The Canadian Digestive Health Foundation initiated a scientific program to assess the incidence, prevalence, mortality and economic impact of digestive disorders across Canada in 2009. The current article presents the updated findings from the study concerning irritable bowel syndrome.
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**METHODS**

A systematic literature review was conducted to retrieve peer-reviewed, English-language scholarly literature using the PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Scopus and EvaluatePharma® databases. The search terms used were “irritable bowel syndrome,” “functional gastrointestinal disorder” and “IBS”, with a specific focus on epidemiology and economic studies from developed countries. Additional information was retrieved from government sources, the World Health Organization and not-for-profit organizations.

**INCIDENCE**

The Rome I, II and III criteria were designed to help diagnose IBS and other functional gastrointestinal disorders; however, they are subject to broad interpretations within and between each criteria. For example, there is a 1.5-fold difference in IBS prevalence rates within the same cohort depending on the interpretation of Rome II criteria (12). Meanwhile, there was a fourfold difference in the prevalence of IBS in the same cohort that was evaluated with Rome II and III criteria (13). In light of the diagnostic issues, it has been difficult to accurately capture prevalence and incidence rates of IBS around the world, and it is not surprising that wide ranges have been reported.

In 1994, a Danish study (14) reported incidence rates ranging from 1% to 36%, with the variability attributed to inconsistent definitions of IBS. In 2004, the IBS incidence rate for France was estimated to be 3.6 per 1000 population (15), while in the United States, it was two per 1000 person-years (16). The American rate is believed to be much higher because many individuals with mild to moderate symptoms do not seek medical attention and remain undiagnosed. To date, there are no Canadian data regarding the incidence of IBS. However, extrapolating the incidence rates from France provides an approximate estimate that 120,000 Canadians are diagnosed with IBS each year.
Postinfectious IBS describes individuals without a history of gastrointestinal complaints who are diagnosed with IBS following an acute enteric infection. A meta-analysis of 18 studies concluded that the pooled incidence rate for this particular form of IBS was 10% (17). Following an outbreak of acute gastroenteritis due to the contamination of the municipal water of Walkerton, Ontario with Escherichia coli 0157:H7 and Campylobacter jejuni, the odds ratio of developing postinfectious IBS was 4.8 (95% CI 3.4 to 6.8) (18). The post-infectious IBS incidence rate for Walkerton children (<16 years of age) exposed to the contaminated water was 10.5% compared with 2.5% for non-exposed children (1).

**PREVALENCE**

The Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) is a national, population-based survey that collects health and lifestyle information, and is conducted by Statistics Canada. Cycle 4.1 of the CCHS for 2007/2008 specifically collected prevalence information concerning different types of medically diagnosed bowel disorders (19). Extrapolating from this survey, there were approximately 700,000 Canadians self-reporting to Statistics Canada; individuals with IBS represented 2.4% of the entire population. Earlier results from CCHS cycle 3.1, conducted in 2005, reported a prevalence rate of 2.2% (20). Previously, a physician-led postal survey study conducted across Canada found the prevalence rate of IBS to be 12.1% (21). A subsequent physician-led study examining the health-related quality of life of IBS patients concluded that the Canadian prevalence rate was nearly double that of the entire population. Earlier results from CCHS cycle 3.1, conducted in 2005, reported a prevalence rate of 2.2% (20). Previously, a physician-led postal survey study conducted across Canada found the prevalence rate of IBS to be 12.1% (21). A subsequent physician-led study examining the health-related quality of life of IBS patients concluded that the Canadian prevalence rate was nearly double that of the entire population. Earlier results from CCHS cycle 3.1, conducted in 2005, reported a prevalence rate of 2.2% (20). Previously, a physician-led postal survey study conducted across Canada found the prevalence rate of IBS to be 12.1% (21). A subsequent physician-led study examining the health-related quality of life of IBS patients concluded that the Canadian prevalence rate was nearly double that of the entire population. Earlier results from CCHS cycle 3.1, conducted in 2005, reported a prevalence rate of 2.2% (20). Previously, a physician-led postal survey study conducted across Canada found the prevalence rate of IBS to be 12.1% (21).

The prevalence data according to province, a distinct decrease in prevalence of IBS moving east to west is noted (Figure 1). The sex ratio for IBS patients in Canada is seven females to two males (19), which is similar to that reported for other populations (27,28). This most recent value confirms the sex bias reported in foreign studies, as well as the 1999 Canadian study, which reported a ratio of seven to three for Canadian females to males (29).

**IBS compared with other chronic conditions**

Cycle 4.1 of the CCHS specifically addressed the prevalence of 18 common, medically diagnosed chronic diseases affecting Canadians, such as back problems, arthritis, asthma, diabetes and emphysema, in addition to IBS (19). In total, there were more than 30 million cases of chronic conditions, with many individuals having multiple issues. The most prevalent chronic condition was back problems, while bowel disorders, including IBS, were ranked 10th, with 1.2 million cases (representing 4% of all chronic conditions).

Many common chronic conditions, such as arthritis, diabetes and heart disease, manifest in middle age. Conversely, IBS occurs in late adolescence or early adulthood, and persists through to the twilight years. Figure 2 illustrates the percentage of chronic disease cases.
Mortality

Although a functional disorder, IBS has been implicated as the cause of death in several cases each year. The rationale behind this is that it is not IBS itself that is causing death per se, but more probably an adverse reaction to IBS treatment. The scholarly literature does not directly imply that IBS causes death. Understanding this broad implication of IBS treatment and death, for the years 2000 to 2006, the annual mortality rate reported in death certificates primarily due to IBS was one or two deaths per year, yielding a total of 11 deaths (38). IBS without diarrhea was the primary cause for 10 deaths. The sex ratio for deaths was eight females to three males. Although IBS manifests in youth, the youngest age at the time of death was 45 to 49 years of age, with the majority of deaths occurring in IBS patients 75 years of age or older. In a large, American population-based survey representing 30,000 person-years of follow-up (2), IBS and other functional gastrointestinal disorders did not affect survival rates (HR 1.06 [95% CI 0.86 to 1.32]). However, individuals affected with chronic constipation were at increased risk of poorer survival, even after adjusting for comorbidities (HR 1.19 [95% CI 1.03 to 1.37]). These findings are reflective of the Canadian mortality data concerning IBS.

Economics

Variation in IBS symptoms, symptom intensities and a patient’s response to therapy make it difficult to accurately capture the total cost of the disease because patients may not seek medical attention, fully adhere to therapies or continue treatments (26,29). Due to the chronicity of symptoms, young age of onset and the negative impact of IBS on individual productivity, IBS was ranked as one of the top 10 most expensive gastrointestinal diseases in the United States (39). In 1995, the annual direct costs for IBS were estimated to be $41 billion, which ranked in the top eight of developed countries (40).

Direct costs

Direct costs include prescription medications, hospitalizations, surgeries, emergency and physician clinic visits, laboratory and endoscopic investigations, as well as services of allied health care personnel (eg, dieticians, social workers). Although some of these costs can be more easily ascertained than others, based on 18 studies published between 1991 and 2003, the annual direct costs of IBS were estimated to range from US$348 to US$8,750 per patient (41). Figure 3 illustrates a breakdown of direct costs associated with health care resource use according to IBS patients in Canada.

Pharmaceuticals

Generally, pharmacological therapy for IBS has proven to be elusive, possibly due to the variation in the pathophysiology of the disease. The mainstay of therapy has been involving IBS patients in supportive therapeutic alliance and promoting healthy living (eg, lifestyle and dietary changes, physical exercise) (3,42,43). Presently, drug therapy for IBS is primarily directed at controlling specific symptoms of the syndrome. For patients with diarrhea or constipation, short-term prescriptions of over-the-counter products are suggested depending on symptom severity. In 2008, Canadians spent $50 million on diarrheal remedies and $93.5 million for laxatives, both of which were over-the-counter products (44). Some IBS patients can benefit from low-dose tricyclic antidepressant medications because these agents attenuate visceral pain (3).

Of particular interest is the high placebo response rate in IBS patients entered in randomized controlled trials (RCTs). A meta-analysis of 73 RCTs (45) found that the pooled placebo response rate was 37.5% while the rate for individual RCTs ranged from 0% to 91.7%. Although a small percentage of symptomatic control patients receiving placebo are expected to experience improvements, it is difficult to assess the clinical efficacy of a study drug when as many as one- to two-thirds of the control population improve.

Pharmaceuticals

In an American study (46), IBS patients consumed 50% more health care resources than those who did not have IBS. However, only a minority of IBS patients access health care services specifically for IBS. In 1999, as few as 29% of Canadian IBS patients actively sought medical attention for their symptoms (26,29). In a 2003 study, it was found that as many as 40% of Canadian IBS patients had consulted their physician in response to IBS symptoms (22). Before 1995, 25% to 60% of IBS patients sought medical attention (40). In comparison, a 2003 study found that as few as 10% to 29% of American IBS patients accessed physician services specifically for IBS (39). In 1997, IBS patients accounted for 20% to 30% of the referrals to gastroenterologists (47).

Hospitalization

Compared with the general population, IBS patients have 1.75-fold higher rates of abdominopelvic and gallbladder surgery than the general population (48). Although the association between IBS and surgery is unclear, the costs should be considered when estimating the overall costs of IBS. IBS alone is not life threatening, and hospitalization is mainly required for patients with comorbidities. Using the Patient Cost Estimator, patients admitted for “other gastrointestinal disorders”, which includes IBS, incurred an average cost of $4,563, with the average length of hospital stay being four days (49).

Indirect costs

Employment: As shown in Figure 4, it is evident that the impact of IBS on work productivity is twofold greater than in the general population, although it is lower than for other chronic diseases such as arthritis and heart disease (19). For those who are employed, IBS patients have three
times more sick days than their non-IBS colleagues (29). In 1993, an American study found that IBS patients missed an average of 13.4 work days per year specifically due to IBS symptoms (23). Using the average weekly earnings from November 2010, the annual cost to an employer is approximately CAD$2,200 per IBS patient (50).

Impact of comorbidities

A recent Norwegian study (31) found that the cost differential between IBS and non-IBS patients was primarily due to treatment for comorbidities, mainly somatic disease and anxieties. During the six-month study, comorbidity-related costs were higher than IBS-related costs for physician services (7.8-fold), hospitalizations (14.5-fold) and medications (63.8-fold). For example, only 19% of the physician visits for 143 patients (mean 3.4 visits per patient) were due to IBS complaints. Similarly, medication use and the number of missed days from work were greatest for comorbidities other than IBS.

Within the Canadian IBS patient population, it has been reported that as many as 34.4% may have anxiety disorders, 26.9% may have abdominal and pelvic symptoms and 10.6% may have diverticulitis (31). Further study is warranted to determine whether the costs for the treatment of IBS-only symptoms are marginal in comparison with comorbidities.
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