Recurrence of acute pancreatitis secondary to a duodenal duplication cyst in an adult. A case report and literature review
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Duodenal duplication cysts are rare congenital abnormalities that are most commonly diagnosed in infancy and childhood. However, in rare cases, the lesion can remain asymptomatic until adulthood. An extremely rare case of a previously healthy adult patient with recurrent acute pancreatitis, who was diagnosed with a duodenal duplication cyst is presented. At laparotomy, a duplication cyst measuring 4.8 cm × 4 cm × 4 cm was found adjacent to the ampulla of Vater. A partial cyst excision and marsupialization into the duodenal lumen was performed. The patient is healthy and asymptomatic four years after surgery. The present case illustrates the necessity of considering a duodenal duplication cyst in the differential diagnosis of recurrent acute pancreatitis in previously healthy adults.
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Gastrointestinal duplication cysts are rare, benign, congenital anomalies formed during embryonic development. Although they may occur anywhere throughout the digestive tract – from mouth to anus – 75% of cases are located in the abdominal area (1). Abdominal duplications most commonly occur in the ileum (2,3). In most cases, the disease is diagnosed during infancy or childhood (2), in which 60% of the patients are younger than two years of age at presentation (4). However, in rare cases, the duplication cyst may remain asymptomatic until adulthood (5). The present article describes an extremely rare case of a 64-year-old man with recurrent acute pancreatitis who was diagnosed with a duodenal duplication cyst. Diagnostic evaluation and surgical management are discussed, followed by a review of the literature.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 64-year-old man presented with an 8 h history of sudden-onset, epigastric and upper abdominal pain associated with nausea and vomiting. The pain became progressively worse and radiated to his back. His medical history was unremarkable and he denied having any abdominal symptoms in the past. On admission, physical examination showed a moderately distressed patient with a temperature of 38°C, a respiratory rate of 16 breaths/min, a blood pressure of 140/85 mmHg and a pulse rate of 85 beats/min. Abdominal examination revealed diffuse epigastric and upper abdominal tenderness. There was no abdominal wall rigidity or guarding, and bowel sounds were diminished. A hemogram revealed a white blood cell count of 6.88×10⁹/L with 78% neutrophils, a hemoglobin level of 118 g/L and hematocrit of 35.8%. Biochemical investigations showed increased serum levels of amylase (1216 U/L; normal 25 U/L to 125 U/L), urine amylase (20,490 U/L; normal 0 U/L to 400 U/L), aspartate aminotransferase (33 U/L; normal 5 U/L to 40 U/L), alanine aminotransferase (59 U/L; normal 5 U/L to 40 U/L) and alkaline phosphatase (48 U/L; normal 40 U/L to 140 U/L). Total bilirubin, glucose, renal function tests and electrolytes were within the normal ranges. Abdominal ultrasonography revealed a normal liver, gallbladder and biliary duct system, but the pancreas was poorly demonstrated due to the presence of intra-abdominal gases. The patient was treated conservatively with discontinuation of oral intake and administration of intravenous fluids and antibiotics. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography scans revealed a cystic lesion measuring 4.8 cm × 4 cm in size, without nodular enhancement, in the second part of the duodenum (Figure 1). On magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a thick-walled (1 cm) cystic mass was demonstrated on T1 and T2 images, indicative of a duodenal cystic mass (Figures 2 and 3). Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy revealed a polypoid lesion protruding into the lumen of the second part of the duodenum in the papilla of Vater (Figure 4). Endoscopic ultrasonography
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confirmed the above findings (Figure 5). During the next 20 days of hospital stay, the patient developed two typical episodes of acute pancreatitis that were clinically and laboratory confirmed. At exploratory laparotomy, performed after complete resolution of the last episode of acute pancreatitis, a large duplication cyst measuring 4.8 cm × 4 cm × 4 cm was found in the second part of the duodenum in the papilla of Vater. The cyst was opened and its anterior wall was resected, whereas its posterior surface was marsupialized into the duodenum. Intraoperative biopsies were obtained and thorough investigation of the remnant cyst wall was performed to exclude any abnormalities or ectopic tissues. Histopathological examination of the excised cyst segment revealed normal duodenal mucosa on both sides of the specimen separated by a smooth muscle wall, indicative of a duodenal duplication cyst. There was no evidence of malignancy or dysplasia. The postoperative course was uneventful and the patient was discharged home eight days later. He remains healthy and asymptomatic four years after surgery.
DISCUSSION

Duplication cysts can be either tubular or spherical, and their size varies widely. They are most commonly encountered in or adjacent to the gastrointestinal wall, composed of at least one smooth muscle layer and are lined by a mucosal membrane not necessarily corresponding to the mucosa at the level of attachment (1). They are most frequently located at the mesenteric border of the digestive tract sharing a common smooth muscular wall and blood supply with the adjacent bowel (2), whereas 25% to 35% of the cysts contain ectopic mucosa, most commonly of gastric origin (1,4). Clinical signs are vague and related to the location and size of the cysts (5). Abdominal duplications may present with abdominal pain and distension, and more rarely with manifestations of intestinal obstruction, intussusception or bleeding, especially when ectopic gastric tissue is present (1,5).

Duodenal duplication cysts (DDCs) are rare, accounting for only 5% to 7% of all gastrointestinal duplications (2,6), and most commonly encountered in the first and second parts of the duodenum (6,7). In a literature review of Japanese patients, Yamauchi et al (7) found 49 cases of DDCs. There was a female predominance, but the distribution among children and adults was almost equal. Pediatric patients most commonly presented with vomiting, abdominal mass and fever, whereas the most common findings in adults were upper abdominal pain and gastrointestinal bleeding, mainly due to the presence of ectopic gastric mucosa (7). Rare manifestations of DDCs include pancreatitis (5,8,13), biliary obstruction (11,13-15) intussusception (15,16) and infection (7). Regarding pancreatitis, occlusion of the pancreatic ductal system by the distended duplication cyst, which occasionally may contain secretions, sludge or stones, seems to be the major causative factor (13).

In a review of the English literature, Kawahara et al (8) found 25 cases of acute pancreatitis caused by duplication cysts both in children and adolescents. The disease occurred more commonly in females, in whom the most common presenting symptoms were abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting. The age of the patients ranged from nine months to 16 years. In 60% of the cases, the duplication cysts were in continuity with the duodenum and were located close to the ampulla of Vater, whereas communication with the pancreaticobiliary tract was documented in 56% of the cases and a synchronous pancreatic pseudocyst was detected in 32% of the patients.

Computed tomography of the abdomen and ultrasonography have been more frequently used in the diagnosis of DDCs (8), along with upper gastrointestinal series, endoscopic ultrasound, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, MRI and multidetector computed tomography in combination with multiplanar reformation (16). In the present case, MRI images adequately detected the thick-walled duodenal cystic mass, providing useful information for the planning of the surgical intervention. The use of MRI in the diagnostic work up of DDCs has been rarely reported (17). Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography was not feasible because the large mass was located adjacent to the major papilla.

Differential diagnosis of DDCs include cystic dystrophy of the duodenal wall, type III choledochoceles, pancreatic cysts and any other cystic mass in the pancreaticoduodenal region (10). Discrimination from choledochocele is very difficult and the principal distinguishing features are the histological characteristics (18). Special attention is needed when differentiating DDCs from cystic pancreatic tumours because a few cases of increased intracystic levels of amylase, carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 have been reported (7,19). However, the clinical significance of increased levels of tumour markers within DDCs is unknown (19).

Surgical intervention has traditionally been the treatment of choice for DDCs (11). The two main types of surgical intervention are complete resection of the cyst and partial resection combined with drainage procedures (8). Complete resection is the preferred treatment. In many cases, however, due to the close proximity of the cyst to the major papilla, complete resection may not be safe because there is a possibility of damaging the biliary and pancreatic ducts. Alternatively, partial resection, marsupialization, mucosal stripping, and internal or Roux-en-Y drainage have been reported (1,9).

Endoscopic management of DDCs has been described as a safe alternative to surgical intervention (12-14,20). In a retrospective case series, Antaki et al (13) reviewed eight patients ranging in age from eight to 72 years who were treated endoscopically, and found that all patients remained asymptomatic at a median follow-up of 7.3 years. They suggested that endoscopic treatment is safe and effective, and may be considered the preferred therapeutic approach for DDCs. However, this study was limited by a small number of patients.

Although DDCs are benign clinical entities, a few cases with malignant tumour development have been reported (21-23). This malignant potential necessitates the need for a long-term follow-up in patients who have undergone partial removal of a DDC (8).

CONCLUSION

We describe a very rare case of an adult patient who was diagnosed with a DDC. Although rare, this clinical entity should be kept in mind in the differential diagnosis of recurrent acute pancreatitis in previously healthy adults.


