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BACKGROUND: The increasing incidence of obesity and overweight among children and adolescents will be reflected by the imminent increase in the number of obese patients who require more definitive methods of treatment. There is great interest in new, safe, simple, nonsurgical procedures for weight loss.

OBJECTIVE: To provide an overview of new endoscopic methods for the treatment of obesity.

METHODS: An English-language literature search on endoscopic interventions, endoscopically placed devices and patient safety was performed in the MEDLINE and Cochrane Library databases.

RESULTS: The literature search yielded the following weight loss methods: space-occupying devices (widely used), gastric capacity reduction, modifying gastric motor function and malabsorptive procedures. A commercially available intragastric balloon was the most commonly used device for weight loss. In specific subgroups of patients, it improved quality of life, decreased comorbidities and served as a bridge to surgery. More evidence regarding the potential benefits and safety of other commercially available intragastric balloons is needed to clarify whether they are superior to the most commonly used one. Moreover, early experiences with transoral gastroplasty, the duodenal-jejunal bypass sleeve and an adjustable, totally implantable intragastric prosthesis, indicate that they may be viable options for obesity treatment. Other agents, such as botulinum toxin and a device known as the ‘butterfly’, are currently at the experimental stage.

CONCLUSION: New endoscopic methods for weight loss may be valuable in the treatment of obesity; however, more clinical experience and technical improvements are necessary before implementing their widespread use.
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Obesity is a multifactorial, chronic disease associated with a pathological increase in the level of adiposity, which leads to functional impairment and increases in morbidity and mortality rates (1). Increases in the prevalence of overweight and obesity both among adults and children have been observed in many countries around the world. During the past three decades in the United States (US), overweight and obesity have reached epidemic proportions, and has become a major public health problem. Between 1980 and 2002, the prevalence of obesity in adults (older than 20 years of age) doubled, whereas the prevalence of overweight in children and adolescents (six to 19 years of age) tripled. Of special concern is the increasing incidence of obesity and overweight among children and adolescents (2). Using measured heights and weights, results from the 2005/2006 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) (3) indicated that an estimated 32.7% of US adults 20 years of age or older were overweight, 34.3% were obese and 5.9% were extremely obese. In 1998, the WHO recognized obesity as a chronic disease with serious health effects and associated with the development of hypertension, ischemic disease, brain stroke, metabolic disorders (eg, type 2 diabetes and hyperlipidemia), obstructive sleep apnea, artherosclerosis, polycystic ovary syndromes and certain forms of cancer including esophageal and colon adenocarcinoma (4). Globally, up to 2.5 million people die every year from obesity and its complications. Observations of the epidemiology of obesity and its complications suggest that in Poland, the problem of obesity will soon reach epidemic proportions. Therefore, the treatment of obesity, which remains a difficult clinical problem, is very important. Initial weight loss is easily achieved, however, the maintenance of healthy weight is achieved in only 20% of patients and, only for a few years. Moreover, most obese individuals want to achieve the desired effect of losing weight as soon as possible.

The management and treatment of obesity is complex. A large number of specialists is needed to support health care in obese patients, especially endocrinologists, dieticians, gastroenterologists, surgeons, psychologists and psychiatrists. The accepted treatment methods of obesity include the following: diet modification, physical exercise, changing lifestyle and eating habits, pharmacological treatment, surgery and endoscopic treatment. The most physiological method of treatment is diet modification; however, the beneficial effect is difficult to obtain. Behavioural therapy, which includes changing lifestyle and eating habits, plays a role in supporting long-term results in all obese patients, independent of the treatment method used. Pharmacological treatment options (eg, sibutramine...
and orlistat) are limited and, unfortunately, are associated with complications and contraindications. The National Institutes of Health has recommended weight loss surgery as an appropriate alternative in carefully selected individuals with severe obesity (body mass index [BMI] of 40 kg/m² or greater, or a BMI of 35 kg/m² or greater with serious comorbid conditions) when diet, behavioural and pharmacotherapy interventions fail (5-7). The number of weight loss surgeries performed in the US markedly increased from 13,365 in 1998, to 102,794 in 2003 (8). Early bariatric surgical techniques (eg, jejunoileal bypass) are no longer used given the serious complications such as vitamin deficiencies, steatohepatitis and liver cirrhosis (9).

Within the past few years, various surgical procedures, including malabsorptive restrictive procedures or a combination of both, have been developed. The most commonly used bariatric surgery is laparoscopic or open Roux-en-Y gastrojejunal bypass (RYGB) and laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. Other surgical techniques include vertical banded gastroplasty (Mason's operation), sleeve gastrectomy alone or with duodenal switch and biliopancreatic diversion (7,8,10). Surgical treatment requires general anesthesia, which is a high-risk procedure in patients with a high-degree of obesity. Moreover, it should be kept in mind that surgery alters anatomy, which may lead to complications such as ulcers, fistulas, strictures, dumping syndrome, bleeding, anemia and diarrhea, among others (11).

Currently, research is focused on the development of alternative methods of obesity treatment that are not associated with high operative risk; therefore, the endoscopic treatment of obesity is of great interest. Endoscopy has an unquestionable role in the preoperative evaluation of patients undergoing bariatric surgery, and also in the assessment and treatment of its complications (11). In the future, the role of gastroenterologists in the treatment of obesity will increase due to the various endoscopic techniques that are currently being developed. To better understand the potential role for endoscopy in the treatment of obesity, one must understand that the mechanisms inducing gastric satiety are complex, and are related to gastric motor, endocrine and paracrine functions. It is known that several factors induce satiety such as gastric distention and accommodation, as well as hormones (cholecystokinin, bombesin, somatostatin, glucagon-like peptide-1 and ghrelin). Appetite control also involves other factors such as glycemia and hormones, with leptin, insulin, enterostatin and peptide YY also involved. Some hormones, such as cholecystokinin, peptide YY and ghrelin, also influence gastrointestinal motility and, through modification of gastric emptying, can cause early satiety and reduction of body weight in operated patients. The phenomenon of ‘gastric accommodation’ – the relaxation of the gastric wall in response to food intake – has been known for almost 100 years (12). Gastric accommodation consists of reversible relaxations induced by bolus deglutition and an adaptive relaxation to the increase of intragastric pressure due to food accumulation. The impairment of gastric accommodation appears to have implications in inducing satiety and the sensation of fullness (13,14). It has been shown that obese patients have increased gastric accommodation, which positively correlates with the volume needed to suppress food intake (15). Concentric antrum contractions move gastric contents into the duodenum. In the postprandial period, the early passage of solid food to the duodenum is prevented by contractions of the pylorus (16). The speed with which the stomach empties depends on the nature of the food, its osmolarity and chemical composition.

The present review discusses currently available endoscopic devices for the treatment of obesity.

**METHODS OF BARIATRIC ENDOTHERAPY**

Among endoscopic modalities in the treatment of obesity, the following methods are discussed: space-occupying devices, gastric capacity reduction methods, modifying gastric motor function methods and malabsorptive procedures (Table 1). In fact, among these methods, only space-occupying devices with intragastric balloons are widely used. Studies on humans or animals evaluating the use of the remaining methods are currently ongoing.

**Intragastric balloon**

The idea of using an endoscopically placed intragastric balloon for the treatment of obesity originated from the observation of psychiatric patients with gastric bezoars. This method was described for the first time in 1982 (17). Initially, balloons were made from gum and latex; however, because of their low resistance to damage from gastric acid, they deflated very quickly. In 1985, the US Food and Drug Administration approved a polyurethane air-filled balloon – the Garren-Edwards gastric bubble (American-Edwards Laboratories, USA) for the treatment of obesity as an adjunct to diet, behavioural therapy and exercise (18). The balloon was widely used in the US until 1988, when, despite the lack of controlled studies, more than 25,000 balloons were inserted (19). In the ensuing years, several studies were published, which concluded that treatment with this particular balloon was not superior to diet and behavioural therapy (20-22). Moreover, a high number of serious complications, such as gastric mucosal erosions (26%), gastric ulcer (14%) and small bowel obstruction (2%), were reported (23-25). Because of the significant adverse effects and the lack of supportive efficacy data, the Garren-Edwards gastric bubble was withdrawn from use. In the following years, other balloons (eg, the Taylor balloon and the Ballobes balloon) have also been evaluated in the treatment of obesity, but showed no additional benefit (26-28).

**BioEnterics Intragastric Balloon**

In 1987, an expert group defined the features of an ‘ideal’ intragastric balloon for the treatment of obesity, and constructed the BioEnterics Intragastric Balloon (BIB; BioEnterics Corporation, USA) (29,30). The BIB is the most commonly used balloon for weight loss, and is made of a silicone elastomer and forms a sphere when inflated. The balloon has a self-sealing radiopaque valve that is connected to a catheter (Figure 1A). In the stomach, it can be maintained for six months (31). The balloon is filled with 400 mL to 700 mL of saline (usually 500 mL to 600 mL). In one nonrandomized, retrospective study (32), it was suggested that a filling volume of 600 mL could provide greater weight loss at the time of BIB removal to that obtained with a filling volume of 500 mL (12.9 kg versus 8.6 kg, respectively; P<0.05).
Candidates for BIB placement should be aware that it is a temporary method to aid and promote weight loss. Indications for BIB therapy vary among studies and are based on criteria of BMI and the presence or absence of comorbidities. According to previous experience, the indications for BIB therapy are as follows: the primary indication is a BMI of 40 kg/m² or greater, with gastric balloon insertion serving as a pretreatment to bariatric surgery with the aim of reducing anesthesia risk and surgical complications; another indication is a BMI of between 23.0 kg/m² and 29.9 kg/m² in association with severe comorbidities (e.g., insulin-dependent diabetes) that will likely improve with weight loss; gastric balloon treatment is also indicated in patients with a BMI of between 30 kg/m² and 34.9 kg/m² with comorbidities, or a BMI of between 35.0 kg/m² and 39.9 kg/m² without comorbidities (33); other indications for BIB are contraindications to bariatric surgery and lack of consent for surgical treatment. The ‘BIB test’ has been proposed as helpful to predict whether a gastric restrictive surgical procedure would be successful in particular patients (34). In a prospective study (35), this test was used to evaluate 40 patients who underwent BIB treatment followed by laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding. The final weight loss was higher in patients who underwent successful BIB therapy (defined as more than a 10% loss in baseline weight) than in patients with unsuccessful BIB therapy at 18 months (12.4 kg versus 9.0 kg, respectively [P=0.03]). In another study (36), bariatric surgery was performed most frequently in a group of patients who had successfully lost weight with the BIB. Finally, the insertion of an intragastric balloon may be predictive for testing the suitability of binge eaters for bariatric surgery (37). Consequently, restrictive bariatric procedures should be considered for patients in whom BIB therapy leads to weight loss. By contrast, malabsorption procedures are offered to patients in whom BIB therapy does not result in weight loss. Absolute contraindications to the placement of BIB are the following: previous surgical surgery; hiatal hernia of 5 cm or greater; coagulation disorders; potentially bleeding lesion of the upper gastrointestinal tract; pregnancy, breastfeeding; severe liver disease; alcohol or drug addiction; and any contraindication to endoscopy. Relative contraindications include the following: previous abdominal surgery, hiatal hernia, esophagitis, Crohn's disease, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use and psychiatric disorders (33).

Once the indications for insertion of the gastric balloon are determined and none of the above contraindications are present, the insertion procedure can be performed under conscious sedation. Before insertion, the balloon is combined with a cylinder and is lubricated with xylcaine gel to facilitate passage through the upper esophageal sphincter. After balloon insertion into the stomach, it is positioned in the fundus under endoscopic control. The chuck is then removed from the catheter, and the balloon is filled with 500 mL to 700 mL of a physiological solution of saline mixed with 10 mL of methylene blue dye. In case of balloon deflation, the dye is absorbed and excreted by the kidneys causing green colouration of urine. The position of the balloon must then be verified. It is important to remember that propofol, which is used for sedation, can also cause green colouration of urine. After filling the balloon with saline, it is released by a short pull on the catheter. A valve prevents saline from flowing back. The BIB should be removed after a maximum of six months because, beyond this period, the risk of spontaneous balloon deflation significantly increases (33). The procedure for balloon removal is also performed under sedation. The balloon is punctured with a needle, it is then emptied of saline through the catheter and removed using forceps. Compared with previous types of balloons, the complications of BIB insertion occur less often (33,38-41). The most common complications of BIB therapy are nausea and vomiting, which can persist for more than three weeks in 18% of patients. A randomized study (42), showed that tropisetron can be effective in reducing the incidence of vomiting in the first 24 h following BIB insertion. Adverse events that persist for more than one week can be a rationale for balloon removal (38). Early endoscopic balloon removal (mainly because of balloon intolerance) occurs in 2.43% to 4.2% of cases (33,41). The few deaths reported in the literature were due to gastric perforation in patients with a previous Nissen fundoplication and bronchoaspiration following BIB insertion (33). Other complications of BIB therapy include gastric ulcers and erosions, esophagitis, gastroesophageal reflux, abdominal pain, spontaneous balloon deflation, small bowel obstruction, gastric dilation and hypokalemia. Based on previous experience, BIB has been shown to be an effective method of achieving short-term weight loss in approximately two-thirds of patients (33,40). The mean weight loss was 17.8 kg, whereas higher absolute values were observed in higher BMI categories. BIB therapy improves comorbidities associated with obesity (e.g., hypertension), reduces the number and the dose of hypotensive drugs, improves glycemic control and quality of life. The best candidates for BIB therapy are patients with a BMI of between 30 kg/m² and 39.9 kg/m², those who have failed to lose weight with other methods and in superobese patients in preparing for bariatric procedures (33,43,44). Although the BIB has been used for more than 10 years, data regarding the success of weight maintenance for more than two years and the predictive factors for short- and long-term results success are still lacking (33). According to previous reports (45) and our experience, we believe that intragastric balloon deployment is a simple, effective, well tolerated and safe method to treat obesity.

The Heliosphere Bag

Adverse events, such nausea or vomiting, which may occur after BIB insertion and related to the weight of the balloon rather than to its size, were mitigated by the development of a new air-inflated balloon (intragastric air-filled balloon [Heliosphere Bag, Helioscopic Medical Implants, France] (Figure 1B) introduced into clinical practice in 2004. It weighs 30 g (in contrast to the BIB's weight of 500 g to 700 g). Studies performed to date showed that the BAG balloon is safe and well tolerated. Its effect on weight loss appeared to be equivalent to other balloons. Twelve months after balloon removal, 30% of the patients maintained a weight loss of greater than 10% (46). However, some technical difficulties occurred during balloon removal, thus necessitating modification of the device's material (47).

Semistationary antral balloon, slimlined gastric balloon

The semistationary antral balloon (SAB [JP Indústria Farmacéutica SA, Brazil]) is also lighter than the BIB. The SAB is a pear-shaped silicone balloon that is filled with 150 mL to 180 mL of saline containing methylene blue. The conical end of the balloon enables it to dwell in the antrum. Additionally, at its caudal end, is a 30 cm duodenal stem with a 7 g metallic counterweight. The downstream peristaltic tractions on the counterweight facilitates anchorage of the balloon in the antrum. The balloon causes intermittent occlusion of the pylorus, prolongs gastric emptying and stimulates antral and duodenal satiety receptors. A pilot study of 26 patients (48) showed that a balloon implanted for a median period of four months caused a median weight reduction of 6.5 kg and was well tolerated due its small size. Four cases of balloon malfunction were observed (in one patient, the balloon leaked but remained in the stomach; in three patients, the balloon migrated distally). Four patients experienced nausea and mild gastric fullness during the first week. According to the authors of the study (48), complications resulted from balloon rupture, which could easily be prevented by improvements in design and the use of alternative materials. In another study (49), a new slimlined gastric balloon (SGB) with a modified technique of insertion and removal, was used to improve safety and to fasten the procedure. The balloon is delicately rolled up inside a thin silicone sheath and is inserted endoscopically in the stomach. It is subsequently filled with saline solution using a tube with a polytetrafluoroethylene needle, which is connected to a self-sealing valve attached to the device shell. For removal, it is pulled out, held in an obturator and withdrawn as an entire system. Both procedures – placement and removal of the balloon – were simple and fast (mean time 9 min), and were performed under sedation typically used for diagnostic endoscopy. Patients left the outpatient clinic less
Figure 2) Gastric restrictive methods. A Transoral gastroplasty system. B The Stomaphyx device (EndoGastric Solution, Inc, USA)

than 1 h after procedure completion. Procedure-related complications were not observed; however, early removal was required in 21% of cases. Preliminary data suggest that SGB is a safe and effective alternative method of obesity treatment in patients with the appropriate indications (49).

Additional controlled studies evaluating the efficacy and safety of SAB, BAG and SGB deployment are needed before further use.

Bezoars Currently, a device called the ‘Butterfly’ is being evaluated in experimental studies. It is a small, space-occupying device that consists of a double ribbon of polyester 15 m long and folded into loops. It is placed by pushing the loops into the stomach using a plastic tube and subsequently closed with a knot. The device is removed by cutting the deployment thread. In experimental animal studies (50), gastric ulcers were observed in 20% of subjects, and migration of bezoars was seen in almost one-third. The use of artificial bezoars is imminent and, ideally, should be easy to insert and remove, should not migrate and cause mucosal gastric damage, and should be safe and effective.

GASTRIC RESTRICTIVE METHODS

Transoral gastroplasty Gastric restrictive procedures consist of gastroplasty through suturing, which capacitate gastric plication and partition. Manufacturers are competing with one another to design new transoral gastroplasty (TOGa) devices. One TOGa system (Satiety Inc, USA) is a specifically designed device that enables the creation of a stapled, restrictive pouch along the lesser curvature of the stomach (Figure 2A). Two pilot studies assessing the TOGa system in the treatment of obesity in humans have been published (51,52). In both studies, this treatment method was effective with respect to weight loss, quality of life improvement and safety. Among the most commonly reported adverse events were the following: transient epigastric pain, nausea, vomiting, dysphagia, throat pain, esophagitis and superficial phlebitis. Most of these symptoms resolved spontaneously or with pharmacological treatment. Other serious complications were observed. The procedure is performed under general anesthesia with endotracheal intubation, with average procedure times exceeding 1 h. After the procedure, patients were hospitalized overnight for observation and were instructed to consume a liquid diet exclusively within the first two weeks of the procedure. Before discharge, patients underwent x-ray with gastrografin and barium swallow to visualize the new stomach anatomy and to verify the absence of any gastric leaks. At six months, endoscopy demonstrated a fully or partially persistent gastroplasty effect in all patients. Additionally, one study (51) reported improvement of the comorbidities associated with obesity. Hemoglobin A1c levels decreased in six of seven diabetic patients. Reductions in hypertension and improvement of lipid profile were also noted (51,52). Although this method appears to be an effective and safe treatment for obesity, additional multicentre studies are necessary before its widespread use is implemented.

Stomaphyx Stomaphyx (EndoGastric Solution Inc, USA) is another incision-less transoral fastening device used for tissue plications. This device enables suctioning of stomach tissue, fastening tissue folds and suturing (Figure 2B). Usually, multiple folding performed using this device results in a reduction in the size of the stomach pouch and slows the emptying of stomach contents into the small intestine, which results in earlier satiety. In 2007, the US Food and Drug Administration approved the Stomaphyx procedure in patients after bariatric surgery who never achieved adequate weight loss or regained weight after the initial weight loss. Furthermore, the Stomaphyx procedure has been successfully used for pouch and anastomosis volume reduction, and for management of gastric leaks that developed after revisions of RYGB in two patients. In these cases, the procedure lasted approximately 30 min and was performed without complications. Previous experience demonstrated that this method is safe and atraumatic (53-55). Additional studies are assessing the utility of Stomaphyx as a primary method of obesity treatment.

Newer, more advanced devices for transoral gastroplasty will undoubtedly be developed. These devices should be very flexible and should enable full-thickness plication. The procedure should be performed in a small area in a short time, and under usual sedation.

Botulinum toxin Great expectations were associated with the use of intragastric injection of botulinum toxin, which hypothetically delays gastric emptying and inhibits ghrelin secretion – the main source of which is the gastric fundus. Plasma levels of ghrelin increase during periods of fasting and decrease after a meal. This hormone accelerates gastric emptying and also stimulates gastric motility during fasting (56). In 2000, Gui et al (57) published results of a pioneering study, in which they showed that intramuscular injections of botulinum toxin in the gastric wall of normal-weight rats significantly reduced their food intake and body weight. In 2005, these results were confirmed in obese rats, in which significant delay of gastric emptying was observed after botulinum toxin injection (58). In 2003, Rollok at al (59) reported that four months after botulinum toxin injection into the antrum of the stomach, an obese man lost 9 kg and his daily caloric intake decreased by approximately 32.5%. A study published two years later (60) showed that intragastric injection of botulinum toxin was safe and well tolerated. The published studies (60-66), however, have reported conflicting results. One study performed by an Italian group (64) reported a delay in gastric emptying, early satiety and body weight reduction. In analyzed studies (60-65), varying doses of botulinum toxin (from 100 IU to 300 IU) were used; however, perhaps more important than the dose of toxin, was the method of its application. In one study (64), the toxin was injected both into the antrum and the gastric fundus. In the other studies, it was only injected in the antrum, which likely explains the differences in results that were observed (66). Additional studies are needed to assess the role of botulinum toxin in the treatment of obesity.

The use of botulinum toxin in the treatment of obesity in the future is unclear because the drug is expensive; furthermore, it will be difficult to perform studies with a large number of patients. Although the use of botulinum toxin to reduce body weight has yet to be definitively proven, the limitation of the drug is the short duration of its effect – the injections must be repeated, thereby making the treatment troublesome for patients and increases cost.

Duodenal-jejunal bypass sleeve Bariatric surgical procedures, such as RYGB, lead to weight loss and improve metabolic control through various mechanisms: isolation of the gastric cardia; exclusion of the distal stomach; exclusion of the duodenum and proximal jejunum; exposure of the distal jejunum to undigested nutrients; and partial vagotomy. In recent years, new endoluminal bypass procedures developed in animal models have enabled two components of RYGB: exclusion of the duodenum and proximal jejunum and exposure of the distal jejunum to undigested nutrients.
Therefore, the duodenal-jejunal bypass sleeve (DJBS [Endobarrier, GI Dynamics, USA]) is an endoscopic method used to reduce jejuno-jejunal absorption. The bypass is a flexible, nutrient-impermeable 60 cm sleeve that is anchored in the duodenal bulb and extended into the proximal jejunum (Figure 3). The catheter-based delivery system is introduced into the duodenal bulb over the guide wire and deployed to the jejunum using dynamic fluoroscopy. Once the sleeve is fully deployed, the anchor is deployed to form the capsule. The anchor – the distal tip of sleeve – is a self-expanding 5.5 cm nitinol stent that enables fixation within the duodenal bulb. After placement, the sleeve is maintained for 12 weeks, after which time, it is removed endoscopically. The bypass placed in the proximal jejunum prevents the mixing of digestive enzymes and gastric contents. The ingested nutrients pass from the pylorus directly into and through the lumen of the sleeve without any contact with digestive enzymes and bile that flow outside of the device. Therefore, the bypass prevents contact between nutrient-rich chyme and mucosa in the proximal intestine. This endoscopic device enables the bypass of dysfunctional digestive and absorptive processes. Since 2007, when the experts group defined the features of the duodenal-jejunal bypass sleeve, results of several studies on animals and humans were published, in which it was shown that this method leads to weight loss and is safe (71). In one prospective study, the bypass was endoscopically inserted and maintained for 12 weeks in 12 patients, with no serious complications. Procedure-related adverse events (during device removal) occurred in two patients: oral-pharyngeal and esophageal mucosal tear. Moreover, in the follow-up period, mostly during the first week, several self-limited and mild-to-moderate adverse events including abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting and implant site inflammation (71 in 12 patients), were observed. Only two of these 12 patients required early removal of the implant due to excessive abdominal pain and discomfort. The average weight loss was 10.2 kg and the average baseline decrease in BMI was 3.8 kg/m² (70).

In another randomized, prospective study (71), the influence of DJBS and low-calorie diet for preoperative weight loss in bariatric surgery was compared. At 12 weeks, significantly higher body weight reduction was observed in the DJBS group (22%) than in the group treated only with diet modification (5%). However, complications after DJBS placement were observed in 20% of patients (five of 25 patients). Upper gastrointestinal bleeding occurred in three patients, stent migration occurred in one patient and sleeve obstruction in one patient. The bleeding that was observed at a mean of 13.8 days postimplant did not require blood transfusion. Presently, the procedure is performed under general anesthesia; however, in the future, it will probably be performed under usual sedation, which is important for obese patients who are at high risk in procedures requiring general anesthesia. The role of DJBS in the treatment of obesity has yet to be clearly defined. More data regarding the efficacy and safety of this promising method are being collected (69).

Intra gastric prothesis

The Adjustably Totally Implantable Intra Gastric Prothesis (ATIIIP-Endogast, Districlass Medical SA, France) is a new, minimally invasive technique using surgical and endoscopic procedures for the treatment of obesity. The device is inserted in the gastric corpus-fundus area using a method similar to percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy. The two main principles in this method are the permanent presence of an air-filled prothesis inside the stomach and the fixation of the stomach to the abdominal wall. The aim of the ATIIIP-Endogast device is to induce early satiety and a reduction in body weight. Proximal gastric positioning has an effect on gastric accommodation, electrical activity and neurohormonal mechanisms. Preliminary study results (72) suggest that the ATIIIP-Endogast device is feasible, reproducible, safe and is associated with a low risk of complications, especially for obese patients older than 60 years of age, and superobese patients with a BMI of greater than 50 kg/m². The ATIIIP is a promising weight-reduction method; however, there is concern regarding local infection related to percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy placement.

SUMMARY

Recently, clinical research has been focused on the development of different endoscopic devices for weight loss, and has yielded promising results. Endoscopic treatment may constitute one of the essential components of the complex management of obese patients. Restrictive methods may be a supplementary therapy or may be used as a bridge to more durable, definitive procedures. The restrictive methods can also provide excellent long-term results and avoid complications related to the presence of a foreign body in the stomach. However, more data regarding the efficacy and safety of endoscopic devices are necessary before they are widely used in the future. In addition to the development of new, minimally invasive endoscopic techniques, gastroenterologists will play a greater and, perhaps the central, role in the management of obese patients.
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