What do central venous catheter-associated bloodstream infections have to do with bundles?
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Interest in the patient safety agenda continues to grow in North America. In the United States (US), the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (IHI) has begun a campaign to make health care safer and more effective by encouraging hospitals to implement interventions they believe can avoid 100,000 deaths between January 2005 and July 2006 (1). The IHI, a not-for-profit organization founded in 1991, promotes the improvement of health by advancing the quality and value of health care (2). Three of the six areas for action chosen by the IHI for their ‘100,000 Lives Campaign’ relate to prevention of nosocomial infections: central line infections, surgical site infections and ventilator-associated pneumonia. In Canada, a grassroots patient safety campaign modelled after the IHI’s ‘100,000 Lives Campaign’ has formed (3). This ‘Safer Healthcare Now!’ campaign focuses on the same six strategies chosen for the ‘100,000 Lives Campaign’. Across the country, hospitals are being invited to join the ‘Safer Healthcare Now!’ campaign.

In a previous issue of the Journal, we reviewed the relationship between hospital-acquired infection and patient safety (4). Nosocomial infections are the most common complications affecting hospitalized patients, with 5% to 10% of patients admitted to US acute care hospitals acquiring one or more infections (5). In absolute numbers, this translates into approximately two million patients in the US developing a hospital-acquired infection every year, with an estimated 90,000 deaths and a US$4.5 to US$5.7 billion cost of care (6). These findings are supported by other studies. A multicentre point prevalence study conducted in Italian hospitals in October 2001 (7) found that 163 of 2165 patients (7.5%) had 179 nosocomial infections. A similar point prevalence study, conducted in February 2002 by hospitals participating in the Canadian Nosocomial Infection Surveillance Program, identified 681 of 6747 patients (10.1%) with 746 nosocomial infections (D Gravel, personal communication). At greatest risk for infection and poor outcome are patients in critical care units (7-11).

While bloodstream infections (BSIs) are not the most common of nosocomial infections, they have long been recognized as having a significant impact on outcome and costs, and may be among the most preventable of the nosocomial infections. Nosocomial bacteremias are divided into primary and secondary types. A primary bloodstream infection refers to a bacteremia or fungemia for which there is no documented source, and includes intravenous or arterial line infections. A secondary bloodstream infection is one that develops from a documented infection with the same organism at another body site. Most nosocomial bacteremias are primary in nature (12), and central venous catheters (CVCs) are the most frequent cause of nosocomial BSI (13). Therefore, it is not surprising that both the ‘100,000 Lives’ and ‘Safer Healthcare Now!’ campaigns have focused on central line infection prevention as one of their strategies.

Information specifically related to BSI rates is available from several sources. The National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System has been collecting data from selected US hospitals since 1970. Over the past 13 years, investigators from this tracking system have focused on nosocomial infections in surgical patients and in patients located in high-risk nurseries and adult and pediatric intensive care units (ICUs). A National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance System summary in October 2004 reported CVC-associated BSI rates ranging from 2.7/1000 to 7.4/1000 central-line-days in ICUs and 3.5/1000 to 9.1/1000 central-line-days in high-risk nurseries (14). Another nationwide database that provides information on nosocomial infections is the Surveillance and Control of Pathogens of Epidemiologic Importance (SCOPE) project, which includes 49 hospitals in 32 states in the US. Between March 1995 and September 2002, this project reported 24,279 BSIs for a rate of 60/10,000 hospital admissions, with no trend over time observed (15). Approximately one-half of the BSIs occurred in the ICU and 15% were identified in pediatric patients (15). In a study of patients admitted to the medical and surgical ICUs of a nonteaching hospital in Missouri, USA, investigators determined that 1% of all patients and 4% of patients with a CVC developed a primary BSI, for a rate of 4/1000 catheter-days (16). This suggests that the risk for nosocomial infection is similar in nonteaching community and...
AID Notes

tertiary-care teaching hospitals. Comparable BSI rates have been reported from Finnish (0.8/1000 patient-days) (17) and Greek (3.6/1000 admissions) (18) studies.

The spectrum of organisms isolated from patients with nosocomial BSIs tends to be consistent from one study to another. The most common pathogens are Gram-positive organisms: coagulase-negative staphylococci, Staphylococcus aureus and enterococci (15-18). While the relative frequencies of pathogens have remained fairly stable over the past decade, the continued increase in antimicrobial-resistant strains, especially methicillin-resistant S. aureus, is of concern (14,15).

Crude mortality rates for nosocomial BSIs have ranged from 16% to 53%, varying with organism and patient acuity of illness (12,15,17,19,20). Studies have generally identified excess attributable mortality due to the presence of a nosocomial BSI. Independent of mortality outcomes, nosocomial BSIs are associated with excess hospital and/or ICU length of stay (16,19,21), as well as excess costs (19).

The vast majority of studies examining CVC-related infections have been in the hospital setting. However, recent studies have demonstrated that community-acquired bacteremia is often device-related. Over a four-year study period (1994 to 1998) in Seattle, Washington, USA, intravascular catheters were implicated in 20.4% of community-acquired BSIs (22). The crude mortality rate for intravascular catheter-related BSI was 7.1%, which did not represent an independent risk factor for death (22). In 1996, Steinberg et al (23) reported a 22% increase in community-acquired S. aureus bacteremias related to intravascular catheters between 1980 and 1993. A study that distinguished between community-acquired, health care-associated (but not strictly hospital-acquired) and nosocomial BSIs found that there were as many health care-associated infections (37%) as there were nosocomial infections (35%) (24). Patients with health care-associated BSIs and nosocomial BSIs had similar frequencies of CVC-related infections, and 20% of these infections were due to methicillin-resistant S. aureus (24). In-hospital mortality was higher for the nosocomial BSIs, but long-term survival was the same for health care-associated BSIs and nosocomial BSIs in this cohort (24). It is to be expected that as more health care is delivered outside of the hospital, there will be an increase in the number of community-acquired (but not strictly hospital-acquired) and nosocomial BSIs found that there were as many health care-associated infections (37%) as there were nosocomial infections (35%) (24).

Patients with health care-associated BSIs and nosocomial BSIs had similar frequencies of CVC-related infections, and 20% of these infections were due to methicillin-resistant S. aureus (24). In-hospital mortality was higher for the nosocomial BSIs, but long-term survival was the same for health care-associated BSIs and nosocomial BSIs in this cohort (24). It is to be expected that as more health care is delivered outside of the hospital, there will be an increase in the number of community-acquired (but not strictly hospital-acquired) and nosocomial BSIs found that there were as many health care-associated infections (37%) as there were nosocomial infections (35%) (24).

It has already been identified that intravascular devices are responsible for most health care-associated (including nosocomial) BSIs. A study of 17 teaching and 56 nonteaching acute hospitals in England between 1997 and 2001 found that devices were responsible for 52.4% and 43.3% of nosocomial BSIs, respectively, and that central lines were the most common source (25). This study was also able to identify high-risk populations for targeted surveillance and prevention efforts. In teaching hospitals, high-risk populations were in ICUs, hematology, special care nurseries, nephrology, and oncology, where central lines accounted for 89% of device-related bacteremias (25). This suggests, therefore, that prevention efforts in teaching should be directed at line management in specific patient populations. In nonteaching hospitals, the above-noted populations accounted for a small proportion of bacteremias, suggesting that efforts should be focused elsewhere in those types of facilities (25). Other factors have been identified that influence nosocomial BSI rates. Among these are factors related to health care worker staffing and expertise. Two separate studies showed that reduced nurse-to-patient ratios in the ICU were associated with increased nosocomial BSI rates (26,27). Furthermore, another study found that, in addition to the staffing ratio, the training of nursing personnel in a surgical ICU impacts on BSI risk (28).

A number of strategies have been identified to reduce the incidence of CVC-associated BSIs. These strategies have come from observational studies that identify modifiable risks for infection and from randomized, controlled trials examining specific interventions. Observational studies have reported that the risk of BSI or catheter colonization is high for lines inserted into the internal jugular and femoral veins (29-31). Based on this finding, the subclavian site is preferred for CVC insertion. Chlorhexidine is superior to povidone-iodine and alcohol when cleansing the site before central line insertion (32). More than 10 years ago, Raad et al (33) demonstrated that maximal sterile barrier precautions (sterile gloves, long-sleeved sterile gown, mask, cap and large, sterile sheet drape) reduce the risk of CVC-associated BSI. A number of studies have examined the benefit of antimicrobial-coated or -impregnated catheters (29,34-36). Studies with these devices showed reduced BSI rates. However, there are several considerations when applying these results in practice. In some studies, the BSI rate was quite high in control subjects, suggesting that these devices may have their greatest use in centres with high BSI rates despite the implementation of other proven manoeuvres. Additionally, the benefit of these devices is with catheters intended for relatively short-term use (less than 14 to 28 days, depending on device). Several other recommendations related to the management of central venous and arterial catheters have been made by Mermel (29) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (37). In terms of catheter maintenance, these recommendations include low-dose heparin for patients with short-term CVCs; adequate nurse-to-patient ratios in ICUs; continuing quality improvement programs to improve compliance with catheter care guidelines; disinfection of catheter hubs and sampling ports before accessing; specialized nursing teams caring for patients with catheter usage for total parenteral nutrition; and removing catheters as soon as possible after intended use. Either transparent or gauze dressings are acceptable, and the routine replacement of CVCs is not indicated to prevent infection (29,37). The IHI has incorporated five elements into its central line bundle: hand hygiene; maximal barrier precautions; chlorhexidine skin antisepsis; optimal catheter site selection with subclavian vein as the preferred site for nontunnelled catheters; and daily review of line necessity with prompt removal of unnecessary lines (1).

One would think it simple enough to implement the proven and agreed upon preventive strategies; however, there is literature to suggest that this is not necessarily the case. A single-day prevalence survey of CVC use among adult inpatients at six US medical centres found that the jugular site was used in 33% of ICU patients with central lines (38). In another US study examining processes of care (39), it was noted that the jugular was the insertion site in 43.6% of ICU patients, and that a large drape and sterile gown were used in 58.1% and 76.8% of CVC insertions, respectively. Alonso-Echanove et al (40) identified care by a float nurse for more than 60% of CVC-days as an independent predictor of CVC-related BSI; in this ICU study, the range of proportion of float nurse-days was 0% to 25% and the median nurse-to-patient ratio was 0.5. An examination of factors influencing internists’ use of maximal
barriers and proper skin antisepsis for central catheter insertion noted only that 28.2% of respondents were compliant with all components of maximal barriers (41). The key reasons for nonadherence included limited access to chlorhexidine and the fact that the internists did not believe in the effectiveness of maximal barrier precautions (41). Factors not found to influence compliance were experience with CVC insertion, specialty, practising in an academic medical centre, and awareness of the guidelines. Although these findings might have been influenced by the low response rate to the survey (52.9%), our collective experience suggests that these findings reflect the truth. While similar studies have not been performed in Canada, the observation that there is significant variation in CVC-related infection risk among Canadian neonatal ICUs (after controlling for patient characteristics and illness severity at admission) suggests the potential for variation of practices that influences BSI rates (42).

Ambitious goals have been set out by the ‘100,000 Lives’ and ‘Safer Healthcare Now!’ campaigns. However, prevention of primary BSIs is an important patient safety goal. Studies suggest that these goals can be achieved through focused programs concentrating on surveillance, education, implementation of proven practices and feedback to providers (43,44). Front-line health care workers need to be prepared to effect change. Administrators must provide the resources required to implement and monitor these changes, and then measure the outcomes.
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