Antibiotic prophylaxis in transarterial therapy of hepatocellular carcinoma: A meta-analysis
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BACKGROUND: The use of prophylactic antibiotics against postprocedure infection in patients undergoing transarterial therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma is controversial.

AIM: To compare the effects of prophylactic antibiotic treatment and no prophylactic antibiotic treatment on infectious complications following transarterial procedures.

METHODS: Clinical trials fulfilling predefined selection criteria were identified by searching several bibliographic databases; a meta-analysis was performed where appropriate.

RESULTS: Four trials of inadequate quality consisting of 210 patients were included in the analysis. Only one case of possible postprocedure infection in each group was reported. The rate of patients developing fever (RR 0.91 [95% CI 0.61 to 1.35]), changes in peripheral white blood cell count or serum C-reactive protein levels, and the mean length of hospital stay (mean difference 0.20 [95% CI 0.75 to 1.14]) showed no significant intergroup differences between antibiotic and no antibiotic treatment. Furthermore, the results of the present study indicated that the incidence of bacteremia, septicemia, sepsis or hepatic abscess after transarterial therapy was rare.

CONCLUSION: Antibiotic prophylaxis in patients undergoing transarterial therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma may not be routinely necessary. However, a more judicious use of antibiotics is recommended for patients who are at an increased risk of infection. Nevertheless, prospective trials on a larger scale are clearly needed.
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Worldwide, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the sixth most common malignancy, with an estimated mortality rate of 9.2% in 2008 (1). Transarterial therapy, including transarterial embolization (TAE), and transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) and transarterial chemotherapy (TAC), have been used increasingly in patients with HCC since it was demonstrated to improve survival, either as the sole treatment or in conjunction with surgery or local ablative therapies (2-4). The rationale for using the above interventional procedures to treat HCC is based on the difference in anatomical configuration between tumour tissue and normal hepatic parenchyma, by which devascularization of tumour and/or a high concentration of chemotherapeutic agents delivered to cancer cells can be achieved.

Among the various modalities of transarterial therapy, both TAE and TACE aim to occlude hepatic arterial flow with embolizing agents, while TACE procedures are preceded by the administration of anticancer agents. TAC, which is also known as transarterial infusion chemotherapy or transarterial oily chemoembolization, consists only of hepatic arterial infusion with chemotherapeutic agents, usually uses lipiodol as a vehicle and is not designed to achieve arterial occlusion (5). All of these techniques have been widely performed for more than 20 years and are generally well tolerated. However, these procedures were reported to be accompanied by several infectious complications such as bacteremia and septicemia – even sepsis and hepatic abscess – especially when performed in patients with HCC, indicating that the prophylactic use of antibiotics may be necessary for the prevention of such infections (6-8). Some groups suggested that broad-spectrum antibiotic(s) should be routinely given to patients with HCC before any invasive procedure because these patients often had hepatic functional damage and were at a high risk of developing bacterial infections (9-11). Indeed, HCC patients usually present with cirrhosis, which may favours immunosuppression. On the other hand, immune function in these patients may be further impaired if they are exposed to anticancer agents (12). Clinically, although a uniform consensus on the regimens against postprocedure infection has not yet been reached, three to seven days of antibiotic coverage for Gram-negative enteric organisms was recommended for patients who underwent TACE (13). However, postprocedure infection occurs, even in patients who previously received antibiotic prophylaxis. In a study involving 157 patients...
who were treated with cefazolin and metronidazole before and after TACE, hepatic abscess was reported to develop in 4.5% of the population (14). Therefore, antibiotic prophylaxis appears to be necessary for patients undergoing transarterial therapy for HCC. A recent prospective trial that evaluated the efficacy of two different antibiotic regimens (15) further emphasized the importance of antibiotics in prophylaxis against infection after transarterial therapy for HCC.

In contrast, other studies revealed that the incidence of immediate or long-term infection events following transarterial procedures were minor and, therefore, suggested that prophylactic antibiotic use may not be necessary (7,16-19). This was proposed based on the awareness that the negative effects of indiscriminate antibiotic use may result in increased bacterial resistance and medical costs. Furthermore, trials have also indicated that administration of prophylactic antibiotics may decrease the overall prevalence of infectious complications after TAE, but may not decrease the incidence of liver abscesses (9,20). Taking into account that there have been only a few reports on the rate of infections complicating transarterial therapy, the use of prophylactic antibiotics against postprocedure infection is, therefore, controversial. Using currently available clinical trial evidence, the aim of the present meta-analysis was to assess the effects of prophylactic antibiotic therapy versus no prophylactic antibiotic treatment with regard to infectious complications in patients undergoing transarterial therapy for HCC.

METHODS

Literature search

An electronic search was performed using the key words “hepatocellular carcinoma”, “embolization”, “chemoembolization” and “antibiotic prophylaxis”, which included searches in the Cochrane Library (Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Clinical Trials), Medline (via PubMed), Embase and Chinese biomedicine literature database (CBM). Both subject and text terms were used, and were combined according to a predesigned search strategy. The database searches were not restricted to English language publications or data. However, only clinical trials were considered for inclusion in the present study. In addition, the reference lists of the retrieved articles were manually screened for other potentially relevant studies.

Selection criteria

Trials evaluating the use of prophylactic antibiotic treatment in patients with HCC undergoing transarterial therapy were eligible to be included if they compared any antibiotic or combination of antibiotics with either placebo or no treatment. However, because the aim of the current meta-analysis was to investigate the necessity of prophylactic antibiotic treatment in patients undergoing transarterial therapy for HCC, studies that compared the effects of different antibiotics or different regimens (dosages, routes or durations of administration) of one antibiotic were not included. Both randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and non-RCTs were considered for the present study; however, studies lacking control groups were excluded. Trials containing mixed indications were retained if the results for each indication could be extracted separately.

Outcomes measurement

Primary outcomes: Any proven systemic infection such as bacteremia, septicemia and sepsis (confirmed with positive blood culture), hepatic abscess (confirmed with radiological features) and other clinical indications of infection, however defined by individual studies.

Secondary outcomes: Suspected infection or clinical signs of infection such as fever, elevated white blood cell (WBC) count or C-reactive protein (CRP) level, and duration of hospital stay.

Data extraction and analysis

Two authors (J Wang and YC Zhang) independently assessed the inclusion eligibility of the potential studies identified in the search according to the criteria outlined above, with any disagreement resolved by discussion. A standardized data collection form was used to extract relevant information and outcomes data from the included studies. Details regarding trial design, participants, transarterial techniques, antibiotic regimens and outcome measures were retrieved and abstracted. Important patient baseline characteristics from each trial were also reviewed.

All outcomes data were extracted on a worksheet, and were cross-checked for accuracy before being combined for analysis by two of the authors (J Wang and XD He). A meta-analysis was applied based on the absence of considerable clinical heterogeneity among the included trials and was performed with RevMan software version 5.1.1 (provided by the Cochrane Collaboration). A descriptive summary of the results was presented when the outcome data from different studies could not be combined. For dichotomous variables, such as the number of patients developing infection, the treatment effects were reported as RR with 95% CI, whereas mean difference (MD) and its corresponding 95% CI were used to express continuous variables such as duration of hospital stay. A fixed-effect model was used for pooling the results unless there was significant heterogeneity, in which case a random-effect model was applied.

Heterogeneity was assessed by visual inspection of forest plots and the I² test, with statistical significance set at P<0.1. The I² statistic was used to estimate total variation across studies that was due to heterogeneity and expressed as a percentage: <25% was considered to be low level heterogeneity; 25% to 50% as moderate level and >50% as high level (21). If moderate levels of heterogeneity (ie, I²>50%) were encountered, possible sources of heterogeneity were explored. A sensitivity analysis was performed to examine the potential risk bias in the overall results of pooled analysis, (eg, results of non-RCTs were excluded from the analysis for these of RCTs).

Quality assessment

Methodological quality was assessed independently by two authors (J Wang and XD He) using the criteria outlined in version 5 of the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (22). Four items assessing components of internal validity of trials, including method of randomization, allocation concealment, blinding and reporting of an intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis, were used to evaluate study quality, but only for RCTs. Each item was scored as ‘yes’ for low risk of bias, ‘unclear’ for either lack of information or uncertainty over the potential for bias, and ‘no’ for high. Trials with a retrospective design were considered to be of inadequate quality; however, this assessment did not determine its inclusion or exclusion.

RESULTS

Search results

Among 191 nonduplicated articles retrieved by the electronic searches, 188 were excluded mainly due to their clear irrelevance because they were nonclinical trials or were uncontrolled (Figure 1); however, one study identified from the reference list of a published article was found to meet the criteria for inclusion (18). Finally, four studies consisting of 210 patients from Europe, Asia and North America were included in the current meta-analysis (16-19).

Characteristics of the included studies

General information: All of these four studies were clinical trials conducted between 1992 and 2007. The general information is summarized in Table 1. The outcomes of interest were reported by all of the trials, in most of which both confirmed and suspected infection events were studied. Factors associated with postprocedure fever were also analyzed (16-17). In the trial by Wang et al (19), however, the only outcome that was considered to be clinically relevant for which data were presented was rate of infection. Overall, patients were generally well matched between the treatment and control groups for demographics, etiology of HCC, hepatic function and type of transarterial therapy within each study (16-18) (Table 2). However, one trial did not describe the characteristics of the included populations (19).
Types of transarterial modalities: Of the four trials, two (17,19) used TACE to treat HCC and one (16) used TAE. HCC patients in the remaining trial were treated with TAE, TACE or transarterial Yttrium-90 (18). Two trials (16,18) detailed the transarterial technique that was used for HCC, while the procedure was not described in the other two (17,19). One trial (16) included 75 TAE procedures performed in 61 HCC patients, but provided all outcomes data referring to the 75 TAE procedures. Therefore, in the current meta-analysis, every patient was considered to have undergone a TAE treatment; these data were analyzed accordingly.

Antibiotic protocols: Among the included trials, intravenous (IV) cephalosporin alone (29 of 112) or cephalosporin combined with metronidazole (83 of 112) was the antibiotic(s) mostly used before transarterial treatment, with reported treatment durations of 0.5 h to longer than 48 h (16,18-19). Also, ciprofloxacin was administered either as an initial (15 of 112) or a maintenance therapy (data were not provided) against postprocedure infection (17). However, the antibiotic regimens varied among studies. Castells et al (16) used a cross-over policy of antibiotics for a suspected bacterial infection, in which patients allocated to the no treatment group were given the regimen used in the antibiotic group, and those in the antibiotic group were considered for an empirically alternative antibiotic protocol when infection was suspected. Plentz et al (17) administered a combination of IV metronidazole with ciprofloxacin or cephalosporin to patients in the antibiotic group for the first three days after TACE. The IV regimen was subsequently changed to an oral combination of metronidazole with ciprofloxacin if febrile and symptomatic signs of infection disappeared. Either IV or oral regimen was continued for an additional seven days. Thus, every patient in the study group was treated for 10 days with two antibiotics.

TABLE 1
General information of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author (reference), year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Included populations</th>
<th>Transarterial techniques</th>
<th>Antibiotic regimen</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Primary end points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castells et al (16), 1995</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Patients with HCC, confirmed by tumour biopsy and/or elevated AFP, and radiological findings</td>
<td>TAE, with embolizing agents of gelatin cube (1 mm × 1 mm) alone or gelatin and steel coil. The use of lipiodol was not described</td>
<td>Study group (n=37): IV cefotaxime 2 g/6 h + metronidazole 500 mg/8 h before TAE and for at least 48 h. Control group (n=38): no antibiotic treatment</td>
<td>1 month after discharge</td>
<td>Infectious complications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al (19), 2005</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>Patients with primary liver cancer</td>
<td>Only stated as TACE, information on the procedure was not provided</td>
<td>Study group (n=29): IV cefazolin 2 g before TACE for 0.5 h. Control group (n=31): no antibiotic treatment</td>
<td>2 months after TACE</td>
<td>Postprocedure infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plentz et al (17), 2005</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Patients who had previously not received any treatment for nonresectable HCC. The diagnosis of HCC was based on elevated AFP level and/or fine-needle liver biopsy</td>
<td>Only stated as TACE, the use of anticancer drugs, embolizing agents and lipiodol were not described</td>
<td>Study group (n=15): IV ciprofloxacin 200 mg + metronidazole 500 mg on the morning of TACE. IV cephalosporin (not specified) twice a day + metronidazole 500 mg three times a day for the following 2 days. Seven days of IV cephalosporin + metronidazole 500 mg, or oral ciprofloxacin 250 mg + metronidazole 500 mg. Control group (n=15): no antibiotic treatment.</td>
<td>5 weeks after discharge</td>
<td>Adverse effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelgikar et al (18), 2009</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Patients with histological or serological evidence of HCC</td>
<td>TAE, TACE, or Yttrium-90. The embolizing agent was polyvinyl alcohol particles and a cisplatin-based regimen mixed with ethiodol was used for chemoembolization</td>
<td>Study group (n=31): one dose of cefazolin and metronidazole were given before the transarterial procedure (8 patients), or pre- and postprocedure (23 patients). Control group (n=28): no antibiotic treatment</td>
<td>Median 28 months</td>
<td>Infectious complications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Antibiotics in transarterial therapy of HCC

Figure 1) Flow diagram of the literature searches
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Organisms were Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Klebsiella from the intestine (23). Hence, infection occurs through a different infection, especially through bacterial reflux via the biliary system induced by embolization and/or chemotherapy can become a source of infection outcomes, the results could not be presented as a pooled analysis.

**Hepatic abscess**
Hepatic abscess is a severe complication associated with transarterial procedures. The typical symptoms indicating hepatic abscess include fever, chills and right upper quadrant pain, which usually occur on the first to sixth day after the procedure (23). Radiologically, hepatic abscesses appear as areas of hypodensity on computed tomography (CT) scan and hypoechogenicity on ultrasonogram. However, the confirmed diagnosis of hepatic abscess is based on ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration of the suspected liver lesions. Both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria can lead to cases of pyogenic liver abscess. Gram-positive aerobes reportedly accounted for 60% of pathogenic organisms in TAE-related liver abscess (9,26). When antibiotic prophylaxis was not applied, the incidence of this complication among patients with hepatic tumours after TAC was 0.2%, and among those after TAE was 0.2% to 1.1% (6,8,26,27). In a recent study that reviewed 11 articles (28), hepatic or splenic abscesses were estimated to occur in a median of 1.3% of patients.

None of the blood infections was detected among patients in the included three trials, regardless of whether they were treated with or without antibiotics (16-18). Castells et al (16) used biological cultures from blood, urine and ascitic fluid for the examination of bacterial infections, whereas the diagnosis of blood infections was not described by the other two studies (17,18). In the trial by Wang et al (19), one patient in each group developed a hyperpyrexia with acute symptoms of toxicity after TACE, indicating that an early episode of septicemia would be expected. However, it was unknown from the study whether the author diagnosed the infection using the results of biological fluid cultures. The one case of this possible infection that occurred despite the use of antibiotic prophylaxis was reported in a patient who underwent repeated TACE performed through the same skin puncture, whereas one patient in the control group was elderly with compromised hepatic functional reserve. Nevertheless, given the limited information on the criteria regarding blood infections within the individual trials, together with the reported zero incidence rate of any of the infection outcomes, the results could not be presented as a pooled analysis.

### Methodology quality assessment
Among the four trials, three (16,17,19) were retrieved as RCTs, while the other (18) was a retrospective controlled study. One trial was a Chinese publication with an English abstract (19), while another (17) was a correspondence. The methodological quality assessment for the included RCTs are presented in Table 3. All of the three RCTs (16,17,19) stated that patients were randomly assigned to the treatment and control options, but failed to describe the precise method of randomization within the studies. Although allocation concealment was achieved using sealed envelopes in the trial by Castells et al (16), it was unclear whether the envelope was opaque (22). However, this procedure was not reported in either of the two other trials (17,19). Furthermore, the three trials (16,17,19) appeared to have no blinding of intervention or outcome assessment, and data were presented on a per-protocol basis. In addition, there were no dropouts or loss to follow-up reported in the trials (16-19).

### Effects of interventions
**Bacteremia, septicaemia and sepsis:** Transarterial procedures carry risks for several types of blood infections such as bacteremia, septicaemia and sepsis, which were clinically evidenced with positive blood culture results. Because TAE, TACE and TAC involve a percutaneous arterial approach, bacteria can be introduced exogenously from skin puncture. On the other hand, tumour necrosis and normal liver parenchyma induced by embolization and/or chemotherapy can become a source of infection, especially through bacterial reflux via the biliary system from the intestine (23). Hence, infection occurs through a different route of bacterial transmission. The most common types of pathogenic organisms were Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Klebsiella and Escherichia coli, while infection with fungi was rare (7,9,24). Without antibiotic prophylaxis, bacteremia occurred in 3.6% of patients undergoing hepatic arteriography (HA) and 1.1% of those undergoing TAE. Septicaemia occurred in 1.1% of patients who underwent TACE, and sepsis occurred in 1.7% of patients who underwent TAE (6,7).

### Methodology quality assessment
Among the four trials, three (16,17,19) were retrieved as RCTs, while the other (18) was a retrospective controlled study. One trial was a Chinese publication with an English abstract (19), while another (17) was a correspondence. The methodological quality assessment for the included RCTs are presented in Table 3. All of the three RCTs (16,17,19) stated that patients were randomly assigned to the treatment and control options, but failed to describe the precise method of randomization within the studies. Although allocation concealment was achieved using sealed envelopes in the trial by Castells et al (16), it was unclear whether the envelope was opaque (22). However, this procedure was not reported in either of the two other trials (17,19). Furthermore, the three trials (16,17,19) appeared to have no blinding of intervention or outcome assessment, and data were presented on a per-protocol basis. In addition, there were no dropouts or loss to follow-up reported in the trials (16-19).

### Effects of interventions
**Bacteremia, septicaemia and sepsis:** Transarterial procedures carry risks for several types of blood infections such as bacteremia, septicaemia and sepsis, which were clinically evidenced with positive blood culture results. Because TAE, TACE and TAC involve a percutaneous arterial approach, bacteria can be introduced exogenously from skin puncture. On the other hand, tumour necrosis and normal liver parenchyma induced by embolization and/or chemotherapy can become a source of infection, especially through bacterial reflux via the biliary system from the intestine (23). Hence, infection occurs through a different route of bacterial transmission. The most common types of pathogenic organisms were Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Klebsiella and Escherichia coli, while infection with fungi was rare (7,9,24). Without antibiotic prophylaxis, bacteremia occurred in 3.6% of patients undergoing hepatic arteriography (HA) and 1.1% of those undergoing TAE. Septicaemia occurred in 1.1% of patients who underwent TACE, and sepsis occurred in 1.7% of patients who underwent TAE (6,7).

### Methodology quality assessment
Among the four trials, three (16,17,19) were retrieved as RCTs, while the other (18) was a retrospective controlled study. One trial was a Chinese publication with an English abstract (19), while another (17) was a correspondence. The methodological quality assessment for the included RCTs are presented in Table 3. All of the three RCTs (16,17,19) stated that patients were randomly assigned to the treatment and control options, but failed to describe the precise method of randomization within the studies. Although allocation concealment was achieved using sealed envelopes in the trial by Castells et al (16), it was unclear whether the envelope was opaque (22). However, this procedure was not reported in either of the two other trials (17,19). Furthermore, the three trials (16,17,19) appeared to have no blinding of intervention or outcome assessment, and data were presented on a per-protocol basis. In addition, there were no dropouts or loss to follow-up reported in the trials (16-19).

### Effects of interventions
**Bacteremia, septicaemia and sepsis:** Transarterial procedures carry risks for several types of blood infections such as bacteremia, septicaemia and sepsis, which were clinically evidenced with positive blood culture results. Because TAE, TACE and TAC involve a percutaneous arterial approach, bacteria can be introduced exogenously from skin puncture. On the other hand, tumour necrosis and normal liver parenchyma induced by embolization and/or chemotherapy can become a source of infection, especially through bacterial reflux via the biliary system from the intestine (23). Hence, infection occurs through a different route of bacterial transmission. The most common types of pathogenic organisms were Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Klebsiella and Escherichia coli, while infection with fungi was rare (7,9,24). Without antibiotic prophylaxis, bacteremia occurred in 3.6% of patients undergoing hepatic arteriography (HA) and 1.1% of those undergoing TAE. Septicaemia occurred in 1.1% of patients who underwent TACE, and sepsis occurred in 1.7% of patients who underwent TAE (6,7).
Fever

Clinically, the appearance of fever after a transarterial procedure is considered to be a symptomatic sign of secondary infection. However, it is also known that fever, which is one of the typical features of postembolization syndrome that occurs in 60% to 80% of patients undergoing transarterial procedures, represents a clinical marker of tumour necrosis and, thus, of a favourable response to treatment (7,16,17). Most cases of fever reportedly occurred on the day of transarterial therapy or immediately after transarterial procedures, and were usually self-limited to within three to four days (28). Other postembolization symptoms, such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain and increase in peripheral WBC count or CRP level, may also be observed during the period of fever. In clinical settings, although there has been no clear evidence for the use of antibiotics in the treatment of postprocedure syndrome, patients may have already received prophylactic antibiotics before these symptoms were apparent.

The number of patients with fever after transarterial therapy for HCC was reported by all of the included trials, in two of which only patients with fever >38°C were recorded (16,17). Meta-analysis of the outcome showed that there was no significant difference between prophylactic antibiotic and no antibiotic treatment (RR 0.91 [95% CI 0.61 to 1.35]), and this result was stable in prospective data (RR 0.86 [95% CI 0.50 to 1.49]; I² = 0%). Heterogeneity was not observed in this comparison (ie, I²=0%). Also, no difference was found in the duration of fever in both treatments (MD 0.20 [95% CI −0.75 to 1.14]). Heterogeneity was not observed in this comparison (ie, I²=0%). Also, no difference was found in the duration of fever in both treatments (MD 0.20 [95% CI −0.75 to 1.14]).

Several series suggested that patients with biliary tract disease, such as ischemia, injury, congestion or inflammation, or those who

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Antibiotic Therapy Events</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Non-antibiotic Therapy Events</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
<th>Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castells-1995</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>0.72 [0.40, 1.30]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plentz-2005</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>3.00 [0.35, 25.68]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelgikar-2009</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>42.2%</td>
<td>0.97 [0.56, 1.70]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang-2005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1.07 [0.07, 16.31]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>112</strong></td>
<td><strong>112</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>91.9 [0.61, 1.35]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total events</strong></td>
<td><strong>30</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
<td><strong>32</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.66, df = 2 (P = 0.44); I² = 0%

Test for overall effect: Z = 0.52 (P = 0.60)

**Figure 3**) Fever. Sensitivity analysis for randomized controlled trials

**Table 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study or Subgroup</th>
<th>Antibiotic Therapy Events</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Non-antibiotic Therapy Events</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weight</th>
<th>Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
<th>Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castells-1995</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>89.5%</td>
<td>0.72 [0.40, 1.30]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plentz-2005</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.3%</td>
<td>3.00 [0.35, 25.68]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang-2005</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>1.07 [0.07, 16.31]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>81</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>84</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.86 [0.50, 1.49]</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total events</strong></td>
<td><strong>16</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>19</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Heterogeneity: Chi² = 1.84, df = 3 (P = 0.61); I² = 0%

Test for overall effect: Z = 0.47 (P = 0.64)

**Figure 2**) Fever. Pooled analysis for all trials

**Table 2**
underwent previous biliary reconstruction surgery or enterobiliary anastomosis, were at high risk of developing infectious complications following transarterial therapy for HCC (7,25,26,29). In a small RCT by Geschwind et al (24), the authors compared the effects of two antibiotic regimens for prophylaxis against hepatic abscesses after TACE for HCC in patients with a history of biliary reconstructive surgery. The results showed that none of the patients assigned to receive IV tazobactam/piperacillin developed hepatic abscess after TACE, whereas the complication occurred in those whom cephalixin was given. In the present analysis, however, none of the included patients were found to have biliary disease or a history of biliary surgery. Nevertheless, more aggressive antibiotics with coverage against Gram-positive, Gram-negative aerobic and anaerobic organisms may be indicated for these cases (24,30). Also, postprocedure infections have been shown to be associated with advanced age, larger tumour nodules, presence of portal vein thrombosis or compromised hepatic function, and previous gastrectomy (6,7,9,19,25,26). Due to the lack of prospective data, however, it has been unclear whether patients with these factors are at an increased risk of infectious complications after transarterial procedures. Nevertheless, the relationship between the above factors and postprocedure infections requires further investigation, and more judicious use of antibiotic prophylaxis for these patients is recommended.

Fever, elevated WBC and CRP were mostly indicated because the postembolization syndromes resulted from tumour necrosis and may not be considered as routine signs of bacterial infection. Because it is unclear whether tumour necrosis represents a sensitive predictor of treatment response or an adverse response to transarterial therapy, the appearance of postembolization syndrome should be treated with caution (31-34). On the other hand, because fever frequently occurred among patients undergoing transarterial procedures, it is difficult to differentiate between fever due to tumour necrosis and fever due to secondary infection in clinical settings. However, an infection should be suspected when unexpectedly high and prolonged fever, and sudden onset of high fever with chills are encountered after transarterial procedures. Again, the exclusive effects of infectious complications can not be detected only by changes in WBC and CRP levels. Therefore, a surveillance strategy for the ascertainment of infection events should still be based on the results of bacterial cultures, and future studies should specify the criteria for the diagnosis of such infections.

The current meta-analysis had several limitations. First, it included only four trials with a limited number of subjects (n=30 to n=75), which may be largely responsible for the indiscriminately negative results of any of the infection-related outcomes. Therefore, more prospective trials of sufficient sample size assessing the effects of antibiotic use in patients undergoing transarterial therapy for HCC are clearly needed. Because the incidence rate of confirmed bacteremia after transarterial procedures for HCC without antibiotic prophylaxis was 1.1% to 3.6%, and that of liver abscess was 0.2% to 4.5%, a worthwhile study to determine the possible effects of prophylaxis on such infections might need to enrol 1000 patients (6-8,14,26).

Second, the impact of the type of transarterial procedures on infection outcomes could not be assessed separately. In a study that examined the incidence of patients undergoing HA and TAE (6), TAE was found to be more likely associated with a higher risk of developing symptomatic bacteremia than was HA (4% versus 0%, respectively [P=0.03]), suggesting that the increased frequency of the infection in the TAE group may be the result of the changes in the host induced by embolization. The possible explanation was that ischemic damage to the non-tumoural liver by the occlusive effects of embolizing agents may precipitate or exacerbate liver failure, especially among cirrhotic patients with an advanced Child-Pugh class, resulting in deterioration of intrahepatic immunological defense mechanisms. Furthermore, differences may also exist between embolization and chemoembolization because local administration of chemotherapeutic agents may lead to tumour necrosis and necrosis of normal liver tissues due to toxicity and subsequent infection. Although there has been no study comparing these two procedures on the incidence rate of infections, nonsignificant differences between TACE and TAI regarding infectious complications and changes in WBC and CRP levels were found in a recent trial (15) that indicated chemoembolization and chemotherapy may also have no effects on postprocedure infection. However, all of the patients enrolled in the study were treated with antibiotics preprocedurally and, by doing so, the difference in the risk of developing infections after the procedures may have been compromised. Therefore, variations in transarterial therapy modalities may result in different prevalences of postprocedure infections; however, this requires further study.

Finally, the overall quality of the included trials was poor. Of the three RCTs, two failed to provide information on any of the items used for assessing the methodological validity of RCTs, while in the remaining one, only the method of allocation concealment was described but with an insufficient definition. Furthermore, another retrospective study was included in the meta-analysis. Thus, all of the included trials were scored as inadequate quality (22). Consequently, although meta-analyses were performed without statistical heterogeneity, combining the results of trials with methodological weakness may contribute to the risk of bias to the pooled analysis. Furthermore, none of the included trials used placebo as a control but involved no treatment as a comparator. However, effects between placebo and no treatment may be different, particularly in trials with small populations (35). Given the paucity of studies focusing on antibiotic use in patients undergoing transarterial procedures for HCC, the current study provided results with the most currently available evidence. Nevertheless, more prospective data are expected.

CONCLUSION

Antibiotic prophylaxis against postprocedure infectious complications in patients undergoing transarterial therapy for HCC may not be routinely necessary. However, a more judicious use of antibiotics is recommended for patients with increased risk of infection, especially for those with concurrent biliary tract disease or a history of biliary reconstruction surgery. Nevertheless, prospective trials on a larger scale are clearly needed.
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