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An adaptive backstepping control scheme for a class of incommensurate fractional order uncertain nonlinear multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems subjected to constraints is discussed in this paper, which ensures the convergence of tracking errors even with dead-zone and saturation nonlinearities in the controller input. Combined with backstepping and adaptive technique, the unknown nonlinear uncertainties are approximated by the radial basis function neural network (RBF NN) in each step of the backstepping procedure. Frequency distributed model of a fractional integrator and Lyapunov stability theory are used for ensuring asymptotic stability of the overall closed-loop system under input dead-zone and saturation. Moreover, the parameter update laws with incommensurate fractional order are used in the controller to compensate unknown nonlinearities. Two simulation results are presented at the end to ensure the efficacy of the proposed scheme.

1. Introduction

Due to the unique advantages in describing the hereditary and memory properties of multifarious materials and processes, fractional calculus as a research hotspot has recently attracted more and more attentions and interests in viscoelastic systems, control theory, engineering, and some interdisciplinary fields although it is considered as a branch of mathematics that has few applications for a long time [1–4]. As a powerful tool used to model many real-world behaviors, fractional order systems can provide more practical value and accurate results in many practical system applications [5–18], such as fractional oscillators, fractional damping, quenching phenomenon, and some biological systems. Sequentially, many researchers have paid close attention to the applications of fractional order differential equations in both engineering and theory and have drawn some wonderful and meaningful results in the literatures [19–24].

It is known that a precise physical model of the engineering plant is difficult to build because of the uncertainties and noises. Thus, most studies have concentrated on the controller design of the fractional order nonlinear system with uncertainties [25–28]. Due to the inherent approximation capability, neural networks (NNs) or fuzzy logic systems are usually used to approximate the system uncertainties in the integer order system. The scholars in [24] have designed an adaptive fuzzy control scheme for a class of fractional order systems with parametric uncertainty and input constraint. In [29], an adaptive backstepping controller is designed for a class of fractional order systems with unknown parameters based on the indirect Lyapunov method, in which the control problem of fractional order is converted to the integer order one. Using the fractional order extension of the Lyapunov direct method, an adaptive backstepping control method for a class of fractional order nonlinear systems with unknown nonlinearity is developed in [30]. In [31, 32], an output feedback control scheme for a class of triangular fractional order nonlinear systems is given. For a class of a fractional order rotational mechanical system with disturbances and uncertainties, a robust adaptive NN control is presented in [33]. Based on dual radial basis function (RBF) NNs, an adaptive fractional sliding mode controller is proposed to enhance the performance of the system in [34]. In [35], an adaptive NN
control scheme is given for a class of fractional order systems with nonlinearities and backlash-like hysteresis. For a class of uncertain fractional order nonlinear systems with external disturbance and input saturation, an adaptive NN backstepping control method based on the indirect Lyapunov method is designed in [36]. In [37], an adaptive fuzzy control scheme for a category of uncertain nonstrict-feedback systems with constraints is designed. The authors of [38] design an observer-based adaptive fuzzy controller for a class of single-input single-output nonlinear systems with unknown dynamics.

There are many fractional order nonlinear multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems in practice, and it is important to develop control approaches for fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems. In comparison with plenty of research studies on fractional order SISO nonlinear systems, there are few research studies on the fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems due to existing uncertainties in the coupling matrices and unknown nonlinear functions in the nonlinear MIMO, where they are very challenging issues. For a class of commensurate fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems with external disturbance, a fractional adaptive RBF NN backstepping control scheme is designed in [39], which is constructed using the backstepping technology. In [40], the consensus problem of fractional order MIMO systems with linear models is researched via the observer-based protocols. In [41], a discontinuous distributed controller is proposed for a class of fractional order MIMO systems. In [42], an adaptive output feedback controller is designed for a class of nonlinear fractional order MIMO systems with input nonlinearities. For a class of fractional order uncertain nonlinear MIMO dynamic systems with dead-zone input and external disturbances, a fractional adaptive type-2 fuzzy backstepping control scheme is presented in [43], which is constructed using the backstepping dynamic surface control and fractional adaptive type-2 fuzzy technique.

In many industrial processes, actuators usually possess the input saturation and dead-zone which are the most important nonsmooth nonlinearities and severely limit the system performance. However, as far as we know, although many previous works have been proposed to control fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems, no works have studied the tracking problem of incommensurate fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems with unknown nonlinearities, input dead-zone, and saturation.

Motivated by the above observations, a new adaptive NN backstepping control method is proposed for a class of incommensurate fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems with unknown nonlinearities, input dead-zone, and saturation. In summary, our contributions mainly include the following three aspects. Firstly, our proposed adaptive incommensurate fractional order NN controller can apply to both commensurate and incommensurate fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems with unknown nonlinearities, input dead-zone, and saturation, which is more broadly applicable. Secondly, the structure of adaptation laws with incommensurate fractional order closer to the characteristics of the system itself and the orders of the parameters adaptation laws cannot be consistent with the fractional order system binging more degree of freedom.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the basic preliminary results on fractional order systems, and RBF NN are presented. Section 3 presents the adaptive fractional order controller design. Section 4 gives the simulation results to verify the proposed controller. Section 5 draws the conclusions.

2 Preliminary

The αth Caputo fractional derivative is defined as follows [44]:

$$t_0D_t^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{t_0}^{t} f^{(n)}(\tau) (t-\tau)^{\alpha-1-n} d\tau,$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t}t^{\alpha-1} dt, n-1 < \alpha < n, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, and $t_0D_t^\alpha$ is the classical $\alpha$th order derivative operator. When $t_0 = 0$, $t_0D_t^\alpha$ can be abbreviated as $D^\alpha$.

Remark 1. The fractional order derivative is an extension of the conventional integer order derivative, and the main difference is that the fractional order derivative has interesting properties and potential applications. However, under the Caputo fractional derivative, the fractional order derivative of constant is 0, which is the same as the integer one.

Lemma 1 (see [45]). Consider a nonlinear fractional-order system:

$$D^\alpha x(t) = f(x(t)), \quad \alpha \in (0,1), x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The system is exactly equivalent to the continuous frequency distributed model described by

$$\begin{cases}
\frac{\partial z(\omega, t)}{\partial t} = -\omega z(\omega, t) + f(x(t)), \\
x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu_\alpha(\omega) z(\omega, t) d\omega,
\end{cases}$$

where $\mu_\alpha(\omega) = \sin(\alpha\pi)/\omega^{\alpha+1}$ is the infinite dimension distributed state variable.

In the developed control design procedure, the RBF NN will be used to approximate any continuous function $f(X)$ on a compact set $\Omega$.

Lemma 2 (see [46]). For a given desired level of accuracy $\epsilon > 0$, any smooth function $f(X)$ can be approximated by the RBF NN $\theta^T \hat{\theta}(X)$ as

$$f(x) = \theta^T \hat{\theta}(x) + \zeta(x), \quad |\zeta(x)| \leq \epsilon,$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where

$$\hat{\theta} = \arg\min_{\theta} \left[ \sup_X |f(X) - \theta^T \hat{\theta}(X)| \right],$$

$l \geq 1$ is the neural network node number, $X \in \Omega$ is the input vector, and $\theta = [\theta_1 \theta_2 \cdots \theta_l]^T \in \mathbb{R}^l$ is the weight vector;
\( \theta(X) = [\theta_1(X), \theta_2(X), \ldots, \theta_i(X)]^T \), and \( \theta_i(X) \) can be selected as

\[
\theta_i(X) = \exp\left( -\frac{(X - \mu_i)^T (X - \mu_i)}{\delta^2} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l, \tag{6}
\]

where \( \delta \) is the width of the Gaussian function and \( \mu_i = (\mu_{i1}, \mu_{i2}, \ldots, \mu_{in})^T \) is the center of the respective field.

### Complexity

\( \mathcal{O}(N) = [\theta_1(X), \theta_2(X), \ldots, \theta_i(X)]^T \), and \( \theta_i(X) \) can be selected as

\[
\theta_i(X) = \exp\left( -\frac{(X - \mu_i)^T (X - \mu_i)}{\delta^2} \right), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, l, \tag{6}
\]

where \( \delta \) is the width of the Gaussian function and \( \mu_i = (\mu_{i1}, \mu_{i2}, \ldots, \mu_{in})^T \) is the center of the respective field.

### 3. Adaptive Neural Network Backstepping Controller

In this paper, we consider a class of incommensurate fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems with unknown nonlinearities presented as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
D^{\alpha_{i,1}} x_{i,1} &= d_{i,1} x_{i,2} + f_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) + g_{i,1}(x_{i,1}), \\
D^{\alpha_{i,2}} x_{i,2} &= d_{i,2} x_{i,3} + f_{i,2}(x_{i,2}) + g_{i,2}(x_{i,2}), \\
&\vdots \\
D^{\alpha_{i,n_i-1}} x_{i,n_i-1} &= d_{i,n_i-1} x_{i,n_i} + f_{i,n_i-1}(x_{i,n_i-1}) + g_{i,n_i-1}(x_{i,n_i-1}), \\
D^{\alpha_{i,n_i}} x_{i,n_i} &= d_{i,n_i} u_i + f_{i,n_i}(x) + g_{i,n_i}(x), \\
y_i &= x_{i,1},
\end{align*}
\tag{7}
\]

where \( a_{i,j} \in (0,1) \) is the system incommensurate fractional order, \( x_{i,j} = (x_{i,1} x_{i,2} \cdots x_{i,j})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{i,j} \) and \( x = (x_{1,1} x_{2,1} \cdots x_{n_i,1})^T \) are the state vectors, \( y_i \in \mathbb{R} \) is the system output, \( d_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R} \) is the known constant, \( f_{i,j}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R} \) is an unknown continuous nonlinear function, \( g_{i,j}(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R} \) is an unknown continuous nonlinear function, \( j_i = 1, 2, \ldots, n_i \), and \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

\( u_i(t) \in \mathbb{R} \) is the control input suffering from saturation and dead-zone. The dead-zone is in the following form:

\[
u_i = D(\lambda_i) = \begin{cases} a_{i,r}(\lambda_i - b_{i,r}), & \lambda_i \geq b_{i,r}, \\
0, & \lambda_i \in (-b_{i,l}, b_{i,r}), \\
a_{i,l}(\lambda_i + b_{i,l}), & \lambda_i \leq -b_{i,l}, \end{cases} \tag{8}
\]

where \( b_{i,r} > 0 \) and \( b_{i,l} > 0 \) are unknown parameters of the dead-zone and \( a_{i,r} \) and \( a_{i,l} \) are slope of the dead-zone, and they are positive constants; the saturation nonlinearity is defined as follows:

\[
\lambda_i = \text{sat}(\xi_i) = \begin{cases} \xi_i, & \xi_i \geq \xi_i, \\
\xi_i, & \xi_i \in (\xi_i, \xi_i), \\
\xi_i, & \xi_i \leq \xi_i, \end{cases}
\tag{9}
\]

where \( \xi_i > 0 \) and \( \xi_i < 0 \) are the saturation limits.

Define the right inverse \( D^+ \) of \( D \) as

\[
\xi_i = D^+(\nu_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{\nu_i}{a_{i,r} + b_{i,r}}, & \nu_i > 0, \\
0, & \nu_i = 0, \\
\frac{\nu_i}{a_{i,l} - b_{i,l}}, & \nu_i < 0. \end{cases}
\tag{10}
\]

According to [16], the nonsymmetric saturation and dead-zone control input can be rewritten as follows:

\[
u_i = D(\text{sat}(D^+(\nu_i))) = \begin{cases} a_{i,r}(\xi_i - b_{i,r}), & \xi_i \geq b_{i,r}, \\
\nu_i, & \xi_i \in (-b_{i,l}, b_{i,r}), \\
0, & \xi_i \leq -b_{i,l}, \end{cases}
\tag{11}
\]

It is clear that the input saturation and dead-zone problem can be transformed by an input saturation (11), in which \( \nu_i \) is the control law to be designed.

Our target is to design the input \( \nu_i \) such that the system output \( y_i \) can follow the desired signal \( y_{i,d} \). Some following assumptions for the controller design are given.

**Assumption 1.** It is supposed that the reference signals \( y_{i,d} \) and the \( n_i \)th order derivatives \( D^{\alpha_{i,n_i}} y_{i,d} \) are continuous and bounded.

**Assumption 2.** For input constraints (11), there exist \( \zeta_i^* > 0 \) such that \( |\Delta_i| \leq \zeta_i^* \), where \( \Delta_i = u_i(\nu_i) - y_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

In the following parts, the output feedback neural network fractional adaptive control based on backstepping and stability procedure will be developed. The recursive design algorithm has \( (i, n_i) \) steps according to the backstepping design method. In step \( (i, j_i) \), a virtual control function \( v_{i,j_i} \) is developed, and the true control law \( \nu_i \) is designed at the final step. The virtual controllers and the real control functions will be developed according to the following steps.

The recursive backstepping algorithm can be presented as the follows.

**Step (i, 1):** based on Lemma 1, a RBF NN can be used to approximate the unknown function \( f_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) \) from (7) by a RBF NN as follows:

\[
\tilde{f}_{i,1}(x_{i,1}, \theta_{i,1}) = \theta_{i,1}^T \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}), \tag{12}
\]
where \( \theta_{i,1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \) is parameter estimation. The ideal parameter \( \theta_{i,1}^{*} \) is described by

\[
\theta_{i,1}^{*} = \arg\min_{\theta_{i,1}} \left[ \sup_{x_{i,1}} \left| f_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) - \tilde{f}_{i,1}(x_{i,1}, \theta_{i,1}) \right| \right].
\]  

(13)

Let

\[
\bar{\theta}_{i,1} = \theta_{i,1}^{*} - \theta_{i,1},
\]

\[
\epsilon_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) = f_{i,1}(x_{i,1}, \theta_{i,1}^{*}) - \tilde{f}_{i,1}(x_{i,1}).
\]  

(14)

According to [47], the optimal approximation error \( \epsilon_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) \) is bounded, i.e., \( |\epsilon_{i,1}(x_{i,1})|^2 \leq \varepsilon_{i,1} \), and \( \varepsilon_{i,1} > 0 \) is unknown. Therefore, one can obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{f}_{i,1}(x_{i,1}, \theta_{i,1}) - \tilde{f}_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) \\
= \tilde{f}_{i,1}(x_{i,1}, \theta_{i,1}) - \tilde{f}_{i,1}(x_{i,1}, \theta_{i,1}^{*}) + \tilde{f}_{i,1}(x_{i,1}, \theta_{i,1}^{*}) - f_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) \\
= \theta_{i,1}^{T} \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) - \theta_{i,1}^{T} \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) + \epsilon_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) \\
= -\bar{\theta}_{i,1} \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) + \epsilon_{i,1}(x_{i,1}).
\end{align*}
\]  

(15)

Due to (1) and the estimated error \( \bar{\theta}_{i,1} = \theta_{i,1}^{*} - \theta_{i,1} \) from (14), the following equation can be given:

\[
D^{\beta_{i,1}} \bar{\theta}_{i,1} = D^{\beta_{i,1}} \theta_{i,1} - D^{\beta_{i,1}} \theta_{i,1} = -D^{\beta_{i,1}} \theta_{i,1},
\]  

(16)

where \( \beta_{i,1} \in (0,1) \). According to Lemma 1 and (16), the following frequency distributed model can be obtained:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z_{i,1}(w,t)}{\partial t} &= -\omega z_{i,1}(w,t) - D^{\beta_{i,1}} \theta_{i,1}, \\
\bar{\theta}_{i,1} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(w) z_{i,1}(w,t) \text{d}w,
\end{align*}
\]  

(17)

where \( z_{i,1}(w,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{u}} \) and \( \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(w) = \sin(\beta_{i,1} \pi) / \omega^{\beta_{i,1}}. \)

Denoting \( e_{i,1} = y_{i} - y_{i,d} \), it follows from (7) and (15) that

\[
D_{y_{i,d}} e_{i,1} = D_{y_{i,d}} y_{i} - D_{y_{i,d}} y_{i,d}
\]

\[
= d_{i,1} x_{i,2} + f_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) + g_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) - D_{y_{i,d}} y_{i,d}
\]

\[
= d_{i,1} x_{i,2} + \beta_{i,1}^{T} \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) - \epsilon_{i,1}(x_{i,1})
\]

\[
+ \beta_{i,1}^{T} \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) + g_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) - D_{y_{i,d}} y_{i,d}.
\]  

(18)

Let a virtual control input \( v_{i,1}(e_{i,1}, x_{i,1}, y_{i,d}) = v_{i,1} \) be

\[
v_{i,1} = -\beta_{i,1}^{T} \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) - k_{i,1} e_{i,1} - l_{i,1} \text{sign}(e_{i,1}) - g_{i,1}(x_{i,1})
\]

\[
+ D_{y_{i,d}} y_{i,d}.
\]  

(19)

where \( k_{i,1} \) and \( l_{i,1} \) are the design parameters. Let

\[
e_{i,2} = d_{i,1} x_{i,2} - v_{i,1}.
\]  

(20)

Substituting (19) and (20) into (18) gives

\[
D_{y_{i,d}} e_{i,1} = e_{i,2} - k_{i,1} e_{i,1} - l_{i,1} \text{sign}(e_{i,1})
\]

\[
+ \beta_{i,1}^{T} \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) - e_{i,1}(x_{i,1}).
\]  

(21)

According to Lemma 1, equation (21) will be

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z_{i,1}(w,t)}{\partial t} &= -\omega z_{i,1}(w,t) + e_{i,2} - k_{i,1} e_{i,1} - l_{i,1} \text{sign}(e_{i,1}) \\
+ \beta_{i,1}^{T} \theta_{i,1}(x_{i,1}) - e_{i,1}(x_{i,1}),
\end{align*}
\]

\[
e_{i,1} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(w) z_{i,1}(w,t) \text{d}w,
\]  

(22)

where \( \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(w) = \sin(\alpha_{i,1} \pi) / \omega^{\beta_{i,1}} \).

Selection the Lyapunov function \( V_{i,1} \) as

\[
V_{i,1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(w) z_{i,1}^{2}(w,t) \text{d}w
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(w) z_{i,1}^{2}(w,t) \text{d}w,
\]  

(23)

where \( \sigma_{i,1} > 0 \). Based on frequency distributed model (17) and (22), the derivative of \( V_{i,1} \) is expressed as
\[
V_{i,1}(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{i,1}}^T(\omega, t) \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} \dot{z}_{\theta_{i,1}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega + \int_0^\infty \mu_{\alpha_{i,1}}(\omega) z_{i,1}(\omega, t) \dot{z}_{i,1}(\omega, t) \, d\omega
\]

\[
\leq - \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(\omega) \omega z_{\theta_{i,1}}^T(\omega, t) \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} \dot{z}_{\theta_{i,1}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{i,1}}^T(\omega, t) \, d\omega \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} D_{\theta_{i,1}} \dot{\theta}_{i,1}
\]

\[
- \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\alpha_{i,1}}(\omega) z_{i,1}(\omega, t) \, d\omega + e_{i,1}(e_{i,2} - k_{i,1} e_{i,1} - l_{i,1} \text{sign}(e_{i,1}) - e_{i,1}(\bar{x}_{i,1}))
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(\omega) \omega z_{\theta_{i,1}}^T(\omega, t) \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} \dot{z}_{\theta_{i,1}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\alpha_{i,1}}(\omega) z_{i,1}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \bar{\theta}_{i,1}^T \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \Delta_{i,1} \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} D_{\theta_{i,1}} \dot{\theta}_{i,1} + e_{i,1} \dot{\theta}_{i,1}(\bar{x}_{i,1}) \right)
\]

\[
+ e_{i,1}(e_{i,2} - k_{i,1} e_{i,1} - l_{i,1} \text{sign}(e_{i,1}) - e_{i,1}(\bar{x}_{i,1}))
\]

\[
\leq - \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(\omega) \omega z_{\theta_{i,1}}^T(\omega, t) \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} \dot{z}_{\theta_{i,1}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\alpha_{i,1}}(\omega) z_{i,1}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \bar{\theta}_{i,1}^T \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \Delta_{i,1} \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} D_{\theta_{i,1}} \dot{\theta}_{i,1} + e_{i,1} \dot{\theta}_{i,1}(\bar{x}_{i,1}) \right)
\]

\[
+ e_{i,1}^2 - k_{i,1} e_{i,1}^2 - l_{i,1} |e_{i,1}| + |e_{i,1}| |e_{i,1}(\bar{x}_{i,1})|
\]

\[
\leq - \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\beta_{i,1}}(\omega) \omega z_{\theta_{i,1}}^T(\omega, t) \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} \dot{z}_{\theta_{i,1}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\alpha_{i,1}}(\omega) z_{i,1}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \bar{\theta}_{i,1}^T \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,1}} \Delta_{i,1} \Delta_{i,1}^{-1} D_{\theta_{i,1}} \dot{\theta}_{i,1} + e_{i,1} \dot{\theta}_{i,1}(\bar{x}_{i,1}) \right)
\]

\[
+ e_{i,1}^2 - k_{i,1} e_{i,1}^2 - (l_{i,1} - \bar{z}_{i,1}) |e_{i,1}|
\]

(24)

Based on LaSalle invariance principle [48] and equation (24), if \( e_{i,2} = 0, k_{i,1} > 0, l_{i,1} > \bar{z}_{i,1}, \) and the fractional order adaptation laws are designed as

\[
D_{\theta_{i,1}} \dot{\theta}_{i,1} = \sigma_{i,1} \Delta_{i,1} \theta_{i,1}(\bar{x}_{i,1}) e_{i,1},
\]

(25)

one can obtain \( \dot{V}_{i,1} < 0. \)

Step (i, 2): it follows from (7) and (20) that

\[
D_{\alpha_{i,2}} e_{i,2} = D_{\alpha_{i,2}} x_{i,2} - D_{\alpha_{i,2}} v_{i,1}
\]

\[
= d_{i,3} x_{i,1} + f_{i,3}(\bar{x}_{i,2}) + g_{i,3}(\bar{x}_{i,2}) - D_{\alpha_{i,2}} v_{i,1}
\]

\[
= d_{i,3} x_{i,1} + g_{i,3}(\bar{x}_{i,2}) + f_{i,3}(\bar{x}_{i,2}) - D_{\alpha_{i,2}} v_{i,1}
\]

\[
= d_{i,3} x_{i,1} + g_{i,3}(\bar{x}_{i,2}) + F_{i,3}(\bar{x}_{i,2}),
\]

(26)

where \( F_{i,3}(\bar{x}_{i,2}) = f_{i,3}(\bar{x}_{i,2}) - D_{\alpha_{i,2}} v_{i,1} \) is the unknown function. According to the procedures in step (i, 1), a RBF NN is used to approximate \( F_{i,2}(\bar{x}_{i,2}) \) as follows:

\[
\bar{F}_{i,2}(\bar{x}_{i,2}, \theta_2) = \hat{\theta}_{i,2}^T \theta_2(\bar{x}_{i,2}),
\]

(27)

where \( \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \) is the parameter estimation.

With the estimated error \( \bar{\theta}_{i,2} = \theta_{i,2} - \theta_{i,2} \) and (1), the following equation can be obtained:

\[
D_{\theta_{i,2}} \bar{\theta}_{i,2} = D_{\theta_{i,2}} \bar{\theta}_{i,2} - D_{\theta_{i,2}} \theta_{i,2} = -D_{\theta_{i,2}} \theta_{i,2},
\]

(28)

where \( 0 < \beta_{i,2} < 1. \)

According to Lemma 1 and (28), the following frequency distributed model can be obtained:

\[
\frac{\partial z_{i,2}(\omega, t)}{\partial t} = -\omega z_{i,2}(\omega, t) - D_{\theta_{i,2}} \bar{\theta}_{i,2},
\]

(29)

\[
\bar{\theta}_{i,2} = \int_0^\infty \mu_{\beta_{i,2}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{i,2}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega,
\]

where \( z_{\theta_{i,2}}(\omega, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \) and \( \mu_{\beta_{i,2}}(\omega) = \sin(\beta_{i,2})/\omega^{\beta_{i,2}}. \)
Rewrite (26) as

\[
D^{\alpha_{12}} e_{i,2} = d_{i,2} x_{i,2} + g_{i,2}(x_{i,2}) + \theta_{i,2}^T \theta_{i,2}(x_{i,2}) - e_{i,2}(x_{i,2}),
\]

(30)

where \( e_{i,2}(x_{i,2}) = \bar{F}_{i,2}(x_{i,2}, \theta_{i,2}) - F_{i,2}(x_{i,2}) \), satisfying \(|e_{i,2}(x_{i,2})| \leq \bar{e}_{i,2}\), and \( \bar{e}_{i,2} > 0 \) is the unknown positive constant.

A virtual control input is designed as

\[
u_{i,2} = -\theta_{i,2}^T \theta_{i,2}(x_{i,2}) - k_{i,2} e_{i,2} - l_{i,2} \text{sign}(e_{i,2})
\]

(31)

where \( k_{i,2} \) and \( l_{i,2} \) are the design parameters. Let

\[
e_{i,3} = d_{i,3} x_{i,3} - \nu_{i,2},
\]

(32)

Substituting (31) and (32) into (30) gives

\[
D^{\alpha_{12}} e_{i,2} = e_{i,3} - k_{i,2} e_{i,2} - l_{i,2} \text{sign}(e_{i,2})
\]

(33)

Its frequency distributed model corresponds to

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z_{i,2}(\omega, t)}{\partial t} & = -\omega z_{i,2}(\omega, t) + e_{i,3} - k_{i,2} e_{i,2} - l_{i,2} \text{sign}(e_{i,2}) \\
& \quad - e_{i,2} + \theta_{i,2}^T \theta_{i,2}(x_{i,2}), \\
e_{i,2} & = \int_0^\infty \mu_{\alpha_{2}}(\omega) z_{i,2}(\omega, t) d\omega,
\end{align*}
\]

(34)

where \( \mu_{\alpha_{2}}(\omega) = \sin(\alpha_{2} \pi) / \omega^{\alpha_{2} - 1}. \)

Selecting the Lyapunov function \( V_{i,2} \) as

\[
V_{i,2} = V_{i,1} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_{i,2}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\alpha_{2}}(\omega) z_{i,2}^2(\omega, t) \Lambda_{i,2}^{-1} z_{i,2}(\omega, t) d\omega
\]

(35)

where \( \sigma_{i,2} > 0. \) According to frequency distributed model (30) and (34), the derivative of (35) is

\[
\dot{V}_{i,2}(t) = \dot{V}_{i,1}(t) + \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,2}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\alpha_{2}}(\omega) z_{i,2}^2(\omega, t) \Lambda_{i,2}^{-1} z_{i,2}(\omega, t) d\omega
\]

(36)
Based on LaSalle invariance principle and equation (36), if $e_{i,j} > 0$, $l_{i,j} > 0$, $r_{x,1}, 2$, and the fractional order adaptation laws are designed as

$$D^\beta_{i,j} \theta_{i,j} = -\sigma_{i,j} \Lambda_{i,j} \theta_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) e_{i,j},$$

(37)

one can get $\dot{V}_{i,j} < 0$.

Step $(i, j)$, $3 \leq j \leq n_i - 1$: define

$$e_{i,j} = d_{i,j} x_{i,j} - v_{i-1,j},$$

(38)

where $v_{i-1,j}$ is the virtual control input. Just like the procedures in step (1) and (2), one has

$$D^{\alpha_i} e_{i,j} = D^{\alpha_i} x_{i,j} - D^{\alpha_i} v_{i-1,j},$$

$$= d_{i,j} x_{i,j+1} + f_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) + g_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) - D^{\alpha_i} v_{i-1,j},$$

(39)

where $f_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) = f_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) - D^{\alpha_i} v_{i-1,j}$ is the unknown function. According to Lemma 2, let

$$\bar{F}_{i,j}(x_{i,j}, \theta_{i,j}) = \theta_{i,j}^T(t) \theta_{i,j}(x_{i,j}),$$

(40)

where $\theta_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ is the parameter estimation. With the estimated error defined as $\tilde{\theta}_{i,j} = \theta_{i,j}^* - \theta_{i,j}$ and (1), the following equation can be obtained:

$$D^{\beta_{i,j}} \tilde{\theta}_{i,j} = D^{\beta_{i,j}} \theta_{i,j}^* - D^{\beta_{i,j}} \theta_{i,j},$$

(41)

where $\beta_{i,j} \in (0, 1)$.

According to Lemma 1, (41) can be written as

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z_{i,j}(\omega, t)}{\partial t} &= -\omega z_{i,j}(\omega, t) + D^{\beta_{i,j}} \theta_{i,j}, \\
\bar{\theta}_{i,j} &= \int_0^\infty \mu_{\alpha_i}(\omega) z_{i,j}(\omega, t) d\omega,
\end{align*}$$

(42)

where $z_{i,j}(\omega, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$ and $\mu_{\alpha_i}(\omega) = \sin(\beta_{i,j} \pi)/\omega^{\alpha_i} \pi$.

From (40), (39) can be rewritten as follows:

$$D^{\alpha_i} e_{i,j} = d_{i,j+1} x_{i,j+1} + \bar{F}_{i,j}(x_{i,j}, \theta_{i,j}) + g_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) - e_{i,j} \theta_{i,j}^T(t) \theta_{i,j}(x_{i,j}),$$

(43)

where $\bar{F}_{i,j}(x_{i,j}, \theta_{i,j}) = \tilde{\theta}_{i,j}^T(t) \theta_{i,j}(x_{i,j})$.

Selecting the Lyapunov function $V_{i,j}$ as

$$V_{i,j} = V_{i,j-1} + \frac{1}{2 \sigma_{i,j}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\alpha_i}(\omega) z_{i,j}^2(\omega, t) d\omega + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\alpha_i}(\omega) z_{i,j}(\omega, t) d\omega,$$

(47)

where $\sigma_{i,j} > 0$. Then, its derivative on the basis of frequency distributed model (42) and (46) is expressed as
\[ V_{i,j} = V_{i,j-1} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,j}} \int_0^\infty \mu_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega)z_{\theta_{i,j}}^T(\omega, t)\Lambda_{i,j}^{-1}\dot{z}_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega, t) d\omega + \int_0^\infty \mu_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega)z_{i,j}(\omega, t)\dot{z}_{i,j}(\omega, t) d\omega \]

\[ = \dot{V}_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{i,j}} \int_0^\infty \omega_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega)z_{\theta_{i,j}}^T(\omega, t)\Lambda_{i,j}^{-1}z_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega, t) d\omega - \int_0^\infty \omega_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega)z_{i,j}(\omega, t)\dot{z}_{i,j}(\omega, t) d\omega - \frac{1}{\sigma_{i,j}} \Lambda_{i,j}^{-1}D_{\theta_{i,j}} \theta_{i,j} + e_{i,j} \]

\[ \cdot (e_{i,j+1} - k_i e_{i,j}) + e_{i,j} \left( -l_i \cdot \text{sign}(e_{i,j}) - e_{i,j-1} + l_i \cdot \theta_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) \right) \]

\[ \dot{V}_{i,j} = -\frac{1}{\sigma_{i,j}} \int_0^\infty \omega_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega)z_{\theta_{i,j}}^T(\omega, t)\Lambda_{i,j}^{-1}z_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega, t) d\omega - \int_0^\infty \omega_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega)z_{i,j}(\omega, t)\dot{z}_{i,j}(\omega, t) d\omega \]

\[ \leq \dot{V}_{i,j} \leq -\frac{1}{\sigma_{i,j}} \int_0^\infty \omega_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega)z_{\theta_{i,j}}^T(\omega, t)\Lambda_{i,j}^{-1}z_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega, t) d\omega - \int_0^\infty \omega_{\theta_{i,j}}(\omega)z_{i,j}(\omega, t)\dot{z}_{i,j}(\omega, t) d\omega \]

According to LaSalle invariance principle and equation (48), if \( e_{i,j+1} = 0 \), \( k_i e_{i,j} > 0 \), \( l_i > \xi_{i,j} \) and the fractional order adaptation laws are designed as

\[ D_{\theta_{i,j}} \theta_{i,j} = -\sigma_{i,j} \Lambda_{i,j}^{-1} \theta_{i,j}(x_{i,j}) e_{i,j}, \quad (49) \]

one can get \( \dot{V}_{i,j} < 0 \).

Step \((i, n)\): define

\[ e_{i,n} = x_{i,n} - u_{i,n-1}, \quad (50) \]

where \( u_{i,n-1} \) is a virtual control input.

From Assumption 2 and (50), one has
\[ D^{\alpha_{in}} e_{in} = D^{\alpha_{in}} x_{in} - D^{\alpha_{in}} v_{in-1} \]
\[ = d_{in} u_i(y_i) + f_{in}(x_i) + g_{in}(x_i) - D^{\alpha_{in}} v_{in-1} \]
\[ = d_{in} v_i + d_{in} \Delta_i + f_{in}(x_i) + g_{in}(x_i) - D^{\alpha_{in}} v_{in-1} \]
\[ = d_{in} v_i + d_{in} \Delta_i + g_{in}(x_i) + F_{in}(x_i), \]
where \( F_{in}(x_i) = f_{in}(x_i) - D^{\alpha_{in}} v_{in-1} \) is an unknown function.

Let
\[ \tilde{F}_{in}(x_i, \theta_{in}) = \theta_{in}^{T} \theta_{in}(x_i), \]
where \( \theta_{in} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{in}} \) is parameter estimation.

Define the estimated error \( \hat{\theta}_{in} = \theta_{in}^* - \theta_{in} \), and then the following equation can be obtained:
\[ D^{\beta_{in}} \hat{\theta}_{in} = D^{\beta_{in}} \theta_{in}^* - D^{\beta_{in}} \theta_{in} = -D^{\beta_{in}} \theta_{in}, \]
where \( \beta_{in} \in (0, 1) \). Due to Lemma 1, (53) will be
\[ \left\{ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z_{\theta_{in}}(\omega, t)}{\partial t} &= -\omega z_{\theta_{in}}(\omega, t) - D^{\beta_{in}} \theta_{in}, \\
\hat{\theta}_{in} &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\beta_{in}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{in}}(\omega, t) d\omega,
\end{align*} \right. \]
where \( z_{\theta_{in}}(\omega, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{in}} \) and \( \mu_{\beta_{in}}(\omega) = \sin(\beta_{in} \pi)/\omega^{\beta_{in} \pi} \).

From (52), (51) can be rewritten as
\[ D^{\alpha_{in}} e_{in} = d_{in} v_i + d_{in} \Delta_i + \tilde{\theta}_{in}^{T} \theta_{in}(x_i) - e_{in}(x_i) \]
\[ + \theta_{in}^{T} \theta_{in}(x_i), \]
where \( e_{in}(x_i) = \tilde{F}_{in}(x_i, \theta_{in}) - F_{in}(x_i), |e_{in}(x_i)| \leq \varepsilon_{in} \), and \( \varepsilon_{in} > 0 \).

Design the controller \( v_i \) as
\[ v_i = \frac{1}{d_{in}} \left( -\theta_{in}^{T} \theta_{in}(x_i) - k_{in} e_{in} - e_{in-1} \right) \]
\[ - \left( l_{in} + |\Delta_i| \right) \text{sign}(e_{in}), \]
where \( k_{in} \) and \( l_{in} \) are design parameters and \( \xi_i \) is the estimation of the unknown constant \( \xi_i \).

Define \( \tilde{\xi}_i = \xi_i^* - \xi_i \), and then the following equation is obtained:
\[ D^{\nu_{in}} \tilde{\xi}_i = D^{\nu_{in}} \xi_i^* - D^{\nu_{in}} \xi_i = -D^{\nu_{in}} \xi_i, \]
where \( 0 < \xi_i < 1 \).

Due to Lemma 1, (57) will be
\[ \left\{ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t)}{\partial t} &= -\omega z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t) - D^{\nu_{in}} \xi_i, \\
\tilde{\xi}_i &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\nu_{in}}(\omega) z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t) d\omega,
\end{align*} \right. \]
where \( \mu_{\nu_{in}}(\omega) = \sin(\nu_{in} \pi)/\omega^{\nu_{in} \pi} \).

Substituting (50) and (56) into (55) gives
\[ D^{\alpha_{in}} e_{in} = -k_{in} e_{in} - \left( l_{in} + |\Delta_i| \right) \text{sign}(e_{in}) - e_{in-1} \]
\[ - \tilde{\theta}_{in}^{T} \theta_{in}(x_i) - e_{in}(x_i) + d_{in} \Delta_i, \]
\[ \left\{ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t)}{\partial t} &= -\omega z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t) - k_{in} e_{in}, \\
\tilde{\xi}_i &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\nu_{in}}(\omega) z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t) d\omega,
\end{align*} \right. \]
then the following frequency distributed model is obtained:
\[ \left\{ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t)}{\partial t} &= -\omega z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t) - k_{in} e_{in} - \left( l_{in} + |\Delta_i| \right) \text{sign}(e_{in}) - e_{in-1} \\
\tilde{\xi}_i &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\nu_{in}}(\omega) z_{\xi_i}(\omega, t) d\omega,
\end{align*} \]
where \( \mu_{\nu_{in}}(\omega) = \sin(\nu_{in} \pi)/\omega^{\nu_{in} \pi} \).

Selecting the Lyapunov function \( V_{in} \) as
\[ V_{in} = V_{in-1} + \frac{1}{2 \sigma_{in}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\nu_{in}}(\omega) z_{\xi_i}^2(\omega, t) \chi_{\nu_{in}}(\omega, t) d\omega \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\nu_{in}}(\omega) z_{\xi_i}^2(\omega, t) d\omega \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu_{\nu_{in}}(\omega) z_{\xi_i}^2(\omega, t) d\omega, \]
where \( \sigma_{in}, \rho_{i} > 0 \).

Based on the procedures in step \((i, j), 3 \leq j \leq n_i - 1\), the derivative of \( V_{in} \) on the basis of frequency distributed model (54), (58), and (60) is
\[
\dot{V}_{ln} = \dot{V}_{ln-1} - \frac{1}{\sigma_{ln}} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{ln}}^T(\omega, t) \Lambda_{ln}^{-1} x_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\xi_l}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{\sigma_{ln}^T} \int_0^\infty \Lambda_{ln}^{-1} D_{\theta_{ln}}^\epsilon \theta_{ln} - \theta_{ln}(x) e_{ln} \, d\omega - k_{ei} e_{in}^2 - (l_{in} + |d_{in}| \epsilon_{in}) |e_{in}| - e_{in-1} e_{in} + e_{in} \, d_{in} + d_{in} \Lambda_{ln} \theta_{ln} - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\xi_l}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega
\]
\[
\leq - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{lm}} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{ln}}^T(\omega, t) \Lambda_{lm}^{-1} x_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\xi_l}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega
\]
\[
- \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{lm}} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{ln}}^T(\omega, t) \Lambda_{lm}^{-1} x_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\xi_l}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{lm}} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{ln}}^T(\omega, t) \Lambda_{lm}^{-1} x_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\xi_l}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega
\]
\[
- \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{lm}} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{ln}}^T(\omega, t) \Lambda_{lm}^{-1} x_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\xi_l}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega
\]
\[
\leq \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{\sigma_{lm}} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\theta_{ln}}^T(\omega, t) \Lambda_{lm}^{-1} x_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega, t) \, d\omega - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \int_0^\infty \omega \mu_{\theta_{ln}}(\omega) z_{\xi_l}^2(\omega, t) \, d\omega
\]

To update \( \theta_{ln} \) and \( \xi_l \), design the fractional order adaptation laws as follows:
\[
D_{\theta_{ln}}^\epsilon \theta_{ln} = -\sigma_{ln} \Lambda_{ln}^{-1} \theta_{ln}(x) e_{ln},
\]
\[
D^\epsilon \xi_l = \rho_i |d_{in}| e_{in}.
\]

According to (62)–(64), and LaSalle invariance principle, if \( k_{ei} > 0 \) and \( l_{in} > \tau_{in} \), one can get \( V_{ln} < 0 \).

The following Theorem 1 gives the stability result of the closed-loop system.

**Theorem 1.** Consider the incommensurate fractional order nonlinear MIMO system (7) with unknown nonlinearities and external disturbance; if the control input is chosen as (56) with (19), (31), and (44) and the adaptation laws are designed as (25), (37), (49), (63), and (64), then all the signals in the closed-loop system are globally uniformly bounded with the proper design parameters \( k_{ij}, l_{ij}, \Lambda_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}, \beta_{ij} \), and \( \chi_{l}, j = 1, \ldots, n_l, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), and the tracking error \( e_{ij} = y_i - y_{id} \) tends to zero asymptotically when \( t \to \infty \).

**Proof.** According to step (i, 1), (i, 2), (i, j), (i, n_l), \( 3 \leq j_i \leq n_l - 1 \), if the control input is chosen as (56) with (19), (31), and (44), and the adaptation laws are designed as (25), (37), (49), (63), and (64), then with a proper choice of the design parameters \( k_{ij}, l_{ij}, \Lambda_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \rho_i \), and \( \chi_l \), one can get \( V_{ij} < 0, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_l, \) and all the signals in the closed-loop system are globally uniformly bounded with input constraints. Our design scheme is still applicable.

**Remark 2.** The orders of the parameter estimation laws \( \beta_{ij} \) and \( \chi_l \) are not fixed to the system order \( \alpha_{ij} \). This brings more degree of freedom in our design and we can achieve better performance by adjusting \( \beta_{ij} \) and \( \chi_l \). In addition, if \( \alpha_{ij} = \alpha \), the result will be commensurate fractional order system with input constraints. Our design scheme is still applicable.

**Remark 3.** It can be found that the tracking errors can be made smaller by increasing the parameters \( k_{ij} \), when the
parameters $l_{ij}, A_{ij}, \sigma_{ij}, \rho_{ij}, \beta_{ij}$, and $\chi_j$ are fixed. Meanwhile, when the control gain $k_{ij}$ is too big, the parameters may be drifting. In order to balance the system performance and control action in applications, the design parameters must be carefully chosen.

**Remark 4.** In fact, the tracking error may get into a smaller range of zero due to the sign function used in controller (56) with (19), (31), and (44), which may result in the chattering phenomenon. Meanwhile, the sign function can be replaced by the continuous function arctan(10-) to alleviate the chattering phenomenon.

### 4. Simulation

Two examples are presented in this simulation section to show the effectiveness of the proposed method.

**4.1. Example 1.** The following incommensurate fractional order nonlinear MIMO system is considered

\[
\begin{aligned}
D^\alpha x_{1,1} &= 0.8x_{1,2} - 0.095x_{1,1}^2 + 0.25x_{1,1}^3, \\
D^\beta x_{1,2} &= 0.95u_1 + \frac{x_{1,2} - 0.5x_{1,1}^2}{1 + 0.8x_{1,1}^2} - 0.15\sin(x_{1,1}^2, x_{1,2}), \\
y_1 &= x_{1,1}, \\
D^\gamma x_{2,1} &= 0.45x_{2,2} - 0.1x_{2,1}^3 + 0.5\sin(x_{2,1}), \\
D^\delta x_{2,2} &= 0.6u_2 + 0.3x_{1,2}x_{2,2} - 0.6x_{2,1}^3 + 0.1\cos(x_{1,2}x_{2,1}), \\
y_2 &= x_{2,1},
\end{aligned}
\]

where $f_{1,1}(x_{1,1}) = -0.06x_{1,1}^2$, $f_{1,2}(x) = x_{1,2} - 0.5x_{1,1}^2/1 + 0.8x_{1,1}^2$, $f_{2,1}(x_{2,1}) = -0.1x_{2,1}^3$, and $f_{2,2}(x) = 0.3x_{1,2}x_{2,2} - 0.6x_{2,1}^3 \sigma_{1,2}$ are the unknown functions. $g_{1,1}(x_{1,1}) = 0.25x_{1,1}^3$, $g_{1,2}(x) = -0.15\sin(x_{1,1}^2, x_{1,2})$, $g_{2,1}(x_{2,1}) = 0.5\sin(x_{2,1})$, and $g_{2,2}(x) = 0.1\cos(x_{1,2}x_{2,1})$ are the known continuous nonlinear functions.

The slope parameters of dead-zone are $a_{ij} = a_{ij} = a_{ij'} = a_{jj'} = 1$. The dead-zone ranges are considered as $b_{1,1} = b_{1,2} = 11$ and $b_{2,1} = b_{2,2} = 5$. The saturation levels are $\xi_{1,\text{min}} = 16$, $\xi_{1,\text{max}} = 15$, $\xi_{2,\text{max}} = 13$, and $\xi_{2,\text{min}} = 8$.

The reference signal for the system output is chosen as $y_{1,d} = 0.8\sin(t + 0.5)$ and $y_{2,d} = 0.6\cos(t + 0.15)$.

The following membership functions to deal with the unknown nonlinear terms are designed as

\[
\begin{aligned}
\delta_1(x_{1,1}) &= \exp\left(-\frac{(x_{1,1} - x_{1,1})^2}{\delta_1^2}\right), \\
\delta_1(x_{2,2}) &= \exp\left(-\frac{(x_{1,2} - x_{2,2})^2}{\delta_2^2}\right), \\
\delta_2(x_{2,1}) &= \exp\left(-\frac{(x_{1,1} - x_{2,1})^2}{\delta_1^2}\right), \\
\delta_3(x_{2,2}) &= \exp\left(-\frac{(x_{1,2} - x_{2,1})^2}{\delta_2^2} - \frac{(x_{2,2} - x_{1,1})^2}{\delta_3^2}\right),
\end{aligned}
\]

where $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3 = 0.5$, $x_{1,1} \in [0.5s_1 - 2, s_1 = 1, 2, \ldots, 6]$, $x_{1,2} \in [s_2 - 2, s_2 = 1, 2, 3]$, $x_{2,1} \in [0.5s_3 - 1.5, s_3 = 1, 2, \ldots, 5]$.

The design parameters are chosen as $k_{1,1} = 45, k_{1,2} = 35, k_{2,1} = 115, k_{2,2} = 29$, $l_{1,1} = l_{1,2} = l_{2,1} = l_{2,2} = 0.01$, $\sigma_{1,1} = \sigma_{2,1} = \sigma_{2,2} = 0.01$, $\Lambda_{1,1} = \Lambda_{1,2} = \Lambda_{2,1} = \Lambda_{2,2} = 1$, $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = 0.01$, $\beta_{1,1} = \beta_{1,2} = \beta_{2,1} = \beta_{2,2} = 0.6$, and $\chi_1 = \chi_2 = 0.6$. The initial condition are $x_{1,1}(0) = x_{1,2}(0) = x_{2,1}(0) = x_{2,2}(0) = 0$, $\theta_{1,1}(0) = \theta_{1,2}(0) = 0_{90}, \theta_{2,2}(0) = 0_{180}, \theta_{2,1}(0) = 0_{60}, \theta_{2,2}(0) = 0_{90}, \chi_1(0) = \chi_2(0) = 0$.

The trajectories of system output, reference signal, and tracking error are presented in Figure 1 to show the tracking performance of the control system. It demonstrates that the reference signals could be tracked well by the output signals subject to the unknown nonlinear terms and uncertain disturbances. Figure 2 displays the trajectories of the system states $x_{1,1}$ and $x_{2,2}$. The estimation of $\zeta_1$, and $\zeta_2$ are demonstrated in Figure 3, and system control input $u_1$ and $u_2$ are presented in Figure 4. It is clear that all the signals in the closed loop adaptive control system are bounded.

**4.2. Example 2.** To show more results of the proposed method, the following incommensurate fractional order nonlinear MIMO system is considered:

\[
\begin{aligned}
D^\alpha x_{1,1} &= 0.3x_{1,2} - 0.8\sin(x_{1,1}) + 0.6x_{1,1}^2 \cos(x_{1,1}), \\
D^\beta x_{1,2} &= 0.8u_1 + 0.13\cos(x_{1,1}^2, x_{1,2}) - 0.5\cos(x_{1,1}^2 x_{1,2}), \\
y_1 &= x_{1,1}, \\
D^\gamma x_{2,1} &= 0.5x_{2,2} - 0.8\sin(x_{2,1}) + 0.5x_{2,1} \sin(x_{2,1}), \\
D^\delta x_{2,2} &= 0.6u_2 + 0.3\sin(x_{1,1}^2 x_{2,1}), \\
y_2 &= x_{2,1},
\end{aligned}
\]

(67)
where \( f_{1,1}(x_{1,1}) = -0.8 \sin(x_{1,1}), \quad f_{1,2}(x) = 0.13 \cos(x_{1,1}^3), \quad f_{2,1}(x_{2,1}) = -0.8 \sin(x_{2,1}), \quad \) and \( f_{2,2}(x) = \sin(x_{1,1}^2 x_{1,2}) \) are the unknown functions. \( g_{1,1}(x_{1,1}) = 0.6 x_{1,1} \cos(x_{1,1}), \quad g_{1,2}(x) = -0.5 \cos(x_{1,1}^2 x_{1,2}), \quad g_{2,1}(x_{2,1}) = 0.5 x_{2,1} \sin(x_{2,1}), \quad \) and \( g_{2,2}(x) = 0.3 \cos(x_{1,1} x_{1,2} x_{2,1}) \) are the known continuous nonlinear functions.

The slop parameters of dead-zone are \( a_{1,r} = a_{1,l} = a_{2,r} = a_{2,l} = 1 \). The dead-zone ranges are considered as \( b_{1,r} = b_{1,l} = 8 \) and \( b_{2,r} = b_{2,l} = 6 \). The saturation levels are \( \xi_{1,\text{max}} = 18, \xi_{1,\text{min}} = 16, \xi_{2,\text{max}} = 25, \) and \( \xi_{2,\text{min}} = 23 \).

The reference signal for the system output are chosen as \( y_{1,d} = 0.95 \sin(0.8t + 0.1) \) and \( y_{2,d} = 0.8 \sin(t + 3) \).

The following membership functions to deal with the unknown nonlinear terms are designed as
The design parameters are chosen as $k_{1,1} = 125, k_{1,2} = 45, k_{2,1} = 115$, and $k_{2,2} = 25$. $l_{1,1} = l_{1,2} = l_{2,1} = l_{2,2} = 0.01$, $\sigma_{1,1} = \sigma_{1,2} = \sigma_{2,1} = \sigma_{2,2} = 0.03$, $\Lambda_{1,1} = l_{6,1}, \Lambda_{1,2} = l_{18,1}, \Lambda_{2,1} = l_{18,2}, \Lambda_{2,2} = l_{18,3}$. The design parameters are chosen as $k_{1,1} = 125, k_{1,2} = 45, k_{2,1} = 115$, and $k_{2,2} = 25$. $l_{1,1} = l_{1,2} = l_{2,1} = l_{2,2} = 0.01$, $\sigma_{1,1} = \sigma_{1,2} = \sigma_{2,1} = \sigma_{2,2} = 0.03$, $\Lambda_{1,1} = l_{6,1}, \Lambda_{1,2} = l_{18,1}, \Lambda_{2,1} = l_{18,2}, \Lambda_{2,2} = l_{18,3}$. The design parameters are chosen as $k_{1,1} = 125, k_{1,2} = 45, k_{2,1} = 115$, and $k_{2,2} = 25$. $l_{1,1} = l_{1,2} = l_{2,1} = l_{2,2} = 0.01$, $\sigma_{1,1} = \sigma_{1,2} = \sigma_{2,1} = \sigma_{2,2} = 0.03$, $\Lambda_{1,1} = l_{6,1}, \Lambda_{1,2} = l_{18,1}, \Lambda_{2,1} = l_{18,2}, \Lambda_{2,2} = l_{18,3}$. The design parameters are chosen as $k_{1,1} = 125, k_{1,2} = 45, k_{2,1} = 115$, and $k_{2,2} = 25$. $l_{1,1} = l_{1,2} = l_{2,1} = l_{2,2} = 0.01$, $\sigma_{1,1} = \sigma_{1,2} = \sigma_{2,1} = \sigma_{2,2} = 0.03$, $\Lambda_{1,1} = l_{6,1}, \Lambda_{1,2} = l_{18,1}, \Lambda_{2,1} = l_{18,2}, \Lambda_{2,2} = l_{18,3}$.
loop signals are bounded. In the future, the selection of the orders of the parameter constraints, the proposed adaptive NN controller can simultaneously. This ensures the efficacy of the proposed approach.

The adaptation laws with incommensurate fractional order construction by the backstepping and adaptive technique. Through the simulation results, it is verified that the tracking errors of the closed-loop system can reach a small neighborhood of zero even in the presence of dead-zone and saturation simultaneously. This ensures the efficacy of the proposed approach. In the future, the selection of the orders of the parameter estimation laws will be considered for the control adjustment.

Data Availability
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5. Conclusion

An adaptive NN backstepping control scheme for a class of incommensurate uncertain fractional order nonlinear MIMO systems subjected to with dead-zone and saturation is proposed in this paper. The RBF NN is used to approximate an unknown nonlinear terms in each step of the backstepping procedure. The adaptive NN controller is constructed by the backstepping and adaptive technique. The adaptation laws with incommensurate fractional order for parameters estimation are designed to compensate unknown nonlinearities in the controller. Through the simulation results, it is verified that the tracking errors of the closed-loop system can reach a small neighborhood of zero even in the presence of dead-zone and saturation simultaneously. This ensures the efficacy of the proposed approach. In the future, the selection of the orders of the parameter estimation laws will be considered for the control adjustment.
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