Renal transplantation offers a survival advantage to patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) compared with maintenance dialysis (1). It is also associated with a three- to fivefold increase in the risk of developing a neoplasm. Accordingly, we determined the yield of screening colonoscopy among transplant candidates for colorectal cancer have been published.

Among patients with ESRD on maintenance dialysis, cardiovascular disease is the most common cause of death. Cancer deaths, however, remain proportionately lower. Malignancy accounts for up to 10% of all deaths in the United States, with a rate of 2.6 deaths per 1000 patient-years (9).

Cancer screening in the general population is effective when it yields survival benefits without incurring high costs or significant complications. In dialysis patients, early detection may not improve mortality; therefore, cancer screening should be individualized based on the patient’s overall status and life expectancy (10).

No studies investigating the benefits or harms of screening kidney transplant candidates for colorectal cancer have been published. Accordingly, we determined the yield of screening colonoscopy among
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RENAL transplantation offers a survival advantage to patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) compared with maintenance dialysis (1). It is also associated with a three- to fivefold increase in the risk for developing a neoplasm (2). The majority of these malignancies are nonmelanoma skin cancers and lymphoproliferative disorders. The risk for developing colorectal cancer also increases after solid organ transplantation (3). Compared with the general population, the Swedish cancer registries found the incidence of colorectal cancer to be approximately twice as high in transplant recipients (4). In addition, there was a propensity for right-sided cancers, with a standardized incidence ratio of 3.3 versus 1.8 for left-sided colon cancers.

In the general population, screening for colorectal cancer with flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy has been shown to reduce overall incidence ratio of 3.3 versus 1.8 for left-sided colon cancers. In the general population, screening for colorectal cancer with flexible sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy has been shown to reduce overall mortality from proximal colorectal cancer (5). In average-risk individuals, most guidelines recommend starting screening at 50 years of age and propose colonoscopy as an option for screening (6-8).

No studies investigating the benefits or harms of screening kidney transplant candidates for colorectal cancer have been published. Accordingly, we determined the yield of screening colonoscopy among
patients with chronic kidney disease who were considered for renal transplantation.

METHODS

Patients were included if they were ≥50 years of age, had chronic kidney disease and were being considered for renal transplantation. Candidates underwent clinical history and physical examination, transthoracic echocardiogram and psychosocial evaluation. They underwent a screening colonoscopy that was performed as part of their pretransplant workup. Patients were excluded if they had a clinical indication for diagnostic colonoscopy such as rectal bleeding, family history of familial adenomatous polyposis or history of colon cancer. A review of patients' medical records, including procedure notes and pathology reports, was performed and data from all eligible patients were retrospectively analyzed. All procedures were performed by nine experienced gastroenterologists who performed >1000 colonoscopies before their involvement with the study patients. Patients underwent moderate sedation with propofol or other sedative agents given by an anesthesiologist. Colonoscopies were performed in the endoscopy unit of a tertiary care centre. The study was approved by Institutional Review Board of King Fahad Specialist Hospital, located in Dammam, Saudi Arabia.

RESULTS

During the study period (September 2008 to May 2014), 433 patients with chronic kidney disease were considered for renal transplantation. The majority of these patients were on peritoneal or hemodialysis by the time they were referred for transplant. In most cases, the etiology of chronic kidney disease could not be identified due to the advanced stage of illness. Of these, 263 were deemed not suitable for transplant after preliminary workup. Reasons for exclusion from transplant included advanced cardiac or pulmonary disease, severe psychiatric illness and poor social support, which can lead to poor post-transplant follow-up. The remaining 170 patients underwent colonoscopy as part of their pretransplant workup. All procedures were completed to the cecum. One patient was excluded due to a history of colon cancer of their pretransplant workup. All procedures were completed to the cecum. One patient was excluded due to a history of colon cancer and one patient had amoebic infestation in another. No complications were reported in the study population.

DISCUSSION

We found that routine colonoscopy in patients with ESRD considered for renal transplantation with no other clinical indication for colonoscopy resulted in identification of polyps in 24% of cases. This is similar to the 22% polyp detection rate found in the general asymptomatic population in Saudi Arabia (11). In our study, one of 169 (0.59%) patients was found to have adenocarcinoma in the colon.

In patients with ESRD, renal transplantation offers the best treatment. However, the long-term use of immunosuppressive therapy has been associated with increased risk for solid organ tumours (12). Identifying major comorbidities before transplantation may improve overall survival. Early detection of adenomatous polyps may reduce morbidity in post-transplant candidates.

Colonoscopy and experience poorer outcomes compared with the general population (15,16).

Colorectal cancer is the second leading cause of death due to malignancy. It has an incidence that is two to three times higher in transplant recipients than the general population (13,14). Transplant recipients who develop colorectal cancer are often younger at diagnosis and experience poorer outcomes compared with the general population (15,16).

Colorectal cancer can present relatively early after transplant. In one study investigating 5602 solid organ transplant recipients with mean follow-up of 9.3 years (16), 40 patients developed colorectal cancer. The median time from transplant to cancer diagnosis was 6.6 years and 30% were diagnosed ≤5 years post-transplant. Screening in renal transplant candidates achieves two important goals: scarce resources will be well utilized; and that transplantation of recipients with active cancer will be avoided to prevent harm (17).

Screening methods for colon cancer can be broadly divided into noninvasive and structural examinations. Noninvasive tests include stool testing for fecal occult blood or fecal immunochemical testing, and structural examinations, which can include partial or full examinations of the colon, colonoscopy, flexible sigmoidoscopy and computed tomography colonography (18).

In the general population, the incidence of colorectal cancer was lower among men and women who underwent screening colonoscopy compared with those who had no history of colonoscopy. Screening colonoscopy was associated with reduced mortality from both proximal

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of the study population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean (range)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyps, n/n total (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced adenomas, n/n total (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyps &gt;1 cm, n/n total (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Figure 1). The characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

Of the 169 procedures performed, 128 revealed no evidence of polyps. Forty-one (24%) patients had ≥1 polyp diagnosed at the time of colonoscopy. The majority (n=25 [60.9%]) of patients had only one polyp; eight (19.5%) had two; four (9.7%) had three; and ≥4 polyps were found in four (9.7%) patients (Figure 2). In eight patients, the polyps were estimated to be >10 mm in size. The remainder of subjects had subcentimetre size polyps.

The most common pathological diagnosis was tubular adenoma without high-grade dysplasia in 15 (37%) patients. Twelve patients had hyperplastic polyps. In six patients, pathological examination of the removed polyps revealed normal colonic tissue. One patient had a sessile serrated adenoma. Advanced adenoma, defined as villous, tubulovillous or high-grade dysplasia, was found in four patients (Figure 3).

Adenocarcinoma was diagnosed incidentally in one patient. Other findings on pathological examination of resected polyps included rectal carcinoid in one patient and amoebic infestation in another. No complications were reported in the study population.
and distal colorectal cancer (5). Colonoscopy, however, is not without risks. There is a one in 1000 risk for major complications such as bleeding and perforation. Risk factors for a complication include older age, male sex, polypectomy and having the procedure performed by a low-volume endoscopist (19). Such complications can lead to significant morbidity, and even mortality, in patients with ESRD.

On the other hand, noninvasive tests have their limitations. In an Australian study investigating the prevalence of colon cancer and advanced adenoma in renal transplant recipients (12), fecal hemoglobin testing had poor sensitivity (31.0%) for advanced neoplasia. Nonetheless, the performance of the test was similar to what was found in the general population.

To our knowledge, the present study was the first to investigate the yield of screening colonoscopy in renal transplant candidates. The rate of significant findings, including adenomatous polyps, in our patient population was 13.6%. Other studies examined the yield of colon cancer screening in renal transplant recipients. The prevalence of advanced adenoma or colorectal neoplasia was 13% (12).

Our study had limitations. All procedures were performed by experienced gastroenterologists, which may have led to a lower complication rate. In a population-based study, nongastroenterologist endoscopists had a higher perforation rate compared with gastroenterologists (20). Lower complication results in our study may also have resulted from having anesthesiologists managing sedation in these patients. Our patients were chosen from a referral centre, which raises the possibility of selection bias. Due to the advanced stage they presented with, we could not identify the underlying cause of their kidney disease.

Renal transplant is an expensive treatment. Adding screening colonoscopy with an anesthesiologist providing sedation to the cost makes it even more expensive. Our study did not address the cost effectiveness of this approach.

On the basis of our results and current recommendations for the general population, we suggest that patients with chronic kidney disease ≥50 years of age who are at average risk for colon cancer and being considered for renal transplant should undergo a screening test. The choice of screening test, however, should be individualized based on the patient’s preference, and the risks and benefits profile of that particular patient.

STUDY HIGHLIGHTS

Current knowledge:
- Renal transplantation offers survival advantage to patients with ESRD.
- Screening for colorectal cancer in the general population reduces overall mortality from colorectal cancer.
- Colorectal cancer is the second most common malignancy in transplant recipients.

What is new:
- The yield of screening colonoscopy among patients with chronic kidney disease who were considered for renal transplantation is unknown.

What is new:
- One-quarter of renal transplant candidates had polyps found on screening colonoscopy.
- The rate is similar to what has been reported in the general population.
- The choice of colon cancer screening test in renal transplant candidates should be individualized based on the patient’s preference, and the risks and benefits profile.
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