Endoscopic transmural necrosectomy for walled-off pancreatic necrosis:
A systematic review and meta-analysis
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BACKGROUND: Endoscopic transmural necrosectomy (ETN) is emerging as a viable treatment option for walled-off pancreatic necrosis. This NOTES-type procedure is significantly less invasive than an extensive surgical debridement; however, published data regarding the success of ETN in treating pancreatic necrosis have varied.

OBJECTIVE: To evaluate the published medical literature to determine the success of treating walled-off pancreatic necrosis with ETN.

METHODS: Studies using ETN as a primary mode of therapy to treat organized pancreatic necrosis were selected. Success was defined as resolution of the necrotic cavity proven by radiology. Articles were searched in Medline, PubMed, Ovid journals, CINAHL, old Medline, Medline nonindexed citations and the Cochrane controlled trials registry. The summary estimates were expressed as pooled proportions. First, the individual study proportions were transformed into a quantity using Freeman-Tukey variant of the arc sine square root transformed proportion. The pooled proportion was calculated as the back-transform of the weighted mean of the transformed proportions, using inverse arc sine variance weights for the fixed-effects model and DerSimonian-Laird weights for the random-effects model. Publication bias was calculated using the Begg-Mazumdar and Harbord bias estimators.

RESULTS: The initial search identified 920 reference articles, of which 129 relevant articles were selected and reviewed. Data were extracted from eight studies (n=233) that met the inclusion criteria. Organization of pancreatic necrosis was determined by computed tomography scan in all of the studies. The mean time of ETN after onset of acute pancreatitis/abdominal pain was seven weeks. The weighted mean size of the necrotic cavity was 12.87 cm (95% CI 10.54 cm to 15.20 cm). The weighted mean number of endoscopic procedures needed to resolve the necrotic cavity was 4.09 (95% CI 2.31 to 5.87). Pooled proportion of successful resolution of pancreatic necrosis using ETN was 81.84% (95% CI 76.73% to 86.44%). The pooled proportion of recurrence in the form of necrotic cavity or pseudocyst after ETN was 10.58% (95% CI 7.27% to 15.11%). Complications were noted in 21.33% (95% CI 16.40% to 26.72%) of patients and included bleeding, sepsis and perforation. The weighted mean number of days in hospital after ETN was 32.85 days (95% CI 10.50 to 55.20 days). For pancreatic necrosis that did not resolve, surgery had to be performed in 12.98% (95% CI 9.05% to 17.51%) of patients. The fixed-effect model was used to report all of the pooled proportions. Estimates calculated using fixed-and random-effects models were similar. Test of heterogeneity yielded P>0.10, indicating that the studies could be combined. The publication bias calculated using Begg-Mazumdar bias indicator yielded a Kendall’s tau b value of −0.07 (P=0.72) and the same using Harbord bias indicator gave a value of 0.33 (95% CI −1.35 to 2.01; P=0.60). Both of these indicators show that there was no publication bias.

CONCLUSION: The present meta-analysis showed that ETN is safe and effective at treating patients with symptomatic walled-off necrosis. ETN offers the advantage of minimally invasive endoscopic treatment without transabdominal surgery; however, better techniques and equipment are still needed to improve procedural efficiency. Decisions to perform ETN should be made by advanced endoscopists in collaboration with a multidisciplinary team with the facilities and personnel to manage these complex patients.
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The present meta-analysis and systematic review was written in accordance with the proposal for reporting by the QUOROM (Quality of Reporting of Meta-analyses) statement (1). The study design for the present meta-analysis and systematic review conformed to the guidelines of Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) study group (2).

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is a mild and self-limiting disease that resolves with conservative medical management in five to seven days, and requires only a brief period of hospitalization. However, acute necrotizing pancreatitis (ANP) develops in approximately 20% of patients, often complicated by infection, multiorgan dysfunction, sepsis, prolonged hospitalization and even death. AP is now broadly classified as acute interstitial edematous pancreatitis (mild) and ANP (severe) after having undergone revision of the original Atlanta classification (3). Locoregional complications largely including fluid collections that follow an episode of AP have been well recognized in the literature. They can be staged using contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan and include early (<4 weeks) and late (>4 weeks) complications. Typical early collections include acute peripancreatic fluid collections and acute necrotic collections, and late fluid collections including pancreatic pseudocyst and walled-off necrotic sinus (WOPN) (4). Complications of spontaneous rupture, infection with abscess formation, hemorrhage and jaundice is twice as common in untreated patients and is noted to be time dependent, usually after four weeks (5). Traditionally, these fluid collections following ANP were managed surgically with open transperitoneal debridement. This approach has a mortality rate of 11.4% and is as high as 20.3% when performed <4 weeks (6). Because of the high mortality rate with surgery, the use of minimally invasive (mini-invasive) techniques, such as percutaneous drainage under image guidance and endoscopic necrosectomy, has gained increasing popularity in many centres.

Over the past few years, the technique of mini-invasive endoscopic transluminal necrosectomy (ETN) has evolved from simple aspiration to debridement with nasocystic irrigation. Currently, the technique that is well described uses a linear array echoendoscope with Doppler ultrasound capability. First, the area of interest (transgastric approach) is localized to the pancreas and the peripancreatic tissue. After excluding the vasculature in the vicinity using Doppler ultrasound, a gastrocystic fenestration is created using a 19-gauge needle and samples are sent for pathology. A guide wire is introduced into the necrotic cavity through the fenestration that was previously created and the position is verified under fluoroscopy. The fenestration is now dilated using a controlled radial expansion balloon to create a gastrocystic fistula. Through this newly created fistula, a standard forward-viewing gastroscope is introduced and, using biopsy forceps and snares, the necrotic material is debrided. A stent (usually either plastic or metal) is left in place at the conclusion of the procedure to keep the fistula patent to allow access into the necrotic cavity at a later session. Most patients with severe AP with necrosis complicated by WOPN require multiple sessions to achieve radiographic and clinical success.

Despite the significant progress that has been made over the preceding two decades in the field of mini-invasive treatment of complicated pancreatic fluid collections, the evidence for adaptation into clinical use from various recommendations has been low (7). The aim of the present meta-analysis was to systematically review the published literature and estimate the success and complication rates of ETN or natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) in treating WOPN.

METHODS

Studies investigating ETN for the treatment of organized pancreatic necrosis identified using CT scan were selected. Articles were searched in Medline, PubMed, Ovid journals, EMBASE, Cumulative Index for Nursing & Allied Health Literature, ACP Journal Club, DARE, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, OVID Healthstar and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL). The search covered 1966 to February 2013. Abstracts were manually searched in the major gastroenterology journals for the past three years. Study authors for the abstracts included in the present analysis were contacted when the required data for the outcome measures could not be determined from the publications. The search terms used were “endoscopy”, “endosonography”, “acute necrotizing pancreatitis”, “drainage” and “treatment outcome”. Two authors (SRP and NK) independently searched and extracted the data into an abstraction form. Any differences were resolved by mutual agreement. The agreement among reviewers for the collected data was quantified using Cohen’s alpha (8).

Clinical trials designed with control and treatment arms can be assessed for quality. A number of criteria have been used to assess study quality (eg, randomization, selection bias of the arms in the study, concealment of allocation and blinding of outcome) (2,9). There is no consensus on how to assess studies designed without a control arm. Hence, these criteria do not apply to studies without a control arm (9).

Statistical methods

The present meta-analysis was performed by calculating pooled proportions (ie, pooled proportion of patients with study outcome). First, the individual study proportion of study outcome was transformed into a quantity using the Freeman-Tukey variant of the arcsine square root transformed proportion. The pooled proportion is calculated as the back-transform of the weighted mean of the transformed proportions, using inverse variance weights for the fixed-effects model and DerSimonian-Laird weights for the random-effects model (10,11).

The Forrest plots were drawn to show the point estimates in each study in relation to the summary pooled estimate. The width of the point estimates in the Forrest plots indicates the assigned weight to that study. The heterogeneity among studies was tested using Cochran’s Q test based on inverse variance weights (12). If P>0.10, it rejects the null hypothesis that the studies are heterogeneous. The effect of publication and selection bias on the summary estimates was tested using both the Harbord-Egger bias indicator (13) and Begg-Mazumdar bias indicator (14). Funnel plots were also constructed to evaluate potential publication bias using the standard error (14,15).

RESULTS

The initial search identified 920 reference articles, of which 129 relevant articles were selected and reviewed. Data were extracted from eight studies (n=233) that met the inclusion criteria (16-23). Figure 1 shows the search results.

The organization of pancreatic necrosis was determined by CT scan in all studies. The mean time of ETN after onset of AP/abdominal pain was seven weeks. The weighted mean size of the necrotic cavity was 12.87 cm (95% CI 10.54 cm to 15.20 cm). The weighted mean number of endoscopic procedures needed to resolve the necrotic cavity was 4.09 (95% CI 2.31 to 5.87). The pooled proportion of successful resolution of pancreatic necrosis using ETN was 81.84% (95% CI 76.73% to 86.44%). Individual study proportion of necrotic cavity resolution is shown in Figure 2. The pooled proportion of recurrence in the form of necrotic cavity or pseudocyst after ETN was 10.88% (95% CI 7.27% to 15.11%). Figure 3 shows the same with individual study proportions.

Complications were noted in 21.33% (95% CI 16.40% to 26.72%) of patients and included bleeding, sepsis and perforation. The weighted mean number of days in hospital after ETN was 32.85 (95% CI 10.50 to 55.20 days). For pancreatic necrosis that did not resolve, surgery had to be performed in 12.98% (95% CI 9.05% to 17.51%) of patients. The fixed-effect model was used to report all pooled proportions. Estimates calculated using fixed- and random-effects models were similar. Test of heterogeneity yielded P=0.10, indicating that the studies could be combined.

The publication bias of the present analysis was assessed using Egger-Mazumdar bias indicator. A Kendall’s tau b value of −0.07 (P=0.72) and the same using Harbord-Egger bias indicator yielded a value of 0.33 (95% CI −1.35 to 2.01; P=0.60). Both of these indicators show that there was no publication bias. Also, Figure 4 shows a funnel plot confirming the absence of publication bias.
Management of patients with necrotizing pancreatitis remains a challenge, and treatment of both early and late locoregional complications has evolved significantly over the past four decades, from delaying early open necrosectomy in patients with suspected sterile pancreatic necrosis (24) to conservative therapy complemented by aggressive medical management along with mini-invasive therapy in selected patients. It is now recommended that any intervention in the setting of ANP be delayed for four weeks, especially in the absence of infection or symptoms related to sterile necrosis (25,26). This allows the dead and devitalized tissue to be demarcated from the viable tissue to permit adequate necrosectomy and preserve as much of the healthy pancreatic tissue as possible. However, one-third of these patients would require intervention in the early phase of AP either due to development of infection or progressive organ failure necessitating drainage. It is believed that the mini-invasive therapies, which include percutaneous catheter drainage, ETN and video-assisted retroperitoneal drainage, induce less physiological stress than standard open procedures, which may reduce overall complications. Furthermore, data from randomized controlled studies demonstrated lower levels of serum inflammatory markers, such as interleukin-6, at 24 h following ETN compared with open procedures at baseline (27). In addition, major complication rates (new-onset multiple organ failure, intra-abdominal bleeding, enterocutaneous fistula or pancreatic fistula) in the ETN group were also far fewer (27). Despite showing a significant decrease in complication rates with ETN, a clear mortality benefit cannot be avoided and it appears that studies involving the sickest patients confirmed the need for surgery.

One limitation of the current meta-analysis was the inclusion of studies that were mostly retrospective case series. The number of

---

**DISCUSSION**

The approach to treatment in patients with necrotic fluid collections in the late phase is controversial. Whether to intervene surgically is dictated by the patient’s clinical condition (i.e., presence of or suspected infection, and symptoms such as mechanical obstruction, anorexia, continued pain and progressive malnutrition). Previously published literature also supports conservative therapy for asymptomatic and small fluid collections because aspiration increases the risk for introduction of an iatrogenic infection (28). Necrosectomy, either traditional or using a mini-invasive approach, becomes necessary in large, organized necrosis because they are symptomatic, harbor infection or become infected at some point during episodes of pancreatitis.

In the current systematic review and meta-analysis, we sought to determine the role of ETN for the treatment of necrotizing pancreatitis with late complications, such as WOPN, which could be sterile or harbor infection. The procedure was also safe, with major complications rates of bleeding, organ perforation and sepsis determined to be 21.3%. The procedure was also safe, with major complications rates of bleeding, organ perforation and sepsis determined to be 21.3%. The procedure was also safe, with major complications rates of bleeding, organ perforation and sepsis determined to be 21.3%.
patients included in most of the studies were too few in number and were unselected to draw comparative conclusions with alternative operative modalities. There were also some technical differences, with some series using ETN without the aid of endoscopic ultrasound to identify an appropriate transmural puncture site. Furthermore, details of the the necrosectomy procedure evolved over time, with use of larger stents to facilitate drainage and direct necrosectomy once the cavity was accessed. Despite the success rate with ETN reported above, approximately 13% of the pooled patients required surgical intervention for recurrence or nonresolution. More studies are needed to better risk stratify patients who are at risk for radiographic failure, and would need additional surgery and experience complications as technological advancements in endoscopic therapy for pancreatic surgery evolve. We could only speculate that patients at risk for endoscopic failure have peripancreatic necrosis that extends into the paracolic gutter because of lack of continuity with central necrosis. Papachristou et al (19) reported on a cohort of patients with large WOPN (>15 cm) and extension into the paracolic gutter who were at risk for failure; however, size alone was not considered to be a risk for failure (19). Patients with the above WOPN characteristics may be best served using a multimodal approach that includes a combination of ETN, percutaneous catheter drainage and video-assisted retroperitoneal drainage.

Studies with statistically significant positive results tend to be published and cited. Additionally, smaller studies may show larger treatment effects compared with larger studies. This publication and selection bias may affect the summary estimates. This bias can be estimated using Egger bias indicators and the construction of funnel plots, whose shape can be affected by bias. In the present meta-analysis and systematic review, bias calculations using both Egger (13) and Begg-Mazumdar (14) bias indicators showed no statistically significant bias. Furthermore, analysis using funnel plots showed no significant publication bias among the studies included in the present analysis.

**CONCLUSION**

ETN is an effective and safe alternative to open surgical drainage, with acceptable complications and offering the advantage of minimally invasive therapy for treating patients with symptomatic WOPN. In patients who do not demonstrate radiological response to ETN, surgery would be necessary. We speculate that it would be more practical to have a model of ‘step-up endoscopic’ approach. Well-conducted, multicentre randomized controlled studies are warranted to assess how step-up ETN compares with surgery in the management of these complicated patients and to identify those at risk for complications and treatment failure. Given the complex nature of symptomatic necrotizing pancreatitis and paucity of comparative studies, we suggest a multidisciplinary approach, ideally at centres with expertise in advanced endoscopy, surgery and radiology, with consideration of ETN in carefully selected patients.
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