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We consider the random fuzzy differential equations (RFDEs) with impulses. Using Picard method of successive approximations, we shall prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions to RFDEs with impulses under suitable conditions. Some of the properties of solution of RFDEs with impulses are studied. Finally, an example is presented to illustrate the results.

1. Introduction

Impulsive differential equations (IDEs) are a new branch of differential equations. IDEs can find numerous applications in different branches of optimal control, electronics, economics, physics, chemistry, and biological sciences. We refer to [1–4] and the references therein. As we know, the real systems are often faced with two kinds of uncertainties (fuzziness and randomness). Therefore, this topic has extensively been studied by mathematicians in recent years. Investigations of dynamic systems with fuzziness have been developed in connection with fuzzy differential equations (FDEs). Evidence of FDEs for such areas as control theory, differential inclusions, and fuzzy differential equations can be found in the papers of [5–8], the books and monographs [9], and references therein. In [10], Lakshmikantham and McRae combined the theories of impulsive differential equations and fuzzy differential equations. There are a few papers on the latter topic; see [10–12].

Moreover, the class of random fuzzy differential equations (RFDEs) could be applicable in the investigation of numerous engineering and economics problems where the phenomena are simultaneously subjected to two kinds of uncertainties, that is, fuzziness and randomness, simultaneously (see, e.g., Malinowski [13–16], Feng [17, 18], and Fei [19, 20]). Feng [17] introduced the concepts by the mean-square derivative and mean-square integral of second-order fuzzy stochastic processes. Using the results, the author [18] investigated the properties of solutions of the fuzzy stochastic differential systems, including the existence and uniqueness of solution, the dependence of the solution of the initial condition, and the continuity and the boundedness of solution of systems when there are perturbations of the coefficients and the initial conditions. In [19, 20], Fei proved the existence and uniqueness of solution of fuzzy random differential equation (FRDE). The author also discussed the dependence of solution to FRDE on initial values. Finally, the nonconfluence property of the solution for FRDE is studied.

In [13], Malinowski considered the following random fuzzy differential equations:

\[ D_{H} x(t, \omega)^{[t_{0}, t_{0}+p]}_{\mathbb{P}, 1} = f(t, x(t, \omega)), \]

\[ x(t_{0}, \omega)^{\mathbb{P}, 1} = x_{0}(\omega) \in E, \tag{1} \]

where \( f : \Omega \times [t_{0}, t_{0}+p] \times E^{d} \rightarrow E^{d} \) and the symbol \( D_{H} \) denotes the fuzzy Hukuhara derivative. The author proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution for RFDEs under Lipschitz condition. Malinowski [14, 15] studied two kinds of solutions to the RFDEs with two kinds of fuzzy derivatives. For both cases the author established the existence and uniqueness of local solutions to RFDEs. In addition, the author also presented some examples being simple illustrations of the theory of RFDEs.

Inspired and motivated by Fei [19], Feng [18], Malinowski [14], and other authors as in [3, 10, 21], in this paper, we consider the RFDEs with impulses under Hukuhara derivative. The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we summarize
some preliminary facts and properties of the fuzzy set space, fuzzy differentiation, and integration. We also recall the notions of fuzzy random variable and fuzzy stochastic process. In Section 3, we discuss the RFDEs with impulses. Under suitable conditions, we prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions to RFDEs with impulses. In Section 4, we give some examples to illustrate these results.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some definitions and properties and introduce the necessary notation which will be used throughout the paper. We denote $E^d = \{ u : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, 1] \mid u$ satisfies (i)-(iv) stated below, where

(i) $u$ is normal; that is, there exists an $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $u(x_0) = 1$;

(ii) $u$ is fuzzy convex; that is, for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1, u(\lambda x_1 + (1 - \lambda) x_2) \geq \min\{u(x_1), u(x_2)\}$, for any $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$;

(iii) $u$ is upper semicontinuous;

(iv) $c_l\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : u(z) > 0\}$ is compact set.

Then $E^d$ is called the space of fuzzy numbers.

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we denote $[u]^{\alpha} = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid u(x) \geq \alpha \}$ and $[u]^0 = cl\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid u(x) > 0\}$. For $d = 1$ and from conditions (i)-(iv), we infer that the $\alpha$-level cut of $u$, denoted by $[u]^{\alpha}$, is a bounded closed interval for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $u \in E^d$, and $[u]^{\alpha} = [u^{\alpha}_l, u^{\alpha}_u]$, where $u^{\alpha}_l$ and $u^{\alpha}_u$ are the lower and upper branches of $u$.

For $u, v \in E^d$, the Hausdorff distance between $u$ and $v$ is defined by

$$d_H(u, v) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0, 1]} \max\{d_H([u]^{\alpha}), d_H([v]^{\alpha})\}$$

and $(E^d, d_H)$ is a complete metric space.

If we define $D : E^d \times E^d \to \mathbb{R}_+$ by the expression

$$D(u, v) = \sup_{t \in [a, b]} d_H(u(t), v(t)),$$

then it is well-known that $D$ is metric in $E^d$ and $(E^d, D)$ is also a complete metric space.

Some properties are well-known for the metric Hausdorff $D$ defined on $E^d$ as follows:

$$D(u + w, v + w) = D(u, v),$$

$$D(\lambda u, \lambda v) = \lambda D(u, v),$$

$$D(u, v) \leq D(u, w) + D(v, w),$$

for every $u, v, w \in E^d$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$. 

Definition 1 (see [22]). Let $u, v \in E^d$. If there exists $w \in E^d$ such that $u = v + w$, then $w$ is called the Hukuhara difference of $u, v$ and it is denoted by $u \oplus v$.

Definition 2 (see [22]). Let $f : (a, b) \to E^d$ and $t \in (a, b)$. We say that $f$ is differentiable at $t$ if there exists an element $D_Hf(t) \in E^d$ such that the limits

$$\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(t + h) \ominus f(t)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{f(t) \ominus f(t - h)}{h}$$

exist and are equal to $D_Hf(t)$.

Definition 3 (see [22]). Let $f : (a, b) \to E^d$. The integral of $f$ on $(a, b)$, denoted by $\int_a^b f(t)dt$, is defined levelwise by the equation

$$\left[ \int_a^b f(t) dt \right]^\alpha = \int_a^b \left[ f(t) \right]^\alpha dt$$

$$= \left\{ \int_a^b f(t) dt \mid \bar{f} : (a, b) \to \mathbb{R} \text{ is a measurable selection for } \left[ f(\cdot) \right]^\alpha \right\}$$

for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 4 (see [22]). A fuzzy mapping $f : (a, b) \to E^d$ is integrable if $f$ is integrable bounded and strongly measurable.

The following are some properties of integrability of fuzzy mapping (see [22]):

(a) If $f : (a, b) \to E^d$ is continuous then it is integrable.

(b) If $f : (a, b) \to E^d$ is integrable and $c \in (a, b)$ then

$\int_a^b f(s)ds = \int_a^c f(s)ds + \int_c^b f(s)ds.$

(c) Let $f, g : (a, b) \to E^d$ be integrable and $\lambda > 0$. Then

(i) $\int_a^b (f(s) + g(s))ds = \int_a^b f(s)ds + \int_a^b g(s)ds$,

(ii) $\int_a^b \lambda f(s)ds = \lambda \int_a^b f(s)ds$,

(iii) $D(f, g)$ is integrable and $D(\int_a^b f(s)ds, \int_a^b g(s)ds) \leq \int_a^b D(f(s), g(s))ds$.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space. A function $x : \Omega \to E^d$ is called a fuzzy random variable, if the set-valued mapping $[x(\cdot)]^\alpha : \Omega \to \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a measurable multifunction for all $\alpha \in [0, 1]$; that is,

$$\{ \omega \in \Omega \mid [x(\omega)]^\alpha \cap B \neq \emptyset \} \in \mathcal{F}$$

for every closed set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

Definition 5 (see [13]). A mapping $x : [a, b] \times \Omega \to E^d$ is said to be a fuzzy stochastic process if $x(\cdot, \omega)$ is a fuzzy set-valued function with any fixed $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x(t, \cdot)$ is a fuzzy random variable for any fixed $t \in [a, b]$.

Definition 6 (see [13]). A fuzzy stochastic process $x : [a, b] \times \Omega \to E^d$ is called continuous if there exists $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ with $P(\Omega_0) = 1$ and such that, for every $\omega \in \Omega_0$, the trajectory $x(\cdot, \omega)$ is a continuous function on $[a, b]$ with respect to the metric $D$. 
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For convenience, from now on, we shall write \( x(\omega) \overset{P.1}{=} y(\omega) \) to replace \( P(\{\omega \mid x(\omega) = y(\omega)\}) = 1 \) for short, where \( x, y \) are random elements, and similarly for inequalities. Also we shall write \( x(t, \omega) \overset{[a,b], P.1}{=} y(t, \omega) \) to replace \( P(\{\omega \mid x(t, \omega) = y(t, \omega)\}) = 1 \) for short, where \( x, y \) are some stochastic processes, and similarly for inequalities.

3. Existence and Uniqueness for RFDEs with Impulses

In this section, we consider the following random fuzzy differential equation with impulses:

\[
\begin{align*}
D_H x(t, \omega) &\overset{P.1}{=} f(t, x(t, \omega), \omega), \\
x(t_0, \omega) &\overset{P.1}{=} x_0(\omega), \\
x(t_k^+, \omega) &\overset{P.1}{=} I_k(x(t_k, \omega), \omega), \\
x(t_{k+1}^-, \omega) &\overset{P.1}{=} x_k(\omega) \in E^d,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f: J \times E^d \times \Omega \to E^d \), \( I_k : E^d \times \Omega \to E^d \) is continuous with \( P.1 \), and \( t_k, k = 1, m \), are points of impulses such that \( t_0 \leq \cdots < t_k < t_{k+1} \leq t_0 + p \) and \( x_0 : \Omega \to E^d \) is fuzzy random variable.

Lemma 7. Let \( x : J \times \Omega \to E^d \) be a fuzzy stochastic process. Then \( x \) is the solution of problem (8) if and only if \( x \) is a continuous fuzzy stochastic process and satisfy the following random impulsive fuzzy integral equation:

\[
x(t, \omega) = x_0(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\]

Proof. We divide the proof into two steps.

**Step 1.** If \( x(t) \) satisfies problem (8), then it will be expressed as (9). Indeed, for every \( t \in [t_0, t_1) \) we have

\[
D_H x(t, \omega) \overset{P.1}{=} f(t, x(t, \omega), \omega).
\]

By Lemma 3.1 in [13], we obtain

\[
x(t_1, \omega) \overset{[b-t_1], P.1}{=} x_0(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds.
\]

If \( t \in [t_1, t_2) \) and by Lemma 3.1 in [13], we have

\[
x(t, \omega) \overset{P.1}{=} x(t_1^+, \omega) + \int_{t_1}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\]

\[
\overset{P.1}{=} I_1(x_1(t, \omega), \omega) + \int_{t_1}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\]

\[
\overset{P.1}{=} I_1(x_1(t, \omega), \omega) + x_0(\omega)
\]

\[
+ \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\]

where \( f : J \times E^d \times \Omega \to E^d \), \( I_1 : E^d \times \Omega \to E^d \) is continuous with \( P.1 \), and \( t_1, k = 1, m \), are points of impulses such that \( t_0 < \cdots < t_k < t_{k+1} \leq t_0 + p \) and \( x_0 : \Omega \to E^d \) is fuzzy random variable.

\[
\begin{align*}
D_H x(t, \omega) &\overset{P.1}{=} f(t, x(t, \omega), \omega), \\
x(t_1, \omega) &\overset{P.1}{=} x_0(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

If we assume that

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, \omega) &\overset{[b-t_1], P.1}{=} x_0(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

then we have

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, \omega) &\overset{[b-t_{k+1}], P.1}{=} x(t_{k+1}^+, \omega) + \int_{t_k}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, \omega) &\overset{[b-t_{k+1}], P.1}{=} I_k(x_k(t, \omega), \omega) + \int_{t_k}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, \omega) &\overset{[b-t_{k+1}], P.1}{=} I_k(x_k(t, \omega), \omega) + \int_{t_k}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, \omega) &\overset{[b-t_{k+1}], P.1}{=} I_k(x_k(t, \omega), \omega) + \int_{t_k}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, \omega) &\overset{[b-t_{k+1}], P.1}{=} I_k(x_k(t, \omega), \omega) + \int_{t_k}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

It follows by mathematical induction that (13) holds for any \( k \geq 1 \).

**Step 2.** Conversely, if a fuzzy stochastic process \( x \) satisfies the random fuzzy integral equation (9), then it is equivalent to problem (8). Indeed, if \( t \in [t_0, t_1) \) we easily see that \( x(t_0, \omega) = x_0(\omega) \) and the Hukuhara difference \( x_k(\omega) + \int_{t_k}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds \) exists, with \( P.1 \). By Lemma 3.2 in [13] we have

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, \omega) &\overset{[b-t_{k+1}], P.1}{=} x_0(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
x(t, \omega) &\overset{[b-t_{k+1}], P.1}{=} f(t, x(t, \omega), \omega).
\end{align*}
\]
Let $h > 0$ small enough such that $t - h \in [t_1, t_2)$ for every $t \in [t_1, t_2)$; we have

\[
x(t, \omega) \ominus x(t-h, \omega) = \int_{t_1}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds \tag{16}
\]

Similarly, let $h > 0$ small enough such that $t + h \in (t_1, t_2)$ for every $t \in (t_1, t_2)$; we obtain

\[
x(t + h, \omega) \ominus x(t, \omega) = \int_{t_1}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds \tag{17}
\]

Multiplying both sides of (16) and (17) by $1/h$ and passing to the limit with $h \to 0^+$, we obtain

\[
\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x(t, \omega) \ominus x(t-h, \omega)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-h}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds = D_{\square} x(t, \omega),
\]

\[
\lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{x(t + h, \omega) \ominus x(t, \omega)}{h} = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds = D_{\square} x(t, \omega).
\]

This allows us to claim that $x$ is differentiable on $(t_1, t_2]$ and consequently

\[
D_{\square} x(t, \omega) \overset{\text{[1,2,3]P.1}}{=} f(t, x(t, \omega), \omega). 
\]

By mathematical induction, if $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$, $k = 1, m$, we get

\[
D_{\square} x(t, \omega) \overset{\text{[1,2,3]P.1}}{=} f(t, x(t, \omega), \omega). 
\]

Also, we can easily show that

\[
\Delta x(t_k, \omega) \overset{\text{P.1}}{=} I_k(x(t_k, \omega), \omega), \quad k = 1, m. 
\]

The proof is complete.

**Lemma 8.** Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space. Let $A : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $B_i : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, and stochastic processes $X, Y : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that

(i) $X(\cdot, \omega)$ is nonnegative and continuous with $P.1$ and $t_i$ are the points of discontinuity of the first of $X(\cdot, \omega)$ with $P.1$,

(ii) $Y(\cdot, \omega)$ is locally Lebesgue integrable with $P.1$.

If

\[
X(t, \omega) \overset{\text{P.1}}{\leq} A(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^{t} X(s, \omega) Y(s, \omega) \, ds + \sum_{t_i \leq t \leq t_{i+1}} B_i(\omega) X(t_i, \omega),
\]

then we have

\[
X(t, \omega) \overset{\text{P.1}}{\leq} A(\omega) \prod_{t_0 \leq t \leq t_{i+1}} (1 + B_i(\omega)) \exp \left( \int_{t_0}^{t} Y(s, \omega) \, ds \right). 
\]

Now, we show the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 9.** Let the mapping $f : J \times E^d \times \Omega \to E^d$ be continuous with $P.1$ and $I_k : E^d \times \Omega \to E^d$. Assume the following conditions hold:

(A1) There exists a nonnegative constant $L_1$ such that $D[f(t, \varphi, \omega), f(t, \phi, \omega)] \leq L_1 D[\varphi, \phi]$, for every $t \in J$ and $\varphi, \phi \in E^d$ with $P.1$.

(A2) There exists a nonnegative constant $L_{2,k}$ such that $D[I_k(\varphi, \omega), I_k(\phi, \omega)] \leq L_{2,k} D[\varphi, \phi]$, for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m$, for every $t \in J$ and $\varphi, \phi \in E^d$ with $P.1$.

(A3) There exists a nonnegative constant $M_1$ such that $D[f(t, x_0(\omega), \omega), 0] \leq M_1$, for every $t \in J$ and $x_0 \in E^d$ with $P.1$.

Then the random fuzzy differential equation with impulses (9) has a unique solution, provided that

\[
\frac{L_1 P^n}{m!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2,i} < 1, \quad \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}. 
\]

**Proof.** Define a sequence of the functions $x_n : J \times \Omega \to E^d$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ as follows: for every $\omega \in \Omega$ let us put

\[
x_n(t, \omega) = x_0(\omega), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(25)
For every $t \in J$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we have
\[
d_{\infty}[x_1(t,\omega), x_0(t,\omega)]
= d_{\infty}\left[\int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x_0(s,\omega), \omega) \, ds, \bar{0}\right]
+ d_{\infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} I_i(x_0(t_i, \omega), \omega), \bar{0}\right]
\leq \int_{t_0}^{t} d_{\infty}[f(s, x_0(\omega), \omega), \bar{0}] \, ds
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{\infty}[I_i(x_0(\omega), \omega), \bar{0}]
\leq M_1(t-t_0) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{\infty}[I_i(x_0(\omega), \omega), \bar{0}]
\leq p M_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{\infty}[I_i(x_0(\omega), \omega), \bar{0}] := M_0;
\]
it follows that $d_{\infty}[x_1(t,\omega), x_0(t,\omega)] \leq M_0$. Furthermore, by assumptions (A1)-(A2) and (25), we can find that
\[
d_{\infty}[x_n(t,\omega), x_{n-1}(t,\omega)]
\leq \int_{t_0}^{t} d_{\infty}[f(s, x_{n-1}(s,\omega), \omega), f(s, x_{n-2}(s,\omega), \omega)] \, ds
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{\infty}[I_i(x_{n-1}(t_i, \omega), \omega), I_i(x_{n-2}(t_i, \omega), \omega)]
\leq L_1 \int_{t_0}^{t} d_{\infty}[x_{n-1}(s,\omega), x_{n-2}(s,\omega)] \, ds
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2i} d_{\infty}[x_{n-1}(t_i, \omega), x_{n-2}(t_i, \omega)]
\leq L_1 \int_{t_0}^{t} \sup_{s \in J} d_{\infty}[x_{n-1}(s,\omega), x_{n-2}(s,\omega)] \, ds
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2i} \sup_{s \in J} d_{\infty}[x_{n-1}(t_i, \omega), x_{n-2}(t_i, \omega)]
\leq L_1 \left( \frac{(t-t_0)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2i} \right) D[x_{n-1}(\omega), x_{n-2}(\omega)],
\]
which implies that
\[
D[x_n(t,\omega), x_{n-1}(t,\omega)]
\leq \left( \frac{(t-t_0)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2i} \right) \cdot D[x_{n-1}(\omega), x_{n-2}(\omega)].
\]
Now, we need to prove that for all $t \in J$ with $P.1$ the following inequality holds: for any $n = 1, 2, \ldots$,
\[
D[x_n(t,\omega), x_{n-1}(t,\omega)] \leq L_1 \left( \frac{(t-t_0)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2i} \right) \cdot D[x_{n-1}(\omega), x_{n-2}(\omega)].
\]
Indeed, inequality (29) holds for $n = 1$. Further, if inequality (29) is true for any $n = m \geq 1$, then using (25) and assumptions (A1)-(A2), we have
\[
D[x_{m+1}(t,\omega), x_{m}(t,\omega)] \leq \left( \frac{(t-t_0)^{m}}{m!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2i} \right) D[x_{m}(\omega), x_{m-1}(\omega)].
\]
Thus, inequality (29) is true for every $t \in J$ with $P.1$.
Next, we see that $x_0(t,\omega)$ does not depend on $t$ and for the right-side continuity of $x_1(\cdot,\omega)$, one obtains
\[
D[x_1(t+h,\omega), x_1(t,\omega)] \leq \frac{(t-t_0)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2i} \int_{t}^{t+h} D[f(s, x_0(s,\omega), \omega), \bar{0}] \, ds
+ \sum_{i=1}^{k} D[I_i(x_0(t_i + h, \omega), \omega), I_i(x_0(t_i, \omega), \omega)].
\]
From the assumption (A3) and $D[I_i(x_0(t_i + h, \omega), \omega), I_i(x_0(t_i, \omega), \omega)] \to 0$ as $h \to 0^+$ with $P.1$, we imply that $d_{\infty}[x_1(t+h,\omega), x_1(t,\omega)] \to 0$ as $h \to 0^+$ with $P.1$. 

For every $n \geq 2$, we deduce that

\[
\begin{align*}
D [x_n(t + h, \omega), x_n(t, \omega)] \\
&\leq \int_{t}^{t+h} \left( D \left[ f(s, x_0(s, \omega), \omega), 0 \right] \\
&+ \sum_{q=1}^{n-1} D \left[ f(s, x_q(s, \omega), \omega), f(s, x_{q-1}(s, \omega), \omega) \right] \right) ds \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} \left[ I_j (x_0(t_j + h, \omega), I_j (x_0(t_j, \omega), \omega)) \right] \\
&\leq M^2 D [x_1(\omega), x_0(\omega)].
\end{align*}
\]

Using inequality (29) and assumption (A3), we get

\[
D [x_n(t + h, \omega), x_n(t, \omega)] \to 0
\]

as $h \to 0^+$ with $P.1$.

Similar for the left-side continuity, we have $d_{\alpha}[x_n(t - h, \omega), x_n(t, \omega)] \to 0$ as $h \to 0^+$. Hence the functions $x_n(\cdot, \omega)$, $n \geq 2$, are continuous with $P.1$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in J$ the function $x_n(t, \cdot)$ defined by (25) is fuzzy random variable. Indeed, $[x_n(\cdot, \omega)]^{\alpha}$ is measurable multifunction for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$; it remains to show the same for the mapping $\omega \mapsto \left[ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x_{n-1}(s, \omega), \omega) ds + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j (x_{n-1}(t_j, \omega), \omega) \right]^{\alpha}$ which is a measurable multifunction with every $\alpha \in [0, 1], n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $t \in J$. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ be fixed. By virtue of the definition of fuzzy integral and theorem of Nguyen [23] we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
&\left[ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x_{n-1}(s, \omega), \omega) ds + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j (x_{n-1}(t_j, \omega), \omega) \right]^{\alpha} \\
&= \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, [x_{n-1}(s, \omega)]^{\alpha}, \omega) ds \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j ([x_{n-1}(t_j, \omega)]^{\alpha}, \omega).
\end{align*}
\]

As the integrand is a multifunction continuous in $s$ and measurable in $\omega$, with any $t \in J$, the mapping

\[
\omega \mapsto \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, [x_{n-1}(s, \omega)]^{\alpha}, \omega) ds \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j ([x_{n-1}(t_j, \omega)]^{\alpha}, \omega)
\]

is a measurable multifunction for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for every $t \in J$, the sequence $\{x_n(t, \cdot)\}$ is a sequence of fuzzy random variable. Consequently, $\{x_n(t, \cdot)\}$ is a sequence of fuzzy stochastic process.

In the sequel, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall prove that the sequence $\{x_n(t, \omega)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence uniformly on the variable $t$ with $P.1$ and then $\{x_n(\cdot, \omega)\}$ is uniformly convergent with $P.1$.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and by inequality (29), we obtain

\[
D [x_{n+1}(t, \omega), x_n(t, \omega)]^{J,P.1} \leq MD [x_n(\omega), x_{n-1}(\omega)]
\]

\[
\leq M^n D [x_1(\omega), x_0(\omega)].
\]

Notice now that, for every $m > n > 0$, we have

\[
D [x_m(t, \omega), x_n(t, \omega)]^{J,P.1} \leq \sum_{k=n}^{m-1} D [x_{k+1}(t, \omega), x_k(t, \omega)]
\]

\[
\leq M^n + M^{n+1} + \cdots + M^{m-1} D [x_1(\omega), x_0(\omega)]^{J,P.1} \leq M^n
\]

For $m > n > 0$ large enough, it follows from the above inequalities with $M < 1$ that

\[
D [x_m(t, \omega), x_n(t, \omega)]^{J,P.1} \to 0.
\]

Since $(E^2, D)$ is a complete metric space and (38) holds, then

\[
D [x_n(t, \omega), x(t, \omega)]^{J,P.1} \to 0,
\]

which means that there exists $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ such that $P(\Omega_0) = 1$ and for every $\omega \in \Omega_0$, the sequence $\{x_n(\cdot, \omega)\}$ is uniformly convergent.

In the following, we shall show that $x(t, \omega)$ is solution of the random impulsive fuzzy integral equation (8). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Observe that

\[
D \left[ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x_{n-1}(s, \omega), \omega) ds + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j (x_{n-1}(t_j, \omega), \omega) \right]^{J,P.1} \leq \int_{t_0}^{t} D [f(s, x_{n-1}(s, \omega), \omega), f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega)] ds
\]

\[
\leq I_1 \int_{t_0}^{t} D [x_{n-1}(s, \omega), x(s, \omega)] ds.
\]

Since the sequence $x_n(t, \omega)$ converges uniformly to $x(t, \omega)$ on the variable $t \in J$ with $P.1$ as $n \to +\infty$, thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n_0 > 0$ large enough such that, for all $n > n_0$, we derive

\[
D [x_{n-1}(t, \omega), x(t, \omega)]^{J,P.1} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{(n - 1)!}{L_1 p^{n-1} \varepsilon}, \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{k} L_{2j}}{I_1 \varepsilon} \right)^{-1} \right\}.
\]

Therefore,

\[
D \left[ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x_{n-1}(s, \omega), \omega) ds + \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j (x_{n-1}(t_j, \omega), \omega) \right]^{J,P.1} \leq \varepsilon,
\]

\[
D \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{k} I_j (x_{n-1}(t_j, \omega), \omega) \right]^{J,P.1} \leq \varepsilon.
\]
On the other hand, we have
\[
D \left[ x(t, \omega), x_0(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds \right] \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(x(t_i, \omega), \omega) \right]^{I,p,1} \leq D \left[ x(t, \omega), x_n(t, \omega) \right] \\
+ d_{co} \left[ x_n(t, \omega), x_0(\omega) \right] \\
+ \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x_{n-1}(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(x_{n-1}(t_i, \omega), \omega) \right]^{I,p,1} \leq 0.
\]

Thus, in view of the convergence of the two previous equations and (41), one obtains that
\[
D \left[ x(t, \omega), x_0(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds \right] \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(x(t_i, \omega), \omega) \right]^{I,p,1} \leq 0.
\]

It means the fuzzy stochastic process \( x(t, \omega) \) is solution of problem (8).

To prove the uniqueness, let us assume that \( x, y : J \times \Omega \rightarrow E^d \) are the two continuous fuzzy stochastic processes which are solutions of problem (8). Note that
\[
D \left[ x(t, \omega), y(t, \omega) \right]^{I,p,1} \leq D \left[ \int_{t_0}^t f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds, \int_{t_0}^t f(s, y(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds \right] \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(x(t_i, \omega), \omega) \right] \leq \left( \frac{L_1 P^2}{n!} + \sum_{i=1}^k L_{2,i} \right) D \left[ x(\omega), y(\omega) \right].
\]

By Lemma 8, we get
\[
D \left[ x(t, \omega), y(t, \omega) \right]^{I,p,1} \leq 0.
\]

The uniqueness is proved. The proof is complete.

4. Some of the Properties of Solution of RFDEs with Impulses

Theorem 10. Suppose that the mappings \( f : J \times E^d \times \Omega \rightarrow E^d \) and \( I_k : E^d \times \Omega \rightarrow E^d \) satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 9. Then we have
\[
D \left[ x(t, \omega), \hat{0} \right]^{I,p,1} \leq \left( D \left[ x_0(\omega), \hat{0} \right] + (t - t_0) M_1 \right) \\
\cdot \prod_{t_{k-1} < t} \left( 1 + L_{1,i} \right) \\
\cdot \exp \left( L_1 (t - t_0) \right),
\]

where \( L_1, L_{2,i} \) are constants nonnegative for any \( i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots \).

Proof. Let \( x(t, \omega) \) be solution of problem (8). For every \( t \in [t_0, t_1) \) and \( \omega \in \Omega \), we have
\[
D \left[ x(t, \omega), \hat{0} \right]^{I,p,1} \leq \left( D \left[ x_0(\omega), \hat{0} \right] + (t - t_0) M_1 \right) \\
\cdot \prod_{t_{k-1} < t} \left( 1 + L_{1,i} \right) \\
\cdot \exp \left( L_1 (t - t_0) \right),
\]

For every \( t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}] \), \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), and \( \omega \in \Omega \), we have
\[
D \left[ x(t, \omega), \hat{0} \right]^{I,p,1} \leq d_{co} \left[ x_0(\omega), \hat{0} \right] \\
+ D \left[ \int_{t_k}^t f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) \, ds, \hat{0} \right] \\
+ D \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k I_i(x(t_i, \omega), \omega), \hat{0} \right] \leq D \left[ x_0(\omega), \hat{0} \right] \\
+ \int_{t_k}^t D \left[ f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega), f(s, \hat{0}, \omega) \right] \, ds \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{k} D\left[ l_i(x(t_i, \omega), \omega), 0 \right] \leq D\left[ x_0(\omega), 0 \right] + (t - t_0) M_1 + \int_{t_0}^{t} L_1 D\left[ x(s, \omega), 0 \right] ds + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2,i} D\left[ x(t_i, \omega), 0 \right]. \]

(48)

If we let \( \xi(t, \omega) = D[x(t, \omega), 0], t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), \) and \( k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \) then we have

\[ \xi(t, \omega) \leq \xi_0(\omega) + (t - t_0) M_1 + \int_{t_0}^{t} L_1 \xi(s, \omega) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2,i} \xi(t_i, \omega). \]

(49)

By virtue of Lemma 8, one obtains

\[ \xi(t, \omega) \leq \xi_0(\omega) \prod_{t_0 \leq j < t} (1 + L_{2,j}) \exp(L_1(t - t_0)). \]

(50)

The proof is complete.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 11.** Suppose that the mappings \( f : J \times E^d \times \Omega \to E^d \) and \( I_k : E^d \times \Omega \to E^d \) satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 9. Then we have

\[ D[x(t, \omega), y(t, \omega)axterJ, P_{-1} = D[x_0(\omega), y_0(\omega)] \]

\[ \prod_{t_0 \leq j < t} (1 + L_{2,j}) \exp(L_1(t - t_0)), \]

where \( L_1, L_{2,j} \) are constants nonnegative for any \( i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots. \)

**Proof.** Let \( x(t, \omega) \) and \( y(t, \omega) \) be solutions of problem (8). For every \( t \in [t_0, t_1] \) and \( \omega \in \Omega, \) we have

\[ D[x(t, \omega), y(t, \omega)] \leq d_\infty[x_0(\omega), y_0(\omega)] \]

\[ + D \left[ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) ds, \right. \]

\[ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, y(s, \omega), \omega) ds \right] \leq D[x_0(\omega), y_0(\omega)] \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t} D[f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega), f(s, y(s, \omega), \omega)] ds \]

\[ = D[x_0(\omega), y_0(\omega)] \]

\[ + L_1 \int_{t_0}^{t} D[x(s, \omega), y(s, \omega)] ds. \]

(52)

For every \( t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \) and \( \omega \in \Omega, \) we have

\[ D[x(t, \omega), y(t, \omega)] \leq d_\infty[x_0(\omega), y_0(\omega)] \]

\[ + D \left[ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega) ds, \right. \]

\[ \int_{t_0}^{t} f(s, y(s, \omega), \omega) ds \right] + D \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_i(x(t_i, \omega), \omega), \right. \]

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{k} I_i(y(t_i, \omega), \omega) \right] \leq D[x_0(\omega), y_0(\omega)] \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t} D[f(s, x(s, \omega), \omega), f(s, y(s, \omega), \omega)] ds \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_\infty[I_i(x(t_i, \omega), \omega), I_i(y(t_i, \omega), \omega)] \]

\[ = D[x_0(\omega), y_0(\omega)] \]

\[ + \int_{t_0}^{t} L_1 D[x(s, \omega), y(s, \omega)] ds + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2,i} D[x(t_i, \omega), y(t_i, \omega)]. \]

(53)

If we let \( \xi(t, \omega) = D[x_0(\omega), y_0(\omega)], t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), \) and \( k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots, \) then we have

\[ \xi(t, \omega) \leq \xi_0(\omega) + \int_{t_0}^{t} L_1 \xi(s, \omega) ds + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2,i} \xi(t_i, \omega). \]

(54)

By virtue of Lemma 8, one obtains

\[ \xi(t, \omega) \leq \xi_0(\omega) \prod_{t_0 \leq j < t} (1 + L_{2,j}) \exp(L_1(t - t_0)). \]

(55)

The proof is complete.

\[ \square \]

5. Illustrative Examples

In this section, we shall consider two examples. First, we give an example to illustrate the existence and uniqueness results obtained in Section 3. Second, we will find explicit representation of solutions RFDEs with impulses.

**Example 1.** Let \( \Omega = (0, 1), \mathcal{F} \text{-Borel } \sigma \text{-algebra of subsets of } \Omega, \) and \( P \text{-Lebesgue measure on } (\Omega, \mathcal{F}). \) Let us consider the problem as follows:

\[ D_{H}x(t, \omega) \overset{[0,1], P_{-1}}{=} \frac{\exp(-t)}{5 + \exp(t)} (1 + x(t, \omega)), \]

\[ t \neq t_k, \]
where \( x : [0,1] \times \Omega \rightarrow E^1 \) is a fuzzy stochastic process. Set

\[
I_k(x_k(t,\omega),\omega) = \frac{x(t_k,\omega)}{2 + x(t_k,\omega)},
\]

for every \( t \in [0,1], t \neq t_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m, \)

and

\[
I_k(x_k(t,\omega),\omega) = \frac{x(t_k,\omega)}{2 + x(t_k,\omega)}.
\]

For every \( t \in [0,1], t = t_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, m, \) we have

\[
d_{\infty} \left[ f(t, x(t, \omega), \omega), f(t, y(t, \omega), \omega) \right] = \frac{\exp(-t)}{5 + \exp(t)} \cdot \frac{\exp(-t)}{5 + \exp(t)} \cdot \frac{\exp(-t)}{5 + \exp(t)}
\]

with impulses as follows:

\[
d_{\infty} \left[ f(t, x(t, \omega), \omega), f(t, y(t, \omega), \omega) \right] = \frac{\exp(-t)}{5 + \exp(t)} \cdot \frac{\exp(-t)}{5 + \exp(t)} \cdot \frac{\exp(-t)}{5 + \exp(t)}
\]

and for any \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, \)

\[
\frac{L_1 p^n}{n!} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{2_i} = \frac{1}{6^n n!} + \frac{1}{2} < 1.
\]

We can see that conditions (A1)–(A4) are satisfied. Hence, by Theorem 9, problem (56) has a solution defined on \([0,1]\).

**Example 2.** Let \( \Omega = (0,1), \mathcal{F} \)-Borel \( \sigma \)-algebra of subsets of \( \Omega, \) and \( \mathbb{P} \)-Lebesgue measure on \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \). Consider the RFDEs with impulses as follows:

\[
D_{t^k}x(t, \omega) = \lambda(\omega) x(t, \omega),
\]

\[
x(t_k^k, \omega) = x_k(t_k, \omega) + I_k(x_k(t, \omega), \omega),
\]

where \( \lambda : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) is a random variable and \( x : [0,1] \times \Omega \rightarrow E^1 \) is a fuzzy stochastic process. In this example, suppose that \( t \in [0,2] \) and \( \lambda(\omega) = 1 \) with \( \mathbb{P} \) and for every \( \alpha \in [0,1] \)

\[
[x(t, \omega)]^\alpha = [x_{\mathbb{R}}(t, \omega), x_{\mathbb{R}}(t, \omega)],
\]

\[
x(t_k, \omega) = [x_{\mathbb{R}}(t_k, \omega), x_{\mathbb{R}}(t_k, \omega)],
\]

and initial conditions \( [x_0(\omega)]^\alpha = [(\omega - 1)\omega, (1 - \alpha)\omega], \) where \( x_{\mathbb{R}}, x_{\mathbb{R}} : [0, \infty) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) are the crisp stochastic processes.
Problem (62) can translate this into the following system of random differential equation with impulses:

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{m}^{i}(t, \omega) &= x_{i\alpha}(t, \omega), \quad t \in [0, 2], t \neq t_k, \\
    x_{m}^{j}(t, \omega) &= x_{j\alpha}(t, \omega), \quad t \in [0, 2], t \neq t_k, \\
    x_{m}(t_k, \omega) &= (1 + \alpha) \omega, \quad t = k, k = 1, 2, \\
    x_{m}(t_k, \omega) &= (3 - \alpha) \omega, \quad t = k, k = 1, 2, \\
    x_{i\alpha}(0, \omega) &= (\alpha - 1) \omega, \\
    x_{j\alpha}(0, \omega) &= (1 - \alpha) \omega.
\end{align*}
\]

Solving system (64) on \([0, 2]\), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
    x_{m}(t, \omega) &= \begin{cases} 
        (\alpha - 1) \omega \exp(t), & \text{for } t \in [0, 1), \\
        (1 + \alpha) \omega \exp(t - 1), & \text{for } t \in [0, 2),
    \end{cases} \\
    x_{j\alpha}(t, \omega) &= \begin{cases} 
        (1 - \alpha) \omega \exp(t), & \text{for } t \in [0, 1), \\
        (3 - \alpha) \omega \exp(t - 1), & \text{for } t \in [0, 2).
    \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

It is easy to see that the diameter of solution \(x(t, \omega)\) of (62) is an increasing function with \(P.1\) for every \(t \in [0, 2]\). Hence we infer that the solution \(x: [0, 2] \times \Omega \rightarrow E^1\) to (62) is as follows:

\[
x(t, \omega) = \begin{cases} 
    [(\alpha - 1), (1 - \alpha)] \omega \exp(t), & \text{for } t \in [0, 1), \\
    [(1 + \alpha), (3 - \alpha)] \omega \exp(t - 1), & \text{for } t \in [0, 2).
\end{cases}
\]

or

\[
x(t, \omega) = \begin{cases} 
    (-1, 0, 1) \omega \exp(t), & \text{for } t \in [0, 1), \\
    (1, 2, 3) \omega \exp(t - 1), & \text{for } t \in [0, 2).
\end{cases}
\]

Note that the existence of a unique solution is guaranteed. Therefore, this procedure can be continued to be the solution on each \([m, m + 1]\), for every \(m \in \mathbb{N}, m \geq 3\).

6. Conclusion

Under suitable conditions, we investigated the existence and uniqueness of solutions to random fuzzy differential equation with impulses by using the method of successive approximations. Moreover, we studied some of the properties of solution of RFDEs with impulses. Finally, some examples are given to illustrate the main theorems. In the future, we shall study the class of random fuzzy differential equations in the quotient space of fuzzy numbers, introduced by Qiu et al. in [24].
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