Identifying Methamphetamine Dependence Using Regional Homogeneity in BOLD Signals
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Methamphetamine is a highly addictive drug of abuse, which will cause a series of abnormal consequences mentally and physically. This paper is aimed at studying whether the abnormalities of regional homogeneity (ReHo) could be effective features to distinguish individuals with methamphetamine dependence (MAD) from control subjects using machine-learning methods. We made use of resting-state fMRI to measure the regional homogeneity of 41 individuals with MAD and 42 age- and sex-matched control subjects and found that compared with control subjects, individuals with MAD have lower ReHo values in the right medial superior frontal gyrus but higher ReHo values in the right temporal inferior fusiform. In addition, AdaBoost classifier, a pretty effective ensemble learning of machine learning, was employed to classify individuals with MAD from control subjects with abnormal ReHo values. By utilizing the leave-one-out cross-validation method, we got the accuracy more than 84.3%, which means we can almost distinguish individuals with MAD from the control subjects in ReHo values via machine-learning approaches. In a word, our research results suggested that the AdaBoost classifier-neuroimaging approach may be a promising way to find whether a person has been addicted to methamphetamine, and also, this paper shows that resting-state fMRI should be considered as a biomarker, a noninvasive and effective assistant tool for evaluating MAD.

1. Introduction

Methamphetamine is a type of synthetic stimulant that often appears white or colorless, and chronic overuse may result in dependence. There are many researchers claimed that chronic overuse of methamphetamine brings about adverse physical reactions and severe psychiatric symptoms, such as depressive disorder and dysthyismic disorder [1, 2], mental disease [3], and cognitive deficits [4–6], which may attribute to the reduction of dopamine transporter density that persists after use ceases [7, 8]. Currently, the clinical diagnosis of individuals with methamphetamine dependence (MAD) is based on the abnormal presence of MA users, self-reported symptoms that are subject to their own bias. There is a lack of validated biomarkers that are highly relative to MAD. So, we proposed a machine-learning-based method that may be an effective aided diagnosis system for MAD.

Based on the blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signal, resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) is a useful method for the research of brain activity. ReHo is a promising method of the study of resting-state fMRI, which has been successfully used for a volume of researches of neurological diseases, such as the research of antisocial personality disorder [9], schizophrenia [10], and
depression [11]. Hence, in this study, we utilized ReHo to find the differences between individuals with MAD and control subjects, and using the abnormal ReHo value in subjects, we initially employed AdaBoost classifier to distinguish these two groups—individuals with MAD and control subjects. AdaBoost classifier is a greatly outstanding ensemble learning method of machine learning, which even often outperforms support vector machine (SVM) [12] in some situations due to the classification results that are decided by many classifiers instead of single. We hypothesized that the abnormal areas showing in ReHo may be biomarkers for evaluating the MAD.

2. Methods

2.1. Data Acquisition and Preprocessing. Our nuclear magnetic resonance data were collected on the same fMRI instrument in the Department of Medical Imaging, Second Xiangya Hospital of Central South University. Scanning was performed using a 3.0T magnetic resonance imaging system from Siemens. A sponge earplug is inserted into the ear of the subject, and a soundproof ear is worn to reduce noise. The subjects were placed in a supine position, the head was placed in a fixed hood, and a foam pad was used to fix the sides of the head to reduce head movement. And during data acquisition, the subjects were asked to relax their minds, to keep their eyes closed, and to move as little as possible. Functional scans of the whole brain were acquired using a gradient echo EPI sequence; the parameters are as follows: TR = 2000 ms, TE = 30 ms, FOV = 220 mm, matrix = 64 × 64, flip angle = 80°, voxel size = 3.4 × 3.4 × 4 mm, slice thickness = 4 mm, and number of slice = 36. We used inter-layer scanning, even layers first and then odd layers, collecting 225 time points.

Data preprocessing was carried out employing Data Processing Assistant for Resting-State fMRI (DPARSF) [13] (http://www.restfmri.net) and SPM8 (https://www.filion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/) on the Matlab R2017b. For each subject, we set k equals to 27, and n represents the time points of fMRI data. Ri means the total number of 27 voxels at the ith time point, and obviously, Rmeans the average value of Ri. A pipeline of DPARSF has the function to calculate the time series consistency of each voxel and its surrounding voxels in the brain and then obtain the KCC of the voxel. In this way, we get the KCC value of each voxel in the whole brain, and we obtained the ReHo map for every subject.

2.2. ReHo Calculation. We used DPARSF advanced edition to carry out ReHo calculation for each subject. The main idea of ReHo can be summarized as using Kendall’s coefficient concordance (KCC) to measure the degree of similarity of multiple time courses [14]. The exact details of the method can be found in [15]. The KCC calculation formula at a certain point is as follows:

\[
W = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (R_i)^2 - n \times (R)^2}{(1/12)k^2 \times (n^3 - n)},
\]

where W represents the value of KCC, which is between 0 and 1, k means the number of voxels in a cluster, in our study, we set k equals to 27, and n represents the time points of fMRI data. Ri means the total number of 27 voxels at the ith time point, and obviously, Rmeans the average value of Ri. A pipeline of DPARSF has the function to calculate the time series consistency of each voxel and its surrounding voxels in the brain and then obtain the KCC of the voxel. In this way, we get the KCC value of each voxel in the whole brain, and we obtained the ReHo map for every subject.

2.3. Discriminative Analysis. Between-group voxel-wise comparison of the ReHo was performed using the two-sample t-test. From the result of two-sample t-test, we got different brain areas between individuals with MAD and control subjects. These brain regions were definite as regions of interest (ROIs). Each ROI was defined as a sphere (a radius of 6 mm) whose center was at the voxel showing the highest statistical difference. Then, the mean ReHo value in each ROI for each individual participant was extracted as features to classify the MAD group and the control group. AdaBoost classification is a type of ensemble learning method of machine learning, which is proposed by Freund and Schapire [16]. The AdaBoost algorithm consists of many weak classifiers. In each iteration, a new weak classifier was added to the algorithm until the classifier reaches an expected result. During the training process, we set a value for each training sample, which represents the probability that the sample is selected by the new classifier. If the sample is accurately classified in the previous classifier, its weight will decrease, otherwise increase, so that we can pay more attention to the sample of the wrong classification. The final classification result is a linear combination of multiple classifiers, which is the fundamental reason why the AdaBoost classification algorithm is often better than others. Because of the small amount of data, we used the method of leave-one-out cross-validation to train the model, and the ultimate model accuracy is the average of multiple training.

3. Results

3.1. Subjects. In our study, 41 individuals with MAD come from The Forced Isolation and Detoxification Center in Pingtang, Hunan Province, China. 42 control subjects are recruited from society; we got rid of control subjects that are diagnosed with mental diseases or subjects that have

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types</th>
<th>Individuals with MAD</th>
<th>Control subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>21–46</td>
<td>20–46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average (years)</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left/right-handed</td>
<td>3/42</td>
<td>2/41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average duration of MA (months)</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: The information of subjects. MAD means methamphetamine dependence. The average duration of MA means the average months of individuals with MAD took methamphetamine.
There are two left-handed subjects in control subjects and three left-handed subjects in individuals with MAD. For control subjects, they have no history of addictive substance dependence except nicotine. Participants who took part in the experiment were between 20 and 46 years old with an average age of 33.4. Before the data was collected, the doctor signed a written guarantee agreement with each subject, so this study was subject to approval by the ethics committee of Central South University. The relevant information about all the participants is given in Table 1.

3.2. ReHo Results.

Figure 1 and Table 2 show meaningful differences between individuals with MAD and control subjects employing a two-sample t-test (after AlphaSim correction, \(p = 0.05\), the minimum cluster size is 13). Compared with control subjects, individuals with MAD have lower ReHo values in the right medial superior frontal gyrus, instead, and they have higher ReHo values in the right temporal inferior fusiform.

3.3. Classification Results.

We used AdaBoost classifier to discriminate individuals with MAD from control subjects by features that are significant differences in ReHo between two groups. The main idea of the AdaBoost classifier can be summarized as a linear combination of multiple weaker classifiers. We tested the number of weaker classifiers (n_estimators) from 2 to 20 and found that the best accuracy was 84.37% (Figure 2) when n_estimators equal to 4. Here, accuracy means the number of correctly classified subjects divide the total number of our subjects. From the classification result, we can reach the conclusion that AdaBoost classifier can better distinguish between normal people and individuals with MAD.

4. Discussion

Recently, methamphetamine has been becoming one of the most highly addictive drugs in the world and continues to be foremost public health problems [17]. There is an increasing number of people that are suffering from the overuse of MA, which highly affects their physical and mental health and brings misfortune to their families. Hence, it is meaningful to identify individuals with MAD and take certain procedures to alleviate their conditions.

In this paper, our purpose was to build an assistant diagnosis system for MAD based on resting-state fMRI and machine-learning methods. In our study, we exploited the approach of ReHo to research resting-state fMRI data, by computing the discernible differences between the MAD group and the control group. In addition, an effective machine-learning method—AdaBoost algorithm—was employed to distinguish individuals with MAD and control subjects with accuracy equal to 84.3%, which indicates that the AdaBoost classifier-neuroimaging approach can be a useful assistant diagnosis tool to identify individuals with MAD and help them alleviate their conditions in time. In our study, we found that individuals with MAD have lower ReHo values in their right medial superior frontal gyrus, which is consistent with the findings of Monterosso et al. and Schwartz et al. [18, 19]. On the other hand, we found that individuals with MAD have higher ReHo values in the right temporal

Table 2: Significant between-group differences in regional homogeneity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>L/R</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>Max t-value</th>
<th>Cluster size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal IF</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>-15</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>3.2546</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior FG</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>-3.2969</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: R: right; L: left; MNI: Montreal Neurological Institute; IF: inferior fusiform; FG: frontal gyrus.
inferior fusiform that is in accordance with Kim et al. [20]. Goldberg et al. found testimonies to prove that the superior frontal gyrus is relative to self-awareness [21, 22], and Fried et al. found that superior frontal gyrus is closely associated with laughter [23], which accord with clinical symptoms of individuals with MAD. And also, a series of researches proved that inferior fusiform gyrus is involved in higher processing of colors [24–26], which implies that it is often related to the visual pathway [27]; in other words, inferior fusiform gyrus is highly about face and body recognition and word recognition [28–30]; that is why most individuals with MAD often appear weird facial expressions and behaviors. Our research suggested that individuals with MAD have poor self-awareness such as compulsive behavior, anxiety, and poor ability to recognize face, body, and word [11]. In addition, we effectively distinguish individuals with MAD from control subjects using AdaBoost classifier that overrides support vector machine and KNN. Our results demonstrated a potential biomarker for evaluating MAD. There are many kinds of research showed that biomarkers might be employed to effectively diagnosis a variety of diseases in the medical domain [31]. Our results proved that ReHo could be a robust index for discriminating individuals with MAD from control subjects and machine learning could be a useful tool for the diagnosis of mental disease. Although we achieved 84.3% accuracy, we believe that we still have a big room to improve our model. And we only used regional homogeneity (ReHo) approach to estimate MAD; maybe we can transform our model in functional connectivity in the future. And also, deep learning outmatches traditional machine-learning algorithms in large sample data set in recent years, which may inspire us to get a more accurate result in the future.
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