Research Article

Bifurcation Analysis of Three-Strategy Imitative Dynamics with Mutations

Wenjun Hu,1,2 Haiyan Tian,1 and Gang Zhang1

1College of Mathematics and Information Science, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050024, China
2Department of Mathematics, Luliang University, Lishi 033000, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Gang Zhang; gangzhang@hebtu.edu.cn

Received 11 April 2019; Accepted 13 September 2019; Published 30 October 2019

Academic Editor: Constantin Udriste

Copyright © 2019 Wenjun Hu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Evolutionary game dynamics is an important research, which is widely used in many fields such as social networks, biological systems, and cooperative behaviors. This paper focuses on the Hopf bifurcation in imitative dynamics of three strategies (Rock-Paper-Scissors) with mutations. First, we verify that there is a Hopf bifurcation in the imitative dynamics with no mutation. Then, we find that there is a critical value of mutation such that the system tends to an unstable limit cycle created in a subcritical Hopf bifurcation. Moreover, the Hopf bifurcation exists for other kinds of the considered mutation patterns. Finally, the theoretical results are verified by numerical simulations through Rock-Paper-Scissors game.

1. Introduction

Evolutionary game dynamics combines game theory and nonlinear dynamics to describe the evolution of the frequencies of strategies in one or more large population [1, 2]. It has edged into many fields such as networks population [3–6], economics [7, 8], biology [9, 10], management [11, 12], and cooperative behaviors [13–16]. There are many important evolutionary game dynamics such as replicator dynamics, imitative dynamics [17], best-response dynamics [18], and so on [19]. The Rock-Paper-Scissors (RPS) [20, 21] is a famous three-strategy game, which describes interactions among three competing species in ecology, sociological systems [22], and theoretical biology [23, 24]. Replicator dynamics is the best-known evolutionary dynamics, which was firstly defined by Taylor and Jonker [25], and has been researched in various fields [26, 27]. In practice, imitative dynamics is a generalized replicator dynamics, which investigates the spreading of strategies in the context of imitation instead of inheritance.

There are some research studies about the imitative dynamics [28–30]. Cheung [28] studied the imitative dynamics for games with continuous strategy space and obtained global convergence and local stability results for imitative dynamics. Wang et al. [29] investigated the imitation dynamics with delay, and they discussed the two-phenotype and three-phenotype model and obtained some relevant results for stability. Hu et al. [30] researched the imitative dynamics with discrete delay, and they discovered that the stability would be changed in the discrete delay dynamics and obtained some sufficient conditions. The emphasis of the literatures is the effect of delay in the imitative dynamics. However, the mutation is also a noticeable factor on the study of the stability of the evolutionary dynamics in reality.

Until now, many researchers have studied the effect of mutations in the replicator dynamics [31–34]. Mobilia [31] investigated the oscillatory dynamics in generic RPS games with mutations and found out the existence of the heteroclinic cycles in the RPS model. Nagatani et al. [32] studied a metapopulation model for RPS game with mutation, and they found that the mutation would lead to the phase transitions among three strategies. Toupo et al. [33, 34] researched the effect of mutations in the repeated prisoner’s dilemma game and RPS game, and they found that the mutations would result in the Hopf bifurcation in the replicator dynamics. Their research studies illustrate that
mutation could change the stability of the dynamics, especially lead to bifurcation. The bifurcation is an important behavior in dynamical systems [35], which has been researched by many scholars [36–39]. Wesson et al. [36, 37] investigated the Hopf bifurcation in two-strategy and three-strategy delayed replicator dynamics, and they demonstrated the existence of Hopf bifurcation and presented an analysis of the limit cycles through Lindstedt’s method. Nesrine et al. [38] researched the Hopf bifurcation in RPS game with distributed delays. Umezuki [39] studied the bifurcation of RPS game with discrete-time logit dynamics and showed that some bifurcations would destroy the coexistence of the attractors in the RPS game.

According to the previous literatures, there are few research studies about the bifurcation in imitative dynamics. In this paper, we aim to discuss the Hopf bifurcation in imitative dynamics with mutation. Our research will illustrate that (i) the imitative dynamics appears to be a Hopf bifurcation at the parameter $\gamma$ in the RPS game; (ii) the stability would be changed in the mutative imitation dynamics; and (iii) a sub-critical Hopf bifurcation would be exhibited in this dynamics.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 sets the imitation dynamics model without mutation and analyses the stability and bifurcation. Section 3 researches the Hopf bifurcations in mutations in the imitative dynamics. Section 4 gives numerical simulations of the equilibrium and an unstable periodic solution. Section 5 offers concluding remarks.

2. RPS Model without Mutation

2.1. Derivation. We consider a symmetric three-phenotype model with pure strategies Rock (R), Scissors (S), and Paper (P) and with payoff matrix:

$$
F_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} R & S & P \\ R & 1 & 1+y & 0 \\ S & 0 & 1 & 1+y \\ P & 1+y & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y > 0.
$$

The payoff matrix means that each strategy gets a payoff 1 when playing against itself, and the loser gets a payoff 0 while the winner gets 1 + y. Let $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denote the frequency of $(R, S, P)$ and $(f_1, f_2, f_3)$ the expected payoff of $(R, S, P)$ with $f_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{ij} x_j$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} x_i = 1$ and $a_{ij}$ denotes the payoff of $S_i$-individual plays against a $S_j$ individual in which $i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

The classic imitation dynamics tacitly supposes that an individual is randomly selected from the population and awarded the same opportunity to change the strategy. That is, when an individual using $S_i$ plays against an individual using $S_j$, the imitation rate that the $S_i$ strategist switches to $S_j$ is denoted by $F_{ij}$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. In the previous literature, it is assumed that the imitation rate $F_{ij}$ depends on the expected payoffs $f_i(x)$ and $f_j(x)$:

$$
F_{ij}(x) = \frac{f_i(x)}{f_i(x) + f_j(x)}, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3),
$$

where the function $F(u, v)$ defines the imitation rule. Here, we take $F(u, v) = u/(u + v)$, i.e.,

$$
F_{ij}(x(t)) = \frac{f_i(x(t))}{f_i(x(t)) + f_j(x(t))}, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3).
$$

(3)

For ease of notation, write $(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x, y, z).$ Under this condition, the imitation dynamics equations can be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= x \left( \frac{f_1 - f_2}{f_1 + f_2} y + \frac{f_1 - f_3}{f_1 + f_3} z \right), \\
\dot{y} &= y \left( \frac{f_2 - f_1}{f_2 + f_1} x + \frac{f_2 - f_3}{f_2 + f_3} z \right), \\
\dot{z} &= z \left( \frac{f_3 - f_1}{f_3 + f_1} x + \frac{f_3 - f_2}{f_3 + f_2} y \right).
\end{align*}
$$

(4)

Since $x, y,$ and $z$ are the frequencies of the three strategies, the region of interest is the three-dimensional simplex in $R^3$:

$$
\sum \equiv \{(x, y, z) \in R^3 : x + y + z = 1, \quad (x, y, z \geq 0)\}.
$$

(5)

So, we can eliminate $z$ using $z = 1 - x - y$ and the projection of $\sum$ into the $x - y$ plane: $\Sigma \equiv \{(x, y) \in R^2 : (x, y, 1 - x - y) \in \sum\}$. In this case, equation (4) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= x \left[ y \left( \frac{(2+y)x + (1+2y)y - (1+y)}{-y(x + (1+y)y + 1)} - (1-y)x + (2+y)y - 1 \right) \right], \\
\dot{y} &= y \left[ -x \left( \frac{(2+y)x + (1+2y)y - (1+y)}{-y(x + (1+y)y + 1)} - (1-y)x + (2+y)y - 1 \right) \right].
\end{align*}
$$

(6)

2.2. Stability of Equilibria. System (6) has four equilibria:

$$
\begin{align*}
e_1 &= (0, 0), \\
e_2 &= (0, 1), \\
e_3 &= (1, 0), \\
x^* &= \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right).
\end{align*}
$$

(7)

In order to discuss the stability of these equilibria, we linearize equation (6). As a result, we can analyze the stability of each point through the eigenvalues of the Jacobian. The eigenvalues of the three corner equilibria can be calculated as shown in Table 1.

From above analysis, in the nonmutation RPS equation, each corner of $S$ is a saddle point.
Next, we consider an important equilibrium $x^*$: first, we discuss the stability in the nonmutation system. Since there are two imaginary eigenvalues at this equilibrium point, we think there might be a Hopf bifurcation at $x^*$.

### 2.3. Hopf Bifurcation

First, we introduce a lemma about Hopf bifurcation in the vector field.

**Lemma 1** (see [40]). Suppose that system

$$\dot{x} = f_\mu(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mu \in \mathbb{R},$$

has an equilibrium $(x_0, \mu_0)$ at which the following properties are satisfied:

(H1) $D_x f_\mu(x_0)$ has a simple pair of pure imaginary eigenvalues and no other eigenvalues with zero real parts

(H2) $d = d/d\mu(\text{Re} (\mu))|\mu=\mu_0 \neq 0$

(H3) $a = a(\mu_0) \neq 0$, where $a(\mu_0)$ is the first Lyapunov coefficient

Then, the system undergoes a Hopf bifurcation at $\mu = \mu_0$.

The coefficient $a(\mu)$ can be calculated as follows. On the center manifold, $f_\mu(x)$ has the following form near the origin:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \text{Re} (\mu) & -\text{Im} (\mu) \\ \text{Im} (\mu) & \text{Re} (\mu) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} f^1(x, y, \mu) \\ f^2(x, y, \mu) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $f^1$ and $f^2$ are nonlinear functions in $x$ and $y$ and $\lambda(\mu)$ and $\mu(\mu)$ are the eigenvalues of the linearized system around the equilibrium at the origin. Especially, at the bifurcation point (i.e., $\mu = 0, \lambda_{1,2} = \pm i\omega$), the coefficient is given by

$$a(\mu) = \frac{f^1_{xxx} + f^1_{xyy} + f^2_{xxy} + f^2_{yyx}}{16} + \frac{f^1_{xy} f^1_{yy} - f^1_{xy} f^1_{yy}}{16\omega} + \frac{f^2_{yy} f^2_{xy} - f^2_{xy} f^2_{yy}}{16\omega},$$

**Lemma 2** (see [41]). Consider the system form (8); for sufficiently small $\mu$, the following four cases hold:

(i) $d > 0, a > 0$: unstable equilibrium for $\mu > 0$ and asymptotically stable equilibrium for $\mu < 0$, with unstable periodic orbit (i.e., subcritical) for $\mu < 0$

(ii) $d > 0, a < 0$: unstable equilibrium for $\mu > 0$ and asymptotically stable equilibrium for $\mu < 0$, with asymptotically stable periodic orbit (i.e., supercritical) for $\mu > 0$

(iii) $d < 0, a > 0$: unstable equilibrium for $\mu < 0$ and asymptotically stable equilibrium for $\mu > 0$, with unstable periodic orbit (i.e., subcritical) for $\mu > 0$

(iv) $d < 0, a < 0$: unstable equilibrium for $\mu > 0$ and asymptotically stable equilibrium for $\mu < 0$, with asymptotically stable periodic orbit (i.e., supercritical) for $\mu < 0$

Next, we give a theorem to illustrate the bifurcation in dynamics (6).

**Theorem 1.** The imitation dynamics (6) exhibits a subcritical Hopf bifurcation at $\gamma = 1$. Moreover, when $\gamma > 1$, the interior equilibrium is locally stable, and it is unstable when $\gamma < 1$.

**Proof.** (i) When $\gamma \neq 1$, the sign of the real part of eigenvalues can be determined through Table 1. That is,

$$\gamma > 1, \quad \text{Re}(\lambda) = \frac{1 - \gamma}{4(y + 2)} < 0, \quad \text{stability},$$

$$\gamma < 1, \quad \text{Re}(\lambda) = \frac{1 - \gamma}{4(y + 2)} > 0, \quad \text{instability}.$$ (11)

(ii) When $\gamma = 1$, as the formula in Lemma 1, we obtain the nonlinear function form,

$$f^1(x, y) = x \left(1 - x - y \right) \frac{3y - 1}{2x + y + 1} - \frac{3x + 3y - 2}{x - 2y + 2}$$

$$+ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}y,$$

$$f^2(x, y) = y \left(1 - x - y \right) \frac{x - 2y + 3}{-2x - y + 1} - \frac{3x + 3y - 2}{x - 2y + 2}$$

$$- \frac{\sqrt{3}}{6}x.$$ (12)

We can obtain the Lyapunov coefficient through Matlab as follows:

$$a(\gamma) = \frac{27(y^3 + 4y^2 + 2y - 3)}{16(y + 2)^2},$$

$$d(\gamma) = \frac{\frac{3}{4(y + 2)^2}}{\text{Re}(\gamma)} = \frac{3}{4(y + 2)^2} > 0.$$ (13)
According to Lemma 2, the Hopf bifurcation is subcritical at $\gamma = 1$.

\section*{3. RPS Model with Mutations}

In this section, we examine the imitative dynamics with all kinds of mutations, including global mutations, single mutation, double mutations, and so on.

\subsection*{3.1. Global Mutations in RPS Model}

First, we discuss the global mutations in imitative dynamics. The relationship of global mutations is illustrated in Figure 1.

In this case, the dynamics becomes the following form with mutant coefficient $\mu (\mu \geq 0)$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= x \left[ (1 - x - y) (1 - y)x + (2 + y)y - 1 
\right. \\
&\quad + \left. y \frac{(2 + y)x + (1 + 2y)y - (1 + y)}{\gamma x + (1 + \gamma)y + (1 + y)} \right] + \mu (1 - 3x), \\
\dot{y} &= y \left[ (1 - x - y) \frac{-x - (1 + y)y + 2 + \gamma}{-\gamma x + (1 + \gamma)y + (1 + y)} \right] + \mu (1 - 3y). \\
\end{align*}
$$

(14)

We give a theorem to illustrate the bifurcation in dynamics (14) as follows.

\textbf{Theorem 2.} The following two conclusions are established for imitative dynamics (14):

(i) There exists a subcritical Hopf bifurcation at $\mu = 1 - \gamma/12(y + 2)$ when $\gamma < 1$. Moreover, for $\mu > 1 - \gamma/12(y + 2)$, the interior equilibrium is locally stable, and for $\mu < 1 - \gamma/12(y + 2)$, it is unstable.

(ii) The interior equilibrium is locally stable when $\gamma \geq 1$.

Proof. The Jacobian matrix of dynamics (14) at $(1/3, 1/3)$ is

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1 - y}{6(y + 2)} - 3\mu & \frac{2y + 1}{6(y + 2)} \\
\frac{1}{6} & \frac{1 - y}{6(y + 2)} - 3\mu
\end{bmatrix}
$$

and the conjugate complex eigenvalues are

$$
\lambda_{1,2} (\mu) = \frac{1 - y}{4(y + 2)} - 3\mu \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}(y + 1)}{4(y + 2)} i.
$$

Let $\text{Re}(\lambda_{1,2}) = 0$, then $\mu = 1 - \gamma/12(y + 2)$. One can obtain the following:

(i) $\gamma < 1$: if $\mu = 1 - \gamma/12(y + 2)$, then the Jacobian matrix has a pair of pure complex eigenvalues.

(ii) $\gamma \geq 1$: $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$ is always correct, i.e., the interior equilibrium is locally stable.

Similar to the proof in Theorem 1, the nonlinear functions can be obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
f^1(x, y) &= x \left[ y \frac{(2 + y)x + (1 + 2y)y - (1 + y)}{\gamma x + (1 + \gamma)y + (1 + y)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 - x - y) \frac{(1 - y)x + (2 + y)y - 1}{(1 + \gamma)x + (1 + \gamma)y + (1 + y)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1 - y}{12(y + 2)} (1 - 3x) + \frac{\sqrt{3}(y + 1)}{4(y + 2)} y, \\
\end{align*}
$$

(17)

$$
\begin{align*}
f^2(x, y) &= y \left[ x \frac{(1 + y) - (2 + y)x + (1 + 2y)y - (1 + y)}{\gamma x + (1 + \gamma)y + (1 + y)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (1 - x - y) \frac{-x - (1 + y)y + 2 + \gamma}{-\gamma x + (1 + \gamma)y + (1 + y)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1 - y}{12(y + 2)} (1 - 3y) - \frac{\sqrt{3}(y + 1)}{4(y + 2)} x.
\end{align*}
$$

The Lyapunov coefficient can be calculated as follows:

$$
a(\gamma) = \frac{27(y^2 + 4y^2 + 2y - 3)}{16(y + 2)^2} > 0, \quad \text{for } 0 < \gamma < 1,
$$

$$
\frac{d}{d(\mu)} \left( \text{Re}(\lambda) \right) = \frac{d}{d(\mu)} \left( \frac{1 - y}{4(y + 2)} - 3\mu \right) = -3 < 0.
$$

(18)

According to Lemma 2, the Hopf bifurcation is subcritical at $\mu = 1 - \gamma/12(y + 2)$.

The results in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 show that the situation in dynamics (8) is different from dynamics (14). While the interior equilibrium is always unstable when $\gamma < 1$ in the former, the interior equilibrium is locally stable for $\mu > 1 - \gamma/12(y + 2)$ when $\gamma < 1$ in the latter.

\subsection*{3.2. Other Mutations in RPS Model}

In this section, we discuss the other mutations in imitative dynamics; the situation becomes complex as one adds more mutant pathways. For the ease of research, let us restrict attention to mutant forms that ensure $(x, y) = (1/3, 1/3)$ as the inner equilibrium for all values of $\gamma$ and $\mu$. 

---

**Figure 1:** The global mutation in RPS.
Here, we discuss the following three kinds of mutations in RPS imitative dynamics (see Figure 2): (i) single mutation between two strategies; (ii) single-cycle mutations among three strategies; and (iii) double mutations between two strategies. These mutant forms are shown in Table 2. As the cycle symmetry of the RPS game, it suffices to consider one of the three possible single mutation and double mutations. In this case, we just consider the following representative mutations.

For the imitative dynamics with these three kinds of mutations, similar subcritical Hopf bifurcation at \( \mu = \mu_c \) would be present. This is different from the stability in nonmutation imitative dynamics, which is always unstable when \( \gamma < 1 \).

### 4. Numerical Simulations

In this section, we propose to compare the properties of the bifurcating periodic solution. Here, we report two simulation results for imitative dynamics with nonmutation and mutation, respectively.

**Example 1.** In the imitative dynamics (6), we take

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma &= 1.5, \\
\gamma &= 1, \\
\gamma &= 0.8,
\end{align*}
\]

into the equation. Through the Matlab software, one can obtain the following results (see Figure 3).

In Figure 3, the numerical simulation shows that the interior equilibrium \( x^* \) is asymptotically stable when \( \gamma > 1 \) (i.e., \( \gamma = 1.5 \)). However, when \( \gamma = 1 \), the system state tends to an unstable periodic solution, and when \( \gamma < 1 \) (i.e., \( \gamma = 0.8 \)), the interior equilibrium \( x^* \) is unstable.

**Example 2.** In the imitative dynamics (14), let

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma &= 1.5, \\
\mu &= 0.02, \\
\mu &= 0.002, \\
\gamma &= 0.8, \\
\mu &= 0.02, \\
\mu &= 0.002, \\
\mu &= 0.00595.
\end{align*}
\]

Through the Matlab software, one can obtain the following results (see Figures 4 and 5).

In Figure 4, the numerical simulation shows that the interior equilibrium \( x^* \) is asymptotically stable when \( \gamma = 1.5 \) (i.e., \( \gamma > 1 \)) for any value of \( \mu \), such as \( \mu = 0.02 \) and \( \mu = 0.002 \).

In Figure 5, the numerical simulation shows the following: (i) the Hopf curve in dynamics (14), i.e., the

---

**Table 2: Hopf curve of different mutant forms.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forms</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Hopf curve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( y \leftrightarrow z )</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>( \mu_c = 1 - \gamma/4(\gamma + 2) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z \rightarrow x )</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>( \mu_c = 1 - \gamma/6(\gamma + 2) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( x \leftrightarrow z, z \leftrightarrow y )</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>( \mu_c = 1 - \gamma/8(\gamma + 2) )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 2: Three representative mutations in RPS.**

**Figure 3: The interior equilibrium’s changing situation with different \( \gamma \).** (a) When \( \gamma = 1 \), the limit cycle occurs around the interior equilibrium. (b) When \( \gamma = 1.5 \), the interior equilibrium is stable. (c) When \( \gamma = 0.8 \), the interior equilibrium is unstable.
criticality of $\mu$, changes with $c$; (ii) the interior equilibrium $x^*$ would be stable when $\gamma = 0.8$ (i.e., $\gamma < 1$); and (iii) when $\mu = 0.00595$, the system state tends to an unstable periodic solution, and when $\mu > 0.00595$, the interior equilibrium $x^*$ is stable and is unstable when $\mu < 0.00595$. The marks in Figures 4 and 5 are same as the description in Figure 3.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, the stability of the interior equilibrium has been mainly investigated for imitative dynamics with mutations. Different from the result in replicator dynamics [31, 34], the stability of the interior equilibrium has been
changed with the mutations, and a subcritical Hopf bifurcation appears.

For the imitative dynamics in the RPS game, the stability is changed at the parameter $\gamma$ in the payoff matrix with no mutation, and the mutation $\mu$ in global mutation. In the dynamics with no mutation, the interior equilibrium is locally stable when $\gamma > 1$ and is unstable when $\gamma < 1$, and a subcritical Hopf bifurcation appears at $\gamma = 1$ in given payoff matrix. In the imitative dynamics with global mutation, the interior equilibrium is stable when $\gamma \geq 1$, and it is different from the case when $\gamma < 1$. There is a subcritical Hopf bifurcation at $\mu = 1 - \gamma / 12 (\gamma + 2)$, and the interior equilibrium is locally stable when $\mu > 1 - \gamma / 12 (\gamma + 2)$ and is unstable when $\mu < 1 - \gamma / 12 (\gamma + 2)$.

If we change the number of parameters (i.e., from one to two), the stability and bifurcation would become much more complicated. Furthermore, some numerical examples have been given to illustrate the effectiveness of our results. As an extension to this work, we plan to discuss the imitation dynamics with delays and mutations.
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