
BACKGROUND: Operator fatigue may negatively influence adenoma detection (AD) during screening colonoscopy. OBJECTIVE: To better characterize factors affecting AD, including the number of hours worked, and the number and type of procedures performed before an index screening colonoscopy. METHODS: A retrospective cohort study was conducted involving individuals undergoing a screening colonoscopy at a major tertiary care hospital in Montreal, Quebec. Individuals were identified using an endoscopic reporting database; AD was identified by an electronic chart review. A hierarchical logistic regression analysis was performed to determine the association between patient- and endoscopist-related variables and AD. RESULTS: A total of 430 consecutive colonoscopies performed by 10 gastroenterologists and two surgeons were included. Patient mean (± SD) age was 63.4±10.9 years, 56.3% were males, 27.7% had undergone a previous colonoscopy and the cecal intubation rate was 95.7%. The overall AD rate was 25.7%. Age was associated with AD (OR 1.06 [95% CI 1.03 to 1.08]), while female sex (OR 0.44 [95% CI 0.25 to 0.75]), an indicator for average-risk screening (OR 0.47 [95% CI 0.27 to 0.80]) and an increase in the number of hours during which endoscopies were performed before the index colonoscopy (OR 0.87 [95% CI 0.76 to 0.99]) were associated with lower AD rates. On exploratory univariable analysis, a threshold of 3 h of endoscopy time performed before the index colonoscopy was associated with decreased AD. CONCLUSION: The number of hours devoted to endoscopies before the index colonoscopy was inversely associated with AD rate, with decreased performance possibly as early as within 3 h. This metric should be confirmed in future studies and considered when optimizing scheduling practices. Key Words: Adenoma detection; Endoscopy workload; Screening colonoscopy

CRC screening programs require allocation of significant resources (7), and improving the performance of colonoscopy as a screening tool has been the focus of many regulatory bodies and gastroenterology societies. There have been concerns about the rates of detection and removal of polyps in colonoscopies performed in Quebec, where they were found to be below sex-specific benchmarks (8). Furthermore, it was noted that the completion rates of colonoscopies as well as the reporting of key quality metrics were suboptimal, at best, in Manitoba (9). Colonoscopy-related quality measures have been identified to establish standards in the performance of colonoscopies; among these is the adenoma detection (AD) rate (ADR). Identifying factors that predict a decreased ADR is an essential step in the improvement of patients’ outcomes. In this study, we identified a threshold of 3 h of endoscopy time performed before an index colonoscopy is associated with a decreased AD rate. This finding should be considered when optimizing endoscopists’ workloads to improve patient outcomes.
effectiveness of colonoscopy. Some studies suggest that operator fatigue, either as a function of the time of day (10), queue position (11,12) or performing emergency procedures the night before the colonoscopy (13), may affect quality (14) and the detection of polyps (15) and adenomas (16), while others have not found this association (17,18). These metrics, as well as others, have been stressed in the clinical practice guideline by Tinmouth et al (19) for colonoscopy quality assurance in Ontario.

Accordingly, we sought to identify factors that affect AD during screening colonoscopy. More specifically, we examined the relationship between AD and the numbers of endoscopy hours and procedures performed before the index colonoscopy. We hypothesized that the numbers of hours worked and procedures performed, as well as the type of procedure mix, may influence AD.

METHODS

Patient and operator populations

The study cohort was identified using an endoscopic reporting database of individuals seen at a major tertiary care hospital in Montreal, Quebec. Both surgeons and gastroenterologists staff the endoscopy service. On average, 12,000 colonoscopies and gastroscopies are performed annually, of which since 2008, 65% to 75% are colonoscopies. The study population included consecutive individuals who underwent a CRC screening colonoscopy (average and high risk, initial and follow-up) as indicated in the endoscopy report between June 1 and August 25, 2009. Individuals who underwent flexible sigmoidoscopy or for whom the colonoscopy indication was documented on the endoscopy report as other than CRC screening were excluded.

Data collection

Three trained research assistants abstracted data from electronic colonoscopic reports (Endoworks, Olympus Corporation, USA) of procedures performed during the study period, as well as from the corresponding electronic hospital pathology reports. All data were entered into standardized electronic case report forms.

Endoscopy sessions extended from morning (08:00) until late afternoon (16:00) and were staffed by the same endoscopists with no set ratio of colonoscopies to other endoscopic procedures. Endoscopies were performed by 12 attending staff (10 gastroenterologists, two colorectal surgeons) and the endoscopy lists did not include acute or hospitalized patients.

Collected information included demographic (age, sex) and clinical data (family history of CRC, previous colonoscopy, previous polypectomy and estimated CRC risk according to the endoscopist clinical assessment), and colonoscopic findings (quality of the bowel preparation, cecal intubation, photodocumentation of the cecum, the number of polyps detected and polyp location). For incomplete colonoscopies, the reason and level of the colon reached were recorded. The scoring activity of the endoscopist on the index day up to the point of the index colonoscopy was analyzed including the time devoted to performing any procedure (gastroscopy, colonoscopy or endoscopic ultrasound) and their numbers. Colonoscopies that were performed between 08:00 and 12:00 were considered to be morning, and those performed between 12:01 and 16:00 were considered to be afternoon procedures.

AD was defined as a colonoscopy in which at least one adenoma was identified and determined based on a review of electronically filed pathology reports. The Institutional Review Board at the McGill University Health Centre (Montreal, Quebec) approved the study.

Statistical analysis

Sample size calculation was based on an a priori baseline adenoma prevalence in the centre's population of 30%. Using the rule of 10 outcome events per predictor variable (20), and the authors' desire to include up to 12 variables in the multivariable model, it was estimated that 400 screening colonoscopies would be needed to provide sufficient accuracy.

Data analysis included descriptive statistics computed for continuous variables including means and SDs, and minimum and maximum values. Percentages were used for categorical variables. A hierarchical logistic regression model was used to examine the association between independent variables and AD. A two-level data structure was used for patient- and endoscopist-level clustering. Patient age and sex, and estimated CRC risk were adjusted according to the endoscopist's clinical assessment, previous colonoscopy, previous polypectomy, family history of CRC and bowel preparation quality.

An estimate of ADR variability within endoscopist specialty (gastroenterologist or surgeons) were computed from random intercepts for endoscopists and the specialty (surgeon or gastroenterologist) at the endoscopist level.

An exploratory univariable analysis was also performed to determine the optimal time threshold in hours for AD, using ROC curve analysis; P≤0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. All analyses were performed using SAS version 9.2 (SAS Institute, USA).

RESULTS

The authors identified 430 consecutive eligible patients who underwent a colonoscopy for a screening indication (June 1 to August 25, 2009). The characteristics of the 430 patients are summarized in Table 1. The mean (± SD) age was 63.4±10.9 years and 56.3% were male. The bowel preparation quality was rated to be good in 86.3% of procedures and cecal intubation was achieved in 95.7% (Table 2). In total, the ADR was 25.7% (95% CI 21.4% to 29.9%) in the study population. The mean time from the beginning of the endoscopy session to the time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Percentage (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>56.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean ± SD</td>
<td>63.4±10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous colonoscopy</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous polyp removal</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average-risk screening indication</td>
<td>45.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of colorectal cancer</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal cancer</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial adenomatous polyposis</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as % unless otherwise indicated.

### Table 2: Characteristics and findings of screening colonoscopies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Percentage (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete colonoscopy*</td>
<td>96.3 (94.0–97.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecal intubation</td>
<td>95.7 (93.4–97.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photodocumentation of the cecum</td>
<td>72.1 (67.8–76.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowel preparation quality</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>86.3 (83.0–89.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>9.1 (6.3–11.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>3.7 (1.9–5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not documented</td>
<td>0.9 (0.02–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyp detected on index colonoscopy</td>
<td>40.9 (36.2–45.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of polyps</td>
<td>0.8±1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenoma detected on current colonoscopy</td>
<td>25.7 (21.4–29.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>0.07 (0.0–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced adenoma (from total adenomas)</td>
<td>28.9 (21.5–36.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonoscopies performed in the morning</td>
<td>70.9 (66.6–75.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonoscopies performed in the afternoon</td>
<td>28.5 (24.5–33.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of colonoscopy not documented</td>
<td>0.2 (0.0–0.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Discrepancy between the cecal intubation rate and the colonoscopy completion rate may be related to incomplete documentation.
of the index colonoscopy was 163±125 min, the number of endoscopic procedures (gastroscopies, colonoscopies or endoscopic ultrasounds) before the index colonoscopy was 5.3±4.0 endoscopies and 3.7±3.5 colonoscopies. The majority (70.9%) of colonoscopies were performed in the morning.

Adenomas were detected more often in men (67.0% versus 51.5%), and patients who were older (67.0 versus 62.2 years) had a history of polyp removal (43.5% versus 21.8%) and a higher number of polyps detected at the index colonoscopy (2.2 versus 0.3). The no adenoma group had a higher proportion of average-risk individuals (49.1%) compared with the group with adenomas (34.0%) (Table 3).

In univariable analysis, the significant predictors (at P=0.10 level) included sex, age, average-risk indication, previous polyp removal, good bowel preparation, photodocumentation of the cecum, number of polyps detected, hours to colonoscopy, morning endoscopy and male endoscopist. On multivariable analysis, the only factor positively associated with AD was age (OR 1.06 [95% CI 1.32 to 1.08]) per year. AD also decreased with an increase in the number of scoping hours elapsed until the index colonoscopy (OR 0.87 [95% CI 0.76 to 0.99]) per hour. A statistically significant difference was noted in the AD when comparing colonoscopies performed ≤3 h versus >3 h after the start of the endoscopy session (P=0.03) (Figure 1). No optimal cut-off could be identified using ROC curve analysis (area under the curve = 0.58).

**DISCUSSION**

Multiple quality indicators have been proposed to optimize a common standard of practice with the aim of maximizing the detection of adenomas during screening colonoscopy and decreasing the incidence of CRC. Indeed, the ADR may be the most important quality indicator because it has been associated with interval CRC (21), and both CRC incidence and mortality (22).

The ADR in our study was comparable with that reported by other large groups of endoscopists (23-25). A variant definition of the ADR is the proportion of adenomas detected per patient (26). Our definition of the ADR does not account for the presence of >1 adenoma per patient, which may be a shortcoming; nonetheless, we opted to use the traditional definition because of its broad adoption in the literature, which permits benchmarking with other studies (27,28). There are limitations to the use of the ADR as a means for evaluating the performance of endoscopists because it may not be dependable (29) and it has been suggested that a large number of procedures (ie, 500 colonoscopies) may be required for it to be reliable (30).

Among patient characteristics, we observed that age predicted increased risk for adenoma(s) (OR 1.06 [95% CI 1.32 to 1.08]); in contrast, AD was lower in women OR 0.44 (95% CI 0.25 to 0.75). Both findings are consistent with previous studies (31-33). In univariable analysis, we also noted an association between AD and a history of polypectomy (43.5% versus 21.8%).

There are numerous studies that have demonstrated that increased withdrawal time is associated with an increased ADR (23,34-36). We did not have withdrawal times for most endoscopists because time recording had not yet been implemented in a standardized way or widely disseminated as a quality measure during the study period in our institution. In a recent retrospective study, in which time recording was implemented, there was a statistically nonsignificant increase in polyps detected, and these were mostly small nonadenomatous polyps with no cancer potential (36,37). Withdrawal times likely reflect a characteristic of the endoscopist and the degree of care and scrutiny that he/she takes in examining the colon. With overall improvement in colonoscopy quality and the adoption of other quality markers, withdrawal time may or may not remain an independent predictor and, thus, we do not believe that its absence invalidates the results of the present analysis, especially considering the high ADR in our study.

Importantly, we found that fewer adenomas were detected as the time to the index colonoscopy increased in multivariable analysis (OR 0.87 [95% CI 0.76 to 0.99]), confirming our hypothesis. We showed a difference in AD when comparing colonoscopies performed ≤3 h versus >3 h following the start of the endoscopy session using an exploratory univariable analysis; however, ROC curve analysis failed to reveal an optimal cut-off, perhaps because of inadequate statistical power. Possible explanations for the existence of such a threshold include operator fatigue and pressure for keeping the procedure scheduling on time. This finding was noted independently of bowel preparation and other aforementioned patient-related factors by using hierarchical logistic regression adjustment. Our results mirror some previous studies showing that the polyp detection rate decreased with time (16), although no threshold values have previously been proposed. Harewood et al (14) demonstrated that colonoscopy insertion times increased and cecal intubation rates decreased with successive procedures, supporting operator fatigue, although this study did not demonstrate a significant decline in lesion detection, which may be due to differences in staffing of morning and afternoon sessions (14), in
which no endoscopist worked >4 h and colonoscopies comprised only 33% of a given endoscopy list. Our study differs in that endoscopy sessions extended from morning until late afternoon staffed by the same endoscopist, with no set ratio in colonoscopies to other endoscopic procedures, possibly further favouring the detection of a threshold phenomenon. Lee et al (12) found that each elapsed hour in the day was associated with a 4.6% reduction in polyp detection as well as 5.4% reduction in polyp detection with each increase in the colonoscopy queue position (12); however, the authors attributed an inability to demonstrate a statistically significant decrease to the small number of procedures occupying a queue position ≥8 (12). A decrease in polyp detection was not observed in studies in which endoscopy sessions were limited to 3 h (10) or half-day schedules (17,18), further raising the notion of operator fatigue as noted by Spiegel (38). In a study by Kaneshiro et al (15), the effect of decreased AD as the day progressed persisted even after using visual reminders.

Recently, a study from the English Bowel Cancer Screening Programme (11) speculated endoscopist fatigue as a factor for decreased ADR because it was found that the earlier the colonoscopy in the procedural list, the higher the ADR. Operator fatigue has also been shown to affect AD during screening colonoscopy when performed by endoscopists who performed emergent on-call procedures the night before performing screening colonoscopies when compared with those who were not on call (30% versus 39%, respectively; P=0.043) (13).

Interestingly, operator fatigue has been noted in the surgical literature in which a randomized trial of frequent breaks for surgeons during their operations resulted in a reduction in the surgeon’s serum cortisol levels and fewer intraoperative events when compared with the usual practice of no breaks (39). In the cardiology literature, adverse outcomes were noted in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary interventions during off hours (40), and were again observed in those undergoing nonurgent percutaneous coronary interventions later in the day when compared with those performed earlier (41). Fatigue has also been a focus of the aviation industry, in which there are established regulations to countermeasure its occurrence (42).

Other endoscopist characteristics have been examined in the literature. Neither sex nor endoscopist specialty were shown to affect AD in the present study (Table 3), a finding similar to that reported by Bannett et al (43), which included 52,506 screening colonoscopies performed by 196 endoscopists over a period of approximately 3.5 years. A recent population-based study from Alberta (44) found that primary care physicians performing colonoscopies achieved some of the quality benchmarks, including cecal intubation rates and ADRs, but included a mixture of patients who were symptomatic and others who were undergoing colonoscopies.

Adler et al (31) demonstrated an association between the number of continuing medical education meetings attended by the physician and ADR. This may be a reflection of the importance of endoscopist personality traits, and how this characteristic may affect individual performance parameters. Additional operator factors are also likely to play a role in ADR, such as the gazing pattern of the endoscopic image by the operator, as recently proposed (45).

Strengths of the present study include the elimination of the possibility of a Hawthorne effect due its the retrospective nature. We included all patients meeting eligibility criteria during the study period to reduce the potential for selection bias. Possible additional limitations include the lack of information regarding the date of the last colonoscopy as a risk factor for endoscopists’ performance rather than an objective measure. Although both of these variables may induce a misclassification bias, the finding of a lower proportion of average-risk individuals with adenomas (34.0% versus 49.1%) is consistent with previous reports (46). Furthermore, in addition to a minor amount of missing data (reported in Table 3), additional information regarding some potential confounders were unavailable such as the presence of the metabolic syndrome (47-49), smoking (50-52), body mass index (53) and socioeconomic status (54), preventing more extensive patient-level adjustment for AD predictive factors. In addition, the present study was conducted at a single institution, which may limit generalizability, even though multiple endoscopy disciplines were represented.

CONCLUSION

Patient characteristics and increased time from start of the endoscopy session until the index colonoscopy were associated with AD, with increased time to index colonoscopy negatively impacting AD. Operator fatigue may, thus, play a role, and has implications for optimal endoscopy session scheduling. Confirmatory studies are required to better characterize the effect of prolonged endoscopy sessions on the detection of adenomas during screening colonoscopy and identify an operational threshold.
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