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An Efficient $T_1$ Contrast Agent for Labeling and Tracking Human Embryonic Stem Cells on MRI
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1. Introduction

Cell transplantation therapy based on human embryonic stem cells (hESCs) has great potential in treating complex medical conditions such as cardiovascular disease, autoimmune disease, cancer, and neurological disorders [1, 2] as they are pluripotent and have unlimited proliferation potential [3, 4]. However, while these cell-based therapies show considerable promise, there remain a number of critical barriers related to cell delivery that must be overcome. Currently, we rely on histology to confirm engraftment, a procedure useful strictly in animal studies but not in the clinical setting. The ability to noninvasively visualize the therapeutic cells in vivo would help verify their initial distribution, their continued survival, engraftment, and correlation with improved organ function. Amongst the imaging modalities available for noninvasive cell tracking, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the only non-irradiative modality that allows deep-tissue imaging in addition to providing superb soft tissue contrast, submillimeter spatial resolution, and both anatomical as well as physiological information [5, 6]. Cellular MRI has most commonly been performed with superparamagnetic iron oxides (SPIOs) for its high sensitivity [5]. Unfortunately, this dark-contrast approach suffers from obliteration of signal in surrounding anatomy and nonspecificity in regard to the source of dark signal, be they microbleeds, air/tissue interface, or released SPIO internalized by macrophages [7, 8].

To address these limitations of SPIOs, bright-contrast cell tracking using paramagnetic $T_1$ agents has also been explored [9]. Recently, a novel cell-permeable manganese porphyrin-based contrast agent, MnAMP, was reported for highly efficient $T_1$ labeling of mouse embryonic stem cells and human cancer cells [10, 11]. However, the synthetic
complexity of this agent makes it difficult to scale up for larger animal models that are required for clinical translation. A second-generation agent, MnPNH2, with a scalable synthesis was developed, and although this agent displayed excellent biocompatibility, its cell permeability was limited relative to MnAMP and a five-fold higher labeling concentration was required to provide sufficient relaxivity for in vivo cell tracking [12]. To maintain cell permeability and a simplified synthesis, we have developed a third-generation manganese porphyrin with a scalable two-step synthesis [13], similar to MnPNH2 but with higher cell uptake and a reduction in $T_1$ relaxation times comparable to MnAMP but at ten-fold lower labeling concentration. This new agent, named MnEtP, was previously used to treat oxidative stress in a preclinical model of colitis [14]. Here, we report its first demonstration as a cell labeling agent for MRI.

In this work, we investigated the potential of labeling hESCs with MnEtP and sensitively tracking the labeled stem cells in vivo. In keeping with our overall goal of designing safe cellular contrast agents, tests were performed to minimize dose and confirm the absence of negative effects on cell viability, proliferation, and differentiation capacity. Our results showed that the proposed bright-contrast $T_1$ agent is safe, provides efficient signal enhancement in vivo, and can be scaled up to enable labeling of large cell numbers required for preclinical animal models and eventual translation to human patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Human Embryonic Stem Cell Line and Cell Culture. Human ESCs (line ESI-017, ESI Bio, SKU: ES-700) were cultured under sterile conditions on tissue culture flasks and plates coated with Corning™ Matrigel™ Membrane Matrix (Fisher Scientific Cat. No. 08-774-552) and kept in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO$_2$. Cells were maintained in mTeSR™1 (STEMCELL Technologies Cat. No. 85850) and passage using an enzyme-free, Gentle Cell Dissociation Reagent (STEMCELL Technologies Cat. No 07174) and a mechanical cell scraper for detachment, to prevent differentiation and allow cells to remain in small colonies. Cells were grown in T-75 tissue culture flasks for labeling, and media was changed every 24 h. Cell morphology was monitored every 24 h by light microscopy.

2.2. Cell Labeling Studies. To ensure sterility, cell labeling was performed in a biological safety cabinet. MnEtP was dissolved in sterile dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to make 10 mM and 1 mM stock solutions. The stock solutions were sterile filtered into autoclaved vials. The stock solutions were stored in the fridge in between experiments. The contrast agent was added to a specific volume of mTeSR™1 media, mixed and then added to the hESC for a specific labeling period. The concentration of DMSO in media was ≤0.5% for all labeling studies. After labeling, the media was aspirated. The cells were rinsed with room temperature Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (D-PBS) three times, 1 min/rinse, to remove residual agent not taken up by cells. Fresh media was then added to the cells to allow them to continue growing for viability testing, or the cells were detached and pelleted for MRI and quantification or for cell fractionation and quantification.

The colonies were detached by use of a gentle cell dissociation reagent followed by cell scraping and collection. Cells were centrifuged at $300 \times g$ for 5 min, and the supernatant was aspirated. The cells were resuspended with 1 mL D-PBS, and 0.9 mL of the cell suspension was transferred to $115 \times 5$ mm Wintrobe sedimentation tubes (Kimble Chase, Vineland, NJ). The cells were pelleted at $300 \times g$ for 5 min, placed in falcon tubes, and sealed and transported on ice to the MR scanner. Optimization of the cell labeling procedure was done by testing a range of agent concentrations and labeling times, from 2 μM–10 μM labeling for 24 h and 10 μM–40 μM labeling for 30 min.

2.3. In Vitro MRI. After transportation to the MR scanner, the cell pellet-containing tubes were removed from ice and placed in a custom-made ULTEM™ resin holder. At different intervals after cell labeling (0–2 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours, and 72 hours), imaging was done on a clinical scanner (Achieva 3.0 T TX, Philips Medical Systems) using a 32-channel head coil. High resolution $T_1$-weighted images were acquired using a two-dimensional (2D) spin-echo sequence: repetition time (TR) = 100 ms, echo time (TE) = 14.1 ms, 60 mm field-of-view (FOV), 2.5 mm slice thickness, 0.5 mm × 0.5 mm in-plane resolution, and number of signal averages (NSA) = 8. $T_1$ mapping was performed using inversion recovery turbo spin echo: TR = 3000 ms, TE = 18.5 ms, 60 mm FOV, 2.5 mm slices, 0.5 × 0.5 mm in-plane resolution, and inversion time (TI) = (50, 100, 250, 500, 750, 1000, 1250, 1500, 2000, and 2500) ms. After image acquisition, the data were analyzed on a 2.5 mm deep cylindrical volume within each cell pellet. $T_1$ values were calculated on a pixel-by-pixel using in-house software developed in Matlab (v. 8.1). Quantitative $T_1$ relaxation times were measured using a 2D multiecho spin-echo sequence: TR = 2000 ms, 32 echoes with minimum TE = 7.6 ms and 7.6 ms echo spacing, 60 mm FOV, 2.5 mm slice thickness, and 0.5 mm × 0.5 mm in-plane resolution.

2.4. Subcellular Distribution of MnEtP. Labeled cells were washed as described above and pelleted at $300 \times g$ for 5 min. The cells were resuspended in 1 mL D-PBS with 0.01% saponin (Alfa Aesar Cat. No. A18820). After 30 min at room temperature, the cells were centrifuged at 1000 × g for 5 min. The supernatant was collected as the cytosolic fraction, and the remaining pellet was collected as the membrane fraction. The cytosolic and nuclear fractions were then added to the cells to allow them to continue growing for viability testing, or the cells were detached and pelleted for MRI and quantification or for cell fractionation and quantification.
solutions were diluted to 2% HNO₃, filtered over 0.22 μm PES syringe filter, and analyzed by inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) as described above.

2.5. Microscopy. Bright field microscopy was used to visually assess cell growth and development throughout each experiment to ensure consistency and viability. Human ESCs were assessed every 24 h as well as before/after each labeling period. Confluency was monitored daily to ensure that the cells never exceeded 80% confluency. As expected, the hESCs maintained a spherical morphology and grew in tightly packed colonies. Proliferation and development were closely monitored after labeling periods to confirm that the agent had no adverse effects on the cells.

2.6. Stem Cell Differentiation into Embryoid Bodies. The capability of hESCs to differentiate into any cell within the body is essential for any application of regenerative medicine. To ensure that the MnEtP contrast agent caused no negative effects on the stem cells’ ability to differentiate, labeled hESCs were differentiated into embryoid bodies. Confluent hESCs were labeled with 10 μM MnEtP for 24 h or 40 μM MnEtP for 30 min, washed three times with PBS, and transferred to 6-well untreated, uncoated tissue culture plates. The uncoated plates were then placed in the incubator on a shaker set at 60 rpm and left undisturbed for 5 days.

2.7. In Vivo Rat Study. All procedures were approved by our institutional animal care committee (protocol #41181) and were conducted in accordance with the Canadian Council on Animal Care. The in vitro studies showed that two different conditions provided excellent T₁ reductions; therefore, both conditions were tested in vivo, namely, 10 μM MnEtP for 24 h and 40 μM MnEtP for 30 min. Cells were grown in T-75 flasks and were labeled at 70% confluency for either condition. Directly after labeling, the cells were washed three times, collected in a falcon tube, and centrifuged at 300 × g for 5 min. The supernatants were aspirated, and cells resuspended in 200 μL of mTeSR™1 media, transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes, placed on ice, and transported to the MR scanner. A female adult Sprague-Dawley rat (Charles River Laboratories) weighing 350 g was anesthetized on 3% isoflurane (Forene, Abbott Labs, Baar, Switzerland) in pure oxygen (2 L/min flow rate). Approximately 15 million labeled cells in 200 μL mTeSR™1 were injected subcutaneously on the dorsal side below the shoulder blades. The unlabeled control cells were injected subcutaneously on the dorsal side posterior to the 10 μM, 24 h labeled cell injection. The rat was placed prone in an 8-channel wrist coil, on a water blanket (HTP-1500, Adroit Medical Systems, London, TN) set at 41°C for maintenance of body temperature. A maintenance dose of 2% isoflurane in pure oxygen was used throughout imaging. Within one hour after cell injection, imaging was performed and completed. Forty 1 mm thick sagittal image slices were centered at midline. A 3D T₁-weighted turbo field echo (TFE) sequence was acquired to detect the labeled cells: TR = 6.2 ms, TE = 3.3 ms, NSA = 16, FOV = 100 mm, and 0.6 × 0.6 mm in-plane resolution. To visualize the fluids within the injections, a 2D T₂-weighted turbo spin-echo (TSE) sequence was acquired with the same pixel resolution and TR = 4000 ms, TE = 75 ms, and NSA = 2 with an echo train length = 16. Quantitative T₁-mapping was performed using a variable flip-angle method [15].

2.8. Statistics. Differences in T₁ and T₂ relaxation times with labeling conditions were tested using one-way ANOVA with Tukey-Kramer post hoc analysis. A two-way ANOVA was used to determine significance for retention studies, with postlabeling interval and labeling condition as variables. Significance is reported at a P value of 5%.

3. Results

Figure 1 shows the synthesis of compound 2, MnEtP [5, 10, 15, 20-tetakis(ethoxycarbonyl)porphyrinato]manganese(III) chloride, done according to the literature [13, 16]. The first step is a condensation reaction between pyrrole and ethyl glyoxalate followed by in situ oxidation with DDQ to form the tetraethyl ester porphyrin, 1 in 10% yield. Manganese insertion was accomplished with 85% yield. The structure was confirmed by high resolution mass spectrometry (MS), and the purity was confirmed to be >95% by Mn flame atomic absorption spectroscopy and HPLC. Figure 2 illustrates the chemical structure of MnEtP and those of previous cell-labeling agents, namely, MnTriAMP [5-carboxy-10, 15, 20-tris(acetoxyethylcarbonyl)porphyrinato]manganese(III) chloride, MnTetraAMP [5, 10, 15, 20-tetakis(acetoxyethylcarbonyl)porphyrinato]manganese(III) chloride, and MnPNH2 [5-(4-aminophenyl)-10,15,20-tris(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrinato]manganese(III) chloride.

Due to the hydrophobic nature of MnEtP, stock solutions of the agent were prepared in DMSO and infused into the media for cell labeling (concentration of DMSO in media = 0.5%). To control the effects of this solvent on cell labeling, control cells were cultured with 0.5% DMSO. As seen in Figure 3(a), both the unlabeled and DMSO labeled cell pellet were white in colour. In contrast, the pellets labeled for 24 h with 2 μM, 5 μM, and 10 μM MnEtP show a gradual increase in red colour. Qualitatively, the darkest pellet with the most uptake resulted from the highest labeling concentration of 40 μM, despite the short labeling interval of 30 min. The efficiency of MnEtP as a contrast agent is shown in Figures 3(b)–3(d). The trend seen with the differences in colour is confirmed by the MR results. Significant reduction in T₁ relaxation times was achieved for all labeling conditions (P < 0.05), even with low labeling concentrations. Reductions in T₂ were small, consistent with a T₁ agent. The conditions with the largest T₁ reductions, 10 μM for 24 h and 40 μM for 30 min, were chosen for the remainder of the study.

A retention study of cells labeled at 10 μM for 24 h showed that the T₁ relaxation time returned to baseline levels 24 h postlabeling (Figure 4). In contrast, cells labeled at 40 μM for 30 min maintained a substantial T₁ reduction at
24 h and $T_1$ only returned to the baseline by 48 h post-labeling. The cell pellets were quantified by ICP-AES (Table 1), and the results substantiate the $T_1$ relaxation measurements. To gain insight into the subcellular distribution of the agent, cells were fractionated into cytosolic, nuclear, and membrane components; the mass of Mn was then quantified. Table 2 lists the relative percentage of Mn in the various fractions. For both labeling conditions, more than 90% of the agent was localized in the membrane fraction and less than 1% was in the nucleus.

Figure 5 illustrates bright-field images of representative colonies for labeled cells and unlabeled control taken immediately after labeling and at 1, 2, and 3 days postlabeling. The images show that labeling had no effect on colony shape, size, or distribution. Cell viability was also unaffected (Table 3).

To verify that labeling had no detrimental effects on cell function, cells were differentiated into embryoid bodies. Both the unlabeled and labeled embryoid bodies appeared similar in size and shape, as seen on the bright-field images in Figure 6.

In vivo MR imaging of a rat injected subcutaneously with labeled and unlabeled hESCs is shown in Figure 7. A schematic of injection locations (Figure 7(a)) is provided to facilitate interpreting the MR images. The labeled cells were clearly discerned on $T_1$-weighted MRI as a hyperintense signal at the site of injection, whereas unlabeled cells could not be detected (Figure 7(b)). $T_2$-weighted images are also shown (Figure 7(c)) to illustrate that a $T_2$ sequence is incapable of distinguishing the therapeutic cells as signal enhancement will arise not from the cells but from the fluid of the injectate. Figure 8 shows an in vivo $T_1$ map overlaid on an anatomical image of the rat and compares side-by-side the $T_1$ reductions in a cell pellet. The injected stem cells had a $T_1$ of $595 \pm 138$ ms and $488 \pm 55$ ms for the $10 \mu$M and $40 \mu$M conditions, respectively.

4. Discussion

Stem cells have been differentiated into a variety of cell types for treatment of complex and chronic conditions such as neurodegenerative diseases, autoimmune disease, and cancers [1, 2]. For example, pancreatic islet transplantation has shown success in treating type I diabetes [17]. However, progress in many cell-based therapies is stalled by several critical hurdles, foremost of which is lack of information on the fate of therapeutic cells once they are
transplanted in the body. A large percentage of cells do not survive beyond the initial injection, and retention below 10% is common. Therefore, having a means to evaluate the initial success of cell therapy and where surviving cells are distributed is critical. The main objective for this work was to develop a positive-contrast $T_1$ agent that provides...
efficient MR contrast, is biocompatible with both the cells and the organism receiving therapy, and can be synthesized in large quantities in labs focused on biomedical applications rather than synthesis of new agents—all the ingredients for a clinically translatable agent to guide cell therapy. To this end, we have developed a third-generation manganese porphyrin, MnEtP, with a scalable two-step synthesis, biocompatibility, efficient cell uptake, and

### Table 1: Quantification of intracellular Mn content by ICP-AES.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incubation conditions</th>
<th>Retention period (h)</th>
<th>[Mn] (fg/cell)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>No labeling</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μM, 24 h</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μM, 24 h</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μM, 24 h</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μM, 24 h</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 μM, 30 min</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 μM, 30 min</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 μM, 30 min</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 μM, 30 min</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cell retention results up to 72 h postlabeling for optimized labeling conditions are shown (BDL: below detection limit).

### Table 2: Subcellular distribution of MnEtP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Labeling condition</th>
<th>% Mn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytosol</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 μM, 24 h</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 μM, 30 min</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 μM, 24 h</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 μM, 30 min</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>BDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10 μM, 24 h</td>
<td>98.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40 μM, 30 min</td>
<td>94.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results shown are for two optimized labeling conditions. The mass of Mn/fraction is given as a percentage (BDL: below detection limit).

### Table 3: Cell viability at 6 days postlabeling.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labeling condition</th>
<th>% viability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unlabeled control</td>
<td>82.1 ± 14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% DMSO</td>
<td>82.0 ± 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 μM, 24 h</td>
<td>90.5 ± 11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 μM, 30 min</td>
<td>89.9 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Viability was determined by trypan blue assay. Shown are mean values and standard deviations.

Figure 5: Phenotype of hESCs on light microscopy. Bright-field images of human embryonic stem cell colonies: (a) unlabeled control cells, (b) 10 μM, 24 h, and (c) 40 μM, 30 min directly after labeling and 24, 48, and 72 h postlabeling. Colony shape and cell morphology were unchanged after labeling (4x magnification).
unprecedented signal enhancement in vivo at very low labeling concentrations.

Our focus on $T_1$ agents strives to achieve greater specificity and reliability of cell detection than is possible with SPIOs. However, due to an inherently lower sensitivity, $T_1$ agents for cell tracking must be designed for high cell loading and efficient intracellular relaxivity. One agent developed by us, MnAMP, fulfills these criteria but was not amenable for larger animal studies due to difficulty in scaling up the synthesis. MnAMP was synthesized in 5 steps with an overall yield of only 4.7%. The new agent, MnEtP, was synthesized in 2 steps with almost double the yield at 8.5%. Structurally, MnEtP has four ethyl esters at the mesopositions of the porphyrin macrocycle, whereas MnAMP is a 1:1 mixture of
3 acetoxymethyl (AM) esters with one carboxylate and 4 AM esters at those positions. Therefore, MnEtP would be more hydrophobic since all four carboxylates are blocked by ethyl esters, and AM esters also have more oxygen atoms present per side chain. Furthermore, MnEtP has an overall positive charge due to the central manganese atom, which also promotes cell uptake via interactions with oligosaccharides on the cell surface. This new agent is also substantially more hydrophobic than MnPNH2, our second-generation agent, with three negatively charged sulfonates at the periphery. Therefore, MnPNH2 required relatively high labeling concentrations of 0.5 mM for 24 h compared to only 0.1 mM labeling for MnAMP for 24 h. The main advantage of MnPNH2 is its simple one-step synthesis from a commercially available apo form, 5-(4-aminophenyl)-10, 15, 20-tri(4-sulfonatophenyl)porphyrin, making this agent accessible to a wide range of research labs. As described above, MnEtP was synthesized in 2 steps and can also be made by researchers without extensive synthesis experience.

Amongst $T_1$ cell-labeling agents, there have been a plethora of approaches based on both gadolinium (Gd) and manganese. In contrast to manganese porphyrins, which are naturally hydrophobic due to the large aromatic macrocycle, clinically approved Gd chelates are small, hydrophilic, and cell-impermeable. Several of these agents have been used for cell labeling but, due to their hydrophilicity, require transfection agents or electroporation with high incubation concentrations (5 mM–100 mM) and long labeling times for cell uptake [18]. To improve cell labeling efficiency, a variety of strategies have been used, including attachment of small chelates to lipophilic moieties [19, 20] and cell penetrating peptides [21, 22] to render them cell-permeable. However, these approaches use complex multistep syntheses, at least 5 steps, which severely limit the number of labs capable of making these agents [19, 21, 22]. An alternative strategy has been to incorporate large numbers of Gd-chelates into nanocarriers [23, 24] that have mechanisms for cell uptake. However, these are difficult to translate to clinical applications, since precise characterization of the constituents of these materials is difficult compared to well established characterization of small molecules. Aside from the complexity of synthesis, gadolinium toxicity is a major concern. Gd deposits have been found in brains of patients receiving repeated injections of linear clinical agents, raising concerns about the use of a toxic heavy metal [25, 26]. By comparison, porphyrins have evolved to chelate transition metals, specifically Fe$^{II}$/Fe$^{III}$, and since Mn$^{III}$ is isoelectronic with Fe$^{III}$, it is also thermodynamically and kinetically very stable [27].

The safety of MnEtP was confirmed from cell viability and differentiation assays. HPLC data from cell fractionation
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**Figure 8:** Comparison of reduction in $T_1$ relaxation times in vitro and in vivo. An in vivo $T_1$ map overlaid over the cell injection site shows similar reductions in $T_1$ relaxation times (in units of ms) compared to cell pellet imaging. The same colour scale is used for both in vitro and in vivo maps.
also confirmed that MnEtP was the only species detected (see Supplementary Materials available here): none of the apoporphyrin was present, which points to the high stability of manganese porphyrins for cell labeling. In contrast, linear Gd-based contrast agents demetallate intracellularly, releasing the toxic Gd ion [28]. This risk is associated with nephrogenic systemic fibrosis [29, 30] in patients with reduced kidney function and has led to an increased demand for safer Gd-free alternatives. This is especially important for cell labeling where endosomal entrapment exposes the agent to much harsher conditions compared to the extracellular environment [28]. As a cell labeling agent, MnEtP demonstrated up to a six-fold reduction in $T_1$ relaxation times compared to control cells. There was a clear trend of larger $T_1$ reductions with higher labeling concentrations and/or longer labeling intervals. Even at very low labeling concentrations of 10 $\mu$M (for 24h) and 40 $\mu$M (for 30 min), the $T_1$ relaxation time dropped below 400 ms, which enabled high signal-to-noise imaging. Compared with previous agents, we now require at least ten times less material, a substantial advantage in terms of labeling large cell quantities. In fact, the material required for labeling an average therapeutic cell dose, ~3.5 x 10^8 cells for a 70 kg person [31], requires 69.2 mg MnPNH2, 10.6 mg MnAMP, and only 0.9 mg MnEtP for the 10 $\mu$M, 24 h labeling condition. The two optimal conditions for MnEtP provide flexibility in terms of the labeling interval, depending on the requirements for specific applications.

The enhancement of labeled cells depends on the relaxivity of the agent, its intracellular concentration, and its subcellular localization. We, thus, determined both the Mn content and the subcellular distribution of MnEtP to elucidate the mechanisms of cellular contrast. Less than 1% of the agent accumulated in the nucleus, vital for maintaining stem cell function and viability. Subcellular localization experiments also confirmed that less than 5% of the agent resided in the cytosol and over 90% bound to the cell membrane. These results indicate that the high efficiency of $T_1$ relaxation resulted predominantly from membrane-bound Mn exerting a decrease in water tumbling rate via fast water exchange. This mechanism of anchoring contrast agents to the cell membrane to enhance relaxation rate has also been exploited previously for Gd-based cell labeling agents [32, 33] to overcome quenching of relaxivity from intracellular compartmentalization [34].

An important consideration for all exogenous agents is the difficulty of tracking the status, function, and interaction of therapeutic cells with the host microenvironment at long intervals after cell therapy. Regardless of the presence or absence of mechanisms to retain the agent inside a cell, cell dilution effects inevitably precludes long-term monitoring. As each labeled cell divides, the concentration is approximately halved, and signal drops below the noise floor after several cell divisions. Monitoring over the longer term is feasible in only a few instances, such as tracking terminally differentiated, nondividing cells (e.g., T-effector cells for immunotherapy [35], pancreatic islets for diabetes [36]); here, a contrast agent designed with a cell retention mechanism, such as MnAMP, is valuable. However, most applications use proliferating cells [31] that do not benefit from a retention mechanism. This is demonstrated by MnAMP-labeled cancer cells where a reduction in cellular $T_1$ relaxation was observed after 5 h in fresh media due to cell division [11]. For the large majority of cell-tracking applications, the most practical and tangible goal is to achieve sensitive and specific detection in the initial hours after transplantation to assess cell homing and distribution. In the case of MnEtP, the stem cells underwent cell division approximately every 24 hours. Therefore, the loss of signal after 24 hours can be attributed to both the dilution effect and possibly the detachment of the agent from the cell membrane.

Future studies will focus on optimizing the labeling conditions for several different types of therapeutic cells, such as hematopoietic stem cells for nonischemic dilated cardiomyopathy [31]. These will be followed by in vivo studies to optimize the injection protocol for therapeutic cells in preclinical animal models of stem cell therapy.

In conclusion, we have reported on a new positive-$T_1$ agent, MnEtP, for specific and sensitive in vivo tracking of hESCs. The new agent enables highly efficient labeling of hESCs without negative effects on cell viability or differentiation. Sensitive detection of labeled cells was demonstrated in vivo with MR imaging of transplanted cells at 3 Tesla. Future studies will focus on labeling therapeutic cells for in vivo transplantation in preclinical animal models of stem cell therapy for regenerative medicine.
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