**CLINICAL VIGNETTES**

**Only a train can mask another train**

Behrouz Salehian MD¹, Melvin Yan DO²

**CASE 1**

A 36-year-old man presented with fever, abdominal pain and diarrhea reportedly lasting for the past three months. Originally an immigrant from Mexico, he had been living in California for the past four years and made a recent trip back to Guerrero, Mexico.

On admission, the patient was febrile (41°C), hypotensive (94/54 mmHg), tachycardic (118 beats/min) and tachypneic (36 breaths/min). Multiple bilateral cervical and axillary lymph nodes were palpated. Other findings included crackles in the bases of both lungs and a large mass in the left hypochondrium.

Initial laboratory tests were significant, showing a partial pressure of O₂ of 36 mmHg in arterial blood while breathing room air, a total leukocyte count of 3.8×10⁹/L (neutrophils 79%, bands 3%, lymphocytes 14% and monocytes 1%), a hemoglobin level of 102 g/L, hematocrit of 32%, a mean corpuscular volume of 78.7 fl and a platelet count of 273×10⁹/L. A lumbar puncture was normal, with no organisms seen on smear, and India ink was negative with negative bacterial cultures. A tuberculin skin test was also negative. Serology (both ELISA and Western blot assays) was negative for HIV. The initial chest x-ray was abnormal with a wide mediastinum, but without infiltrates. Further work-up included an abdominal ultrasound, which revealed a hypechogenic mass adjacent to the tail of the pancreas.

Pancytopenia developed during the admission. A computed tomography scan of the chest showed the presence of mediastinal and peribronchial lymph nodes, bilateral pleural effusions and consolidation in both lung bases. A computed tomography scan of the abdomen showed an irregular large mass near the pancreatic tail encasing the splenic vein and multiple ill-defined densities in the periaortic area.

What diagnostic procedure was performed, and what was the diagnosis?

*Continued on next page*

---

**Doctor, there’s a tadpole in my feces!**

Rosemary Drisdelle ART, Kevin R Forward MD FRCP C

**CASE 2**

A 36-year-old male resident of a coastal Nova Scotia community presented to his family physician after he noted moving objects in his feces (Figure 1). Although concerned and revolted, he was asymptomatic. He was worried that the organisms were an intestinal parasite he had passed in his stool. The organisms were approximately 2.5 cm long and resembled tadpoles. The fecal sample was sent to the Parasitology Section at the Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre in Halifax, Nova Scotia, for identification.

What was the diagnosis?
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Case 1 – continued

Figure 1) Bone marrow biopsy demonstrating the presence of a monocyte (centre) containing intracytoplasmic inclusions, each with a halo, characteristic of Histoplasma capsulatum. A few plasmacytes and lymphocytes are visible (hematoxylin and eosin stain, original magnification ×40).

**DIAGNOSIS**

A bone marrow biopsy revealed *Histoplasma capsulatum* inclusions in monocytes (Figure 1), and a bone marrow culture confirmed the diagnosis of histoplasmosis. After an initial full recovery following amphotericin B therapy and then oral itraconazole and monthly follow-up, he was readmitted five months later with a recurrence of histoplasmosis. Repeat HIV serology was positive, indicating recent HIV seroconversion.

**DISCUSSION**

This patient had fever of unknown origin, and his final diagnosis of disseminated histoplasmosis is considered unusual without an established state of immunosuppression. He was HIV-negative in both the ELISA and Western blot assays at initial hospital admission; however, he was HIV-positive five months later. At the time of the symptomatic histoplasmic infection, the patient most likely had a very early HIV infection but was still antibody-negative. His initial two-month flu-like illness, consisting of fever, chills, fatigue and decreased appetite, could have represented early acute HIV syndrome. A literature review failed to disclose other reports of acute HIV seroconversion coincident with the onset of histoplasmosis as an opportunistic infection. The usual time from HIV viral inoculation to seroconversion is between two weeks and six months (1). It took us five months to establish the diagnosis of HIV seroconversion in our patient. However, the patient became symptomatic with an *H capsulatum* infection during the seroconversion period, which, in retrospect, could have been a combination of symptoms arising from the simultaneous infections. If we had obtained plasma for HIV RNA viral load at the time of his initial presentation, we could have confirmed the HIV seroconversion despite an initial negative serology.
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Case 2 – continued

**DIAGNOSIS**

The objects were tentatively identified as the ‘rat-tailed’ maggots of a syrphid, or drone fly, of the genus *Eristalis*. Our patient was advised to collect several subsequent stool specimens on different days. No drone fly larvae were found in the patient’s subsequent stool samples.

On further questioning regarding the circumstances under which the original larvae were found, we learned that the stool in question had not been flushed into a closed septic system, but had remained in an ‘open cistern’ for a brief period before being discovered by another individual. In all likelihood, the organisms were already present in the cistern and migrated to the fecal ‘food supply’ prior to their discovery.

No further treatment or follow-up was recommended.

**DISCUSSION**

Also known as a hover fly or flower fly, the drone fly is quite large and resembles a honeybee. The adult fly visits flowers and collects nectar; however, the larval stage of this insect is far less fanciful – the female fly lays hundreds of eggs on decaying organic matter, including feces (1).

The developing larvae feed on decomposing organic material. The tail-like structure is actually a breathing tube, allowing the larvae to flourish in very wet environments and to feed on submerged material with their breathing tubes extended to the surface (2). These larvae may invade farm out-buildings in large numbers in search of a dry location when they are ready to pupate (1).

It has been suggested that humans acquire *Eristalis tenax* intestinal myiasis by ingesting the fly eggs, or early-stage maggots, in contaminated food or water (2,3). The larvae then develop in the intestine, feeding on luminal contents. However, there is some question as to whether they can survive the anaerobic environment of the intestine. Alternatively, it has been suggested that the female fly may deposit eggs in the perianal area and the newly hatched maggots crawl into the rectum and develop there, extending their breathing tubes through the anus like a diver’s snorkel (4).

Since the beginning of the twentieth century, there have been sporadic reports of individuals passing the larvae of *Eristalis tenax* in stool (2,3,5,6). One of the most recently reported cases (2) involved a 42-year-old woman in south Australia, who recovered small numbers of the larvae from her stool repeatedly over a period of approximately 14 days. She had no physical symptoms. Most commonly, patients report anal discomfort and anal pruritis (4,6). Dizziness and nonspecific abdominal pain have also been described (3,6).

*Dorland’s Illustrated Medical Dictionary* defines ‘myiasis’ as “a condition caused by infestation of the body by fly maggots” (7). The definition implies that the larvae are continuing their development on, or in, the host. No species of fly, however, requires passage through the human intestine for completion of its life cycle, and most fly larvae passed in the stool are incidental.

The term ‘intestinal pseudomyiasis’ has been used to describe the accidental entrapment of a swallowed larva passing, alive or dead, through the digestive tract (4,8,9).
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Case 2 – continued

Dorland’s defines ‘pseudomyiasis’ as “the presence of fly maggots in the digestive tract due to ingestion; if present in large numbers, they may cause diarrhea and other symptoms” (7).

Our case, in which the larvae are presumed to have migrated to stool after it was passed, might more correctly be called intestinal pseudo-pseudomyiasis; however, we propose the less perplexing ‘failure to flush syndrome’.
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