Treatment of gastric epithelial tumours by endoscopic submucosal dissection using an insulated-tip diathermic knife
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**BACKGROUND:** Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) is a promising technique for the treatment of large, pre- and early malignant gastrointestinal lesions.

**OBJECTIVE:** To assess the rates of en bloc resection, incidence of complications, procedure times and therapeutic outcomes of ESD using an insulated-tip diathermic knife; and to investigate predictors of these outcomes based on the final pathological features of biopsy specimens.

**METHODS:** One hundred twenty patients with endoscopically suspected gastric epithelial tumours who were treated with ESD from January 2006 to December 2009 were evaluated.

**RESULTS:** The mean diameter of the gastric epithelial tumours in the present cohort was 1.88 cm. The mean diameter of the resected specimens was 3.33 cm. The en bloc resection rate was 90% (108 of 120). The median length of the operation was 64.6 min. The bleeding and perforation complication rates were 5.0% (six of 120) and 2.5% (three of 120), respectively. Of 10 gastric tumours initially diagnosed as adenocarcinoma on biopsy, four were found to be low-grade dysplasia and six were found to be high-grade dysplasia by final pathological examination. A total of 112 (93.33%) patients underwent curative treatment, eight patients (6.67%) underwent noncurative treatment, and two patients (1.67%) experienced local recurrence and subsequently underwent surgery.

**CONCLUSIONS:** ESD is a promising local curative treatment option for gastric epithelial tumours, but still carries the risks of bleeding and/or perforation. Differences in the interpretation of histological results among different pathologists and/or between biopsy specimens before ESD and the en-bloc tissue specimens after ESD will result in discrepancies.
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Endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) of early gastric cancer (EGC) is effective for the treatment of mucosal malignancies; however, one-piece (ie, ‘en bloc’) resection is often not achieved using conventional EMR (1). It remains difficult to remove a gastroesophageal lesion with ulceration and/or submucosal fibrosis that is greater than 2 cm in diameter. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) techniques using a variety of knives, such as the insulated-tip diathermic (IT) knife, hook knife or flex knife, have been developed in Japan (2,3). An algorithm for ESD of gastric neoplasms is presented in Figure 1. The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the effectiveness of IT knife ESD for early gastric cancer.

**METHODS**

**Patients**

The present study examined 120 patients who underwent ESD for endoscopically suspected gastric epithelial tumours between January 2006 and December 2009. The indications for ESD generally followed the guidelines proposed by Hirasaki et al (4). The diagnosis of EGC was based on histological confirmation of cancer invasion limited to the mucosal and submucosal layers. All 120 patients underwent endoscopic ultrasonography for staging workup. All of the ESD procedures were performed at the Department of Gastroenterology of the First People’s Hospital of Nantong (Jiangsu, People’s Republic of China), and informed written consent was obtained from all patients.

**RESULTS**

- A total of 112 (93.33%) patients underwent curative treatment, eight patients (6.67%) underwent noncurative treatment, and two patients (1.67%) experienced local recurrence and subsequently underwent surgery.
- The mean diameter of the gastric epithelial tumours in the present cohort was 1.88 cm. The mean diameter of the resected specimens was 3.33 cm. The en bloc resection rate was 90% (108 of 120).
- The median length of the operation was 64.6 min. The bleeding and perforation complication rates were 5.0% (six of 120) and 2.5% (three of 120), respectively.
- Of 10 gastric tumours initially diagnosed as adenocarcinoma on biopsy, four were found to be low-grade dysplasia and six were found to be high-grade dysplasia by final pathological examination.

**CONCLUSIONS**

ESD is a promising local curative treatment option for gastric epithelial tumours, but still carries the risks of bleeding and/or perforation. Differences in the interpretation of histological results among different pathologists and/or between biopsy specimens before ESD and the en-bloc tissue specimens after ESD will result in discrepancies.
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The present study examined 120 patients who underwent ESD for endoscopically suspected gastric epithelial tumours between January 2006 and December 2009. The indications for ESD generally followed the guidelines proposed by Hirasaki et al (4). The diagnosis of EGC was based on histological confirmation of cancer invasion limited to the mucosal and submucosal layers. All 120 patients underwent endoscopic ultrasonography for staging workup. All of the ESD procedures were performed at the Department of Gastroenterology of the First People’s Hospital of Nantong (Jiangsu, People’s Republic of China), and informed written consent was obtained from all patients.
The instruments used for ESD included a single-channel endoscope (GIF-Q260, Olympus, Japan) and accessories, an IT knife (KD 610L) and needle knife (KD-1L-1) (Olympus, Japan), and an ICC-200 electrosurgical unit (ERBE, Germany). A hood (D-201-11802, Olympus, Japan) was attached to the tip of the endoscope for the ESD procedure.

**Procedure**

The ESD procedure was performed similarly in all 120 patients. A representative patient with EGC resected using ESD is described herein. Before ESD, chromoendoscopy was performed using 0.2% indigo carmine to demarcate the margin of the EGC. Several areas were marked at 5 mm to 10 mm outside the margin of the EGC to ensure a cancer-free margin. Using a 23-gauge disposable injector, 1 mL to 4 mL of normal saline with 0.0025% adrenaline was subsequently injected into the submucosa to lift the lesion. Initially, a circumferential incision was made, followed by submucosal dissection with an IT knife (Figures 2 to 6). Following ESD, a standard-dose proton pump inhibitor was administered intravenously to all patients for six days.

Tumour location was classified as being in the upper, middle or lower one-third of the stomach (5). One-piece resection was defined as ‘en bloc’ resection. Resection was considered to have a tumour-free margin when the vertical and horizontal margins were free of tumour cells.

**Complications of ESD**

Complications including massive bleeding and perforation were recorded following ESD. Massive bleeding was defined as blood loss of greater than 500 mL or hemoglobin loss of greater than 20 g/L. Perforation was diagnosed when other organs, extraluminal fat or the extraluminal space were observed endoscopically through the muscle layer during ESD, irrespective of the presence of air accumulation in the abdomen, retroperitoneum or mediastinum.

**Residual and recurrent tumours following ESD**

Endoscopic re-examination was performed every two to three months during the first year following ESD, every six months during the second year and annually thereafter. In each endoscopic follow-up...
session, endoscopic biopsies were routinely taken from the surrounding areas of previous ESD and/or any suspicious lesions nearby for subsequent histological examination to identify any residual or recurrent cancer.

RESULTS
A total of 120 patients underwent ESD for gastric epithelial tumours; their demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1. There were 72 men and 48 women with a mean (± SD) age of 51.5±14.6 years (range 26 to 75 years). The majority of the tumours were located in the middle (n=24) and lower (n=90) one-third of the stomach. The majority of the tumours were classified as flat, elevated, superficial cancer type IIa (n=54) and IIa+IIc (n=45) according to endoscopic morphological classification. The mean diameter of the tumours was 1.88±0.85 cm, while the mean diameter of the resected specimens was 3.33±1.18 cm. The depth of the EGC was evaluated after pathological assessment: 108 tumours were limited to the mucosal layer, eight to the submucosal superficial layer (less than 500 μm from the muscle layer) and four to the deep submucosal layer. According to the final pathological classification, the majority of EGCs resected were high-grade dysplasia (n=48) and well-differentiated adenocarcinoma (n=35). However, there were 27 tumours with low-grade dysplasia, six with moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma and four with poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma resected by ESD. Of the 10 gastric tumours initially diagnosed as adenocarcinoma on biopsy, four were found to be low-grade dysplasia and six were found to be high-grade dysplasia after resection and final pathological examination. Two gastric tumours initially diagnosed as high-grade dysplasia on biopsy were found to be well-differentiated adenocarcinomas.

The en bloc resection rate with tumour-free margins was 90% (108 of 120). There were 12 patients in whom en bloc resection failed, three patients experienced perforation, four patients with the tumour located at the posterior wall of the gastric antrum, three patients with the tumour located at the posterior wall of the middle gastric body and two patients with the tumour located near the cardia. The median operation time was 64.6 min (range 30 to 120 min). The median length of hospital stay was 10.5 days (range seven to 28 days).

The complications and local recurrence following ESD are summarized in Table 2. Six patients (5.0%) experienced massive bleeding during ESD, and none (0%) required a blood transfusion. The amount of blood loss from any patient did not exceed 700 mL, and the mean hemoglobin loss among patients was approximately 20 g/L. Three patients (2.5%) experienced complications of perforation during ESD and were subsequently referred for emergency surgery. Among these, one patient with an upper gastric tumour and two with lower gastric tumours experienced perforation after ESD.
TABLE 1
Demographic characteristics of 120 patients with gastric epithelial tumours who underwent endoscopic submucosal dissection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, years (mean ± SD [range])</th>
<th>51.5±14.6 (26–75)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex, n (male/female)</td>
<td>72/48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour location (upper third/middle third/lower third), n</td>
<td>6/24/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour gross appearance (I/IIa/IIb/IIa + IIc), n</td>
<td>10/54/47/45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour size, cm (mean ± SD [range])</td>
<td>1.88±0.85 (0.8–3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resected specimen size, cm (mean ± SD [range])</td>
<td>3.33±1.18 (1.3–6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour depth (muco/submucosa), μm</td>
<td>108/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour pathology, n</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-grade dysplasia</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-grade dysplasia</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effectively to resect small superficial gastric lesions with a diameter of less than 2.0 cm. We confirmed that ESD can be used en bloc resection of gastric tumours is important. It was associated with lower local recurrence rates than piecemeal resection for gastric cancer. The en bloc resection rate in the present study was 90%, which was slightly lower than the rate reported by Chang et al (12) (91.4%). A possible reason for the lower en bloc resection rate was our inexperience in dealing with gastric tumours in difficult locations.

Of the 10 gastric tumours initially diagnosed as early gastric cancer on biopsy, four were found to be low-grade dysplasia and six were found to be high-grade dysplasia after resection and final pathological examination. Two gastric tumours, initially diagnosed as high-grade dysplasia on biopsy, were found to be early gastric cancer after resection and final pathological examination. This could be explained by discrepancies in the definition of different degrees of dysplasia and carcinoma among various pathologists. Second opinions from other pathologists and unified pathological criteria to define dysplasia and carcinoma should, therefore, be considered and consensus reached before performing ESD. The indications for ESD should be re-emphasized and strictly adhered to by endoscopists and pathologists.

The rates of perforation (2.5%) and bleeding (5.0%) in the present study were lower than those reported in the study by Gotoda (13) (4% and 7.0%, respectively). This may have been due to the smaller sample size in our study. Whether intravenous proton pump inhibitors for the initial six days following ESD are beneficial in reducing the bleeding rate needs to be confirmed in a larger scale randomized study. Apart from IT-ESD, there are other ESD techniques that have been described, including the hook knife (14), flex knife (15) and the knife in a small cap technique (16).

There were several limitations in the present study. First, the sample size was too small to draw firm conclusions. Second, ESD techniques require great skill – Gotoda et al (17) advised that a trainee requires at least 30 cases to gain early proficiency in this technique. Inexperienced endoscopists may be associated with a higher risk of procedure-related complications such as bleeding and perforation, as well as a higher risk of incomplete resection. Moreover, differences in the interpretation of histological results among different pathologists and/or between biopsy specimens before ESD and the en bloc tissue specimens after ESD will result in discrepancies.

CONCLUSION

ESD is a promising local curative treatment option for gastric epithelial tumours; however, it still carries the risks of perforation and bleeding. The education and training of endoscopists will improve the outcome of this procedure.
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