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La transmission hétérosexuelle du virus de l’hépatite B: Une perspective de santé publique

RESUME: Compte tenu des objectifs médicaux de santé publique, s’en tenir à la transmission hétérosexuelle du virus de l’hépatite B pourrait être une approche trop restrictive et trop conventionnelle vu qu’elle n’envisage que les rapports génitaux survenant entre deux personnes de sexes opposé, à l’exclusion de toutes autres activités sexuelles. Or il faut tenir compte de la transmission de la maladie par voie intraveineuse chez les toxicomanes, et de la dissemination par voie anale. Il faut remplir trois conditions indispensables avant de lancer toute initiative de santé publique: l’agent pathologique doit être connu ou presumé; une relation causale doit exister entre l’agent et la maladie; l’intervention proposée doit être à la fois pertinente et efficiente. Les deux premières conditions sont établies dans le cas de l’infection par le virus de l’hépatite B. La dernière s’est toutefois avérée difficile. Il faut déterminer si une campagne de vaccination visant tous les enfants, les toxicomanes et les prostitué(e)s serait rentable et acceptée par une société morale. On doit tenir compte de tous les modes possibles de transmission pour pouvoir établir une stratégie de santé publique eficace pour combattre l’hépatite B.
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THE IMPORTANCE OF HEPATITIS B VIRUS INFECTION

its repercussions and global distribution are universally recognized. No one questions the merits of vaccines or vaccination programs as a primary (ie, before exposure) or post primary (ie, after exposure) prevention measure (1). And yet, we have failed to control and eventually eradicate this infection.

Given the objectives of the medical aspects of public health, the 'heterosexual transmission' approach may be restrictive and too conventional. It is restrictive in the sense that it only considers transmission between two individuals of different sexes during sexual intercourse, and conventional because it only considers transmission through peno-vaginal penetration, to the exclusion of other sexual acts.

PRINCIPLES OF PUBLIC HEALTH

Public health is defined as the art of protecting and promoting health, ie, the physical, mental, environmental and social well-being of populations without excluding the health of the individual (2). This art calls upon several sciences, notably microbiology, physiology, pharmacology, psychology, sociology, economics and epidemiology. The art of public health is practised by preventing or controlling diseases under the three endemic levels are universally recognized. No one questions the merits of vaccination of all children is accepted in principle as the best primary measure of preventive care (5).

In children, infection occurs early (vertical and horizontal transmission) and morbidity and mortality rates are high. Fortunately, children are easier to reach through the expanded program on primary immunization. Since the virus in children is not transmitted through direct sexual contact, children may be viewed as innocent victims. In this context, universal vaccination of children is introduced before or soon after contact with the infective agent, without taking into account the group's ultimate sexual orientation, sexual behaviour or drug use habits. In matters of public health, as in other areas, decisions are easier to make when issues such as sexuality or drug addiction—are not involved.

With respect to hepatitis B virus infection, hepatitis B virus is the sole biological aggressor implicated in a serious pathological process. Fortunately, a vaccine is available which can be administered at a pertinent time, namely, before or shortly after contact with the source of the infection. Hence, the three sine qua non conditions are satisfied.

In 'low endemic' areas such as Canada, the situation is more complex and problematic. Viral transmission is more common among adolescents and young adults through sexual and blood contacts than among infants or at birth. In this case, a second aggressor exists: the 'curse' or 'punishment' for acts deemed reprehensible by a society which imposes a certain morality. Thus, one is confronted with two epidemiologic situations with two aggressors: the biological infectious virus and the circumstantial event of possible transmission. Without the virus, there can be no infection. Circumstantial events alone such as prostitution, drug addiction and male or female (hetero-, bi- or homo-) sexual practices, cannot cause hepatitis B.

TRANSMISSION IN MALE OR FEMALE HETEROSEXUALS

There has been a reported decrease in the relative number of hepatitis B virus infections in the adult male homosexual population (not determined in female homosexuals) countered by a disturbing relative increase among male and female heterosexuals. It must be recognized that this finding is not due to the success of any
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routine vaccination policy. Indeed, the male homosexual community has taught an important lesson in public health. It has taken upon itself, as in the case of the AIDS epidemic caused by the HIV virus, to protect its own. Those residing in the same dwelling or family contacts of carriers were defined as 'individuals (spouses and children) who have intimate contact with a carrier within the family'. Such a definition sparked a lively debate as to what constitutes a family. For example, does this include the common-law spouse, the biological children of the husband and wife, more than two spouses, and spouses of the same sex? This matter was left to be resolved by public health officers who, as heads of public health departments, would have to use their own discretion and draw funds from their own budgets.

The health of the budget has sometimes taken precedence over that of the target population. The other groups were not included in the policy due to the view that they are already a financial burden on society and are present in large numbers. Consequently, 90 to 95% of hepatitis B virus vaccine doses have been administered to health care workers, despite an infection rate just slightly above that of the general population.

THE DILEMMA

For the person in charge of public health, the ambiguity is enormous initially and the temptation overwhelming to pursue two priorities at the same time: to halt the spread of hepatitis B virus and to ease the sense of evil and punishment. Only one must be chosen, however, and the other taken into consideration.

Hepatitis B virus is multivalent (7) as indicated by the Star of Venus (8) whose five points intersect and touch autologous and heterologous anatomical sites. No one can say whether coitus frigidus, sodomy, fellatio, copulation, andanism, masturbation, tribadism or kissing are of themselves homosexual, heterosexual or bisexual modes of transmission. Sexologists Rinesy (10), Hite (11), Masters and Johnson (12) and many others, have widely demonstrated the multivalent nature of human beings and have also shown that our closed and definitive classification of sexual orientation is simply theoretical and sometimes not in keeping with reality.

More consideration should be given to the many female heterosexual prostitutes who live with men longing to be mothers and to the number of their male customers who fantasize about anal penetration and pay double not to use a condom. Consideration should also be given to the number of male and female escort agencies and massage parlours that cater to business women. It should be recognized that 90% of the men who visit male prostitutes are married and, hence, are living socially and officially as heterosexuals. Furthermore, some people find prostitution to be the most profitable way to pay for drugs, which in turn might be considered necessary for selling one's body.

Feeling uncomfortable about such forceful images is indicative of an ignorance and inability to come to terms intelligently with human sexuality within the context of STDs, including hepatitis B. Over the past decades, control of this disease has regrettably failed, despite the availability of penicillin and other antibiotics, new microbiological screening techniques, and antihepatitis B virus vaccination programs.

THE SOLUTION

The only solution for the control and eventual eradication of hepatitis B, even in 'low endemic' areas, is the universal vaccination of children. The adult subpopulations affected by hepatitis B virus and certain vertical and horizontal modes of hepatitis B virus transmission are known. The associated risks of hepatitis B virus infection can be assessed to some extent. The potential for transmission through such vehicles as saliva, sperm, menstrual and vaginal secretions, urine, fecal matter and tears, however, is poorly understood (13). The biological vaccine product offers a solution, without waiting for the advent of adolescent
cens, by banking on future contact with the circulating virus to serve as a booster in ‘average and high endemic’ areas or in situations of high professional exposure (personal communication). Fear of being accused of promoting sexuality or drug addiction has driven the health care sector to practice ‘passive tolerance’, ie, intervening after infection, instead of prevention. This attitude only serves to ease consciences rather than benefiting the health of patients. Sex and drugs are not waiting for promotion. Young people are already confronted with them from the mean age of 12 years (4).

**AT WHAT COST?**

For two years now, pharmaceutical manufacturers have said that they can produce a pediatric vaccine for under $1 a dose (14) on the condition, however, that they receive a firm worldwide order of 200 million doses annually. But international public health agencies are waiting for a price announcement before they make any firm orders. What sort of financial compromise is society prepared to make in order to contribute to the worldwide eradication of a virus, without procrastinating, to protect travellers and missionaries indirectly and protect drug addicts, prostitutes and all sexual beings directly? The inclusion of the antiwhooping cough component of the diphtheria-whooping cough-tetanus vaccine in 1946 was primarily due to its low cost. The same holds true for the antimumps component in the combined measles-german measles-mumps vaccine in 1976.

The rule that states that antihepatitis B virus vaccination be given within 14 days after sexual contact with an infectious source may not be useful as it was established by simple analogy with immunoglobulins against hepatitis A virus. Furthermore, this rule would not benefit the patient who visits the doctor on day 15 or 16.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A clearer vision of this health problem on the part of public health authorities and society in general might have led to the timely implementation of a selective, unbiased primary pre-exposure vaccination program for the youth of tomorrow who would be at risk. By default or elimination, we must now seriously consider a universal vaccination program against hepatitis B in all children. The indirect effect of such a program will also benefit and protect those who escape vaccination.
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