Comparative activity of daptomycin and teicoplanin against enterococci isolated from blood and urine
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The authors compared the activity of daptomycin with that of ampicillin, penicillin, teicoplanin and vancomycin against 304 strains of Enterococcus species isolated from blood and urine. Daptomycin was as active as penicillin against Enterococcus faecalis: 90% of strains were inhibited by 2 mg/L. Daptomycin was more active than vancomycin (90% minimal inhibitory concentration [MIC50] 2 mg/L; 90% minimal bactericidal concentration [MBC50] 8 mg/L) but was less active than teicoplanin (MIC50 0.25; MBC50 8 mg/L) or ampicillin (MIC50 1 mg/L; MBC50 2 mg/L) against E. faecalis. In time-kill studies daptomycin was not more rapidly bactericidal than ampicillin or penicillin but was significantly more rapidly bactericidal than either teicoplanin or vancomycin. In combination with gentamycin, daptomycin has activity similar to that of penicillin, vancomycin and teicoplanin. Daptomycin may be a suitable alternative to penicillin in patients allergic to penicillins or for the treatment of enterococcal infections caused by beta-lactamase-producing enterococci.
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Activité comparative de la daptomycine et de la teicoplanine contre les entérocoques isolés dans le sang et l’urine

RÉSUMÉ: Les auteurs ont comparé l’activité de la daptomycine à celle de l’ampicilline, de la pénicilline, de la teicoplanine et de la vancomycine contre 304 souches d’entérocoques isolés dans le sang et l’urine. La daptomycine était aussi active que la pénicilline contre Enterococcus faecalis. 90 % des souches étaient inhibées par 2 mg/L. La daptomycine était plus active (concentration minimale inhibitrice de 90 % [CM50] à 2 mg/L; concentration minimale bactéricide de 90 % [CMB50] à 8 mg/L) que la vancomycine mais moins que la teicoplanine (CM50 à 1 mg/L; MBC50 à 2 mg/L) contre E. faecalis. Des essais ont déterminé que l'action bactéricide de la daptomycine n'était pas plus rapide que celle de l'ampicilline ou de la pénicilline mais qu'elle était significativement plus rapide que celle de la teicoplanine ou de la vancomycine. En association avec la gentamycine, la daptomycine a une activité similaire à celle de la pénicilline, de la vancomycine et de la teicoplanine. La daptomycine peut être une solution de rechange appropriée dans le cas de patients allergiques aux pénicillines ou dans le traitement des infections causées par des entérocoques producteurs de bêta-lactamases.
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ENTEROCOCCI ARE AN INCREASINGLY IMPORTANT CAUSE OF serious infections in hospitalized patients (1). This increase may be attributed in part to the widespread use of third generation cephalosporins and monobactams in the past decade (2-5). Accompanying this increase in prevalence has been the rapid emergence of enterococci which produce aminoglycoside-inactivating enzymes and are resistant to the synergistic interaction of aminoglycosides and penicillin (6-9). Enterococcal strains resistant to penicillins either by virtue of their ability to produce beta-lactamase or by the development of altered penicillin-binding proteins are also being recovered with increasing frequency (10-14). These strains are also resistant to the synergistic interaction of aminoglycosides and penicillins. Recently, Leclercq et al (15) described two strains of Enterococcus faecium resistant to both vancomycin and teicoplanin.

Because of the emergence of antibiotic resistance among enterococci, and since many patients are penicillin allergic, alternate antibiotics are needed for the treatment of patients with serious enterococcal infections. The authors compared the activities of daptomycin, teicoplanin, penicillin, ampicillin and vancomycin against Enterococcus species isolated from patients with bacteremia and urinary tract infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Organisms: A total of 304 isolates from blood and urine cultures were collected over a five year period from patients seen at St Boniface General Hospital and the Health Sciences Centre in Winnipeg, Manitoba. Of these, 141 were from blood cultures and 163 were urine isolates. Organisms were presumptively identified as Enterococcus species using esculin hydrolysis and 6.5% sodium chloride tolerance (16). The organisms were subsequently identified to species level based on carbohydrate consumption (16). All stocks were kept at -70°C in skim milk.

Antimicrobial agents: Gentamicin, vancomycin, daptomycin, teicoplanin, penicillin and ampicillin were supplied as standard laboratory powders by their respective manufacturers. All solutions were prepared according to manufacturers’ instructions.

Determination of MICs/MBCs: Minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined by the microtitre technique using cation-supplemented Mueller-Hinton broth (Difco Laboratories, Michigan) at pH 7.2 with a final volume of 0.1 mL per well. Antimicrobial agents were tested over a range of twofold concentration increments from 0.12 to 256 mg/L. Microtitre plates were stored at -70°C and used within three weeks of preparation.

The inoculum was standardized using a number 1 McFarland turbidity standard. This was diluted 1:10 using sterile water. A Dynatech MIC 2000 inoculator (Dynatech Laboratories, Virginia) was used to deliver 0.001 mL to each well. The final concentration of organism was 10^5 colony forming units (CFU)/mL. Plates were incubated at 35°C for 18 to 24 h in ambient air. The MIC was considered to be the lowest concentration of antibiotic resulting in no visible growth in the microtitre well. Minimal bactericidal concentrations (MBCs) were performed by subculturing 0.01 mL from each well and spreading it onto the surface of a sheep blood agar plate. The MBC was defined as the lowest antibiotic concentration resulting in a 99.9% reduction in the original inoculum. Strains were considered tolerant if the MBC/MIC ratio was 32 or greater. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, 29213 and Staphylococcus faecalis ATCC 29212 were used as control strains.

Time-kill procedures: Twenty-five blood culture strains of Enterococcus faecalis from both centres displaying high level resistance to gentamicin (MIC greater than 500 mg/L) were used in the performance of kill curves. Final concentrations of antibiotics were 2 and 5 mg/L for daptomycin and 10 mg/L for vancomycin, teicoplanin, ampicillin and penicillin. Antibiotic concentrations chosen were based upon readily achievable serum concentrations. Cation-supplemented Mueller-Hinton broth containing the appropriate antibiotics was dispensed in 10 mL volumes. A growth control without antibiotics was included in each run. The inoculum (mid logarithmic phase) was standardized against a 0.5 McFarland standard and diluted 1:10 in sterile broth. The inoculum (0.1 mL) was added to each tube to achieve a final concentration of 10^6 CFU/mL. The stationary tubes were incubated at 37°C in ambient air and sampled at 0, 4, 24 and 48 h.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>MIC (mg/L)</th>
<th>MBC (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range 50% 90%</td>
<td>Range 50% 90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enterococcus faecalis (n=281)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daptomycin</td>
<td>≤0.06 to 0.25</td>
<td>≤0.06 to 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teicoplanin</td>
<td>≤0.06 to 0.25</td>
<td>≤0.06 to 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>0.25 to 4</td>
<td>0.25 to 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>0.25 to 1</td>
<td>0.25 to 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>0.25 to 8</td>
<td>0.25 to 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Enterococcus faecium (n=18)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daptomycin</td>
<td>≤0.06 to 0.25</td>
<td>≤0.06 to 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teicoplanin</td>
<td>≤0.06 to 0.25</td>
<td>≤0.06 to 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penicillin</td>
<td>0.25 to 1</td>
<td>0.25 to 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin</td>
<td>0.25 to 1</td>
<td>0.25 to 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin</td>
<td>0.25 to 8</td>
<td>0.25 to 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MBC: Minimal bactericidal concentration; MIC: Minimal inhibitory concentration.
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**Figure 1** Time-kill curves of 25 isolates of Enterococcus faecalis. Daptomycin was tested at 2 and 5 mg/L. Other antibiotics were tested at 10 mg/L. CFU Colony forming units

Colony counts were performed by transferring 0.1 mL of broth to the surface of sheep blood agar plates. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 18 h and colony counts performed.

Time-kill synergy studies were performed on six blood culture isolates. Daptomycin, vancomycin, teicoplanin and penicillin were compared, each in combination with gentamicin against six *E. faecalis* strains not highly resistant to gentamicin. For the synergy studies, the vancomycin, teicoplanin and penicillin concentrations were 10 mg/L; the daptomycin and gentamicin concentrations were 5 mg/L. The method was otherwise as described for kill curves, with the exception that no sampling was done at 48 h.

In order to compare bacterial survival in kill curve studies, a 'repeated measures' analysis of variance comparing counts at each point using 'least square' means and adjusted for multiple comparisons via Bonferroni adjustments was used.

**RESULTS**

The authors determined susceptibilities of 304 *Enterococcus* species strains: 141 from blood and 163 from urine. Two hundred and eighty-one strains were *E. faecalis*. 18 were *E. faecium* and five were other *Enterococcus* species. Twenty-seven *E. faecalis* isolates (19.0%) from blood were highly resistant to gentamicin (MIC greater than or equal to 500 mg/L), as were 12 isolates from urine. Table 1 shows the comparative activity of daptomycin, teicoplanin and other agents against the 304 strains tested. Teicoplanin was the most active agent with an MIC<sub>90</sub> of 0.25 mg/L; however, the MBC<sub>90</sub> was 8 mg/L and 256 strains were tolerant of teicoplanin. The MIC<sub>50</sub>, MIC<sub>90</sub> and MBC<sub>90</sub> for daptomycin were 1, 2 and 8 mg/L, and compared favorably with those of penicillin (2, 2 and 8 mg/L, respectively). No strain was tolerant of daptomycin and only one strain was tolerant of ampicillin and penicillin. Vancomycin was the least active of the agents tested with an MIC<sub>90</sub> of 4 mg/L and an MBC<sub>90</sub> of 64 mg/L. Thirty strains were tolerant of vancomycin. The comparative activities of the agents tested determined by time-kill method are shown in Figure 1. Daptomycin (2 mg/L), vancomycin and teicoplanin were relatively bacteriostatic, resulting in a one log<sub>10</sub> fall in count from the original inoculum. Penicillin, ampicillin and daptomycin at 5 mg/L were more rapidly bactericidal, each resulting in 1000-fold reductions in counts. After 24 and 48 h, killing of enterococci was not different between penicillin and daptomycin (5 mg/L) (P>0.05) but was significantly greater than that of vancomycin, teicoplanin or daptomycin (2 mg/L) (P<0.05).
The results of time-kill synergy studies are shown in Figure 2. After 4 h, daptomycin (5 mg/L) in combination with gentamicin (5 mg/L) was most rapidly bactericidal, although the counts were not statistically significantly different from penicillin, vancomycin or teicoplanin each in combination with gentamicin. The daptomycin-gentamicin combination was the only one which resulted in a sterile broth after 4 h incubation. Teicoplanin, penicillin and vancomycin each combined with gentamicin also resulted in a synergistic interaction, i.e., the number of viable cells was 10-fold lower than the more effective antibiotic used alone. The geometric mean counts after 4 h were 48, 14 and 3 CFU/mL, respectively. After 24 h, daptomycin, penicillin and vancomycin each combined with gentamicin were sterile. The teicoplanin-gentamicin combination was not; the geometric mean count was 4 CFU/mL. After 24 h, daptomycin (5 mg/L) alone was not statistically different from teicoplanin, penicillin or vancomycin in combination with gentamicin (P>0.05).

DISCUSSION

The emergence of high level resistance to both aminoglycosides and penicillins makes treatment of serious enterococcal infections increasingly difficult. The present authors observed, as have others, that vancomycin was relatively bacteriostatic against a significant proportion of strains of enterococci (17-19). However, combined with gentamicin, vancomycin was as effective as the combination of penicillin and gentamicin. Although vancomycin alone may cure many serious enterococcal infections including endocarditis, many physicians recommend its use in combination with an aminoglycoside (20-24). In addition, vancomycin use may be associated with adverse reactions; less toxic antibiotics are needed (23).

Teicoplanin is a new cell-wall-active glycopeptide antibiotic with activity against Gram-positive bacteria including Enterococcus species (25-27). Although MICs were usually lower than for other agents tested, most strains were tolerant. The MBC90 was still below serum levels achieved with intravenous administration and lower than the concentration used in other kill curve studies (28). In time-kill studies the concentration tested did not result in significant bactericidal activity. Therapy with teicoplanin may be complicated somewhat by the observation that serum levels may not be predictable in seriously ill patients (29). In a rabbit model of enterococcal endocarditis teicoplanin is no more effective than ampicillin in the sterilization of vegetations (30). Since ampicillin alone is frequently inadequate for the treatment of serious enterococcal infections, the combination with amikacin may be an important therapeutic option.

Figure 2) Time-kill synergy curves performed in six strains of Enterococcus faecalis not highly resistant to gentamicin. Daptomycin and gentamicin were tested at 5 mg/L. Other antibiotics were tested at 10 mg/L. CFU Colony forming units.
infections, it seems likely that monotherapy with teicoplanin will be no more efficacious.

The in vitro activity of daptomycin determined using the microtitre method was similar to that of penicillin. The MBC was usually one or two dilutions higher than the MIC, and tolerance was not observed. In time-kill studies this agent was rapidly bactericidal when tested at a concentration of 5 mg/L. Whether the dosage to maintain this serum level will be tolerated in seriously ill patients remains to be determined. The results of synergy testing were also promising. Daptomycin in combination with gentamicin was more rapidly bactericidal than any other combination tested, although this difference did not reach statistical significance. On the other hand, Minuter et al (31) observed that daptomycin-gentamicin was less frequently synergistic than penicillin-gentamicin using the checkerboard technique of measuring antibiotic interaction. They also observed that in a mouse pyelonephritis model, daptomycin alone or in combination with gentamicin was less active than ampicillin alone or in combination.

Clearly, other animal studies and subsequent human trials are necessary to determine the role to be played by either daptomycin or teicoplanin in the antibiotic armamentarium. Whether physicians will choose to use these agents in serious enterococcal infections will depend upon the results of studies in animal models.
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