Cost effectiveness analysis of azithromycin and doxycycline for Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women: A Canadian perspective
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OBJECTIVE: To assess the cost effectiveness of azithromycin versus doxycycline therapy for cervical Chlamydia trachomatis infections in Canada.

DESIGN: A predictive decision analytic model using previously published clinical and economic evaluations, expert opinion and costs of medical care in Canada.

POPULATION: A hypothetical cohort of 5000 women followed over 10 years.

INTERVENTIONS: Two diagnostic strategies were compared, laboratory confirmed diagnosis (LCD) and presumptive diagnosis (PD) of C. trachomatis infection. Under each strategy, two treatment alternatives were analyzed, a single 1 g dose of azithromycin and a seven-day course of doxycycline as 100 mg twice daily.

RESULTS: Despite a fourfold higher acquisition cost, under base case conditions, for both diagnostic strategies, the azithromycin treatment alternative was more cost effective than the doxycycline alternative. For the LCD model, the cost per cure for patients receiving azithromycin was $184.76 compared with $240.59 for patients receiving doxycycline, resulting in an incremental cost of $55.83. For the PD model, the cost per cure for patients treated with azithromycin was $51.48 compared with $51.82, resulting in an incremental cost of $0.34. For the hypothetical cohort of 5000 women, the use of azithromycin translates into a projected annual cost savings of $279,150 and $1,700 for the LCD and PD models, respectively. In one-way sensitivity analyses for the LCD model, no clinically plausible changes in the base case estimates changed the results of the cost effectiveness outcome. In the PD model, clinically plausible changes in the probabilities of doxycycline cure, pelvic inflammatory disease, sequelae and chlamydia infection were found to alter the cost effectiveness outcome.

CONCLUSIONS: Based on the results from our model, the azithromycin strategy should be employed for the treatment of laboratory confirmed cases. However, for presumptive cases, azithromycin should be used only if the probabilities of C. trachomatis and pelvic inflammatory disease are more than 19%, doxycycline effectiveness is less than 78%, or the cost of azithromycin is less than $19.00.
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Genital tract infection caused by Chlamydia trachomatis remains the most frequently reported bacterial sexually transmitted disease in Canada. Despite limited screening of males, nearly 50,000 reports were made in 1995 (1). Young sexually active women are the most commonly afflicted, with 1200 per 100,000 of the population being infected (2). Chlamydial infection may be complicated by pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), epididymitis, perihepatitis and Reiter's syndrome in adults, as well as pneumonia and ophthalmia in the newborn (3,4). Such conditions contribute to both patient suffering and direct health care costs (5).

Effective therapy of uncomplicated C trachomatis infection is key to preventing complications and reducing the spread of the pathogen (6). Several trials have demonstrated equivalent efficacy of single dose azithromycin and one week of therapy with doxycycline, both for proven chlamydial infection and for syndromes for which C trachomatis is frequently the causative pathogen (7-12). Although single dose azithromycin is currently marketed at greater cost than a course of doxycycline, it may be cost effective under a variety of assumptions within the United States health care system (13). This study used decision analysis to determine whether azithromycin therapy might also be cost effective in the Canadian health care system where the cost of managing complications remains considerably lower than in the United States.

**METHODS**

**Analysis type:** Decision tree models were used to assess the cost effectiveness of two pharmacotherapeutic interventions for the treatment of C trachomatis cervicitis from the perspective of the Canadian health care system. The two treatment alternatives analyzed were oral azithromycin 1 g as a single dose, and oral doxycycline 100 mg twice daily for seven days. Each of the treatment alternatives was evaluated under the following two diagnostic scenarios: laboratory confirmed (ie, culture positive) C trachomatis infection before antibiotic therapy, and presumptive diagnosis based on clinical signs and symptoms of the patient or partner. These included mucopurulent cervicitis, epididymitis and urethritis (gonococcal or nongonococcal) in male partners, or a laboratory confirmed diagnosis of Neisseria gonorrhoeae.

**Decision analysis model:** The models used in this evaluation were derived from Haddix et al (13) and adapted to the Canadian health care system. For each of the diagnostic strategies, a decision tree was constructed (Figures 1,2) using Data (Version 3.0, TreeAge Software Inc, Massachusetts). Probabilities and costs incorporated into each decision tree were based on published findings, hospital patient costing departments and expert opinion.

For the laboratory confirmed treatment strategy, there were eight outcomes for each therapeutic intervention, while for the presumptive strategy there were 10 possible outcomes. In both strategies, because screening of C trachomatis infection occurs in the majority of pregnant women and both doxycycline and azithromycin are contraindicated for the treatment of C trachomatis in pregnancy, none of the sequelae associated with neonates (conjunctivitis and pneumonia) was included in either model. In clinical trials the incidence and severity of adverse drug reactions were mild and not significantly different in both doxycycline- and azithromycin-treated individuals, and thus the costs associated with the treatment of adverse drug reactions were not included in this analysis (7-12).

**Probability estimates:** To obtain the probability estimates a MEDLINE search of studies published in English from January 1966 to December 1995 was conducted. Reports involving effi-
cacy and toxicity evaluation of azithromycin and doxycycline for *C. trachomatis*, probability and estimates of PID, ectopic pregnancy, chronic pelvic pain and infertility were sought. Key words employed included ‘*C. trachomatis*’, ‘azithromycin’, ‘doxycycline’, ‘PID’, ‘ectopic pregnancy’, ‘chronic pelvic pain’, ‘infertility’, ‘cost effectiveness’ and ‘pharmacoeconomics’. To augment this search, a manual search of the literature was conducted to locate additional reports which were not identified in the computer-assisted review. In addition to the information obtained from the literature review, probability esti-
Compliance was assumed to be 80%. For the base case analysis, doxycycline costs associated with the first clinic visit and diagnosis of PID and sequelae were discounted at an annual rate of 5% to their present values. Sensitivity and break-even analyses: One-way sensitivity analyses were conducted for each variable for which the values were based on estimates or were uncertain. Values used for the range of the sensitivity analyses were confidence intervals (when available) or values deemed plausible in the clinical realm. These variables include doxycycline effectiveness, probabilities and cost of treatment for PID and sequelae, and prevalence of chlamydial infection in women who are presumptively treated. Break-even analyses were also performed on these variables to calculate the point at which both azithromycin and doxycycline are cost-equivalent.

RESULTS

Cost per treatment analysis: A single 1 g dose of azithromycin is four times more expensive than a seven-day course of twice daily doxycycline. For example, the costs of azithromycin and doxycycline for the treatment of a hypothetical cohort of 5000 women are $90,800 and $23,150, respectively. Despite this large difference in drug costs, the analysis indicates that azithromycin is the more cost effective treatment strategy.
for individuals treated with azithromycin than doxycycline in the laboratory confirmed and presumptive treatment models (Tables 3, 4). For the laboratory confirmed model, the cost per cure for patients receiving azithromycin is $184.76 compared with $240.59 for patients receiving doxycycline. This results in an incremental cost of $55.83. For the presumed treatment model, the cost per cure for patients treated with azithromycin is $51.48 compared with $51.82, resulting in an incremental cost of $0.34.

The use of azithromycin in a cohort of 5000 British Columbia women with a laboratory confirmed diagnosis of *C trachomatis* results in a cost savings of $279,150 to the British Columbia health care system. Under base case conditions, azithromycin is more cost effective to use in women with a presumptive diagnosis of *C trachomatis*. However, the incremental cost difference is only $1,700 for a cohort of 5000 British Columbia women.

### Univariate sensitivity and break-even analyses

Sensitivity and break-even analyses were performed around doxycycline effectiveness, probabilities of PID and sequelae, cost of treatment for PID and sequelae, and prevalence of chlamydial infection in women who are presumptively treated.

In the laboratory confirmed model, no clinically plausible changes in the baseline estimates of the cost of treating PID, the probability of sequelae and the cost of treating sequelae influenced the results of the cost-effectiveness outcome (Table 5). For doxycycline to be the more cost effective alternative, the probability of PID that results from treatment failure would have to be less than 9%. In addition, the effectiveness of doxycycline would have to be 93% for doxycycline to be more cost effective than azithromycin (Figure 3). For this effectiveness rate to occur, 65% of noncompliant patients would have to achieve clinical cure. Authors of a study addressing this issue found that the true incidence of clinical cure in noncompliant patients receiving doxycycline was only 30% (15). For the laboratory confirmed model, azithromycin remains the dominant treatment strategy as long as the cost of a 1 g dose of azithromycin remains below $74.00.

In the presumptive treatment model, many clinically plausible changes in the baseline estimates influenced the results of the study (Table 6). For example, the values for the probability of doxycycline cure, the probability of PID, the probability of sequelae and the probability of chlamydial infection were found to change the nature of the cost effectiveness outcome that might be achieved in the clinical setting.

### Tables

#### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Azithromycin</th>
<th>Doxycycline</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory confirmed</td>
<td>184.76</td>
<td>240.59</td>
<td>55.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presumptively treated</td>
<td>51.48</td>
<td>51.82</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Azithromycin</th>
<th>Doxycycline</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory confirmed</td>
<td>923,800</td>
<td>1,202,950</td>
<td>279,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presumptively treated</td>
<td>257,400</td>
<td>259,100</td>
<td>1,700</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Table 5

Sensitivity and break-even analyses in the laboratory confirmed model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Choose azithromycin</th>
<th>Choose doxycycline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probability of doxycycline cure</td>
<td>&lt;93%</td>
<td>&gt;93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of PID</td>
<td>&gt;9%</td>
<td>&lt;9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of chronic pelvic pain</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of ectopic pregnancy</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of infertility</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of PID</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of chronic pelvic pain</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of ectopic pregnancy</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of infertility</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of azithromycin</td>
<td>&lt;$74</td>
<td>&gt;$74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PID Pelvic inflammatory disease*
DISCUSSION

From the perspective of the British Columbia Health Care System, our decision analysis suggests that under basecase assumptions, azithromycin is a more cost effective treatment than doxycycline for both diagnostic strategies. When these results are extrapolated to a cohort of women approximately the same size as the number of women treated each year in British Columbia, the use of azithromycin would result in considerable cost savings despite its fourfold higher acquisition cost. For example, in British Columbia, almost 5000 women are treated annually for Chlamydia trachomatis cervicitis. Approximately 95% of these women have a laboratory confirmed diagnosis while the remaining 5% are treated based upon a presumptive diagnosis. Based on this breakdown, the actual cost savings that could be realized in British Columbia by using azithromycin is estimated to be $279,150. Calculated at a national level, this cost savings represents approximately $3 million in avoided medical costs.

In general, our model is conservative with respect to the cost effectiveness of the azithromycin treatment. For example, we have only considered the direct medical costs of treating chlamydia infections and their sequelae. Indirect costs to the provincial government may include decreased tax revenue due to work losses and a reduction in productivity. If the perspective is expanded to that of society, indirect and intangible costs, such as a reduction in quality of life, pain and suffering, need to be considered. We have considered the highest reported value for compliance with the doxycycline regimen (80%), thus maximizing its effectiveness. In addition, we did not consider the costs of treating the secondary transmission of chlamydia infections to sexual partners or neonates. Because the azithromycin strategy has a higher effectiveness rate, these findings further increase the value of the cost effectiveness ratio. With respect to adverse drug reactions, we have assumed that the frequency, magnitude and cost of their treatment would be equivalent in both treatment strategies despite the longer duration of doxycycline treatment.

Although this study discusses the issue from a Canadian perspective, investigators from the United States and Sweden have arrived at similar conclusions. Authors of two well-designed studies from the United States have concluded that azithromycin is the more cost effective treatment strategy in the American health care system (13,33). However, authors of another study comparing five treatment strategies for Chlamydia trachomatis cervicitis concluded that doxycycline was the most cost-effective strategy (34). These authors had assumed equivalent effectiveness between the two agents and found that azithromycin became a more cost effective strategy when the initial cure rate of azithromycin exceeded that of doxycycline by three percentage points. Unfortunately, the likelihood of equivalent effectiveness between azithromycin and doxycycline is remote when one considers that the compliance rate for a seven-day doxycycline regimen has been reported to be between 50% and 80% (13,14-17).

In the Swedish study conducted by Genç and Mårdh (35), the objective was to assess the cost effectiveness of identifying and treating asymptomatic female carriers of Chlamydia trachomatis. The identification strategies evaluated were tissue cell culture, confirmed immunofluorescence, DNA amplification assays based on either polymerase chain reaction or ligase chain reactions, or no screening. In addition, the treatment strategies of a single 1 g dose of azithromycin, a seven-day course of doxycycline 100 mg orally twice a day, or no treatment were compared. The authors found that for asymptomatic carriers of Chlamydia trachomatis, screening with a DNA amplification assay combined with the single 1 g dose of azithromycin was the most cost effective strategy when the prevalence of infection was equal to or greater than 6%.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results from our model, the azithromycin strategy should be employed for the treatment of laboratory confirmed cases. However, for presumptive cases, azithromycin should be used only if the probabilities of Chlamydia trachomatis and PID are greater than 19%, doxycycline effectiveness is less than 78%, or the cost of azithromycin is less than $19.00.
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