Detection of *Clostridium difficile* in retail ground meat products in Manitoba

Monique Visser¹, Shadi Sepehrim PhD^{1,2}, Nancy Olson BSc¹, Tim Du MSc³,
Michael R Mulvey PhD³, Michelle J Alfa PhD^{1,2,4}

M Visser, S Sepehri, N Olson, T Du, MR Mulvey, MJ Alfa.
Detection of *Clostridium difficile* in retail ground meat products in Manitoba. Can J Infect Dis Med Microbiol 2012;23(1):28-30.

The aim of the present study was to determine whether *Clostridium difficile* was present in uncooked retail ground beef and ground pork products sold in Winnipeg, Manitoba. Using an alcohol treatment protocol and inoculation of cultures on *C difficile* Moxalactam Norfloxacin (CDMN), toxigenic *C difficile* was found in 6.3% of 48 meat samples. The *C difficile* isolates belonged to different pulsotypes, all of which had been previously isolated from the stool of Manitoba patients with *C difficile* disease. Because cooking of meat will not eradicate *C difficile* spores, this raises a concern regarding potential foodborne transmissibility of this organism.

Key Words: *C difficile*; Food-borne disease; Zoonotic disease

Clostridium difficile is an anaerobic spore-forming human pathogen associated with serious enteric diseases such as antibiotic-associated diarrhea and pseudomembranous colitis (1). Although *C difficile* infection (CDI) occurs mostly in health care facilities and is considered to be a nosocomial infection, the rate of community-acquired *C difficile* infection (CA-CDI) appears to be increasing (2-3) and may now account for 20% to 45% of all CDI cases (4-5). The recent increase in mortality, morbidity and relapse rates of CDI has largely been associated with one strain of *C difficile*, ribotype 027/North American pulsotype 1 (NAP1)/toxigenotype III (4-9). However, *C difficile* isolated from CA-CDI belong to a range of different *C difficile* types.

Several studies that identified *C difficile* contamination in retail meat have reported a predominance of ribotype 027/NAP1/toxigenotype III and ribotype 078/NAP7-8/toxigenotype V strains (1,4,6-7,10-11). Although previous Canadian studies have described the presence of *C difficile* spores in meat products purchased in Ontario, Quebec and Saskatchewan (6,10), no Manitoba data have yet been available. Here, we report the occurrence and characteristics of *C difficile* in retail meat sold in Winnipeg, Manitoba.

METHODS

Ground beef and ground pork were purchased from three major food chains and three local meat shops in Winnipeg over a four-week period in January 2007. Every week, one beef and one pork sample were collected from each store. In total, 48 meat samples were tested for the presence of *C difficile* spores. The meat was purchased in the smallest available volume and was stored at refrigeration temperature (2°C to 8°C) until processed. For each meat sample, 20 g were placed into a sterile 50 mL tube and processed using an alcohol meat treatment protocol to eliminate vegetative bacteria. Briefly, 5 mL of sterile reverse osmosis (RO) water and 20 mL of 95% ethanol were added to the meat. The blend was mixed and kept at room temperature for 40 min, followed by centrifugation at 3000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet was resuspended in 30 mL of

La détection du *Clostridium difficile* dans les produits de viande hachée au détail du Manitoba

La présente étude visait à déterminer si on décelait du *Clostridium difficile* dans les produits de viande hachée et de porc haché non cuits vendus au détail à Winnipeg, au Manitoba. Au moyen d'un protocole de traitement par l'alcool et d'inoculation de cultures sur la *C difficile* Moxalactam Norfloxacin (CDMN), les chercheurs ont trouvé du *C difficile* toxigénique dans 6,3 % des 48 échantillons de viande. Les isolats de *C difficile* appartenaient à divers pulsotypes, tous déjà isolés dans les selles de patients manitobains atteints d'une maladie à *C difficile*. Puisque la cuisson de la viande n'éradique pas les spores *C difficile*, ce phénomène soulève de l'inquiétude au sujet de la transmissibilité potentielle de cet organisme par voie alimentaire.

sterile RO water. The mixture was then filtered using a coffee filter placed inside a sterile funnel to remove gross particles. The filtrate was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 15 min at 4°C and the pellet was suspended in 100 µL of sterile RO water. This entire sample was then inoculated onto *C difficile* Moxalactam Norfloxacin (CDMN) agar medium. The plates were examined for growth after 72 h of anaerobic incubation at 35°C to 37°C. Suspected colonies (grey to pinkish-white in colouration with a distinct 'horse manure' odour) were enumerated and the presence of *C difficile* was confirmed with Gram staining, latex agglutination and a fluorescent appearance under ultraviolet light. The identity of *C difficile* isolates were also confirmed by the presence of the triose phosphate isomerase (*tpi*) housekeeping gene (12). The isolates were further tested for the presence of *tcdA* (enterotoxin gene), *tcdB* (cytotoxin gene), *cdtB* (binary toxin), and for the 18 bp deletion in *tcdC* (negative regulatory gene). Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)-*Sma*I was performed at the National Microbiology Laboratory (NML) (Winnipeg, Manitoba) to determine the pulsotype of *C difficile* isolates. The susceptibility of these three *C difficile* isolates to metronidazole, vancomycin, clindamycin, rifampicin, moxifloxacin and tigecycline was also tested at NML by E-test. Resistance to antimicrobials was determined based on the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (Wayne, USA) (13-14) and United States Food and Drug Administration guidelines (15).

To determine how many spores needed to be present in 20 g of ground meat such that at least a single *C difficile* colony could be detected on CDMN plates, the limit of detection for the alcohol meat treatment protocol was determined. A known number of spores (2×10^7 obtained from *C difficile* strain 765 clinical isolate) were added to 20 g of a meat sample that was culture negative for *C difficile*. The meat sample was then processed using the alcohol treatment protocol. The final alcohol-treated sample was used to prepare serial 1:10 dilutions. One hundred microlitres of each dilution was inoculated and spread over the surface of a CDMN plate. After 72 h of anaerobic incubation at 35°C to 37°C, *C difficile* colonies were counted and the limit of detection was calculated.

¹Microbiology Laboratory, St Boniface Research Centre; ²Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Manitoba; ³Nosocomial Infections and Molecular Typing, National Microbiology Laboratory; ⁴Diagnostic Services of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba

Correspondence: Dr Michelle J Alfa, St Boniface General Hospital, 409 Tache Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba R2H 2A6.

Telephone 204-237-2105, fax 204-237-7678, e-mail, malfa@sbgh.mb.ca

TABLE 1
Antimicrobial susceptibility of three *Clostridium difficile* strains isolated from retail meat sold in Manitoba

<i>C. difficile</i> isolate	Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) µg/mL*					
	Metronidazole	Vancomycin	Clindamycin	Rifampicin	Moxifloxacin	Tigecycline
EH-4	0.125	0.5	0.75	<0.002	1	0.064
FH-4	0.125	0.5	0.75	<0.002	1	0.064
CP-1	0.125	0.5	>256	<0.002	>32	0.094

*Only the >256 µg/mL for clindamycin (resistant at ≥8 µg/mL) and >32 µg/mL for moxifloxacin (resistant at ≥8 µg/mL) are considered resistant; all other minimum inhibitory concentrations are considered sensitive

Figure 1) Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)-SmaI fingerprints of three *Clostridium difficile* strains that were isolated from retail ground meat in Manitoba and other characteristics of the isolates. The PFGE banding patterns have been aligned with the results of other genetic analyses including: Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) detection of tpi (housekeeping gene), tcdA (toxin A gene), tcdB (toxin B gene), tcdC (negative regulatory gene) and the binary toxin gene. The sample designation is the strain stock number. The National Microbiology Laboratory (NML) pulsotype is also indicated.

RESULTS

C. difficile was isolated from 6.3% (three of 48) of retail meat samples. *C. difficile* isolates were detected in two ground beef samples (two of 24, [8.3%]) and one ground pork sample (one of 24, [4.2%]). Each *C. difficile* isolate was from meat purchased at different stores, but the two beef isolates were from meat that was purchased during the same week. The limit of detection for the alcohol treatment protocol was 4 spores/g of ground meat.

All three *C. difficile* isolates were positive for toxin A (tcdA) and toxin B (tcdB). Only one of the beef isolates (EH-4) was positive for binary toxin and had an 18 bp deletion in tcdC. This isolate belonged to NML PFGE type 0088 (Figure 1). The other beef isolate (FH-4) was NML PFGE type 0348. Both beef-isolated *C. difficile* organisms were sensitive to all antimicrobial agents tested (Table 1). The only *C. difficile* strain isolated from ground pork (CP-1) belonged to NML PFGE type 0139. This pork-isolated *C. difficile* was resistant to both clindamycin (resistant at ≥8 µg/mL) (13-14) and moxifloxacin (resistant at ≥8 µg/mL) (13-14) (Table 1).

In total, approximately 4800 *C. difficile* strains have been typed at NML, of these, 588 were from Manitoba. Of the 588 Manitoba isolates, 0.51%, 0.34% and 3.74% were pulsotypes 0088, 0348 and 0139, respectively (Figure 2). The 588 Manitoba isolates represent 140 unique PFGE types, 62 of which were exclusive to this province. Overall, 4.6% of total Manitoba pulsotypes had pulsotypes similar to *C. difficile* isolated from retail meat in the present study. Pulsotype 0088 has been previously detected in two isolates from Manitoba, as well as five isolates from other provinces (Ontario and Alberta), whereas pulsotypes 0348 and 0139 have only been isolated in Manitoba.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found *C. difficile* in 6.3% of ground meat products in Winnipeg. Despite the fact that we analyzed only 48 meat specimens, our results were similar to those reported by other groups. Rodriguez-Palacios et al (10) conducted an across-Canada meat study and reported a *C. difficile* prevalence of 6.1%. Molecular typing of our *C. difficile* isolates from retail meat in Manitoba revealed that all of these strains have pulsotypes identical to strains previously isolated from patients with CDI in Manitoba. Finding strains of *C. difficile* in meat with identical pulsotypes to those isolated from humans (9) suggests that meat could be a source of transient but frequent ingestion of *C. difficile* and raises a concern regarding food as a source for *C. difficile* acquisition that may lead to CDI (ie, CDI as a zoonosis).

Figure 2) Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis-SmaI fingerprints of clinical *Clostridium difficile* isolates typed at the National Microbiology Laboratory (Winnipeg, Manitoba) that had the same pulsotypes as *C. difficile* isolated from meat in the present study. Three *C. difficile* isolates from ground beef and ground pork purchased in Manitoba (FH-4, EH-4 and CP-1) are also included in this figure. The isolates from patients in Alberta and Ontario are 07B08CD0003 and 02ACD0027, 08ACD0050, 21ACD0151 and 21ACD0182, respectively. All other clinical isolates are from Manitoba patients

C. difficile resides in the digestive tract and can be isolated from several food animals such as pigs, cattle and chicken (1,3,6,11). Although *C. difficile* can cause enteric disease in animals, the major public health concern is the shedding of *C. difficile* by clinically healthy animals that may result in contamination of retail meat (1,3).

Different sources have been suggested for *C. difficile* contamination in retail meat: deposition of spores in the animal's muscle or other tissues; fecal or environmental contamination of carcasses; and contamination during meat processing (3-4,11). *C. difficile* spores can survive the routine cleaning and disinfection procedures used in slaughter facilities and for meat processing equipment, which may promote their survival and proliferation (1).

Meat products are not expected to be sterile, and contamination with *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* is common (6). However, such bacteria are killed if the contaminated meat is thoroughly cooked at the recommended temperature (7). *C. difficile* spores, however, are heat tolerant and can survive at a temperature of 71°C for 120 min (7) and 85°C for 5 min (16). This raises concerns regarding the persistence of *C. difficile* in cooked meat and supports a potential zoonotic route of infection for this organism (2,6).

In general, *C. difficile* can be found relatively commonly in various meat products, and strains isolated from retail meat share similar PFGE fingerprints with those isolated from CDI patients (1,3,11).

Different sampling and isolation methods could account for differences in *C. difficile* prevalence rates in meat, ranging from 2.4% (8) to 47.8% (4). However, these studies demonstrate that the presence of low levels of *C. difficile* in retail meat is a common occurrence throughout many different geographical regions (7,10). Differences in meat handling in various regions may also contribute to variations in spore detection rates. Our data support the assessment reported by Weese (1) that because the prevalence of CA-CDI is not as common as the prevalence of *C. difficile* in retail meat, the existence of an obligatory association between ingestion of *C. difficile* and CA-CDI is unlikely. In other words, ingestion of *C. difficile* does not automatically lead to CDI and, in the majority of cases, it is likely that the presence of *C. difficile*

spores in the gastrointestinal tract of humans is transient. However, it is difficult to overlook the fact that strains of *C. difficile* with the same pulsotypes are found in meat and patients with CDI. It is likely that additional factors (eg, concurrent antibiotics and lack of immune protection) are necessary before the *C. difficile* spores present in meat can cause infection. The possibility of foodborne transmission of *C. difficile* and conditions under which such *C. difficile* ingested from meat develops into CDI warrants further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: Monique Visser performed this study as part of her academic studies at Fort Richmond Collegiate in 2007.

REFERENCES

1. Weese JS. *Clostridium difficile* in food – innocent bystander or serious threat? Clin Microbiol Infect 2010;16:3-10.
2. Rupnik M. Is *Clostridium difficile*-associated infection a potentially zoonotic and foodborne disease? Clin Microbiol Infect 2007;13:457-9.
3. Gould LH, Limbago B. *Clostridium difficile* in food and domestic animals: A new foodborne pathogen? Clin Infect Dis 2010;51:577-82.
4. Songer JG, Trinh HT, Killgore GE, Thompson AD, McDonald LC, Limbago BM. *Clostridium difficile* in retail meat products, USA, 2007. Emerg Infect Dis 2009;15:819-21.
5. Cloud J, Kelly CP. Update on *Clostridium difficile* associated disease. Curr Opin Gastroenterol 2007;23:4-9.
6. Weese JS, Reid-Smith RJ, Avery BP, Rousseau J. Detection and characterization of *Clostridium difficile* in retail chicken. Lett Appl Microbiol 2010;50:362-5.
7. Rodriguez-Palacios A, Staempfli HR, Duffield T, Weese JS. *Clostridium difficile* in retail ground meat, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis 2007;13:485-7.
8. Von Abercron SM, Karlsson F, Wigh GT, Wierup M, Krovacek K. Low occurrence of *Clostridium difficile* in retail ground meat in Sweden. J Food Prot 2009;72:1732-4.
9. Songer JG. *Clostridia* as agents of zoonotic disease. Vet Microbiol 2010;140:399-404.
10. Rodriguez-Palacios A, Reid-Smith RJ, Staempfli HR, et al. Possible seasonality of *Clostridium difficile* in retail meat, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis 2009;15:802-5.
11. Rupnik M, Songer JG. *Clostridium difficile* its potential as a source of foodborne disease. Adv Food Nutr Res 2010;60:53-66.
12. Lemee L, Dhalluin A, Testelin S, et al. Multiplex PCR targeting *tpi* (triose phosphate isomerase), *tcdA* (Toxin A), and *tcdB* (Toxin B) genes for toxigenic culture of *Clostridium difficile*. J Clin Microbiol 2004;42:5710-4.
13. Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Anaerobic Bacteria; approved standard – Seventh edn. CLSI document M11-A7 (ISBN 1-56238-626-3). Wayne: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute, 2007.
14. Bourgault AM, Lamothe F, Loo VG, Poirier L. In vitro susceptibility of *Clostridium difficile* clinical isolates from a multi-institutional outbreak in Southern Quebec, Canada. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006;50:3473-5.
15. Stein GE, Craig WA. Tigecycline: A critical analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2006;43:518-24.
16. Alfa MJ, Olson N, Buelow-Smith L. Simulated-use testing of bedpan and urinal washer disinfectors: Evaluation of *Clostridium difficile* spore survival and cleaning efficacy. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:5-11.