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Confirming whether a patient has autoimmune liver disease is challenging, given its varied presentation and complex definitions. In the continued absence of pathognomonic serum markers, diagnosis requires evaluation of laboratory investigations and, frequently, a liver biopsy – all of which need to be interpreted in the correct clinical context, with an emphasis on exclusion of viral infections, drug toxicity and metabolic disease. However, clear diagnosis is important for appropriate and timely therapy. Autoantibodies remain important tools for clinicians, and were the first proposed serological markers to aid in differentiating viral from chronic autoimmune hepatitis. Their presence is occasionally considered to be synonymous with autoimmune liver disease – a misinterpretation of their clinical significance. The present article summarizes the serum autoantibodies currently investigated in clinical and research practice, along with a description of their value in adult chronic liver diseases, with an emphasis on their appropriate use in the diagnosis and management of patients with autoimmune liver disease.
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Autoimmune liver disease comprises a number of chronic disorders of uncertain etiology characterized by immunemediated liver injury, frequently in the presence of circulating autoantibodies (Table 1) (1,2). Autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) (3) and primary biliary cirrhosis (PBC) (4), with their predominance in women and their association with other autoimmune diseases, are generally accepted to be autoimmune in origin, whereas there is greater debate regarding the predominantly large duct biliary diseases, primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC) (5) and immunoglobulin (Ig) G4-associated autoimmune pancreatitis/sclerosing cholangitis (6).

Autoantibodies are immunoglobulins that recognize host antigens and may be present from birth without disease association (i.e., natural autoantibodies) or occur later in life in response to antigenic stimulation (7). In disease, autoantibodies are considered pathological, although it remains unclear whether they are primary or secondary consequences of the underlying processes. There are considerably more autoantibodies than autoimmune diseases, and autoantibodies directed against the same broad antigenic target are not necessarily all identical. The mechanisms that lead to autoantibody production can differ between individuals with the same disease. While autoantibodies are often organ specific, autoimmunity is commonly tissue specific; furthermore, the autoantibodies themselves are usually not species specific. Therefore, conserved epitopes across species appear important. The presence of autoantibodies in healthy individuals is common; however, the presence of an autoantibody does not necessarily indicate the presence of an autoimmune disease, or its severity or response to therapy.

HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES AND BASICS OF AUTOANTIBODY TESTING

Persistent liver injury and, in particular, ‘chronic hepatitis’ resulting in cirrhosis, became evident to clinicians in the latter half of the 20th century (8). Such liver disease was postulated to be the result of infection, alcohol intake, toxin exposure or nutritional disease. A variant of chronic hepatitis that affected mostly women and children was described. Appreciation of a potential autoimmune etiology emerged in the 1940s when Waldenström recognized the relevance of hypergammaglobulinemia and Kunkel described chronic liver disease in young, predominantly female patients with hypergammaglobulinemia (alongside extrahepatic symptoms including rash, arthralgias, fever and amenorrhea). Disease onset seemed insidious, with a course marked by episodic fevers and arthralgias, as well as a significant hepatic plasma cell infiltration. Zimmerman described a 36-year-old man with elevated serum gammaglobulins who died of subacute hepatic necrosis. It was believed that the initial liver injury was the result of injurious antiliver autoantibodies.
TABLE 1
Autoantibodies commonly associated with chronic liver disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autoantibody</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Notable association</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antinuclear antibodies</td>
<td>Nuclear membranes and DNA (general)</td>
<td>Type 1 autoimmune hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histones</td>
<td>Nucleosomes</td>
<td>Type 1 autoimmune hepatitis, primary biliary cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies</td>
<td>Neutrophil granules</td>
<td>Type 1 autoimmune hepatitis, primary sclerosing cholangitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsomal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver kidney microsome-1</td>
<td>Mitochondrial enzyme CYP450 2D6</td>
<td>Type 2 autoimmune hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitochondrial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimitochondrial antibodies</td>
<td>ATPase-associated antigens of the inner mitochondrial membrane</td>
<td>Primary biliary cirrhosis, autoimmune hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smooth muscle</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antismooth muscle antibodies</td>
<td>Fibroblast actin, tubulin and intermediate filaments (general)</td>
<td>Type 1 autoimmune hepatitis, primary sclerosing cholangitis, primary biliary cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actin*</td>
<td>F-actin specifically</td>
<td>Type 1 autoimmune hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble liver and pancreas antigen*</td>
<td>Glucuronitransferase repressor transfer RNA-associated protein</td>
<td>Autoimmune hepatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver cytosol-1*</td>
<td>Formiminotransferase cycloaminase</td>
<td>Type 2 autoimmune hepatitis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cannot be measured outside the research setting.

By the mid-1950s, blood tests to support an autoimmune etiology were emerging. The lupus erythematosus (LE) test was first used as a diagnostic test for systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). The LE cell phenomenon involved incubating the peripheral blood of a patient suspected of having SLE with LE cell factor (found in the bone marrow of patients with SLE). During incubation, the LE cell factor reacted with damaged lymphocyte nuclei. Although recognized as useful, it soon became apparent that the test was neither sensitive nor specific for SLE. The factor responsible for the phenomenon is a family of antibodies to various nuclear antigens, broadly termed antinuclear antibodies (ANA), the major component of the LE cell factor being antihistone H1 (9).

It became apparent that patients with active chronic liver disease and hypergammaglobulinemia had LE cells, and it was noted that cortisone produced a dramatic improvement in symptoms. The liver disease was renamed lupoid hepatitis. The term AIH was first suggested in 1965 but was not endorsed until 1993.

Advances in the understanding of PBC, first described in the 1850s as liver disease associated with jaundice, splenomegaly and yellow skin plaques, also benefited from the newly emerging field of immunology. Investigation of jaundice traditionally involved surgical exploration to exclude gallstones and malignancy. The advent of immunofluorescence technology was pivotal to changing this. Its use identified a specific granular cytoplasmic fluorescence pattern on serum-stained sections of thyrotoxic thyroid gland and human gastric fundal mucosa in patients with presumed PBC, whereas no fluorescence was detected in controls with chronic cholestasis. This serological test, later named antimitochondrial antibody (AMA), remains the most sensitive and specific marker for PBC.

Cell preparations, which include the human larynx epithelial cancer cell line (HEp-2) as well as cell preparations from rodent kidney and liver, are used for immunofluorescence-based assays. This reiterates the clinical paradox of disease specificity but serological cross-reactivity across species. Immunofluorescence patterns are subjective and most individuals screen positive if the serum concentration used is high enough. Strength of activity (ie, titre) can help clinicians determine the significance of the observation; however, regardless of titre, a positive test alone does not imply clinical significance (9).

With the identification of the specific antigen reactivity of autoantibodies, a shift toward ELISA-based assays has occurred (10). Results or the antigenic epitopes tested, however, are not necessarily comparable between laboratories or assays.

**OVERVIEW OF COMMON AUTOANTIBODIES**

**ANA**

ANA comprise antibodies with reactivity directed against nuclear membranes and DNA, although the target antigens (eg, centromeres, ribonucleoproteins and cyclin A [1]) are heterogeneous and incompletely defined. Classic AIH is associated with homogenous, speckled and nucleolar patterns, and in AIH, the immunofluorescence pattern of ANA on HEp-2 cells is usually either homogenous (34%) or speckled (38%). The nuclear reactant in AIH is the same as that responsible for ANA reactivity in SLE – the nucleosome (chromatin). Other relevant patterns include anticentromere antibodies, multiple nuclear dot and antinuclear envelope antibodies (11). Perinuclear antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibodies (pANCA) are also antinuclear antibodies that react against the cytoplasmic components of neutrophils.

**Antismooth muscle antibodies**

Antismooth muscle antibodies (ASMA) are believed to be directed against either actin, tubulin or the intermediate filaments of the cell. Immunofluorescence assays using the HEp-2 cell line stain isolated fibroblasts and give the cell a characteristic 'cable' pattern. Antibodies to tubulin and intermediate filaments are commonly encountered in viral infections, including viral hepatitis and other autoimmune and nonautoimmune diseases. Given the lack of specificity, this has led some to suggest abandoning nonspecific ASMA testing in favour of more specific anti-F actin assays (12).
Microsomal antibodies

Antiliver-kidney microsome (LKM) was first described in individuals with AIH. ‘Microsomal’ is a misnomer because microsomes are the in vitro equivalent to particles of the endoplasmic reticulum (13). Different targeted autoantigens produce distinct immunofluorescence patterns that led to the subclassification from LKM to LKM-1 to LKM-4. LKM-1 (associated with type 2 AIH) reacts with the mitochondrial enzyme cytochrome P450 2D6 subtype (CYP2D6), inhibiting its activity in vivo. CYP2D6 metabolizes several known medications, including antihypertensives and benzodiazepines, and is genetically polymorphic. LKM-2 reacts with CYP450 2C9 and has been associated with the hepatitis caused by the medication tienilic acid, which was taken off the United States market in 1982. LKM-3, which has affinity for uridine diphosphate glucuronosyl transferase (14), has been associated with chronic hepatitis D and LKM-4, which recognizes CYP1A2 and CYP2A6 (with an immunofluorescence pattern indistinguishable from LKM-1), and has been described in patients with AIH associated with autoimmune polyendocrinopathy-candidiasis-ectodermal dysplasia. Practically, it is possible to misinterpret LKM-1 staining for AMA staining; thus, recourse to an ELISA-based LKM-1 assay may be required.

AMA

The antigenic target of AMA has been identified as a nonorgan-specific, ATPase-associated antigen that is present on the inner mitochondrial membrane. It consists of several mitochondrial antigen/antibody systems numbered M1 to M9, of which only M2, M4, M8 and M9 are considered specific for PBC. The M2 subtype is most prevalent and is directed against related proteins of the alpha-keto acid dehydrogenase complex. The recognized major epitope is located on the E2 subunit of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex. Additionally, AMA-M2 autoantibodies recognize the E1-alpha and E1-beta subunits of the same complex, and the E2 subunit of several other multienzyme complexes, such as the 2-oxo-glutarate dehydrogenase complex and the branched-chain 2-oxo acid dehydrogenase complex. AMA-negative PBC individuals have intense staining for the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex-E2 of the apical region of bile duct epithelial cells and equivalent T cell specificities, demonstrating that even if the antibody is not detected, the biology is immunologically equivalent.

Although more than 90% of patients with PBC are AMA-positive, AMA may be observed in nonautoimmune liver diseases such as SLE, rheumatoid arthritis and graft-versus-host disease. In addition, AMA (specifically AMA-MIT3, an assay capturing the three most prevalent antigens) can be found transiently in nearly one-half of all individuals with fulminant liver failure (15) and has been reported to develop transiently in a patient with AIH/FSC overlap syndrome (16).

Autoantibodies in healthy individuals

In asymptomatic blood donors, ANA prevalence (of any titre) has been found to vary between 4% and 26%, with nearly 15% being positive at a 1:40 dilution. In addition to healthy controls, up to 10% of pregnant women, 30% of individuals with malignancy and up to 50% of individuals with infectious disease, are ANA positive. Up to 75% of ANA-positive individuals have no identifiable disease and long-term follow-up suggests a benign course in the majority (17,18). ASMA can be present in up to 43% of normal healthy individuals, whereas AMA are estimated to be present in less than 1%.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autoimmune hepatitis</th>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relative prevalence</td>
<td>&gt;80%</td>
<td>20% in Europe, 4% in United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autoantibodies commonly associated</td>
<td>Antinuclear, antismooth muscle</td>
<td>Liver kidney microsome-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient demographic</td>
<td>Approximately 70% women</td>
<td>Female predominance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age of onset</td>
<td>Peak incidence between 16 and 30 years of age, although 50% are older than 30 years of age</td>
<td>Average 10 years of age but seen in adults, specifically in Europe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other commonly associated autoimmune diseases</td>
<td>Prevalence of 17% to 48%. Thyroid disease, synovitis, ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>Prevalence unclear. Diabetes, thyroid disease, vitiligo, pernicious anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation</td>
<td>Acute onset rare</td>
<td>Frequently presents with cirrhosis in children and more aggressively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response to treatment</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>May be more treatment resistant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progression of disease</td>
<td>25% have cirrhosis at diagnosis; 45% develop cirrhosis</td>
<td>Approximately 80% develop cirrhosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLINICAL INTERPRETATION OF AUTOANTIBODY RESULTS

Autoantibody results must always be interpreted carefully because findings, although associated with autoimmune disease, do not generally relate to the severity, response to treatment or natural history.

AIH

Serological subtypes of AIH are commonly described (13) (Table 2) and the established scoring systems (19,20) include ANA, ASMA and LKM autoantibodies as part of the evaluation. Eighty per cent of individuals with AIH have type 1 AIH, and ANA are usually present in titres greater than 1:160, although no specific ANA antigen has been identified. The speckled pattern has reportedly been found in younger patients with higher aminotransferase levels. Type 1 disease is also characterized by ASMA, which may be the only marker of type 1 AIH in children (1). Fifty per cent of individuals with type 1 AIH who are ASMA-positive have specific anti-F-actin antibodies. The use of anti-F-actin antibody is more predictive of AIH, with a specificity and sensitivity of approximately 80%
and 90%, respectively (21); in 26% of individuals, it may be the sole marker of disease. ANA and ASMA levels fluctuate during the course of AIH and may disappear with corticosteroid therapy. Neither their titre at diagnosis nor their fluctuation during the course of illness predicts outcome.

The presence of LKM autoantibodies has been used to define type 2 AIH, which is typically ANA and ASMA negative. Type 2 AIH tends to represent a disease more common in children and more aggressive than type 1 AIH. In Europe, as many as 20% of adults with AIH have type 2 AIH compared with only 4% in the United States. Whether type 2 AIH is a distinct clinical disease from type 1 disease is unclear, although the presence of a definable antigen means that the disease is much better understood biologically (22).

A third phenotype of AIH (type 3 AIH) is occasionally referred to, and is serologically based on the presence of autoantibodies against soluble liver and pancreas antigen (SLA/LP). The two autoantibodies target the same antigen. Initially, individuals who were SLA/LP positive were classified as type 3 AIH, because it was observed first among patients negative for ANA, ASMA and LKM autoantibodies. However, it has since been shown (1) that 74% of patients with so-called type 3 AIH are also ANA and/or ASMA positive, and these individuals are clinically indistinct from those with type 1 disease; conversely, 10% to 30% of patients with type 1 AIH are SLA/LP-positive when tested. Anti-LP is believed to be a better marker of AIH because normal individuals and those with nonhepatic disorders are invariably anti-LP negative. Approximately 33% of individuals who are negative for all other conventional AIH-related autoantibodies remain anti-LP positive.

pANCA has characteristically been associated with ulcerative colitis (UC), but 92% of individuals with AIH, specifically type 1, are also pANCA positive. Interestingly, the titres of pANCA are much higher in AIH than in PSC and the IgG subtypes differ. AIH can also be associated with double-stranded (ds) DNA antibodies, and some suggest that the overlap syndrome AIH/PBC may be characterized by anti-dsDNA positivity.

AMA may be found in up to 20% of AIH individuals. They are usually lower in titre (1:40 or less) and, in some, represent false positives. The presence of AMA should not necessarily be interpreted as an AIH/PBC overlap. One long-term study (23) of patients with AIH who were persistently AMA positive found those individuals to have the same laboratory, histological and clinical features, as well as the same treatment outcome compared with individuals who were AMA negative.

**PBC**

AMA are the hallmark of PBC, and more than 90% of patients are positive for AMA staining by indirect immunofluorescence. Use of recombinant autoantigens and immunoblotting has increased the sensitivity and specificity of AMA testing to more than 95% (24). By adopting more specific testing, AMA have been measured in nearly 20% of individuals originally diagnosed as being AMA negative (25). AMA and, more specifically, AMA-M2, are such specific PBC autoantibodies that their presence is nearly diagnostic for PBC in the context of cholestasis without marked hepatitis (26). Their role in direct disease pathogenesis is less clear, although the AMA-specific T cell specificities of infiltrating lymphocytes in PBC suggest they are important. Histological evidence of PBC has been found in nearly 40% of asymptomatic individuals with normal liver enzymes who are AMA-positive, and long-term follow-up suggests PBC will develop in the majority. Although they may be stable in an individual for years, AMA titres can differ by a factor of more than 200 – with no clinical significance. The different type and number of mitochondrial antigens recognized in a specific patient are not associated with any features of disease, nor with its stage or prognosis. Although AMA titres may fall on treatment, they are not prognostic. Family members are not infrequently AMA positive, consistent with shared genetic and/or environmental factors.

AMA-negative PBC is now accepted to behave clinically in the same way as AMA-positive disease. If using the term, one needs to consider the assays used because true AMA-negative PBC requires exhaustive testing by immunofluorescence, immunoblotting and ELISA.

One-half of patients with PBC are also ANA positive (Table 3) and, unlike the nonspecific ANA in AIH, highly PBC-specific ANA target antigens have been identified. ANA immunofluorescence is described either as a perinuclear/rim-like membranous pattern formed by antiglycoprotein (anti-gp) 210 and lamin B receptor (constituents of the nuclear envelope), a multiple nuclear dot-like pattern formed by anti-Sp100 and promyelocytic leukemia protein (two autoantibodies that colocalize), or a centromere pattern. Immunoblot assays testing for the presence of anti-gp210 and antinucleoparin 62 KDa, a core complex that anchors to the nuclear membrane in the presence of anti-gp210, suggest that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autoantibody</th>
<th>AMA-positive PBC</th>
<th>AMA-negative PBC</th>
<th>PBC diagnosis, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ANA-positive</strong></td>
<td>47–48</td>
<td>68–100</td>
<td>Very low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiple nuclear dot-like</strong></td>
<td>12–24</td>
<td>38–41</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perinuclear/rim-like membranous</strong></td>
<td>6–14</td>
<td>31–50</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speckled</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>41–46</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anticentromere</td>
<td>14–20</td>
<td>14–23</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Sp100</td>
<td>24–31</td>
<td>38–54</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiglycoprotein 210</td>
<td>16–18</td>
<td>15–45</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant differences in the prevalence of ANA, multiple nuclear dot-like and perinuclear/rim-like membranous antibodies between AMA-positive and AMA-negative PBC. Data adapted from references 11 and 25.*
Autoantibodies and liver disease

MISCELLANEOUS SCENARIOS

Hepatitis C

ANA, ASMA and LKM have all been described in hepatitis C (HCV), and while AIH is possible coincidently, their mere presence is not indicative per se. ANA are found in approximately 5% of patients with HCV at titres of more than 1:100 (21). HCV, however, can be distinguished from type 1 AIH by virtue of the fact that classical HCV does not display prominent plasma cell infiltrate on liver biopsy and, typically, HCV is not associated with marked elevations of serum IgG unless in the setting of cirrhosis. ASMA are seen in HCV, although the mean titre is only 1:40 versus 1:320 in type 1 AIH (21). AMA are infrequently described in individuals with HCV.

LKM was recognized as an antibody prevalent in HCV disease. Although found in 50% to 86% of German and Italian patients with HCV, LKM has only been found in 1% of Western European and American patients with HCV infection. There is homology between the CYP450 2D6 and the HCV viral genome, possibly suggesting molecular mimicry. Patients with LKM-1 who are infected with HCV react less frequently to recombinant CYP2D6 than do patients who are HCV negative, and LKM-1 antibody specificities in AIH have been shown to be different to those in HCV.

Celiac disease

Celiac disease has an estimated prevalence of approximately 1% in North America. It can occur coincidently with liver disease, or may itself be a cause of liver test abnormalities and liver dysfunction, with a prevalence of celiac disease in those with cryptogenic cirrhosis estimated to be 4%. However, celiac disease has been reported in approximately 6% of individuals with AIH and in 3% with PSC. The prevalence of PBC in celiac disease is approximately 3%, whereas the prevalence of histological celiac disease in PBC is approximately 6%. The false-positive rate of antitransglutaminase antibody testing has, however, been estimated to be nearly 50% in those with PBC and AIH, and depends on the assay used. Therefore, in testing for celiac disease in patients with autoimmune liver disease, a small bowel biopsy must follow a positive serological result.

Postliver transplant

Autoimmune hepatitis can occur de novo after liver transplant or recur in individuals transplanted because of AIH. Recurrences of AIH, the development of an alloimmune phenomenon or allograft dysfunction mimicking autoimmune hepatitis, are difficult to differentiate based on histological findings. In addition, alloimmune hepatitis does not differ from the recurrence of classic AIH based on serology. In both patients, it can present with elevated IgG, ANA and ASMA levels. Alloimmune hepatitis has, however, been associated with a mismatch between the expression of glutathione S-transferase T1 (GSTT1), a metabolizing enzyme, in the recipient versus the donor (null recipient/positive donor) and is marked by the presence of serum anti-GSTT1 antibodies. PBC may recur in the liver allograft. While AMA titres usually decline post-transplant, they remain detectable by sensitive assays. Graft PBC cannot be diagnosed on the basis of liver enzyme profile and AMA presence because of the wide differential; histological evaluation is essential.

these antibodies may be found in 10% to 47%, and 32% of patients with PBC, respectively. In addition, anti-Sp100 antibodies may be found in 18% to 44% of individuals with PBC. Multiple nuclear dot and perinuclear/rim-like membranous patterns are extremely specific for PBC. They are so specific that these ANA patterns can be used as positive PBC markers in individuals who are AMA negative, with ANA being more commonly found in ANA-negative PBC. Oertelt et al (25) reported 100% of patients to be ANA positive, although others (11) suggest a lower, but still significant proportion.

Anti-gp210 is associated with aggressive disease and progression to liver failure in individuals with PBC. Individuals whose anti-gp210 titres changed from positive to negative during ursodeoxycholic acid therapy were found to show no progression to liver failure (27). As with AMA, anti-gp210 titres have been found to persist after liver transplantation but the clinical significance is unknown. In addition, the anticentromere ANA pattern has been associated with the development of portal hypertension but not hepatic failure (27). Unlike anti-gp210, anticentromere antibodies are detected before the onset of PBC, and titres do not change during the course of the disease.

Sclerosing cholangitis

Sclerosing cholangitis, when evident radiographically by either endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography, is defined as ‘large duct’ disease, whereas ‘small duct’ disease refers to duct fibrosis and duct loss seen only on biopsy. In either case, the definition of the disease. Anti-gp210 is associated with aggressive disease and progression to liver failure in individuals with PBC. Individuals whose anti-gp210 titres changed from positive to negative during ursodeoxycholic acid therapy were found to show no progression to liver failure (27). As with AMA, anti-gp210 titres have been found to persist after liver transplantation but the clinical significance is unknown. In addition, the anticentromere ANA pattern has been associated with the development of portal hypertension but not hepatic failure (27). Unlike anti-gp210, anticentromere antibodies are detected before the onset of PBC, and titres do not change during the course of the disease.

Sclerosing cholangitis
Sclerosing cholangitis, when evident radiographically by either endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography or magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography, is defined as ‘large duct’ disease, whereas ‘small duct’ disease refers to duct fibrosis and duct loss seen only on biopsy. In either case, the definition of ‘primary’ assumes secondary causes, including IgG4-related disease, have been ruled out. Several autoantibodies have been found in individuals with PSC, although none are disease specific, and specificities and prevalence in PSC varies among studies.

The most studied autoantibody in patients with PSC is pANCA, an ANA subtype, as described previously. The general prevalence of ANCA in PSC can be up to 93%, with the pANCA subtype found in up to 94% of individuals. In a review of 19 different studies (28), the mean prevalence of pANCA in PSC was calculated to be 63%. However, pANCA is nonspecific and can be found in nearly equal rates in AIH and PBC, and even in 5% of healthy control patients. Its sensitivity and specificity vary among studies, and is estimated to be as high as 61% and 78%, respectively, at a cut-off of 1:40. Testing is, therefore, not of any immediate clinical utility, although a report suggested it may be relevant to small duct disease.

ANA and ASMA have been detected in PSC, but as with AIH, prevalence varies among studies. ANA were reported in 8% to 77% of patients with PSC, and ASMA in 0% to 83% (28).

The most closely associated disease-specific antibodies for PSC are those directed against biliary cells, suggesting not only an immune-mediated response but also that antigens expressed in the biliary epithelium may induce self-reactivity. Antibodies to human tropomyosin isoform, a protein expressed in both colonic and biliary epithelium (29), has been found in individuals with PSC but without UC, with a prevalence of 100% (eight of eight) versus in 69% (33 of 48) of control UC patients (30). A second antibody, antilibrary epithelial cell, has been documented in PSC and PBC patients, with a prevalence of 63% and 37%, respectively (31).

Celiac disease

Celiac disease has an estimated prevalence of approximately 1% in North America. It can occur coincidently with liver disease, or may itself be a cause of liver test abnormalities and liver dysfunction, with a prevalence of celiac disease in those with cryptogenic cirrhosis estimated to be 4%. However, celiac disease has been reported in approximately 6% of individuals with AIH and in 3% with PSC. The prevalence of PBC in celiac disease is approximately 3%, whereas the prevalence of histological celiac disease in PBC is approximately 6%. The false-positive rate of antitransglutaminase antibody testing has, however, been estimated to be nearly 50% in those with PBC and AIH, and depends on the assay used. Therefore, in testing for celiac disease in patients with autoimmune liver disease, a small bowel biopsy must follow a positive serological result.

Postliver transplant

Autoimmune hepatitis can occur de novo after liver transplant or recur in individuals transplanted because of AIH. Recurrences of AIH, the development of an alloimmune phenomenon or allograft dysfunction mimicking autoimmune hepatitis, are difficult to differentiate based on histological findings. In addition, alloimmune hepatitis does not differ from the recurrence of classic AIH based on serology. In both patients, it can present with elevated IgG, ANA and ASMA levels. Alloimmune hepatitis has, however, been associated with a mismatch between the expression of glutathione S-transferase T1 (GSTT1), a metabolizing enzyme, in the recipient versus the donor (null recipient/positive donor) and is marked by the presence of serum anti-GSTT1 antibodies. PBC may recur in the liver allograft. While AMA titres usually decline post-transplant, they remain detectable by sensitive assays. Graft PBC cannot be diagnosed on the basis of liver enzyme profile and AMA presence because of the wide differential; histological evaluation is essential.
A suggested diagnostic algorithm is presented in Figure 1 (13,32). Patient selection is the most important factor to consider when interpreting a positive autoantibody result, because the positive predictive value of a test is based on the patient population. For example, the positive predictive value of a positive ANA test in an individual with elevated liver transaminase levels is greater than in a healthy individual with normal liver enzymes. ANA can be positive in up to 20% to 30% of individuals, depending on the assay used. However, up to 65% of individuals with type 1 AIH are also ANA positive (35% are solely ANA positive, but 65% are ANA and ASMA positive). Because only one in 100,000 individuals have type 1 AIH, false-positives predominate even if individuals are ANA and/or ASMA positive (99.98% false-positive rate), with a true positive rate of only three in 100,000. Given the low pretest probability of detecting an autoimmune liver disease by autoantibody testing alone, using these investigations in healthy individuals is neither useful nor productive, and even in patients with symptoms/laboratory abnormalities, there are clear difficulties.

When an autoimmune liver disease is suspected based on clinical, biochemical or histological pattern, autoantibody testing of ANA, ASMA, LKM-1 and AMA can clearly help with the diagnosis. Titres can vary throughout the course of the disease and, therefore, a negative test or a low titre should not exclude a diagnosis; repeat testing may be appropriate during the initial workup. In adults, a titre of 1:40 or greater is considered positive for pathology, whereas in children, a cut-off of 1:20 or greater for ANA and ASMA, and 1:10 or greater for LKM-1 are considered positive (10).

In patients with symptoms/laboratory abnormalities, there are clear difficulties.

When an autoimmune liver disease is suspected based on clinical, biochemical or histological pattern, autoantibody testing of ANA, ASMA, LKM-1 and AMA can clearly help with the diagnosis. Titres can vary throughout the course of the disease and, therefore, a negative test or a low titre should not exclude a diagnosis; repeat testing may be appropriate during the initial workup. In adults, a titre of 1:40 or greater is considered positive for pathology, whereas in children, a cut-off of 1:20 or greater for ANA and ASMA, and 1:10 or greater for LKM-1 are considered positive (10).

CONCLUSION
Autoantibody testing has contributed to both biological and clinical insights in managing patients with liver disease, particularly that which is presumed to be autoimmune in nature. To date, testing is imprecise and future serological markers of disease are likely to be based on much more specific antigen-based
assays. Clinicians need to appreciate the value and limitation of testing (33). Developments from proteomic studies, in particular, are anticipated to ultimately provide the more specific markers clinicians are looking for (34).
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