumen is larger (ie, rectum and ascending colon). In one of the studies with a statistically significant difference in withdrawal time (38), the withdrawal time for the CWL group was 7.3 min, whereas the withdrawal time in the NBI group was 7.7 min (P=0.003). The second study with a statistically significant difference (36) showed that the withdrawal time in the CWL group was 7.9 min, versus 8.5 min in the NBI group. Considering the more recent emphasis on ‘slowing’ the withdrawal speed to enhance sensitivity of the test, these minor differences in duration likely should not dissuade us from considering NBI more often.

Finally, because NBI is a new technology, the issue of training endoscopists to use NBI effectively and its effect on results has been brought forth in the literature. A study by Rogart et al (35) incorporated training and feedback into their trial of conventional colonoscopy versus NBI. The initial diagnostic accuracies were 80% with NBI and 77% with CWL (P=0.35); however, after appropriate training and feedback with pre- and post-tests, the second half of the study showed that NBI accuracy significantly improved to 87% while CWL had an accuracy of 79% (P<0.05). Furthermore, it has been suggested in a study by Adler et al (37) that NBI may help endoscopists improve diagnostic accuracy with CWL endoscopy. The study investigated the two techniques back-to-back in consecutive subgroups of 100 patients, and found that the adenoma detection rates in the NBI group remained stable (approximately 25%), whereas the adenoma detection rates in the conventional colonoscopy group increased with each subgroup (8%, 15%, 17%, and 26.5%, respectively). Although this explanation is speculative, it would be interesting to follow-up these results with further studies to see if they hold true.

Limitations
There are many other issues that may determine whether NBI is used on a daily clinical basis. As mentioned previously, the addition of yet another instrument to view the gastrointestinal tract may add more time to an already ‘time constrained’ unit. Although studies are conflicting regarding how much extra time it takes, there is little doubt that at least some extra time (compared with CWL) is required to use NBI. Additionally, the instrumentation is not available on all endoscopes through all manufacturers. Because most endoscopy units gradually replace old endoscopes with new, more capable endoscopes (such as NBI), many units (such as ours at the St Paul’s Hospital) presently have a mixture of NBI-capable and NBI-incapable endoscopes. Therefore, if an endoscopist wants to use an NBI-capable endoscope, a request is required before the day of the procedure to ensure the correct endoscope is available for a specific procedure.

Presently, we use the NBI endoscope on a regular basis; however, it is used for selected cases in which specific lesions are being sought. For the lower gastrointestinal tract, it tends to be for difficult polyph syndromes (ie, HNPPC) and dysplasia assessment (ie, chronic colitis). It is therefore not used in the majority of cases.

**CONCLUSION**

NBI is a new and promising advance in the field of endoscopy that uses two specific wavelengths of light to enhance visualization of vascular and mucosal patterns seen in lesions of the gastrointestinal tract. We have discussed the applications of this technology with emphasis on its use in the detection of colonic lesions.

As more endoscopists become acquainted with the new technology and gain more experience in using it, NBI will aid in the detection of colon polyps. However, before guidelines can be established for the use of NBI in everyday practice, further studies are necessary to develop a standardized system for classifying the different patterns seen on NBI. In addition, further studies investigating the cost-effectiveness of this new technology as well as further studies to determine the diagnostic accuracy are required before NBI can replace conventional colonoscopy in colon cancer screening.
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