Management and treatment of hepatitis B virus in patients with HIV infection: A practical guide for health care professionals
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The management and treatment of HIV and hepatitis B virus (HBV)-coinfected patients present specific challenges for clinicians. The morbidity and mortality related to these concomitant infections are growing concerns, while the use of antiviral drugs effective against both viruses complicates therapeutic decision making. The present document provides guidelines for physicians regarding care and treatment of patients coinfected with HIV and HBV. Primary prevention of HBV in HIV-positive patients is achieved through appropriate vaccination schedules. Follow-up before treatment of HBV may include liver biopsy, screening for hepatocellular carcinoma and testing for esophageal varices in cases of cirrhosis. In HBV-infected patients requiring treatment, recommendations regarding initiation, duration and choice of first-line drugs are made. Finally, in the case of resistance, appropriate alternative therapies are necessary.
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Nearly 10% of people living with HIV are also infected with hepatitis B virus (HBV) (1-4). The management and treatment of HIV and HBV-coinfected patients, however, present specific challenges for clinicians. The natural history of HBV is modified in the presence of HIV, and the rate of chronic HBV infection is significantly higher among HBV-HIV-coinfected patients: 25% compared with 5% in HIV-negative patients (5). Additionally, the treatment of HBV in HIV-HBV-coinfected patients is challenging because the use of antiviral drugs effective against both viruses may complicate therapeutic decision making. Meanwhile, increased survival rates of HIV-positive patients following the availability of highly active antiretroviral therapy have allowed liver complications related to HBV to emerge as an important cause of morbidity and mortality among HIV-positive patients (6). Much of the current literature has focused on treatment options for HIV-HBV-coinfected patients. While the present article does address treatment recommendations, it goes further by providing a comprehensive management strategy including recommendations for HBV prevention, use of liver biopsy and screening for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

The present article summarizes the recommendations in “La prise en charge et le traitement des personnes co-infectées par le VIH et le virus de l’hépatite B (VHB) : un guide pratique pour les professionnels de la santé” – a practical treatment guide developed by the Comité consultatif sur la prise en charge clinique des personnes vivant avec le VIH (Consultant Committee). This Quebec-based panel includes members with extensive experience in the care of HIV patients, infectious diseases specialists and hepatologists. The panel is mandated by the Quebec Ministry of Health and Social Services to establish clinical guidelines for the management and treatment of persons living with HIV.
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METHODS
These recommendations are based on a review of published literature and data presented at international conferences before March 2009. The literature was searched in Medline and PubMed. International conferences include all major international conferences on HIV and hepatology. In cases in which published evidence was lacking, expert opinions were also taken into consideration. Recommendations were obtained through consensus and were graded according to the strength and type of evidence available to support them (Table 1). A medical writing subcommittee (authors of the present article) was established to summarize the recommendations. The final article was reviewed and endorsed by the Consultant Committee.

NATURAL HISTORY AND BIOLOGICAL MARKERS OF HBV INFECTION
HBV infection can cause acute, fulminant or chronic hepatitis, liver cirrhosis and HCC. Perinatally or childhood-acquired HBV infection usually causes subclinical or anicteric acute hepatitis and is associated with high rates of chronicity (30% to 90% of cases), whereas adult-acquired infection causes acute symptomatic hepatitis in approximately 30% of patients and is associated with lower risk of chronicity (less than 5%) in HIV-seronegative individuals (7). Fulminant hepatic failure is rare (0.1% to 0.5% of patients), but acute coinfection with other hepatitis viruses increases the risk of fulminant hepatitis (8).

Viral proteins and DNA markers that are important for the management and treatment of HBV include surface antigen (HBsAg), an indicator of active HBV infection, and surface antibody (anti-HBs), a neutralizing antibody and a marker of protection and recovery from the infection. Anti-HBs and HBsAg may both be undetectable during the 'window period' of early acute HBV infection (9,10) and, in certain cases, HBsAg and a non-neutralizing form of anti-HBs can be detected simultaneously.

The core antigen (HBeAg) is an intracellular antigen expressed in infected hepatocytes, and cannot be detected in the serum, while the core antibody (anti-HBc) can be detected during the course of HBV infection and is useful as a marker of naturally acquired infection. Hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) is produced from the proteins of the core and precore regions, and is usually considered to be the marker of HBV replication and infectiousness. Seroconversion from HBeAg to anti-HBe antibody is associated with a lower HBV DNA plasma viral load and recovery from the hepatic disease (11,12), except among patients who develop chronic HBeAg-negative hepatitis (13). HBV DNA is an indication of the presence and degree of viral replication.

HBV infection that resolves within six months is said to be acute, and occurs more often in immunocompetent individuals than in HIV-infected persons. In the absence of resolution within six months, the infection becomes chronic (14). Chronic HBV infection is a dynamic process typically characterized by four phases (2).

The first, the immunotolerant phase, is similar to the incubation period in acute infection. During this period, viral replication is intense and host response is weak. This phase is characterized by the presence of HBsAg, HBeAg, HBV DNA level greater than 10^6 IU/mL, normal alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels and little histological evidence of infection. This phase is particularly common in persons infected at birth and may last for decades. The immunotolerant phase infrequently occurs in those infected as adults.

The second phase, the immunocompetent phase, is defined by a specific HBV immune response leading to hepatocyte necrosis. This period is characterized by the presence of HBsAg, HBeAg, HBV DNA level greater than 10^6 IU/mL and an increase in ALT levels, resulting in liver inflammation, which can lead to fibrosis, cirrhosis and other complications. This phase may also be punctuated by abortive episodes of seroconversion during which HBeAg disappears and reappears sequentially.

During the third phase, the inactive carrier phase, HBeAg disappears, and anti-HBe emerges. This seroconversion occurs spontaneously in 5% to 15% of cases annually (8,15,16). Patients younger than 40 years of age and those with high levels of ALT, low levels of HBV DNA and an absence of cirrhosis are more likely to undergo seroconversion.

Once a person has become an inactive carrier, four scenarios are possible including progression to the fourth phase (clearance of HBSAg): 1. Approximately two-thirds of patients will experience a prolonged remission with a good prognosis. 2. A few will clear HBsAg. These patients are effectively cured of the virus. Some patients having cleared HBsAg will continue to be positive for HBV DNA. These cases are often referred to as occult HBV and are characterized by the presence of a low HBV DNA viral load, presence of anti-HBc and absence of HBsAg and anti-HBs. 3. Five per cent to 10% will experience a reactivation of HBV accompanied by a reversion to HBeAg. This is more common among immunosuppressed patients and those undergoing chemotherapy. 4. Several will experience a reactivation of HBV without a reversion to HBeAg. These cases of chronic hepatitis are said to be HBeAg negative and are a result of mutations in the basal core promoter or precore regions of the viral genome.

The various serological and virological patterns associated with acute and chronic phases of HIV infection are summarized in Table 2.

HIV-HBV COINFECTION
The natural history of HBV is modified by HIV infection. The rate of chronic HBV infection, for example, is much higher for patients infected with HIV: 25% compared with 5% for HIV-negative people (5). This can be explained by the poor immune responses to HBV (17), which occurs often in the presence of low CD4 cell counts (18,19). Lower levels of aminotransferases, a higher rate of HBV replication, a greater persistence of HBeAg and a more frequent reactivation of HBV (20) have also been observed in patients with concomitant HIV and HBV infections. Additionally, loss of immunity and the reappearance of HBsAg may occur even if patients have developed positive anti-HBs and have seemingly recovered from the disease (0.02 to 0.2 cases per 100 persons-years) (21). This phenomenon, termed seroreversion, can have fatal consequences (21) and arises more often when HIV is poorly controlled.

A high frequency of occult HBV has also been noted within the coinfected population (17). The prevalence of isolated anti-HBc in HIV-positive patients is estimated to be 10% to 15% of coinfected persons (17,18,19). For this reason, it is recommended that all HIV-positive patients be tested for anti-HBc (AII). Because of some reports demonstrating high rates of occult replicating HBV infection, it has also been recommended that HBV DNA levels be measured, whenever anti-HBc is detected (CII) (24), although this recently has been brought into question due to a lack of clear clinical benefit (25).

PRIMARY PREVENTION OF HBV IN HIV-POSITIVE PATIENTS BY VACCINATION
HIV-positive patients are a population at an increased risk of contracting HBV because the infections both have sexual and percutaneous
TABLE 2
Laboratory characteristics of the stages of acute and chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anti-HBc</th>
<th>HBsAg</th>
<th>Anti-HBs*</th>
<th>HBeAg†</th>
<th>Anti-HBe</th>
<th>HBV DNA (IU/mL)†</th>
<th>ALT</th>
<th>Stage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>&gt;10⁹ to &gt;10¹⁰</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Incubation during acute infection or immunotolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>N or †</td>
<td>Immune competence (immune clearance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>&gt;200</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Inactive carrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>&gt;10⁴ to &gt;10⁸</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Resolved HBV and secondary immunity to a natural infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Occult HBV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>&gt;10⁴ to &gt;10⁸</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Three possible situations†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>&lt;200</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Secondary immunity to vaccination against HBV*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The antibody response can be measured quantitatively or qualitatively. A surface antibody (anti-HBs) response of 10 IU/mL or more is considered to be protective or, qualitatively, positive. †These tests should only be conducted in the presence of a positive surface antigen (HBsAg) or isolated core antibody (anti-HBc) to exclude occult HBV. ‡Three possible situations: May be a resolved acute HBV infection; may represent the test’s lack of sensitivity, thus its inability to detect a very low anti-HBs level in the plasma; or may suggest a false-positive anti-HBc result. ††Increased; ALT Alanine aminotransferase; Anti-HBe Anti-hepatitis B e antibody; HBeAg Hepatitis B e antigen; N Normal

TABLE 3
Immunization schedules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Interval</th>
<th>Dosage for ≥20 years of age (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Route of administration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recombivax HB</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.0 mL</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>1 month after the 1st dose</td>
<td>1.0 mL</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>5 months after the 2nd dose</td>
<td>1.0 mL</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engerix-B</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.0 mL</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GlaxoSmithKline Inc, Canada)</td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>1 month after the 1st dose</td>
<td>2.0 mL</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>5 months after the 2nd dose</td>
<td>2.0 mL</td>
<td>IM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IM Intramuscular. Adapted from reference 27

routes of transmission. Thus, patients who are infected with HIV and who are not immune to HBV should be vaccinated (AII). Furthermore, cases in which the risk of exposure to HBV is determined to be high (e.g., contact with an HBV carrier), it is unnecessary to await the results of serological tests before starting a vaccine series (AII). Serology should be performed before, or concurrent with, the administration of the first dose of vaccine, and vaccination should continue if the results reveal that the patient is nonimmune (anti-HBs negative) and uninfected with HBV (anti-HBc and HBsAg negative) (AII) (26,27).

If HBV DNA testing does not reveal the presence of occult HBV, those with isolated anti-HBc should be vaccinated as anti-HBs-negative patients (AIII) (26,28).

Vaccination and follow-up

Two inactivated vaccines for hepatitis B are distributed in Canada: Engerix-B (GlaxoSmithKline Inc, Canada) and Recombivax HB (Merck Canada Inc). Only doses containing 40 µg of antigen are recommended for people infected with HIV (AII).

The combined vaccine against hepatitis A and B (Twinrix, GlaxoSmithKline Inc, Canada) is generally not recommended for people with HIV because it only contains 10 µg of HBV antigen (DIII). It is, therefore, preferable to use monovalent vaccines against hepatitis A and HBV (CIII) (27). Recommended vaccine schedules are shown in Table 3.

Given suboptimal responses to vaccination in coinfected patients, measurement of anti-HBs antibody titres is recommended one to two months (maximum six months) after the final dose of the vaccine series to confirm an immune response (AII) (26,27). A positive immune response is defined as anti-HBs levels greater than 10 IU/L, and this level is considered to be necessary to protect against infection – weak response 1 IU/L and nonresponse 0 IU/L. The recommended strategy for revaccination in primary vaccine nonresponders and weak responders is presented in Figure 1. Better immune responses are expected if the additional dose or vaccine series are administered when the CD4+ T cell count is greater than 500 cells/µL (AII) (29-35). Other strategies, such as four doses and use of an adjuvant, have been attempted to boost immune responses; however, there are insufficient data to make recommendations about their implementation.

Among HIV-infected patients, levels of anti-HBs seem to decline and reach a nonprotective degree (less than 10 IU/L) faster than uninfected persons (36). It has, therefore, been suggested that the anti-HBs titres be measured occasionally in immunosuppressed patients, but no specific data about how frequently this should be performed in the setting of HIV have been published (CIII). The data supporting this recommendation have been extrapolated from patients with chronic kidney disease and those on hemodialysis, and
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are favoured for patients who experience an ongoing risk of HBV exposure (26,27,37,38). Overall, given the lower response rates to HBV vaccination in HIV-infected persons, current vaccination strategies have some limitations in primary HBV prevention, and new approaches require investigation.

**INITIAL EVALUATION AND FOLLOW-UP OF PATIENTS COINFECTED WITH HIV AND HBV BEFORE TREATMENT**

The initial evaluation should comprise the following:

- A medical history emphasizing risk factors for HBV, alcohol consumption, and a family history of hepatitis and HCC as well as a physical examination (including a search for stigmata of cirrhosis).
- Determination of whether HBV could have been acquired in the perinatal period, for example, if an individual is from an HBV endemic country or if there is a family history of chronic HBV infection.
- Evaluation to rule out other causes of chronic liver disease (eg, hemochromatosis, autoimmune hepatitis, Wilson's disease, etc.).
- Determination of aminotransferase levels (aspartate aminotransferase and ALT). These can fluctuate considerably; therefore, initial serial measurements are advisable.
- Measurement of HBeAg, anti-HBe, anti-HBs and HBV DNA levels.
- An evaluation of the severity of hepatic disease (bilirubin levels, albumin levels, prothrombin time, and history of bleeding esophageal varices, hepatic encephalopathy or ascites).
- Determination of immunoglobulin G antibodies to hepatitis A virus and, if negative, vaccination against hepatitis A.
- Serological testing for hepatitis C virus.
- Testing for antibody to hepatitis D virus is recommended, especially among people who suffer from a severe and fulminant HBV infection or in the presence of elevated aspartate aminotransferase and ALT levels in a patient with HBV DNA lower than 2000 IU/mL.
- Measurement of HBV DNA titres among patients with an isolated anti-HBc (negative HBsAg and anti-HBs) to help diagnose occult patients, which is more common among immunosuppressed patients (22).

**Liver biopsy**

In most cases, a biopsy is not essential before treatment. Instead, the decision to treat is based on HBV DNA viral load and elevation of hepatic transaminase levels. Nevertheless, a biopsy remains the best means of evaluating disease progression related to HBV and may help in guiding treatment in certain contentious cases. For example, a patient means of evaluating disease progression related to HBV and may help in decision to treat is based on HBV DNA viral load and elevation of transaminases and HBV DNA should be performed every six to 12 months (CIII). If a patient does not initiate treatment, monitoring every six to 12 months is recommended (CIII). Specifically, in addition to measuring transaminases and HBV DNA, monitoring for the development of fibrosis is recommended using noninvasive means (see above).

**SCREENING FOR HCC**

The risk of developing HCC is greater than 2% per year among patients with chronic active HBV monoinfection and cirrhosis (48,49). The incidence of HCC is probably higher among persons coinfected with HIV and HBV (50). Patients who are infected with HBV without evidence of cirrhosis run a lesser, but still significant, risk of developing HCC (between 0.2% and 0.6% per year depending on their ethnicity) (51). Screening for HCC every six months, using abdominal ultrasound (US) and measurement of alpha-fetoprotein (AFCI), is recommended for all patients who have cirrhosis and for those who may otherwise be at high risk (see below). It has neither been firmly established that ultrasound every six months is more effective than screening every 12 months, nor is it clear whether the measurement of alpha-fetoprotein improves HCC detection rates. Indeed, recent guidelines from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases no longer recommend using alpha-fetoprotein for HCC screening, unless ultrasound is difficult to obtain (52). Screening for HCC should be performed for those at risk, even if receiving suppressive HBV treatment because the risk of HCC remains, although it is significantly reduced (CIII).

In addition to patients with cirrhosis, all of the following population groups should be tested regularly for HCC if they are infected with HBV: African men and women older than 20 years of age; Asian men older than 40 years of age; Asian women older than 50 years of age; patients with a family history of HCC; patients whose biopsy HBeAg positive: HBV DNA level greater than 20,000 IU/mL and elevated ALT levels (CIII). It should be noted that the level of HBV DNA at which treatment is indicated for HBeAg-positive patients is not well defined. Some authors will consider treatment if HBV DNA is greater than 2000 IU/mL. Biopsy may be helpful to guide decision making (45).
- HBeAg negative: HBV DNA viral load greater than 2000 IU/mL and elevated ALT levels (CIII).
- Significant fibrosis on liver biopsy (eg, F2 or greater), established cirrhosis or moderate to severe hepatic inflammatory infiltrate at liver biopsy and detectable HBV DNA, even in the presence of a low HBV viral load or normal ALT levels (CII) (46).
- Those who are initiating antiretrovirals for HIV even if HBV DNA is low and/or ALT levels are normal.

Note: The upper limit of normal ALT values vary across laboratories; therefore, specific cut-offs are not provided here. However, it should be noted that recently, accepted ‘normal’ ALT values have been lowered to 19 IU/L for women and 30 IU/L for men (47).

**FOLLOW-UP IN PATIENTS NOT UNDERGOING HBV TREATMENT**

For patients in the immunotolerant phase (those who are HBeAg positive and have normal ALT levels), transaminases should be monitored every three to six months to determine when levels become elevated and thus, when treatment should begin (AI). In the presence of repeatedly normal transaminases, it is unnecessary to repeat HBV DNA and serological tests more often than every one to two years (CII) (47).

Among inactive carriers (HBeAg negative, normal ALT levels and HBV DNA viral load less than 2000 IU/mL), an assessment of liver enzymes and HBV DNA should be performed every six to 12 months (BII). It is important to note that in HBeAg-negative patients, ALT levels and HBV DNA may fluctuate considerably. Therefore, to confirm an inactive carrier state, it is important to document normal ALT levels and low levels of HBV DNA consistently. Among HBeAg-negative patients with HBV DNA viral load less than 2000 IU/mL, but abnormal ALT levels, other causes of chronic liver disease should be sought (AII).

In most other cases, treatment is generally indicated (see details below). If a patient does not initiate treatment, monitoring every six to 12 months is recommended (CIII). Specifically, in addition to measuring transaminases and HBV DNA, monitoring for the development of fibrosis is recommended using noninvasive means (see above).
reveals active liver inflammation (more than mild) and patients awaiting liver transplant (47).

Specific follow-up in patients with cirrhosis: Among patients with cirrhosis, in addition to HCC testing, esophageal varices should be sought using endoscopy every two to three years. Beta-blockers should be used as a prophylactic measure against the rupture of varices, and variceal ligation should be performed when large varices are found by endoscopy (BIII) (53).

HBV TREATMENT AND FOLLOW-UP

Two types of drugs may be used to treat HBV:
- Immunomodulators: interferon-alpha and pegylated interferon.
- Nucleos(t)ide analogues: lamivudine (Heptovir, GlaxoSmithKline Inc, Canada), adefovir (Hepsera, Gilead Science Inc, USA), telbivudine (Sebivo, Novartis Pharmaceuticals Canada Inc), entecavir (Baracela, Bristol-Myers Squibb, USA), tenofovir (Viread, Gilead Science Inc, USA) and emtricitabine (which is only commercialized in combination with tenofovir in Canada and available as Truvada [Gilead Science Inc, USA]).

Treatment objectives

The treatment objectives for HIV- and HBV-coinfected patients include the following:
- Eradication of HBV: Elimination of HBV DNA virus in the blood and hepatic tissue and seroconversion to anti-HBs positive. This is generally observed in fewer than 5% of treated persons.
- Transition toward a less-aggressive stage of the infection: If initially HBeAg positive, then a seroconversion to HBeAg negative and anti-HBe positive.
- Reduction of the HBV DNA viral load to 400 IU/mL or lower.
- Cessation of the progression toward cirrhosis, and regression of the hepatic histology to normalcy.
- Prevention of HCC.
- Prevention of the emergence of a viral strain resistant to antiviral medication.
- Long-term improvement in the tolerance of antiretroviral drugs.

Drugs used to treat HBV in first-line therapy

When HIV treatment is required: If there is an indication to treat HBV, antiretroviral therapy should be initiated regardless of the CD4+ T cell count (BIII). Current guidelines now recommend earlier treatment for HIV in the setting of chronic HBV (54,55). Because of the dual activity of agents used in the treatment of HIV against HBV, and the possibility for inducing resistance in either virus when used as monotherapy, it is recommended to always initiate a triple antiretroviral regimen, which contains nucleos(t)ide analogues effective against both viruses when treating coinfected persons (CIII). This is the case even in the presence of a low HBV DNA viral load, when there would not normally be an indication to treat HBV (CIII). Whenever anti-HIV and anti-HBV therapies are started simultaneously, tenofovir combined with lamivudine or emtricitabine should be favoured as nucleos(t)ide analogues (BIII) (56-59). If their use is impossible due to HIV or HBV resistance or intolerance, the following is recommended:
- If using antiretroviral therapy containing lamivudine, add adefovir to prevent HBV resistance to lamivudine or adefovir (BII) (60), or
- To add one of the following drugs to an effective antiretroviral treatment (eg, when HIV RNA is less than 50 copies/mL): adefovir, telbivudine, pegylated interferon (BII) or entecavir when HIV replication is under control or when the M184V mutation is already present on the HIV genotype (CIII).

When HIV treatment is not initiated: In rare circumstances in which HBV is treated without treating HIV, therapy with pegylated interferon or adefovir should be offered (BII) (61-63). Telbivudine may also be considered; however, there is insufficient evidence to determine whether it can induce HBV resistance mutations (CIII) (64,65).

Interferon-alpha-based therapy can be effective, but is limited to selected subgroups of patients – some of whom may clear HBsAg (52).

Among HBeAg-positive patients, the most important predictors of a response to interferon therapy are high pretreatment ALT levels (greater than twice the upper limit of normal) and lower levels of serum HBV DNA, in which conversion to anti-HBs may be very high (80% to 90%) although HBV DNA persists (66-68). Some studies have suggested that persons infected with HBV genotypes A and B respond better to interferon than those infected with genotypes C and D (69-72). There have been no consistent predictors of response to interferon in HBeAg-negative patients, in whom response rates are substantially lower (less than 15%). Interferon therapy can be associated with a number of treatment-limiting side effects – some of which may be exacerbated in the setting of HIV. These include myelosuppression, neuropsychiatric toxicities and flares in hepatic enzymes, which can rarely lead to hepatic decompensation (52).

Monitoring of treated patients

After initiation of treatment, it is necessary to confirm antiviral activity, monitor the effects of treatment on hepatic function and detect the emergence of resistance should it arise. As discussed previously, the disappearance of viremia and the appearance of anti-HBs do not guarantee the eradication of HBV (73,74).

Thus, follow-up should comprise the following measurements every three months:
- HBV DNA viral load (BII).
- Transaminases (BII).
- Antigens and antibodies specific to HBV (HBsAg, anti-HBs, and for HBeAg-positive cases, HBeAg and anti-HBe) (BII).
- Possible causes of elevated hepatic transaminases after treatment initiation include immune reconstitution syndrome; emergence of HBV, which is resistant to the current treatment; a new hepatitis superinfection or a substance-related hepatotoxicity. If drug-induced hepatotoxicity occurs, the medication at fault must be discontinued (AII). In the case of an immune reconstitution syndrome, systemic corticosteroids may be helpful (CIII) (75).

Response to treatment: In HBV monoinfection, a primary response is defined as a reduction in HBV DNA by more than 2 log10 (IU/mL) 24 weeks after the start of treatment (47). Because of low barriers to resistance, an undetectable level of HBV DNA must be achieved by six months for regimens containing lamivudine or telbivudine (if they are the only active agents in the regimen), and by 12 months for those containing adefovir and tenofovir (47). In HIV-coinfected patients, HBV DNA may decline more slowly, especially in patients with pre-existing lamivudine resistance. When lamivudine or telbivudine are the only agents active against HBV in the regimen, failure to achieve a reduction in DNA to these levels requires change in therapy. If treatment includes tenofovir, adefovir or entecavir alone or in combination therapy, and HBV DNA remains positive after one year, some clinicians suggest that therapy be continued provided that subsequent HBV DNA values, tested every three months, continue to fall. Many clinicians believe that monotherapy should not be continued if HBV DNA values are greater than 3 log10 IU/mL after one year of therapy (CIII).

Among HBeAg-positive patients, serocconversion to anti-HBs and normalization of ALT levels are additional important signs of therapeutic response.

Treatment duration: Eradication of the virus is both infrequent and difficult to document because the covalently closed circular DNA genome may persist even after the normalization of all other markers and the seroconversion to anti-HBs. Due to this inability to confirm viral eradication, it is difficult to determine when or whether treatment should be terminated, particularly in the setting of HIV coinfection. Premature cessation may provoke the reactivation of the virus and a serious relapse. Cases of fulminant hepatitis have been reported after the interruption of anti-HBV therapy (73).

The duration of treatment is consequently ill-defined, except in the case of interferon-alpha, which has proven to be effective in studies ranging from 16 to 24 weeks in duration, and in the case of interference (BIII) (53).
 pegylated interferon, for which the recommended duration of treatment is 48 weeks (76). In the case of HBV monoinfection, some experts recommend extending the treatment with nucleos(t)ide analogues, for a six- to 12-month period following seroconversion, to anti-HBe to maximize the likelihood of a sustained response (47). In some patients, this extended treatment has led to the loss of HBsAg. In monoinfected persons, treatment may be discontinued if seroconversion from HBsAg to anti-HBs occurs (77). The concomitant treatment of HIV and HBV in coinfected patients, however, generally does not allow for cessation of treatment irrespective of antibody conversion, unless the use of tenofovir and/or lamivudine are no longer required or indicated for the management of HIV.

Resistance
Failure to respond to therapy can be categorized clinically and virologically (Table 4). HBV DNA viral load must be measured to detect virological failure (BII). Genotypic testing using INNO-LiPA HBV DR (Innogenetics, USA), a reverse hybridization line probe assay, can be used to identify mutations in the HBV genome that are associated with resistance to antiviral drugs. The key mutations associated with HBV resistance are summarized in Table 5.

Genotyping is indicated for patients treated with nucleos(t)ide analogues if one of the following conditions is met:

1. Virological breakthrough: When a patient experiences an increase in HBV DNA viral load of greater than 1 log10 (ie, 10-fold above nadir) while on treatment, and confirmed by a second sample taken one month later (CIII).

2. Primary nonresponse: If the HBV DNA fails to decline by greater than 2 log10 after at least six months of therapy.

A large number of HIV-infected patients have previously been exposed to lamivudine as part of their antiretroviral regimens, and are likely to have pre-existing mutations in HBV polymerase that confer resistance to this drug. Details on the rates of resistance associated with drugs used for the treatment of HBV are summarized in Table 6. In the event of lamivudine resistance, the following options are available in preferential order:

1. Add or substitute tenofovir to lamivudine. This constitutes a first choice when tenofovir also contributes to an effective antiretroviral therapy against HIV (BII).

2. Add adeovir to lamivudine (BII).

3. Add entecavir. This choice may, however, favour the emergence of entecavir-resistant strains. It is generally recommended to discontinue lamivudine when entecavir is used (CII).

In case of failure of adeovir therapy, two options are recommended (also in preferential order):

1. Start an antiretroviral therapy including tenofovir with lamivudine (also in preferential order):

2. When an antiretroviral treatment cannot be offered, adding telbivudine to adeovir is suggested, or using entecavir when no negative impact on HIV is expected (CIII).

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virological breakthrough</td>
<td>HBV DNA viral load increase of &gt;1 log10 above nadir during continued treatment, following a virological response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Viral rebound</td>
<td>HBV DNA viral load increase above 20,000 IU/mL or above pretreatment level during continued treatment, following a virological response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochemical breakthrough</td>
<td>Increase in alanine aminotransferase levels above the normal upper limit during continued treatment and after the normalization of hepatic enzyme levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotypic resistance</td>
<td>Detection of mutations, which confer in vivo resistance to the nucleos(t)ide analogue(s) administered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenotypic resistance</td>
<td>In vitro confirmation that a detected mutation decreases the sensitivity of the nucleos(t)ide analogue(s) administered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Polymerase domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>L80V/I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adefovir</td>
<td>L80V/I/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entecavir</td>
<td>I69T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taltibuvine</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number in parentheses refer to references. – Negative; + Positive; HBeAg Hepatitis B e antigen; vs Versus

### Table 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Resistance rate (monoinfection)</th>
<th>Coinfection data</th>
<th>Activity if resistance from the start</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>15% to 32% per year of treatment (60,78)</td>
<td>Approximately 20% per year of therapy (60,79) May generate resistance to HBV if used as sole active agent against HBV in an antiretroviral treatment (80-82)</td>
<td>In case of resistance to lamivudine, it is preferable to keep lamivudine in subsequent anti-HBV treatment to prevent resistance to other agents (83-88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adefovir</td>
<td>3% at 2 years, 6% at 3 years (79) and 29% at 5 years (89)</td>
<td>Does not induce HIV resistance when used at a 10 mg/day dose against HBV</td>
<td>Resistance to adeovir will appear faster in the presence of lamivudine resistance (90,91) 1%, 10%, 16% at 1, 2 and 3 years, respectively. If there is pre-existing resistance to lamivudine (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entecavir</td>
<td>1% at 4 years (92)</td>
<td>May induce the M184V HIV mutation and should not be prescribed to coinfected patients unless their HIV RNA is undetectable or they already have the M184V mutation documented (93)</td>
<td>The presence of mutations induced by lamivudine confer resistance to telbivudine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taltibuvine</td>
<td>80% of patients with a HBV DNA viral load &gt;3 log10 copies/mL after 24 weeks of therapy have developed a resistance to telbivudine vs 2% of HBeAg– cases and 3% of HBeAg+ cases with an undetectable HBV DNA viral load (95)</td>
<td>No data on coinfection, but does not seem to induce mutations in the HIV gene (65)</td>
<td>The presence of mutations induced by lamivudine confer resistance to telbivudine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir</td>
<td>No case of resistance has been identified at 72 weeks, even in a group of subjects with treatment failure at 24 weeks (96,97)</td>
<td>Induces mutations in the HIV gene, unless it is used as part of an effective anti-HIV therapy</td>
<td>Tenofovir may be effective for patients pretreated with adeovir or lamivudine (96,97)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSIONS

Nearly 10% of people living with HIV are also infected with HBV. Therefore, screening for HBV is essential for all HIV-infected persons, particularly because immigrants from countries endemic for both viruses represent a growing proportion of HIV infections in Canada. Early vaccination of individuals at risk constitutes a key strategy to prevent this number from rising. Rates of seroprotection, however, remain below those achieved in the absence of HIV infection and, thus, innovative strategies to improve vaccine responses represent an important area for future research. In the setting of HIV coinfection, the natural course of HBV is modified, and chronic infection may result in the potential for serious morbidity and mortality. Virtually all coinfected patients will require treatment for HBV regardless of CD4 cell count. Therefore, patients must be followed closely, and effective drugs, if either infection requires treatment, need to be chosen carefully to avoid the emergence of resistance in both the HIV and HBV genomes. Regardless of whether treatment is initiated, evaluation for cirrhosis and screening for HCC are integral to the management of HBV-coinfected persons to permit early detection and intervention with the goal of reducing serious morbidity and mortality associated with these conditions.

There are many unanswered questions with respect to HIV and HBV coinfection to which research should be directed. For example, what is the long-term natural course of coinfection in the setting of active HBV treatment? What will be the impact of significant previous laminar Schneider exposure with respect to the development of resistance to tenofovir? What are the optimal strategies for management when no current treatment options remain effective? What is the role for transplantation in the setting of HIV-HBV coinfection?
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