CASE REPORT

A near-fatal infection with oseltamivir-resistant seasonal influenza A in a previously healthy child: Case report
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A near-fatal infection with oseltamivir-resistant seasonal influenza A in a previously healthy four-year-old boy is reported. This case highlights three important points for physicians: oseltamivir-resistant influenza A (H1N1) has recently emerged in North America; contrary to previously held beliefs, such strains are capable of causing severe disease in healthy children; and given this change in epidemiology, clinicians caring for children with severe seasonal influenza A infection should consider empiric dual therapy with oseltamivir and amantadine.
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Influenza is a major cause of respiratory illness leading to hospitalization in previously healthy children, especially among those younger than two years of age (1,2). A Canadian surveillance study of pediatric hospitalizations for influenza in 2003-2004 noted that 12% required intensive care unit (ICU) admission and 6% mechanical ventilation (3). While immunization is the cornerstone of current influenza prevention strategies (4), antiviral therapy remains the only specific treatment for this disease (5,6).

CASE PRESENTATION

In February 2008, a four-year-old boy was transferred from a community hospital to the Montreal Children’s Hospital (Montreal, Quebec) because of respiratory distress. He had no significant antecedent medical history, attended daycare, and had never traveled outside of Canada. His vaccination schedule was complete, except that he had never received influenza immunization.

The patient’s illness began 12 h before seeking medical attention, when he developed fever and cough. He presented to a community hospital with worsening symptoms. He was given supplemental oxygen for hypoxia, and was treated with oral amoxicillin for a suspected right middle lobe pneumonia (Figure 1A). Over the following 12 h, he developed progressive respiratory distress and was transferred to the Montreal Children’s Hospital. Upon arrival, he was febrile (39°C rectally), tachypneic (60 breaths/min), tachycardic (156 beats/min), hypoxic (requiring 100% oxygen to maintain an oxygen saturation of 92%) and exhibited marked respiratory distress. Within 3 h, the child was intubated for hypercarbic, hypoxemic respiratory failure. Mechanical ventilation was initiated and he was admitted to the ICU.

Total peripheral blood leukocyte count was 18.84×10⁹/L (manual differential: neutrophils 16.96×10⁹/L; lymphocytes 1.5×10⁹/L; monocytes 0.38×10⁹/L), hemoglobin 125 g/L and platelets 492×10⁹/L. Serum electrolytes, urea, creatinine, transaminases, coagulation profile and hemoglobin electrophoresis profile were normal. Serum creatine kinase was slightly elevated at 384 U/L.

Blood, urine and endotracheal tube samples were procured for bacterial culture, and a nasopharyngeal aspirate (NPA) was obtained for viral testing. Intravenous cefuroxime and azithromycin were started thereafter. A chest radiograph showed an opacified right lung (Figure 1B). Progressive hypercapnia and hypoxia necessitated the start of high-frequency oscillatory ventilation.

On hospital day 2, the NPA obtained on admission tested positive for influenza A by direct immunofluorescence assay (DFA). Treatment with oseltamivir was started (45 mg every 12 h via nasogastric tube). Vancomycin was added but subsequently discontinued when blood, endotracheal tube and urine cultures remained negative after 48 h. Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole was started for possible Pneumocystis jiroveci opportunistic infection, but stopped when HIV 1 and 2
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serological testing was negative. Immunoglobulin levels and total lymphocyte counts were normal.

Because the child required maximal ventilatory support settings, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation was considered on several occasions, but was never initiated. Because the patient continued to deteriorate on oseltamivir therapy, amantadine (160 mg daily via nasogastric tube) was added on hospital day 5. An NPA obtained just before the initiation of amantadine remained positive for influenza A by DFA and culture.

The child’s respiratory status began to improve during the second week of hospitalization and he was extubated 18 days after admission. He received oseltamivir plus amantadine from day 5 to day 11. Despite no microbiological evidence of bacterial coinfection, he was treated with a course of 18 days of cefuroxime and five days of azithromycin.

After discharge, the boy required physiotherapy for critical illness myopathy, but subsequently recovered fully.

Table 1 describes the virological analysis of the patient’s NPAs. Influenza A was the only agent identified. The infecting strain was subtyped A/Solomon Islands/03/06 (H1N1) by the National Microbiology Laboratory. On days 1, 5 and 7, DFA was positive for influenza A and negative for a panel of seven other respiratory viruses: influenza B, adenovirus, human metapneumovirus, respiratory syncytial virus and parainfluenza viruses 1, 2 and 3. Influenza A was isolated in cell culture from the samples from days 5 and 7. Incidentally, the NPAs from days 7 and 13 were also tested for 10 respiratory viruses by an in-house multiplex real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay in the context of a validation study (7). In addition to the eight viruses in the aforementioned DFA panel, the PCR panel included coronaviruses OC43 and 229E. PCR was positive on both occasions for influenza A only.

Samples from days 1, 5 and 7 were later analyzed at the National Microbiology Laboratory for antiviral susceptibilities. All three specimens were positive for the H274Y mutation conferring oseltamivir resistance. Isolates from days 5 and 7 were also tested by the neuraminidase inhibition assay and exhibited phenotypic resistance to oseltamivir (ie, a 50% inhibitory concentration greater than three standard deviations above the mean for wild-type virus). Samples from days 1 and 5 – taken before amantadine therapy – were negative for amantadine resistance by nucleic acid sequence analysis. Genotypic resistance to amantadine, a S31N mutation in the M2 gene, was detected in the isolate from day 7.

**DISCUSSION**

Little is known about the treatment of severe influenza in children, although it has been postulated that these cases would benefit the most from specific antiviral therapy (5). Indeed, improved outcomes, including decreased mortality, have been reported in adults hospitalized (8,9) or admitted to the ICU (10) who received antivirals for influenza.

There are two classes of drugs approved for the treatment of influenza: the adamantanes (M2 ion-channel blockers) and the neuraminidase inhibitors (NI). Both classes are effective in the treatment and prophylaxis of infection in children and adults, especially when administered within the first 48 h of symptoms (5,6).

Adamantanes are active only against influenza A. Amantadine is the only drug of this class available in Canada and it is licensed for use in children one year of age and older.

Point mutations in the viral M2 gene confer complete cross-resistance to all adamantanes (11). Resistance occurs readily.

![Chest radiographs. A Hospital day 1, at the referring community hospital. B Hospital day 1, after admission to the Montreal Children’s Hospital, Montreal, Quebec.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital day</th>
<th>DFA</th>
<th>Cell culture</th>
<th>Multiplex PCR</th>
<th>Oseltamivir susceptibility</th>
<th>Amantadine susceptibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Influenza A</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Influenza A</td>
<td>Influenza A</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Influenza A</td>
<td>Influenza A</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>R</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Influenza A</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DFA Direct immunofluorescence assay; PCR Polymerase chain reaction; R Resistant; S Susceptible*
on treatment, especially in children. Resistant strains are as transmissible and as virulent as wild-type virus (12). Adamantane resistance in circulating influenza A has increased dramatically worldwide (13). Consequently, since January 2006, this class of drugs is not recommended by the Public Health Agency of Canada and the Centers for Disease Control for the treatment and prevention of influenza. During the 2007-2008 season in North America, over 99.5% of influenza A (H3N2) and up to 11% of influenza A (H1N1) were resistant to adamantanes (14,15).

Oseltamivir and zanamivir are the two NI currently available. They are active against both influenza A and B (16). Oseltamivir is an oral drug licensed for use in children one year of age and older. Zanamivir is only available for administration by inhalation of a dry powder, and is therefore less suitable for critically ill patients, especially those requiring ventilatory support (17). It is not licensed for use in children younger than seven years of age.

Point mutations in the neuraminidase gene that prevent oseltamivir from binding to its target site cause viral resistance to this agent, but not cross-resistance to zanamivir (18). Before 2007, naturally occurring resistance to NI in influenza was rare, estimated to be only 0.2% (19). Moreover, compared with the adamantanes, NI are much less prone to selecting for resistant virus. Nevertheless, 1% to 4% of adults and up to 18% of children can excrete oseltamivir-resistant virus when exposed to the drug (20). Resistance to zanamivir remains exceedingly rare (21).

The clinical relevance of oseltamivir resistance was not fully appreciated until very recently. In 2006-2007, resistant strains represented only 0.1% of influenza isolates in Canada (22). However, during the 2007-2008 season, oseltamivir resistance rates for influenza A rose dramatically to 17.8% in Canada (14) and 7.6% in the United States (15). All resistant isolates were influenza A (H1N1) and all shared the same H274Y mutation in the neuraminidase gene when tested by nucleic acid sequencing. No isolates tested resistant to zanamivir. Most recently, 99.7% of 2008-2009 seasonal influenza A (H1N1) in Canada was resistant to oseltamivir (23) and high rates of resistance have been reported worldwide in all age groups (24).

Our patient’s failure to respond to oseltamivir highlights the emergence of naturally circulating seasonal influenza A (H1N1) carrying the H274Y mutation conferring oseltamivir resistance. This child had not been exposed to a NI before viral testing, and he had not been in contact with anybody on antiviral treatment for influenza.

Based on in vitro and animal data, it has been suggested that H274Y mutants are less biologically fit due to decreased infectivity, replicative ability and pathogenicity (25,26). The authors of one industry-run trial went so far as to state that “virus carrying a H274Y mutation is unlikely to be of clinical consequence in man” (26). The current epidemiology of influenza A (H1N1) in North America clearly attests to the transmissibility of these mutant viruses. Until now, there has been only one report of a fatal infection due to oseltamivir-resistant influenza A (H1N1) carrying the H274Y amino acid substitution (27). However, this 67-year-old patient was profoundly immunocompromised, undergoing cytotoxic chemotherapy for chronic lymphocytic leukemia. His hospitalization was also complicated by bloodstream infections with *Candida albicans* and *Enterococcus faecium*. The case that we describe demonstrates that, contrary to previous suggestions, oseltamivir-resistant influenza A is capable of causing severe disease in a previously healthy patient with no apparent immunodeficiency.

It has been previously recommended that combination therapy with a NI and amantadine be considered in seriously ill patients (6), based on reports of additive or synergistic effects in in vitro (28) and animal studies (29), and a small clinical trial (30). We believe that the emergence of antiviral resistance in influenza represents the basis for a new argument in favour of combination therapy in severe influenza A infections. Because influenza subtyping and antiviral resistance testing are not readily available to clinicians, they must treat empirically. Therefore, it appears that we have entered an era in which severe influenza A infection in patients unable to receive zanamivir must be treated with two drugs – oseltamivir and amantadine – to ensure the use of at least one active antiviral agent. Indeed, the Centers for Disease Control recently issued interim recommendations stating that seasonal influenza A should be preferentially treated with zanamivir, or, alternatively, with a combination of oseltamivir and an adamantane, particularly in patients who cannot receive zanamivir (31).

**CONCLUSIONS**

The present case report illustrates that oseltamivir-resistant seasonal influenza A strains are circulating in North America. Canadian physicians must be aware of current local influenza surveillance data, including resistance patterns, which are available at http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/fluwatch/index-eng.php. In addition, contrary to previously held beliefs, oseltamivir-resistant viruses are capable of causing severe disease in healthy children, and therefore clinicians should consider empirical combination therapy with oseltamivir and amantadine in severe seasonal influenza A infections.
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