Too many authors spoil the credit
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Single authorship was the norm eons ago. According to rabbinical tradition, Moses wrote the five books of the Jewish bible, the Torah, meaning ‘Instruction’ or ‘Law’. Christian scholars credit God with breathing out the Bible. Before the mid-20th century, landmark scientific works from giants, such as Newton, Einstein and Fermi, were single authored. Although single authors wrote the vast majority (>98%) of important medical articles a century ago, this has become a rarity; <5% are now single authored. Meanwhile, the number of multi-authored articles has escalated, many of which list individuals who made insignificant contributions (1). At times, the list of authors reaches astronomical numbers, occupying as much space as the corresponding abstract. Extreme examples include a report in the physical sciences on the Large Hadron Collider listing almost 3000 authors, and a clinical trial published in The New England Journal of Medicine listing 974 authors (2). The basis for this rise in multiple authors does not simply reside in the complexities of current medical bioscience or the need for large multi-centred clinical trials. Unmerited authorship is rampant. Twenty to thirty percent of medical science authors do not contribute substantially to the eventual peer-reviewed publication, particularly in large, multi-authored articles (1,3). One facet of undeserved authorship increasingly occurs in the form of ‘honorary authorship’ (ie, named authors who do not significantly contribute), which is granted either to chairs of departments as a convention or to authorship’ (4). Another is ‘ghost writers’ (5).

To assess original articles appropriately, scientists and clinicians must know the proper authorship and the origin and execution of the work. This establishes their reputation, productivity, grant support and opportunity for promotion. The order in which authors are listed quantitatively identifies credit. Although there are some differences among disciplines, most have the authors listed according to the magnitude of their involvement in the work, placing the principal investigator last. Because some journals, such as Gastroenterology, limit authorship lists in the references to contain a maximum of three followed by the near after – in its implementation and manuscript submission. Recognizing individuals whose role represents a limited contribution may best be communicated through an ‘Acknowledgement’ section. Multiple authors are necessary in this increasingly complex biomedical world. Even in biblical times, 40 different authors from three continents, writing in three different languages, created the Bible. Moses required help to complete Deuteronomy as the last portion covered a time after his death.

To accommodate the growing number of authors per article, institutions and journals have established guidelines that seek to clarify the role, involvement and responsibility of each author. Since 1985, a voluntary, closed-membership group of select general medical editors (the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors) has provided guidance on authorship that continually evolves with time. This committee of editors provides clarification on accountability, roles and responsibilities of authors, fraud, conflict of interest and clinical trial registration. The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors “ recommends that authorship be based on the following 4 criteria: substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; AND drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; AND final approval of the version to be published; AND agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved” (6). In its instructions to authors, the Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology fulfills some of these criteria: requesting a letter to indicate that all authors have participated in the research, and have reviewed and agree with the contents of the article. Few articles, however, clarify the actual contributions of the authors.

Authorship assigns responsibility and attributes credit. Substantive contribution must be a primary criterion. This should include significant involvement in the three components of any scientific publication: its original conception and design; implementation of the study including data collection and analysis; and, finally, writing major sections of the manuscript while being accountable for all of its content. Such decisions about authorship and order in the publication is best identified by the research team engaging in an open conversation. This should begin with the design of the study and continue throughout its implementation and manuscript submission. Recognizing individuals whose role represents a limited contribution may best be communicated through an ‘Acknowledgement’ section.

Multiple authors are necessary in this increasingly complex biomedical world. Even in biblical times, 40 different authors from three continents, writing in three different languages, created the Bible. Moses required help to complete Deuteronomy as the last portion covered a time after his death.
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