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In this paper, a four-dimensional (4-D) memristor-based Colpitts system is reaped by employing an ideal memristor to substitute the exponential nonlinear term of original three-dimensional (3-D) Colpitts oscillator model, from which the initials-dependent extreme multistability is exhibited by phase portraits and local basins of attraction. To explore dynamical mechanism, an equivalent 3-D dimensionality reduction model is built using the state variable mapping (SVM) method, which allows the implicit initials of the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system to be changed into the corresponding explicitly initials-related system parameters of the 3-D dimensionality reduction model. The initials-related equilibria of the 3-D dimensionality reduction model are derived and their initials-related stabilities are discussed, upon which the dynamical mechanism is quantitatively explored. Furthermore, the initials-dependent extreme multistability is depicted by two-parameter plots and the coexistence of infinitely many attractors is demonstrated by phase portraits, which is confirmed by PSIM circuit simulations based on a physical circuit.

1. Introduction

Chua’s circuit [1] and Colpitts oscillator [2] are two important physical circuits used for generating chaos. In the Chua’s circuit, the unique nonlinear negative resistor is generally realized based on operational amplifier [3], which makes the oscillating frequency limited. By contrast, in the Colpitts oscillator, the nonlinear circuit element is implemented by a bipolar junction transistor [2], which allows the oscillating frequency to be adjusted from a few hertz up to the microwave region (gigahertz), depending on the technology. Due to the natural nonlinearities [4], memristors can be introduced into some existing circuits or systems to easily achieve chaotic oscillations. In the past few years, various memristor-based nonlinear oscillating circuits and systems were proposed, such as memristive Hindmarsh-Rosé neuron model [5], memristive cellular nonlinear/neural network [6], memristive band-pass filter circuit [7], memristive spiking and bursting neuron circuit [8], memristive jerk circuit [9], memristive hypogenetic jerk system [10], memristive hyper-jerk system [11], memristive Twin-T oscillator [12], memristive Chua’s circuit [13], memristive canonical Chua’s circuit [14], memristive multi-scroll Chua’s circuit [15], and memristive Chua’s hyperchaotic circuit [16]. However, relatively little attention has been received on the memristor-based Colpitts oscillator [17–19]. In addition, the memristive Colpitts oscillator implemented by replacing the bipolar junction transistor with memristor has not yet been reported. Because of the nanosized property, memristor is characterized by small size and low power consumption, leading to the fact that memristor-based Colpitts oscillator could have a good application prospect under some certain conditions.

The careful dynamical analyses of these constructed memristive systems show that the memristor initials do play a crucial role in dynamical characteristics of these systems [20, 21]. Particularly, memristive systems based on ideal memristors can produce the extreme multistability phenomenon of coexisting infinitely many attractors [22, 23]. Such a special phenomenon is commonly triggered in the systems with no equilibrium [24] or infinitely many equilibria [16, 25–28], entirely different from those generated from the offset-boostable flow by introducing an extra periodic
the ideal memristor-based Colpitts system. Therefore, it is necessary to seek this special phenomenon in the rich parameters-dependent dynamics. Like the reported memristor into original Colpitts oscillator, a natural question is whether it will produce extreme multistability. Consequently, it is necessary to seek this special phenomenon in the ideal memristor-based Colpitts system.

The initials-dependent multistability [32, 33], or extreme multistability [34–36], pushes forward an immense influence on the study of dynamical characteristics in many nonlinear systems. Under the fixed system parameters, the solution trajectories of the systems can be represented by diverse stable states with the varied initials. Such a special phenomenon not only renders a nonlinear dynamical circuit or system to supply great flexibility for its potential uses in chaos-based information engineering applications [37], but also leads to new challenges for its control of the existing multiple stable states [32]. One might argue that this special phenomenon can hardly be achieved in practical engineering applications, as it is highly dependent on the initials. Moreover, due to the existence of zero eigenvalue at the equilibrium, it also presents new impediments for the traditional theoretical analysis of dynamical mechanisms. Interestingly enough, these problems can be solved by simplifying the mathematical models using proper state variables or applying reasonable approximation and simplification [38, 39].

Latterly, to solve the abovementioned problem, flux-charging analysis method [13, 14, 22, 23] for the memristor-based dynamical circuits and state variable mapping (SVM) method [11, 40] for the memristor-based dynamical systems were proposed to achieve an equivalent dimensionality reduction model, leading to the fact that the circuit goes from high-order to low-order or the system goes from high-dimensional to low-dimensional. With these methods, the implicit initials in the original circuit or system can be changed into explicitly initials-related circuit/system parameters appearing in the dimensionality reduction model, and many stable states can be controlled by changing the initials-related circuit/system parameters [14], upon which the mechanism explanation for initials-dependent dynamics can be realized. Moreover, dimensionality reduction modeling can reduce the complexity of quantitative analyses and numerical simulations, which is of theoretical significance and engineering application value.

The aforementioned analytic strategies have been preliminarily verified in several memristor-based Chua’s circuits [13, 14, 22] and memristor-based hyperjerk system [11]. However, for memristor-based Colpitts system, applicability and effectivity of the state variable mapping method still need comprehensive investigations and the concept of dimensionality reduction reconstitution is insistent to be clarified. Enlightened by the above ideas, a novel four-dimensional (4-D) memristor-based Colpitts system is reapplied by employing an ideal memristor [24] to substitute the exponential nonlinear term of the original three-dimensional (3-D) Colpitts oscillator model [2, 41]. The proposed memristive Colpitts system exhibits the initials-dependent extreme multistability. To focus on the revelation and reconstitution of this special phenomenon, an equivalent 3-D dimensionality reduction model is obtained using the SVM method reported in [11] and several determined isolated equilibria are thereby yielded. Consequently, the implicit initials of the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system are transformed into the explicitly initials-related system parameters of the 3-D dimensionality reduction model. Meanwhile, the initials-dependent extreme multistability in the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system is reconstituted by the initials-related parameters-dependent dynamics in the dimensionality reduction model through traditional quantitative analyses.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, a 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system is presented and the initials-dependent extreme multistability is revealed by phase portraits and two-dimensional (2-D) local basins of attraction. Thereafter, the equivalent 3-D dimensionality reduction model for the proposed memristive Colpitts system is built by the SVM method. In Section 3, to explore the dynamical mechanism, the initials-related equilibria of the 3-D dimensionality reduction model are derived and the initials-related stabilities are evaluated quantitatively. Furthermore, the initials-dependent extreme multistability is depicted by two-parameter bifurcation plots and the coexistence of infinitely many attractors is demonstrated by phase portraits. In Section 4, with the circuit implementation of the dimensionality reduction model, PSIM circuit simulations are used to validate the numerical simulations. The conclusion is drawn in Section 5.

2. Memristor-Based Colpitts System and Dimensionality Reduction Modeling

2.1. 4-D Memristor-Based Colpitts System and Initials-Dependent Extreme Multistability. The constructing scheme is adopted through imitating the method narrated in [10]. For the input $x$ and output $y$, an incoming ideal memristor with an inner state variable $\varphi$ can be modeled as

\begin{align}
\dot{y} &= W(\varphi)x \\
\dot{\varphi} &= x 
\end{align}

(1a)

Inspired by [24], the memductance $W(\varphi)$ chosen here is quadratic in $\varphi$, which is characterized by

\begin{equation}
W(\varphi) = \alpha - \beta \varphi^2
\end{equation}

(1b)

where the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two positive constants. Note that the circuit module of $W(\varphi)$ can be synthesized by referring to [24].
A classic 3-D Colpitts oscillator model with an exponential nonlinear term was reported in [2, 41], which was described as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= \frac{g}{Q(1-k)} [x_3 - n(x_2)] \\
\dot{x}_2 &= \frac{g}{Qk} x_3 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= \frac{Qk(1-k)}{g} (x_1 + x_2) - \frac{1}{Q} x_3 
\end{align*}
\]  

(2a)

where \( Q \) and \( g \) are positive real constants, \( k = 0.5 \), and the exponential nonlinear term

\[
n(x_2) = e^{-x_2} - 1
\]

is used to characterize the voltage-current relation of the bipolar junction transistor in the Colpitts oscillator. When the parameters appearing in (2a) are set as \( Q = 1.415 \) and \( g = 3.1623 \) [2], the 3-D Colpitts oscillator model (2a) and (2b) is chaotic and displays a spiral attractor.

Based on the 3-D Colpitts oscillator model presented in (2a), a novel 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system is reaped by employing the proposed memristor given in (1a) and (1b) to substitute the exponential nonlinear term described in (2b), whose mathematical model is formulated as

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1 &= ax_3 - aW(x_4) x_2 \\
\dot{x}_2 &= ax_3 \\
\dot{x}_3 &= \frac{-0.5(x_1 + x_2)}{a} - bx_3 \\
\dot{x}_4 &= x_2
\end{align*}
\]  

(3)

where \( W(x_4) = \alpha - \beta x_4^2 \) and two positive parameters \( a = 2g/Q, b = 1/Q \) are introduced for simplicity [41]. To focus on the revelation and reconstitution of extreme multistability, the parameters are determined as \( a = 5.2, b = 0.9, \alpha = 0.5 \), and \( \beta = 0.1 \).

The ideal memristor (1a) and (1b) causes system (3) to possess line equilibrium therein, leading to the emergence of complex and sensitive initials-dependent extreme multistability with coexisting infinitely many attractors [22, 23]. To show the intriguing phenomenon, some intuitions about the extreme multistability of system (3) are exhibited by phase portraits, as shown in Table 1 and Figure 1, where the point attractor in Figure 1(e) is marked as five-pointed star. Apparently, a variety of disconnected attractors with different topologies, periodicities, and locations are coined in system (3) under different initials. Particularly, asymmetric chaotic double-scroll attractors (Figure 1(a)), symmetric chaotic double-scroll attractor, and chaotic spiral attractor (Figure 1(c)) can be observed in Figure 1, which are completely different from the chaotic spiral attractor reported in the original 3-D Colpitts oscillator model (2a) and (2b) [2]. It is demonstrated that system (3) has more complex attractor structure.

The phase portraits of coexisting infinitely many attractors in Figure 1 demonstrate that dynamical behaviors of system (3) are extremely depended on their initials. To inspect the dynamical behaviors distributed in the initial planes, 2-D local basins of attraction in different initial planes are drawn, as shown in Figure 2, where only the periodicities of the state variable \( x_i \) are considered and the topologies and locations of the attractors are ignored here. The red regions marked by CH represent chaotic behaviors. The black and blue regions labeled by DE and P0 denote unbounded divergent and stable point behaviors respectively. Whereas the other color regions labeled by P1 ~ P4 stand for periodic behaviors with different periodicities. Therefore, the emergence of extreme multistability is disclosed, indicating the coexistence of infinitely many attractors in the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system.

In addition, lots of unbounded divergent behaviors can be observed in Figure 2, which is rarely reported in a general memristive chaotic system [14], indicating that the proposed 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system (3) is less robust to the initials.

### 2.2. Dimensionality Reduction Modeling

To explore dynamical mechanism of the initials-dependent extreme multistability emerged in system (3), an equivalent dimensionality reduction model for system (3) needs to be built [11, 13, 14, 22.

### Table 1: Attractor types with different initials of system (3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initials</th>
<th>Attractor types</th>
<th>Phase portraits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((10^{-7}, 0, 0, \pm3.6))</td>
<td>Asymmetric chaotic double-scroll attractors</td>
<td>Figure 1(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((10^{-9}, 0, 0, \pm3.3))</td>
<td>Period-2 limit cycles</td>
<td>Figure 1(b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-1, 2, 0, 2.55))</td>
<td>Spiral chaotic attractor</td>
<td>Figure 1(c) (red)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((10^{-9}, 0, 0, 0))</td>
<td>Symmetric chaotic double-scroll attractor</td>
<td>Figure 1(c) (blue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((10^{-9}, -1.5, 0, -2))</td>
<td>Period-2 limit cycle</td>
<td>Figure 1(d) (red)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-1, 0, 0, -0.8))</td>
<td>Period-3 limit cycle</td>
<td>Figure 1(d) (blue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-1, 0, 0, -3.4))</td>
<td>Period-1 limit cycle</td>
<td>Figure 1(e) (red)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-1, 0, 0, -3.2))</td>
<td>Point attractor</td>
<td>Figure 1(e) (blue)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((-1, 2, 0, 2.2))</td>
<td>Unbounded orbit</td>
<td>Figure 1(f)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
23]. Pursuant to the SVM method [11], integrating the four equations of (3) from 0 to \(\tau\), one gets

\[
x_1(\tau) - \delta_1 = -\alpha x_2 + aX_3 + a\beta \int_0^\tau x_4^2(\xi) x_2(\xi) \, d\xi
\]

\[
x_2(\tau) - \delta_2 = aX_3
\]

\[
x_3(\tau) - \delta_3 = -0.5 \left( \frac{X_1 + x_2}{a} \right) - bX_3
\]

\[
x_4(\tau) - \delta_4 = X_2
\]

where

\[
X_i(\tau) = \int_0^\tau x_i(\xi) \, d\xi,
\]

\[
\delta_i = x_i(0)
\]

(\(i = 1, \cdots, 4\))

Recalling the forth equation of (3), there exists \(dx_4(\xi) = x_3(\xi) \, d\xi\). Thus the integral term in (4) is signified as

\[
\int_0^\tau x_4^2(\xi) x_2(\xi) \, d\xi = \int_0^\tau x_4^2(\xi) \, dx_2(\xi) = \frac{x_4^2(\tau)}{3} - \frac{\delta_3^3}{3}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{X_2 + \delta_3}{3} \right)^3 - \frac{\delta_3^3}{3}
\]

\[
= \frac{X_2^3}{3} + \delta_4 X_2^2 + \delta_2^2 X_2
\]

Then system (4) can be rewritten as

\[
\dot{X}_1 = -\alpha X_2 + X_3 + a\beta \left( \frac{X_3^3}{3} + \delta_4 X_2^2 + \delta_2^2 X_2 \right) + \delta_1
\]

\[
\dot{X}_2 = aX_3 + \delta_2
\]

\[
\dot{X}_3 = -0.5 \left( \frac{X_1 + X_2}{a} \right) - bX_3 + \delta_3
\]

\[
\dot{X}_4 = X_2 + \delta_4
\]

From (7), it is not difficult to find that the right-hand sides of the first three equations do not depend on \(X_4\), i.e., the forth equation of (7) is independent of the other three equations. Therefore, an equivalent 3-D dimensionality reduction model can be described as

\[
\dot{X}_1 = (a\beta \delta_4^2 - \alpha a) X_2 + aX_3 + \frac{a\beta X_3^3}{3} + a\beta \delta_2 X_2 + \delta_1
\]

\[
\dot{X}_2 = aX_3 + \delta_2
\]

\[
\dot{X}_3 = -0.5 \left( \frac{X_1 + X_2}{a} \right) - bX_3 + \delta_3
\]
Similar to [11], there are correspondences between the state variables of systems (3) and (8) such that

\begin{align}
    x_1 &= \dot{X}_1, \\
    x_2 &= aX_3 + \delta_2, \\
    x_3 &= \dot{X}_3, \\
    x_4 &= X_2 + \delta_4
\end{align}

Based on the relations in (9), the dynamical behaviors in (8) can be transformed back into those in (3).

Noteworthily, the implicit initials \( x_i(0) \) of the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system are mapped as explicitly initials-related system parameters \( \delta_i \) appearing in the 3-D dimensionality reduction model. What needs illustration is that, under the situation \( X_1(0) = X_2(0) = X_3(0) = 0 \), system (8) exhibits the completely same dynamical behaviors as the proposed system (3) [11]. To easily distinguish the different system parameters in system (8), we call \( a, b, \alpha, \beta \) as the intrinsic system parameters and \( \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4 \) as the extrinsic initials-related system parameters. It follows that the aforementioned 3-D dimensionality reduction model can be utilized for quantitatively investigating the initials-dependent dynamics of the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system by changing the initials-related system parameters \( \delta_i \).

System (8) is a 3-D nonlinear system, whose initials can also influence the dynamical behaviors. Similar to [11, 42], under the fixed initials-related system parameters, system (8) only exhibits two kinds of oscillating states. Taking \( \delta_1 = 10^{-9} \) and \( \delta_2 = \delta_3 = \delta_4 = 0 \) as an illustration, a bounded chaotic behavior under the initials \((0, 5, 0)\) and an unbounded behavior under \((-9, 0, 0)\) are coexisted in the \( X_1 - X_3 \) plane, as shown in Figure 3(a). Furthermore, the local basin of attraction in the \( X_1(0) - X_2(0) \) initial plane with \( X_3(0) = 0 \) is depicted, as shown in Figure 3(b); it can be easily observed that there are only two oscillating states, namely, the bounded chaotic behavior (red) and unbounded divergent behavior (yellow), respectively. Consequently, the 3-D dimensionality reduction model is less sensitive than the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system to the initials.

3. Dynamical Mechanism Illustrations for Extreme Multistability

3.1. Equilibria and Stabilities Depending on the Initials-Related System Parameters. By setting \( \dot{X}_1 = \dot{X}_2 = \dot{X}_3 = 0 \) and solving
Figure 3: Illustrations for the bounded chaotic behavior (red) and unbounded divergent behavior (yellow). (a) Phase portraits under the initials (0, 5, 0) and (−9, 0, 0). (b) Local basin of attraction in the $X_1(0) – X_2(0)$ initial plane with $X_3(0) = 0$.

Table 2: The equilibrium of the dimensionality reduction model (8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
<th>$\mathbf{X}_3$</th>
<th>$\mathbf{X}_1$</th>
<th>Equilibrium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta &gt; 0$</td>
<td>$\mathbf{X}_{2,1}$</td>
<td>$-\mathbf{X}_{2,1} + 2b\delta_2 + 2a\delta_3$</td>
<td>$S_1 = (-\mathbf{X}<em>{2,1} + 2b\delta_2 + 2a\delta_3, \mathbf{X}</em>{2,1}, -\delta_j/a)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta = 0$</td>
<td>$\mathbf{X}_{2,1}, -\sqrt{-0.5Q}$</td>
<td>$\mathbf{X}_{2,1} + 2b\delta_2 + 2a\delta_3$</td>
<td>$S_{2,1} = (-\mathbf{X}<em>{2,1} + 2b\delta_2 + 2a\delta_3, \mathbf{X}</em>{2,1}, -\delta_j/a)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta &lt; 0$</td>
<td>$\mathbf{X}<em>{2,1}, \mathbf{X}</em>{2,2}, \mathbf{X}_{2,3}$</td>
<td>$\mathbf{X}_{2,1} + 2b\delta_2 + 2a\delta_3$</td>
<td>$S_{2,2} = (-\mathbf{X}<em>{2,1} + 2b\delta_2 + 2a\delta_3, \mathbf{X}</em>{2,1}, -\delta_j/a)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

for the equilibrium of system (8), one gets

$$S = 
\left(-\mathbf{X}_2 + 2b\delta_2 + 2a\delta_3, \frac{-\delta_2}{a}\right)$$

(10)

in which $\mathbf{X}_2$ is solved by

$$\mathbf{X}^3_2 + 3\delta_4\mathbf{X}_2^2 + 3\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\beta}\right)\mathbf{X}_2 + \frac{3(\delta_1 - \delta_3)}{a\beta} = 0$$

(11)

Define $P$ and $Q$ as

$$P = -\frac{3\alpha}{\beta}$$

(12a)

$$Q = \frac{3(\delta_1 - \delta_3)}{a\beta} - 3\delta_4\left(\frac{-\alpha}{\beta}\right) + 2\delta_4^2$$

(12b)

In pursuance of the classical Cardan discriminant $\Delta = (Q/2)^2 + (P/3)^3$ [14, 43], the roots of (11) are derived as

$$\mathbf{X}_{2,1} = \sqrt[3]{-0.5Q + \sqrt{\Delta}} + \sqrt[3]{-0.5Q - \sqrt{\Delta}} - \delta_4$$

(13a)

$$\mathbf{X}_{2,2} = 0.5\left(-1 + j\sqrt{3}\right) \sqrt[3]{-0.5Q + \sqrt{\Delta}}$$

$$+ 0.5\left(-1 - j\sqrt{3}\right) \sqrt[3]{-0.5Q - \sqrt{\Delta}} - \delta_4$$

(13b)

$$\mathbf{X}_{2,3} = 0.5\left(-1 + j\sqrt{3}\right) \sqrt[3]{-0.5Q + \sqrt{\Delta}}$$

$$+ 0.5\left(-1 - j\sqrt{3}\right) \sqrt[3]{-0.5Q - \sqrt{\Delta}} - \delta_4$$

(13c)

The detailed breakdowns of the equilibrium $S$ are given in Table 2. With reference to these results, it can be found that system (8) has only one determined equilibrium when $\Delta > 0$ and only two determined equilibria when $\Delta = 0$. In contrast, system (8) has three determined equilibria when $\Delta < 0$. Based on the characteristic polynomial at the determined equilibrium $S$ of system (8), the stability analysis of system (8) can be effectively performed. By the Routh–Hurwitz criterion, if only if

$$\sqrt[3]{\frac{\alpha}{\beta} < |\mathbf{X}_2 + \delta_4| < \sqrt[3]{(a\alpha + 2b)/a\beta}}$$

(14)
is satisfied, the determined equilibrium $S$ is stable and a point attractor will be prevailed in its neighborhood. The intuition of Table 2 and (14) is that, under the fixed intrinsic system parameters, the equilibrium locations and stabilities are decided by the initials-related system parameters $\delta_i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$). Thus, the initials-dependent extreme multistability presented in 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system can be deduced from the evolutions of the determined equilibrium in the 3-D dimensionality reduction model [40, 42].

Take $\delta_1 = 10^{-9}$ and $\delta_2 = \delta_3 = 0$ as an example. When the initials-related system parameter $\delta_4$ varies within $[-4, 4]$, system (8) invariably has three equilibria $S_{3,1}$, $S_{3,2}$, and $S_{3,3}$; the $X_2$ coordinates of the determined equilibria are depicted in Figure 4(a). Stabilities of these three determined equilibria are evaluated by their eigenvalues and denoted with different colored lines, where the red dash, blue solid, and black dash-dot lines denote the unstable saddle-focus (USF), stable node-focus (SNF), and unstable node-focus (UNF), respectively. More specifically, the USF denotes the equilibrium $S$ has one negative real root and a pair of conjugated complex roots with positive real parts; the SNF indicates the equilibrium $S$ is of one negative real root and a pair of conjugated complex roots with negative real parts; the UNF represents the equilibrium $S$ has one positive real root and a pair of conjugated complex roots with negative real parts. The corresponding bifurcation diagram of the state variable $X_1$ is presented in Figure 4(b), in which $\{X_1(0), X_2(0), X_3(0)\} = [0, 0, 0]$ are determined; the upper is the bifurcation diagram such that $\delta_4$ varies from $-4$ to 0, and the lower is bifurcation diagram such that $\delta_4$ varies from 0 to 4. It can be seen that the representing dynamics in Figure 4(b) matches with the stabilities of three determined equilibria stated in Figure 4(a).

Since the trajectory of system (8) starts from the original point, its evolution route is mainly elicited by the stability of the equilibrium neighboring to the original point and somewhat affected by the other equilibria. The bifurcation behaviors are symmetric for the negative and positive $\delta_4$ in the region I, but are asymmetric in the regions II and III. More narrowly, in the region I, when $\delta_4$ varies within $[-4, -2.9488]$, the three equilibria $S_{3,1}$, $S_{3,2}$, and $S_{3,3}$ are all unstable, such that the system orbit may be randomly pushed toward one of these three unstable equilibria. And system (8) starts from the chaotic state and goes into the periodic state via reverse period-doubling bifurcation route. In the region $[-2.9488, -2.2592]$ of I and region II, $S_{3,2}$ becomes a stable equilibrium, $S_{3,1}$ and $S_{3,3}$ are still unstable equilibria. The dynamical behaviors of system (8) are mainly determined by the stable equilibrium $S_{3,2}$, leading to the occurrence of point attractors. In the region III, the three equilibria are all unstable and the system orbit will randomly push toward one of these unstable equilibria, resulting in the generation of limit cycle, chaotic attractor, or unbounded orbit. In the region $[2.2592, 4]$, system (8) displays the almost symmetric dynamical behaviors as those in $[-4, -2.2592]$. Accordingly, the stability distributions of these three determined equilibria related to the initials-related system parameter $\delta_4$ lead to the emergence of complex dynamical behaviors in system (8).

3.2. Extreme Multistability Reconstitution. Observed from Figure 4(b), we know that system (8) can display rich dynamical behaviors hinging on the initials-related system parameters $\delta_1$, $\delta_2$, $\delta_3$, and $\delta_4$. For intuitively manifesting the coexistence of infinitely many attractors, two-parameter bifurcation plots in different initials-related parameter planes are plotted, as shown in Figure 5. Here the two-parameter bifurcation plots are depicted by examining the periodicities of the state variable $X_1$, which are different from the parameter-space plots given in [44]. Similar to the color regions shown in Figure 2, the red region labeled by CH represents chaos, the black region by DE indicates...
divergence, the blue region by $P_0$ denotes stable point, and the other color regions by $P_1 \sim P_4$ stand for periodic limit cycles with different periodicities. Comparing the numerical results in Figure 5 with those in Figure 2, the similarity of dynamical behaviors can be observed and the fact that the 3-D dimensionality reduction model can be utilized for quantitatively investigating the initials-dependent dynamics of the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system by changing the initials-related system parameters is further validated.

As the original state variables in the system (3) are the derivatives of the new state variables in system (8) and the computational errors always exist in numerical simulations [45], there are some slight differences between the numerical results in Figures 2 and 5. Therefore, it can be concluded that the 3-D dimensionality reduction model is the equivalent representation of the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system.

When the initials-related system parameters $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$, the coexistence of infinitely many attractors in the $\delta_3 - \delta_4$ parameter plane can be observed in Figure 5(a). In the regions $[-5, -3]$ and $[3, 5]$ of $\delta_4$, the system can generate asymmetric chaotic double-scroll attractors. In contrast, the intuition of the region $[-1, 1]$ of $\delta_3$ is that the system can generate symmetric chaotic double-scroll attractors. Furthermore, when $\delta_3 = \delta_4 = 0$, $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 0$, and $\delta_3 = \delta_2 = 0$, Figures 5(b), 5(c), and 5(d) reveal the coexistence of infinitely many attractors in different initials-related system parameter planes, respectively, and the emerged dynamical distributions are completely different from those shown in Figure 5(a).

Corresponding to the part of different color areas in Figure 5, different types of coexisting attractors are listed in Table 3. Automatically, referring to Figure 1, for eleven sets of different initials-related system parameters $(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)$ in different color areas of Figure 5, the phase portraits of coexisting attractors in the $X_1 - X_2$ plane are numerically simulated, as displayed in Figure 6, where the point attractor in Figure 6(e) is marked as five-pointed star homogeneously. Obviously, Figure 6 shows exactly the same dynamical characteristics as Figure 1. It can be seen that many different kinds of disconnected attractors, such as chaotic attractors with different topologies, limit cycles with different topologies and periodicities, stable point, and unbounded orbit, can be observed in system (8). As a result, the initials-related parameters-dependent dynamics featured by Figure 6 intuitively verify the initials-dependent extreme
multistability in the proposed 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system.

4. PSIM Circuit Simulations

The 3-D dimensionality reduction model described by (8) is equivalently implemented in an analog circuit form, as manifested in Figure 7, where the gains of two multipliers $M_1$ and $M_2$ are set as 1. According to basic circuit theory, the circuit state equations are formulated in a general form as

$$RC\dot{v}_1 = (a\beta\delta_4^2 - a\alpha) v_2 + a v_3 + \frac{a\beta v_3^3}{3} + a\beta \delta_4 v_2^2 + \delta_1$$

$$RC\dot{v}_2 = a v_3 + \delta_2$$

$$RC\dot{v}_3 = -0.5\left(\frac{v_1 + v_2}{a}\right) - b v_3 + \delta_3 \tag{15}$$

where $v_1$, $v_2$, and $v_3$ represent the state variables and $RC$ is the integrating time constant. The initials-related system parameters $\delta_1$, $\delta_2$, $\delta_3$, and $\delta_4$ are implemented by additional DC voltage sources or directly linking to the ground. Note that the $R_6$ in Figure 7 is only a negative feedback resistor to ensure the dissipativity of the physical circuit without self-excited oscillation, which is used to implement the self-feedback term in Equation (15). More details about the circuit design principle can refer to the operational amplifier stability in [46, 47].

To better confirm the extreme multistability generated from the equivalent circuit in Figure 7, PSIM circuit simulations are considered to confirm the phase portraits of coexisting attractors given in Figure 6. The circuit parameters
shown in Figure 7 are taken as \(R = 10 \, k\Omega, R_1 = R/(a\beta \delta_3^2 - \alpha), R_2 = R/a = 1.9231 \, k\Omega, R_3 = R/\alpha \delta_3, R_4 = 3R/\alpha \beta = 57.6923 \, k\Omega, R_5 = 2aR = 104 \, k\Omega, R_6 = R/b = 11.1111 \, k\Omega, \) and \(C = 100 \, nF.\) The initials \([v_1(0), v_2(0), v_3(0)]\) are assigned as \((0 \, V, 0 \, V, 0 \, V)\) and the initials-related system parameters \((\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \delta_4)\) are assigned as the same values by referring to those in Figure 6. PSIM intercepted phase plane plots in the \(v_1 - v_2\) plane are shown in Figure 8. Ignoring the computational errors in PSIM simulations, PSIM simulated results in Figure 8 verify the complex phenomenon revealed in Figure 6 and illustrate that extreme multistability does exist in the proposed 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system. What needs to be specified is that the initials of the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system are in the explicit form in the physical circuit of the 3-D dimensionality reduction model described by (8), which can be used to easily achieve the controllable strategy for extreme multistability in the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system [14, 23].

### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, a dimensionality reduction reconstitution scheme for extreme multistability in memristor-based Colpitts system was introduced. By employing an ideal memristor to substitute the exponential nonlinear term of original 3-D Colpitts oscillator model, a novel 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system was obtained. The initials-dependent extreme multistability of the proposed system was exhibited via phase portraits and local basins of attraction. To explore dynamical mechanism, an equivalent 3-D dimensionality reduction model was constructed using SVM method. As a consequence, the implicit initials of the 4-D memristor-based
Colpitts system were transformed into the explicitly initials-related system parameters of the 3-D dimensionality reduction model. Meanwhile, the dynamical mechanism was quantitatively explored by deriving the initials-related equilibria and discussing the equilibrium stabilities in the 3-D dimensionality reduction model. Furthermore, the initials-dependent extreme multistability was verified by two-parameter bifurcation plots and the coexistence of infinitely many attractors was demonstrated by phase portraits and confirmed by PSIM circuit simulations based on a physical circuit. To sum up, this work has multiple advantages: (1) the proposed 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system has great practical importance, as it has much smaller size, lower power consumption, and more complex attractor structure; (2) the dimensionality reduction model greatly reduces the computational overhead, as the system goes from the 4-D to 3-D; (3) the traditional quantitative analyses can be used for exploring the extreme multistability phenomenon, because the implicit initials of the 4-D memristor-based Colpitts system are transformed into the explicitly initials-related system parameters of the 3-D dimensionality reduction model; (4) the physical control and mechanism explanation for extreme multistability are realized through dimensionality reduction reconstitution.
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