Mycophenolate mofetil for the treatment of autoimmune hepatitis in patients refractory or intolerant to conventional therapy
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BACKGROUND: Autoimmune hepatitis is characterized by hepatocellular inflammation often progressing to cirrhosis. Standard treatment consists of corticosteroids and azathioprine. For the 20% of patients with refractory disease or those who are intolerant to medication, there is no standardized treatment.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) as an alternative therapy for autoimmune hepatitis.

METHODS: The present retrospective study identified all patients with autoimmune hepatitis who were treated with MMF over a 10-year period at the Henry Ford Hospital (Michigan, USA). These patients were evaluated for tolerance and response.

RESULTS: Of the 90 patients participating in the study, 48% had a complete response, 32% experienced relapses and 21% were refractory. MMF was initiated in 21 patients – 12 (57%) for refractory disease and nine (43%) for medication intolerance. Of the 12 patients converted for refractory disease, all showed biochemical improvement but none had a complete response. Of the patients converted due to intolerance, 88% maintained complete remission. For all patients converted to MMF, there was a mean decrease in steroid dose from 18.9 mg/day to 7.8 mg/day (P=0.01).

CONCLUSIONS: In patients with autoimmune hepatitis who were intolerant to conventional therapy, MMF was well tolerated, with 88% of patients maintained in remission. MMF did not induce remission in those refractory to conventional therapy; however, it resulted in a significant decrease in steroid use. Prospective studies are needed to better assess the role of MMF as an alternative therapy.
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Unfortunately, side effects are associated with long-term treatment with steroids and AZA. Eighty per cent of patients undergoing chronic therapy with steroids, defined as more than two years of treatment, develop obesity and hirsutism (1). Serious side effects such as diabetes, hypertension, osteoporosis and vertebral fracture may develop after prolonged treatment with prednisone doses of greater than 10 mg/day (1). Complications from AZA including cytopenias, cholestatic liver failure, nausea and emesis develop in 10% of patients (1,2).

Alternative therapies are warranted for individuals who are refractory or intolerant to standard therapy. Currently, there is no standardized treatment for these individuals. Various immunosuppressive agents have been used with variable success including cyclosporine, tacrolimus, budesonide (2) and mycophenolate mofetil (MMF).

MMF inhibits inosine monophosphate dehydrogenase, which restricts lymphocyte-specific DNA synthesis (1). It has been successfully used following heart, kidney and liver transplant without reported hepatotoxicity (3). A few case reports and limited retrospective studies have advocated the use of MMF for AIH (4). While some studies (5) showed that MMF was effective both in induction and maintaining remission, others (4) showed the opposite result. The aim of our study was to describe our experience with MMF in the treatment of 21 patients who were refractory or intolerant to conventional therapy.

METHODS

Patients
The present retrospective study of all patients with a diagnosis of AIH at the Henry Ford Hospital (Michigan, USA) between 1995 and 2004 was reviewed and approved by the hospital's institutional review board. The diagnosis of AIH was made by one of four academic hepatologists at the Henry Ford Hospital, and was based on a constellation of clinical, serological, biochemical and histological findings. Patients with common viral hepatitides, hereditary hemochromatosis, Wilson’s disease, alpha-1 antitrypsin deficiency, primary biliary cirrhosis, primary sclerosing cholangitis, alcohol and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease were excluded. Liver biopsy results were not available for every patient; the international AIH criteria could not be universally applied.

Conventional treatment consisted of steroid therapy with or without AZA for at least six months. Prednisone was initiated at a dose of 40 mg/day and was tapered by 10 mg every two weeks if possible. AZA was started at 50 mg/day and was increased to 150 mg/day for patients with a suboptimal biochemical response.

Refractory disease was defined as persistent elevation of transaminase levels, defined as two or more times the upper limit of normal, despite adequate treatment with conventional therapy for at least six months. Partial responders were defined as those with transaminase levels of between one and two times the upper limit of normal despite treatment for six months. Relapsing disease was defined as the elevation of transaminase levels to two or more times the upper limit of normal within six months of discontinuation or tapering of treatment. Intolerance was defined as adverse events preventing adequate steroid or AZA dosing to induce or maintain remission.

MMF was used for patients with disease refractory to treatment or who were intolerant. Patients with partial response remained on standard treatment. The starting dose of MMF at the Henry Ford Hospital was 500 mg twice a day. It was increased to 2 g/day as determined by the primary hepatologist. The variables of interest were demographic features and laboratory results including baseline alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) levels, at the end of the conventional therapy period, and at six months and one year after initiating MMF. The primary end points were response to MMF and the ability to wean off prednisone based on biochemical response. Response to MMF was defined as complete remission, refractory disease despite MMF, partial response to MMF, relapse of AIH and drug toxicity.

Tabulation of clinical variables and subsequent analysis was performed using SPSS (SPSS Inc, USA). The Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test was used to compare frequency of treatment response and failure. A paired sample t test was used to compare mean aminotransferase levels and steroid doses, with P<0.05 considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Between 1995 and 2004, 90 patients with AIH were identified at the Henry Ford Hospital. After an average of 42 months of treatment and follow-up, 42 patients (47%) experienced complete clinical and laboratory resolution of their disease, 29 (32%) had relapsing disease and 19 (21%) had a partial or no response to prednisone and/or AZA. Of the 48 patients who did not exhibit a complete response, MMF was initiated in 21, as shown in Table 1.

All patients were undergoing steroid treatment for at least six months, and 20 of 21 were on AZA for at least six months before initiation of MMF (median 15 months). The median dose of AZA before the initiation of MMF was 100 mg/day (range 50 mg/day to 150 mg/day).

The reason for MMF conversion was failure of conventional treatment (group 1) in 57%, and intolerance to steroids or AZA (group 2) in 43%. The main demographic features of each group are summarized in Table 2. There were no significant differences between the demographic and laboratory features of the two groups. Baseline histological features were available for 15 patients, which are shown in Table 3. Twenty percent of patients had bridging fibrosis or cirrhosis at baseline. Sufficient information to calculate AIH score was available for five patients, all of whom had a score greater than 15, meeting the diagnostic criteria for definite AIH (6).

MMF was initiated at a median starting dose of 1 g/day and was adjusted based on laboratory and clinical response. At the end of one year, the median dose of MMF was 1.5 g/day (range 0.5 g/day to 2.0 g/day).

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reason for initiating MMF</th>
<th>Complete (n=42)</th>
<th>Relapse (n=29)</th>
<th>None/partial (n=19)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Refractory to therapy (group 1)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medication intolerance (group 2)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as n
In both groups switched to MMF, the mean aminotransferase levels were lower at the six-month and one-year cross section, although it did not reduce the frequency of relapses in the interim or after one year or more (Figures 1 and 2). For all patients converted to MMF, there was a mean decrease in steroid use from 18.9 mg/day to 7.8 mg/day (P=0.01).

Twelve of 21 patients were converted to MMF because of refractory disease (group 1), eight of whom had a follow-up of greater than six months. The other four patients did not return for follow-up. The mean ALT levels significantly decreased from 256 U/L to 93 U/L at six months (P=0.012), and to 86 U/L at one year (P=0.012). However, none of the patients in this group were considered to have a sustained biochemical resolution of disease secondary to multiple relapses in the interim (Figure 3). Although the mean steroid (prednisone) requirement decreased from a mean of 29 mg/day to 17.5 mg/day, and 13.7 mg/day at the six-month and one-year interval, respectively, none of the patients could be weaned off steroids completely. Of the remaining eight patients in this group, six were still on MMF at the end of one year. One patient developed gastrointestinal side effects and one was considered to be a nonresponder. In the latter, MMF was stopped at six months and ciclosporine was initiated.

Of the nine patients who were converted to MMF for intolerance to conventional treatment (group 2), eight (88%) of whom had a follow-up of greater than six months. The other four patients did not return for follow-up. The mean ALT levels significantly decreased from 256 U/L to 93 U/L at six months (P=0.012), and to 86 U/L at one year (P=0.012). However, none of the patients in this group were considered to have a sustained biochemical resolution of disease secondary to multiple relapses in the interim (Figure 3). Although the mean steroid (prednisone) requirement decreased from 29 mg/day to 17.5 mg/day, and 13.7 mg/day at the six-month and one-year interval, respectively, none of the patients could be weaned off steroids completely. Of the remaining eight patients in this group, six were still on MMF at the end of one year. One patient developed gastrointestinal side effects and one was considered to be a nonresponder. In the latter, MMF was stopped at six months and ciclosporine was initiated.

TABLE 2
Demographic and laboratory features of the two groups of patients treated with mycophenolate mofetil before initiating therapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Failure</th>
<th>Intolerance</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50 (25–80)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46.3 (25–61)</td>
<td>55.7 (35–80)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Gender, % | 81 | 75 | 89 | 0.73 |

| Weight, kg | 79 (47–117) | 82 (47–117) | 76 (61–91) | 0.92 |

| Alkaline phosphatase, U/L (normal <140 U/L) | 232 (82–1522) | 156 (91–260) | 346 (82–1522) | 0.30 |

| Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L (normal <35 U/L) | 801 (64–3967) | 880 (64–3967) | 695 (96–3099) | 0.41 |

| Alanine aminotransferase (normal <40 U/L) | 762 (72–3218) | 746 (72–3218) | 792 (94–1659) | 0.79 |

| Immunoglobulin G, g/L (normal range 7 g/L–16 g/L) | 22.1 | 18.8 | 26.7 | 0.34 |

| White blood cell count, ×10⁹/L | 7.7 (2.2–11.2) | 7.6 (3.6–11.2) | 7.8 (2.2–10.9) | 0.90 |

Data presented as mean (range) unless indicated otherwise.

TABLE 3
Available baseline histological features of 15 patients started on mycophenolate mofetil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interphase hepatitis</td>
<td>4 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphoplasmocytic infiltrate</td>
<td>5 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary changes</td>
<td>1 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interphase hepatitis + lymphoplasmocytic infiltrate</td>
<td>5 (33)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1) Transaminase levels (U/L) and steroid dose (mg/day of prednisone), before treatment and during the course of treatment in patients refractory to standard therapy. ALT Alanine aminotransferase; AST Aspartate aminotransferase; MMF Mycophenolate mofetil; mo Months; yr Year

dISCuSSion

Nearly 20% of patients with AIH will fail or be intolerant to conventional medical therapy. Treatment of these patients is often challenging because no standardized therapy exists.

Many studies have reported the effect of other immunomodulators on the course of AIH for these patients. These drugs include mycophenolate, ciclosporine, cyclophosphamide, budesonide, methotrexate and tacrolimus (7,8). Ciclosporine is the most extensively studied and is the only medication that has been evaluated in an open-label trial, with good success for both steroid-naïve and steroid-refractory patients (9,10).

MMF is an ester prodrug designed to increase the bioavailability of the active metabolite mycophenolic acid (5). It affects the de novo purine synthesis pathway in lymphocytes.
advantage of being able to analyze the difference in MMF treatment for those patients who failed standard treatment versus those who were intolerant to either steroids or AZA.

The results of our study showed a complete response and remission rate of 48% across all AIH patients over a four-year period. Most investigations of AIH report success rates of as high as 70% to 90% over five years (2,7,9,13,16). The reason for the higher relapse rates and resistant disease in our patient population is unclear. We postulate that the greater number of these difficult-to-treat patients was seen because our study was performed in a tertiary care centre. Accordingly, we see more patients referred with challenging disease. Our centre appears to use MMF more liberally, with 25% of our patients receiving this drug. One should consider these facts when interpreting the MMF results.

The majority of patients – regardless of their response to the initial therapeutic regimen – experienced improvement or stable suppression of transaminase activity. However, the treatment of AIH with MMF was not uniformly effective, and clear differences between the two groups were seen.

In patients with AIH who were intolerant to conventional therapy, MMF was well tolerated. Nearly 90% of the patients who were switched to MMF remained in clinical and laboratory remission after one year. Overall, these patients required lower doses of steroids, with only a few patients requiring chronic steroid therapy.

Conversely, MMF was not as effective in patients who were refractory to conventional therapy. MMF was unable to induce remission in this group. This is not surprising because failure to respond is indicative of aggressive liver disease. Nevertheless, MMF facilitated a significant reduction in steroid use for this group as well. Furthermore, these patients still exhibited a marked improvement in biochemical markers in response to MMF; however, due to the small number of patients in this group, the changes were not statistically significant. We suggest that MMF be used as a salvage therapy for these patients.

Overall, both groups tolerated MMF well. We did not observe any significant side effects or opportunistic infections with MMF. However, our follow-up was limited to one year. One patient developed significant gastrointestinal symptoms requiring discontinuation of the medication.

While recommending MMF for salvage therapy in patients with refractory disease appears to be reasonable in spite of...
other studies, advocating MMF as a first-line therapy should be done with caution. A greater than 10-fold cost difference exists between conventional and novel therapy regimens (17). This should be considered in future studies as a balancing measure.

A limitation of the present study was the participation of four hepatologists who functioned without a standardized protocol for the patients who failed conventional therapy with steroids and AZA. Differing doses of MMF were used as well as different tapering schedules for steroids.

We must also acknowledge that we defined response solely according to biochemical and clinical response. As mentioned earlier, not every patient underwent a liver biopsy to help confirm the diagnosis of AIH. Because histological improvement lags behind clinical and laboratory improvement by three to eight months (18), the lack of longer follow-up with repeat biopsy is one of the shortcomings of the present study.

SUMMARY

We believe that MMF should strongly be considered as an alternative therapy for patients with an initial good response to conventional therapy who develop side effects from AZA, and also as a salvage measure in patients refractory to conventional therapy with the intent of lowering steroid requirements. Larger, prospective studies are needed to better assess the role of MMF as a first- and second-line agent for the treatment of AIH.
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